



Decision _____

FILED07/02/18
10:19 AM

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF
CALIFORNIA**

Order Instituting Investigation and Ordering Pacific Gas and Electric Company to Appear and Show Cause Why It Should not be Sanctioned for Violations of Article 8 and Rule 1.1 of the Rules of Practice and Procedure and Public Utilities Code Sections 1701.2 and 1701.3.

Investigation 15-11-015
(Filed November 19, 2015)

**INTERVENOR COMPENSATION CLAIM OF
THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK
AND DECISION ON INTERVENOR COMPENSATION CLAIM OF
THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK**

NOTE: After electronically filing a PDF copy of this Intervenor Compensation Claim (Request), please email the document in an MS WORD and supporting EXCEL spreadsheet to the Intervenor Compensation Program Coordinator at compcoordinator@cpuc.ca.gov.

Intervenor: The Utility Reform Network (TURN)	For contribution to Decision (D.) 18-04-014
Claimed: \$80,408.31	Awarded: \$
Assigned Commissioner: Michael Picker	Assigned ALJ: Robert Mason
I hereby certify that the information I have set forth in Parts I, II, and III of this Claim is true to my best knowledge, information and belief. I further certify that, in conformance with the Rules of Practice and Procedure, this Claim has been served this day upon all required persons (as set forth in the Certificate of Service attached as Attachment 1).	
Signature:	/s/
Date: 7/2/18	Printed Name: Hayley Goodson

PART I: PROCEDURAL ISSUES (to be completed by Intervenor except where indicated)

A. Brief description of Decision:	In D.18-04-014 , <i>Revised Decision Granting Joint Motion of the City of San Bruno, the City of San Carlos, the Office of Ratepayer Advocates, the Safety and Enforcement Division, The Utility Reform Network, and Pacific Gas and Electric</i>
------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

	<p><i>Company for Adoption of Settlement Agreement</i>, the Commission adopted the Settlement Agreement entered into by the parties named in the decision. The Settlement Agreement resolved the first phase of the Commission's investigation into PG&E's pattern and practice from 2010-2014 of violating the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure governing <i>ex parte</i> communications and associated sections of the California Public Utilities Code. The Settlement Agreement adopted in D.18-04-014 provides financial and non-financial remedies for PG&E's conduct related to the 48 communications originally identified by the Commission as within the scope of this investigation, plus 116 additional communications that parties agreed to add to the scope of this proceeding (for a total of 164 communications between 2010 and 2014).¹ The Commission in D.18-04-014 also determined that a second phase of this proceeding should be opened to consider whether any of the additional communications disclosed by PG&E on September 21, 2017, after the submission of the Settlement Agreement, violated the Commission's <i>ex parte</i> rules.</p>
--	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

B. Intervenor must satisfy intervenor compensation requirements set forth in Pub. Util. Code §§ 1801-1812:

	Intervenor	CPUC Verified
Timely filing of notice of intent to claim compensation (NOI) (§ 1804(a)):		
1. Date of Prehearing Conference:	April 20, 2016	
2. Other specified date for NOI:	N/A	
3. Date NOI filed:	May 20, 2016	
4. Was the NOI timely filed?		
Showing of eligible customer status (§ 1802(b) or eligible local government entity status (§§ 1802(d), 1802.4)):		
5. Based on ALJ ruling issued in proceeding number:	A.15-03-005	
6. Date of ALJ ruling:	Aug. 6, 2015	
7. Based on another CPUC determination (specify):		

¹ While PG&E agreed to add these communications to the scope of the proceeding, PG&E has not admitted that each is a violation of the Commission's *ex parte* rules.

8. Has the Intervenor demonstrated customer status or eligible government entity status?		
Showing of “significant financial hardship” (§1802(h) or §1803.1(b))		
9. Based on ALJ ruling issued in proceeding number:	A.15-03-005	
10. Date of ALJ ruling:	Aug. 6, 2015	
11. Based on another CPUC determination (specify):		
12. Has the Intervenor demonstrated significant financial hardship?		
Timely request for compensation (§ 1804(c)):		
13. Identify Final Decision:	D.18-04-014	
14. Date of issuance of Final Order or Decision:	May 3, 2018	
15. File date of compensation request:	July 2, 2018	
16. Was the request for compensation timely?		

C. Additional Comments on Part I (use line reference # as appropriate):

#	Intervenor’s Comment(s)	CPUC Discussion

PART II: SUBSTANTIAL CONTRIBUTION (to be completed by Intervenor except where indicated)**A. Did the Intervenor substantially contribute to the final decision (see § 1802(j), § 1803(a), 1803.1(a) and D.98-04-059). (For each contribution, support with specific reference to the record.)**

Intervenor’s Claimed Contribution(s)	Specific References to Intervenor’s Claimed Contribution(s)	CPUC Discussion
<u>Scope of Investigation</u> TURN, in conjunction with the other Non-PG&E Settling Parties (which include the Office of Ratepayer Advocates (ORA), the Commission’s Safety and Enforcement Division (SED), the City of San Bruno (San Bruno), and the City of San Carlos (San Carlos), demonstrated	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Assigned Commissioner and Administrative Law Judge’s Joint Ruling Revising Preliminary Scoping Memorandum, Jul. 12, 2016, p. 7</i> 	

<p>that limited discovery regarding the “Category 3 e-mails” was appropriate to determine whether the encounters they described were in fact <i>ex parte</i> violations, despite the appearance otherwise. PG&E had opposed including these Category 3 communications in the scope of this proceeding and thus opposed any discovery. The Commission determined that limited discovery on the Category 3 emails was appropriate “under the extraordinary circumstances of this investigation, where PG&E’s past conduct has aroused an unprecedented level of public concern.” Following that determination, TURN and the other Non-PG&E Settling Parties conducted limited discovery on the original 21 Category 3 emails and concluded that 7 should remain in the proceeding. The remedies provided by the Settlement Agreement adopted in D.18-04-014 addressed these 7 Category 3 emails, in addition to the Category 1 and Category 2 emails at issue here.</p> <p>TURN also demonstrated that PG&E should be held accountable in this investigation for violating Rule 12.6 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procure, in addition to its <i>ex parte</i> violations. TURN raised this issue in its response to the OII, pointing to PG&E’s oral communication with then-Commission President Peevey on August 29, 2010, concerning PG&E’s 2011 General Rate Case, A.09-12-020. PG&E had disclosed that particular communication on May 21, 2015, in a supplement to its October 6, 2014 disclosure of other oral <i>ex parte</i> communications. The Commission only listed the October 6, 2014 disclosure in the preliminary scope of the OII. TURN explained that the Aug. 29, 2010 communication should be in the scope of this proceeding because it violated both</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Joint Opening Brief of SED, ORA, TURN, San Carlos, and San Bruno on Whether “Category 3” Communications Should Be Included in this Proceeding, filed May 20, 2016 • D.18-04-014, Attachment A, pp. 8-9 (addressing Category 3 emails) • TURN Comments on the Preliminary Determination of Need for Evidentiary Hearings, Issues, and Schedule in This Proceeding, 12/3/15, pp. 4-9 • D.18-04-014, Attachment A, Settlement Agreement, Section 2.1.A (Violation of Commission Rules) 	
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--

<p>the <i>ex parte</i> rules and Rule 12.6, which governs the confidentiality and inadmissibility of settlement negotiations.</p> <p>Section 2.1.A of the Settlement Agreement includes PG&E's admission that it violated Rule 12.6 "on at least one occasion" during the time period from 2010 to 2014 by disclosing to a Commission decisionmaker the contents of ongoing settlement negotiations. That violation of the Commission's Rules, along with PG&E's numerous violations of the <i>ex parte</i> rules and Rule 1.1, is addressed by the remedies adopted by D.18-04-014.</p>		
<p><u>Remedies in Settlement Agreement</u></p> <p>TURN's efforts, in conjunction with those of SED, ORA, the City of San Bruno, and the City of San Carlos (collectively, the Non-PG&E Parties), resulted in an important Settlement Agreement with PG&E, which the Commission adopted in D.18-04-014. As detailed further below, the Settlement Agreement resolves the Commission's investigation into PG&E's failure to timely report <i>ex parte</i> communications, and engagement in prohibited <i>ex parte</i> communications, across multiple Commission proceedings from 2010 to 2014, as indicated by the 164 specific communications at issue in the Settlement Agreement (12 of which PG&E acknowledged were <i>ex parte</i> violations, and the remaining 152 alleged by the Non-PG&E Parties to be <i>ex parte</i> violations).</p> <p>The Settlement Agreement -- as modified in response to the Proposed Decision to increase the fine payable to the State General Fund by \$11 million -- includes the following key financial and non-financial remedies:</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • D.18-04-014, pp. 27-29 (financial remedies); 29-30 (non-financial remedies) • D.18-04-014, p. 37 ("The Settlement Agreement, when combined with the stipulations and exhibits accepted into evidence, has sufficient factual information to allow this Commission to discharge its regulatory obligations.") 	

<p><i>Financial Remedies</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Gas Transmission and Storage Rate Case Ratemaking Remedy: PG&E shall forego collection of \$63.5 million in revenue requirements for the years 2018 and 2019• General Rate Case Remedy: PG&E will implement a one-time adjustment of \$10,000,000 amortized in equivalent annual amounts for its next General Rate Case cycle• Compensation Payable to the City of San Bruno and City of San Carlos: PG&E shall pay \$6,000,000 to the City of San Bruno General Fund and \$6,000,000 to the City of San Carlos General Fund• Payment to the State of California General Fund: PG&E shall pay \$12,000,000 <p>These terms represent the largest financial remedy ever imposed by the Commission in a decision addressing violations of its ex parte rules.</p> <p><i>Non-Financial Remedies</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• For a period of two years, PG&E shall provide notice of tours provided to Commission Decisionmakers of PG&E's facilities that may be at issue in pending proceedings and must additionally invite TURN, ORA, and SED to attend the tour.• For a period of three years, PG&E shall provide notice of transmittals of credit rating agency or investor reports to Commission Decisionmakers to TURN, ORA, SED, and parties in PG&E's most		
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--	--

<p>recent cost of capital, General Rate Case, and Gas Transmission and Storage proceedings.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• For a period of two years, PG&E shall provide notice of “meet and greet” meetings between certain PG&E Officers and Commission Decisionmakers to ORA and TURN• For a period of three years, PG&E will provide the parties to I.15-11-015 a copy of the training materials PG&E uses to annually train its employees on the Commission’s <i>ex parte</i> rules, as well as an annual certificate of completion for the training of all officers, Regulatory Affairs employees and Law Department attorneys. <p>TURN participated actively in all aspects of the process that lead to the Commission’s adoption of the Settlement Agreement in D.18-04-014. Indeed, TURN played a leading role in many aspects of the Non-PG&E Parties’ efforts, including discovery, strategy development, preparation of offers and counteroffers presented to PG&E, negotiation, and contribution to the factual stipulations for the 164 communications that formed the “Joint Record” relied upon by the Commission in assessing the reasonableness of the proposed Settlement Agreement.</p> <p>Settlement negotiations commenced and concluded under unusual circumstances, as parties were able to reach agreement before presenting their litigation positions on the legal and policy issues presented in this investigation. Parties first began meeting in compliance with the January 8, 2016 <i>Assigned Commissioner and Administrative Law Judge’s Ruling</i></p>		
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--	--

<p><i>Directing Parties to Engage in Meet and Confer Process and Setting Prehearing Conference.</i> Given the fruitful – albeit prolonged – nature of negotiations that flowed from the Meet and Confer process, Parties sought and received extensions in the briefing schedule to provide more time to pursue settlement. (See E-Mail Rulings on 1/13/17 and 3/22/17 continuing the briefing schedule). Ultimately, that process was successful and avoided the need for additional litigation. As a result of this unusual procedural context, TURN cannot point to pleadings that indicate TURN’s litigation position on the extent of PG&E’s violations of the Commission’s <i>ex parte</i> rules and related California statutes, and TURN’s position on appropriate remedies for PG&E’s egregious conduct, which could be compared to the Settlement Agreement. Even so, given TURN’s role in this proceeding and the significance of the resulting Settlement Agreement adopted by the Commission, TURN submits that the Commission should find that D.18-04-014 and the Settlement Agreement it adopted reflect TURN’s substantial contribution.</p>		
<p><u>Treatment of New Communications Disclosed by PG&E in September 2017</u></p> <p>TURN, in conjunction with the other Non-PG&E Settling Parties, demonstrated that the Commission should open a second phase of this OII to investigate the new emails released by PG&E on September 21, 2017. PG&E had argued that the remedies included in the Settlement Agreement should suffice as punishment for these new emails because they “are similar in nature to those previously addressed in this proceeding.” The Commission disagreed in D.18-04-014 and ordered a second phase in this</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • D.18-04-014, p. 22 and Ordering Paragraph 3. • Joint Response of SED, ORA, San Bruno, and San Carlos to PG&E’s September 21, 2017 Motion Accepting the Proposed Decision’s Modification of the Settlement Agreement, filed Nov. 1, 2017 	

proceeding to determine if the e-mails disclosed by PG&E on Sept. 21, 2017 violated the Commission's <i>ex parte</i> rules.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> PG&E's Reply to the Joint Response of SED, ORA, TURN, San Bruno, and San Carlos to PG&E's Sept. 21, 2017 Motion Accepting the Proposed Decision's Modification of the Settlement Agreement, filed Nov. 8, 2017, p. 5 	
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--

B. Duplication of Effort (§ 1801.3(f) and § 1802.5):

	Intervenor's Assertion	CPUC Discussion
a. Was the Office of Ratepayer Advocates (ORA) a party to the proceeding?²	Yes	
b. Were there other parties to the proceeding with positions similar to yours?	Yes	
c. If so, provide name of other parties: The Commission's Safety and Enforcement Division (SED), the City of San Bruno (San Bruno), and the City of San Carlos (San Carlos) were also active parties from the inception of this proceeding and joined the Settlement Agreement.		
d. Intervenor's claim of non-duplication: From the outset of this proceeding, TURN has been coordinating our coverage of issues with all of the other Non-PG&E Parties (ORA, SED, San Bruno, and San Carlos) to avoid duplication to the extent possible. This active coordination continued throughout the time period covered by this request for compensation. In consultation with the other Non-PG&E parties, TURN took the lead in many aspects of case, including the meet and confer process, discovery, and settlement negotiations, including: drafting data requests; drafting documents used during negotiations; reviewing and editing stipulations proposed by PG&E (and negotiating the same); and playing a coordination role among the Non-PG&E Parties throughout settlement negotiations. TURN also took the		

² The Division of Ratepayer Advocates was renamed the Office of Ratepayer Advocates effective September 26, 2013, pursuant to Senate Bill No. 96 (Budget Act of 2013: public resources), which was approved by the Governor on September 26, 2013.

<p>lead in drafting the Non-PG&E Parties' response to PG&E's September 21, 2017 Motion, wherein PG&E first disclosed the additional emails that are now the subject of Phase 2 of this OII. TURN's timesheets reflect this allocation of work, which created efficiencies for other parties.</p> <p>For all of these reasons, TURN submits that there was no undue duplication between TURN's participation and that of ORA and the other Non-PG&E Parties.</p>	
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--

C. Additional Comments on Part II (use line reference # or letter as appropriate):

#	Intervenor's Comment	CPUC Discussion

PART III: REASONABLENESS OF REQUESTED COMPENSATION
(to be completed by Intervenor except where indicated)

A. General Claim of Reasonableness (§ 1801 and § 1806):

a. Intervenor's claim of cost reasonableness:	CPUC Discussion
<p>TURN's request for intervenor compensation seeks an award of approximately \$80,000 as the reasonable cost of our participation in the first phase of this proceeding. TURN submits that these costs are reasonable in light of the importance of the issues TURN addressed and the benefits to customers.</p> <p>As explained in Section II.A above, the Settlement Agreement adopted in D.18-04-014 provides PG&E's ratepayers with \$73.5 million in direct financial benefits. This means that ratepayers received more than \$900 in benefits for each \$1 spent by TURN on participating in this proceeding, a very reasonable return on investment.</p> <p>Ratepayers will also benefit from the non-financial remedies adopted by D.18-04-014, which foster greater transparency, accountability, and ethical conduct by PG&E. As the Commission recognized in Section 2.5 of D.18-04-014, the Commission's <i>ex parte</i> restrictions and reporting requirements promote the dual public policies of openness and due process. "Proper adherence to the rules regarding the avoidance of improper <i>ex parte</i> communications, as well as the duty to report such communications, assures the parties and the public that the decisionmakers have comported themselves in an impartial manner." (D.18-04-014, p. 13). Ratepayers clearly have a strong interest in policies that promote compliance with the Commission's rules governing <i>ex parte</i> communications. Non-compliance</p>	

<p>with these rules, such as the conduct of PG&E at issue in this OIL, undermines the integrity of the Commission's decisionmaking process and the Commission's ability to carry out its duty to protect the public interest. In contrast, ratepayers benefit when the Commission renders its decisions in an impartial manner, and where all parties (including utilities, ratepayer advocates, and other stakeholders) are given equal access to decisionmakers, as the ex parte rules require.</p> <p>For these reasons, the Commission should find that TURN's efforts have been productive.</p>	
<p>b. Reasonableness of hours claimed:</p> <p>This Request for Compensation includes slightly more than 200 hours of TURN's attorney time, spanning two and a half years of work. TURN's efforts reflected herein resulted the development of the factual stipulations that formed the record in this proceeding (given the absence of any testimony or briefs), the Settlement Agreement adopted in D.18-04-014, as well as the Commission's initiation in that decision of a second phase of this OIL.</p> <p>TURN assigned this proceeding to staff attorney Hayley Goodson, who did the vast majority of the work. Ms. Goodson benefitted from occasional input from TURN Legal Director Thomas Long and TURN General Counsel Robert Finkelstein on strategic matters and also on tax-related issues related to the remedies under negotiation. Because Mr. Long represented TURN in many of the proceedings implicated by PG&E's ex parte violations, including the Gas Transmission and Storage proceeding and the San Bruno OILs, he was also able to efficiently offer Ms. Goodson valuable background information and insights. This request for compensation includes approximately 8 hours of Mr. Long's time and 3 hours of Mr. Finkelstein's time. Ms. Goodson also consulted with TURN staff attorney Marcel Hawiger on PG&E's communications related to matters with which he had particular familiarity, as well as on ratemaking issues associated with the Gas Transmission and Storage revenue requirement component of the financial remedies. Approximately 2 hours of Mr. Hawiger's time are included in this request.</p> <p>TURN submits that the Commission should find the hours requested here to be reasonable under the circumstances, and that TURN's showing supports that conclusion. However, should the Commission believe that more information is needed or that a different approach to discussing the reasonableness of the requested hours is warranted here, TURN requests the opportunity to supplement this section of the request.</p>	
<p>c. Allocation of hours by issue:</p>	

TURN has allocated its daily time entries by activity codes to better reflect the nature of the work reflected in each entry. TURN has used the following activity codes:

Code	Description	Allocation of Time
GP	The work in this category included activities associated with general participation in this proceeding, such as the initial review of the OII, preparing preliminary pleadings, attending the PHC, reading ALJ rulings, and reading parties' pleadings as necessary to determine whether TURN should address the issues raised.	6.79%
M&C	This work was related to participating in the Meet and Confer process ordered by the ALJ and Assigned Commissioner	22.55%
Cat1	This work was specific to the "Category 1" communications, including preparation of factual stipulations, which were addressed through the Meet and Confer process	5.82%
Cat2	This work was specific to the "Category 2" communications, including discovery and preparation of factual stipulations, which were addressed through the Meet and Confer process	4.97%
Cat3	This work was specific to the "Category 3" communications, including discovery and preparation of factual stipulations, which were addressed through the Meet and Confer process	10.42%
Cat2/3	This work was specific to both the "Category 2" and "Category 3" communications, which were addressed through the Meet and Confer process	3.27%

#	The work in in this category pertained to substantive issues but was not specific to any one issue area addressed by TURN.	0.48%
Sett	This work was related to settlement negotiations	33.94%
NewCom	This work was related to the new communications revealed by PG&E when it responded to the original Proposed Decision, specifically how the Commission should address them procedurally	6.91%
PD	This work was related to the original Proposed Decision and revised Proposed Decision which preceded D.18-04-014	1.33%
Comp	Intervenor Compensation: work preparing TURN's NOI and Request for Compensation	3.52%
TOTAL		100.00%

If the Commission believes that a different approach to issue-specific allocation is warranted here, TURN requests the opportunity to supplement this section of the request.

B. Specific Claim:*

CLAIMED						CPUC AWARD		
ATTORNEY, EXPERT, AND ADVOCATE FEES								
Item	Year	Hours	Rate \$	Basis for Rate*	Total \$	Hours	Rate \$	Total \$
Robert Finkelstein, TURN General Counsel	2016	1.50	\$510	D.16-11-004	\$765.00			
Robert Finkelstein, TURN General Counsel	2017	1.25	\$520	D.17-11-032	\$650.00			
Hayley Goodson,	2015	10.50	\$355	D.16-10-036	\$3,727.50			

TURN Staff Attorney								
Hayley Goodson, TURN Staff Attorney	2016	121.75	\$380	D.17-03-022	\$46,265.00			
Hayley Goodson, TURN Staff Attorney	2017	53.25	\$405	D.18-01-020	\$21,566.25			
Hayley Goodson, TURN Staff Attorney	2018	0.75	\$435	D.18-04-020	\$326.25			
Marcel Hawiger, TURN Staff Attorney	2016	1.00	\$415	D.16-06-024	\$415.00			
Marcel Hawiger, TURN Staff Attorney	2017	0.75	\$425	D.17-11-032	\$318.75			
Thomas Long, TURN Legal Director	2015	0.75	\$570	D.16-11-004	\$427.50			
Thomas Long, TURN Legal Director	2016	2.50	\$575	D.16-11-004	\$1,437.50			
Thomas Long, TURN Legal Director	2017	5.00	\$585	D.17-11-029	\$2,925.00			
Subtotal: \$78,823.75						Subtotal: \$		
OTHER FEES								
Describe here what OTHER HOURLY FEES you are Claiming (paralegal, travel **, etc.):								
Item	Year	Hours	Rate \$	Basis for Rate*	Total \$	Hours	Rate	Total \$

Subtotal: \$0						Subtotal: \$		
INTERVENOR COMPENSATION CLAIM PREPARATION **								
Item	Year	Hours	Rate \$	Basis for Rate*	Total \$	Hours	Rate	Total \$
Hayley Goodson, TURN Staff Attorney	2016	1	\$190.00	1/2 of 2016 hourly rate; D.16-03-027	\$190.00			
Hayley Goodson, TURN Staff Attorney	2018	6.25	\$217.50	1/2 of 2018 hourly rate; D.18-04-020	\$1,359.38			
Subtotal: \$1,549.38						Subtotal: \$		
COSTS								
#	Item	Detail			Amount	Amount		
	Copies	Copies of documents related to D.18-04-014			\$15.80			
	Phone	Phone calls related to D.18-04-014			\$5.55			
	Postage	Mailing costs for filings related to D.18-04-014			\$13.83			
TOTAL REQUEST: \$80,408.31						TOTAL AWARD: \$		
<p>*We remind all intervenors that Commission staff may audit the records and books of the intervenors to the extent necessary to verify the basis for the award (§1804(d)). Intervenors must make and retain adequate accounting and other documentation to support all claims for intervenor compensation. Intervenors' records should identify specific issues for which it seeks compensation, the actual time spent by each employee or consultant, the applicable hourly rates, fees paid to consultants and any other costs for which compensation was claimed. The records pertaining to an award of compensation shall be retained for at least three years from the date of the final decision making the award.</p> <p>**Travel and Reasonable Claim preparation time are typically compensated at 1/2 of preparer's normal hourly rate</p>								
ATTORNEY INFORMATION								

Attorney	Date Admitted to CA BAR ³	Member Number	Actions Affecting Eligibility (Yes/No?) If "Yes", attach explanation
Robert Finkelstein	June 1990	146391	No
Hayley Goodson	December 2003	228535	No
Marcel Hawiger	January 1998	194244	No
Thomas Long	December 1986	124776	No

**C. Attachments Documenting Specific Claim and Comments on Part III
(Intervenor completes; attachments not attached to final Decision):**

Attachment or Comment #	Description/Comment
Attachment 1	Certificate of Service
Attachment 2	Timesheets for TURN's Attorneys
Attachment 3	TURN Direct Expenses Associated with D.18-04-014
Attachment 4	TURN Hours Allocated by Issue

D. CPUC Disallowances and Adjustments (CPUC completes):

Item	Reason

PART IV: OPPOSITIONS AND COMMENTS

Within 30 days after service of this Claim, Commission Staff or any other party may file a response to the Claim (*see* § 1804(c))

(CPUC completes the remainder of this form)

A. Opposition: Did any party oppose the Claim?	
-------------------------------------------------------	--

If so:

Party	Reason for Opposition	CPUC Discussion

³ This information may be obtained through the State Bar of California's website at <http://members.calbar.ca.gov/fal/MemberSearch/QuickSearch> .

--	--	--

B. Comment Period: Was the 30-day comment period waived (*see* Rule 14.6(c)(6))?

If not:

Party	Comment	CPUC Discussion

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Intervenor [has/has not] made a substantial contribution to D._____.
2. The requested hourly rates for Intervenor's representatives [,as adjusted herein,] are comparable to market rates paid to experts and advocates having comparable training and experience and offering similar services.
3. The claimed costs and expenses [,as adjusted herein,] are reasonable and commensurate with the work performed.
4. The total of reasonable compensation is \$_____.

CONCLUSION OF LAW

1. The Claim, with any adjustment set forth above, [satisfies/fails to satisfy] all requirements of Pub. Util. Code §§ 1801-1812.

ORDER

1. Intervenor is awarded \$_____.
2. Within 30 days of the effective date of this decision, _____ shall pay Intervenor the total award. [for multiple utilities: "Within 30 days of the effective date of this decision, ^, ^, and ^ shall pay Intervenor their respective shares of the award, based on their California-jurisdictional [industry type, for example, electric] revenues for

the ^ calendar year, to reflect the year in which the proceeding was primarily litigated.”] Payment of the award shall include compound interest at the rate earned on prime, three-month non-financial commercial paper as reported in Federal Reserve Statistical Release H.15, beginning [date], the 75th day after the filing of Intervenor’s request, and continuing until full payment is made.

3. The comment period for today’s decision [is/is not] waived.
4. This decision is effective today.

Dated _____, at San Francisco, California.

Attachment 1

Certificate of Service

(Filed electronically as a separate document pursuant to Rule 1.13(b)(iii))

(Served electronically as a separate document pursuant to Rule 1.10(c))

Attachment 2

Attorney Time Sheet Detail

Atty	Case #	Code	Description	Date	Time
BF	I15-11-015	Sett	Respond to HGoodson e-mail re: potential settlement strategies; discuss w/ TLong; further e-mails re: settlement strategies	12/2/16	1.00
BF	I15-11-015	Sett	Review materials from HGoodson; draft e-mail re: reaction to PG&E position, potential next steps	12/11/16	0.50
BF	I15-11-015	Sett	E-mail exchange w/ HGoodson and TLong re: tax deduction issue, strategies	3/3/17	0.25
BF	I15-11-015	Sett	Discuss potential settlement language, latest PG&E version thereof and appropriate counters w/ HGoodson and TLong	3/6/17	0.50
BF	I15-11-015	Sett	E-mail exchange w/ HGoodson and TLong re: tax deductibility issues, strategies	3/8/17	0.50
BF Total					2.75
HG	I15-11-015	GP	review proposed scope of OII; draft memo to TURN staff re missing issue (ex parte contact in A.09-12-020 re status of sett negotiations)	11/23/15	0.50
HG	I15-11-015	GP	begin drafting motion for party status and cmts on OII/OSC scope	11/30/15	4.00
HG	I15-11-015	GP	prep for and participate in call with counsel for San Bruno re cmts on OII	12/1/15	0.50
HG	I15-11-015	GP	continue rsch, work on cmts on OII/OSC	12/1/15	5.00
HG	I15-11-015	GP	read San Bruno's motion filed in A.13-12-012 re more PG&E ex parte violations	12/1/15	0.25
HG	I15-11-015	GP	read other parties cmts on OII	12/3/15	0.25
HG	I15-11-015	GP	read Scoping Memo and confer internally	1/8/16	0.25
HG	I15-11-015	M&C	confer with Tom in prep for meet and confer	1/21/16	0.50
HG	I15-11-015	M&C	prep for today's first meet and confer	1/25/16	0.50
HG	I15-11-015	M&C	attend telephonic meet and confer	1/25/16	0.50
HG	I15-11-015	M&C	attend meet and confer #2 at CPUC	1/27/16	2.00
HG	I15-11-015	M&C	prep for meet and confer	2/11/16	0.50
HG	I15-11-015	M&C	attend meet and confer and discuss afterwards with intervenors	2/11/16	2.50
HG	I15-11-015	M&C	review email from SED, ORA, and reply re M&C strategy	2/12/16	0.25
HG	I15-11-015	M&C	research in prep for today's call with non-PG&E parties	2/17/16	0.50
HG	I15-11-015	M&C	calls with SED, ORA, San Bruno, San Carlos re M&C issues	2/17/16	1.00
HG	I15-11-015	M&C	draft e-mail to PG&E with M&C proposal, circulate to non-PG&E parties for review, and finalize	2/17/16	1.00
HG	I15-11-015	M&C	attend meet and confer	2/18/16	2.50
HG	I15-11-015	M&C	communicate with non-PG&E parties re next steps	2/23/16	0.25
HG	I15-11-015	M&C	prep for meet and confer later today	3/1/16	2.00
HG	I15-11-015	M&C	attend meet and confer	3/1/16	2.00
HG	I15-11-015	M&C	continue work on exhibit matrix and discuss same with G. Heiden/SED	3/2/16	0.25
HG	I15-11-015	M&C	close review of docs not yet categorized by the group for discussion at tomorrow's M&C mtg	3/7/16	6.50

Atty	Case #	Code	Description	Date	Time
HG	I15-11-015	M&C	finish close review of docs for discussion at today's M&C mtg	3/8/16	1.00
HG	I15-11-015	M&C	attend M&C, discuss afterward with non-PG&E parties	3/8/16	3.00
HG	I15-11-015	M&C	call with non-PG&E parties to discuss discovery needs	3/10/16	2.00
HG	I15-11-015	M&C	follow-up tasks from call, distribute proposal to non-PG&E parties	3/10/16	0.25
HG	I15-11-015	M&C	finalize deliverable due to PG&E today	3/11/16	1.00
HG	I15-11-015	M&C	attend meet and confer	3/15/16	2.00
HG	I15-11-015	M&C	call with PG&E, follow-up with non-PG&E parties, re request for another continuance	3/17/16	0.50
HG	I15-11-015	M&C	review PG&E draft email to ALJ requesting continuance; confer with non-PG&E parties re same	3/17/16	0.25
HG	I15-11-015	M&C	review draft M&C process report from PG&E; confer with non-PG&E parties re next steps in M&C process	3/21/16	0.25
HG	I15-11-015	M&C	call with non-PG&E parties re discovery	3/22/16	0.25
HG	I15-11-015	Cat2	review draft DRs provided by SED/ORA and supplement; draft memo to non-PG&E parties re same	3/24/16	1.25
HG	I15-11-015	Cat2	draft additional DRs for Bucket 2a emails and draft memo to other non-PG&E parties re same	3/25/16	1.00
HG	I15-11-015	M&C	attend M&C	4/7/16	2.00
HG	I15-11-015	M&C	draft summary of 4/7/16 M&C and next steps to parties who were not in attendance	4/10/16	1.25
HG	I15-11-015	Cat2	draft changes to Category 2 DR to circulate to non-PG&E parties	4/11/16	0.50
HG	I15-11-015	Cat2	confer with non-PG&E parties re DR changes	4/12/16	0.75
HG	I15-11-015	Cat2	review Category 2 DR in response to today's M&C	4/13/16	0.50
HG	I15-11-015	M&C	prep for today's M&C (review docs from PG&E)	4/13/16	0.50
HG	I15-11-015	M&C	attend M&C	4/13/16	1.00
HG	I15-11-015	M&C	meet with non-PG&E parties after M&C	4/13/16	0.75
HG	I15-11-015	M&C	review PG&E's draft M&C report, discovery instruction redlines, revised protocols and participants list, and provide edits to PG&E	4/15/16	1.50
HG	I15-11-015	M&C	conference call with all parties re M&C progress report preparation	4/15/16	0.50
HG	I15-11-015	M&C	final review of M&C draft process report docs, provide final DR to PG&E	4/18/16	1.25
HG	I15-11-015	GP	prep for PHC (in case argument necessary)	4/20/16	1.00
HG	I15-11-015	GP	attend PHC	4/20/16	0.50
HG	I15-11-015	Cat3	review SED's draft brief on including Cat 3 communications, provide edits	5/18/16	0.50
HG	I15-11-015	Comp	draft NOI	5/19/16	1.00
HG	I15-11-015	GP	read ruling revising scoping memo	7/12/16	0.25
HG	I15-11-015	GP	call with all parties re revised Scoping Memo, discovery on Category 3 emails, other scheduling issues	7/19/16	0.50
HG	I15-11-015	GP	follow-up call with non-PG&E parties	7/19/16	0.25

Atty	Case #	Code	Description	Date	Time
HG	I15-11-015	Cat3	review SED notes on Cat 3 emails; rsch, provide additional thoughts about discovery	7/20/16	1.50
HG	I15-11-015	Cat3	continue reviewing Category 3 emails analyze discovery needs, and draft DR	7/21/16	2.00
HG	I15-11-015	Cat3	call with Greg Heiden/SED re discovery on cat 3 emails	7/25/16	0.25
HG	I15-11-015	Cat3	work on data requests	7/27/16	0.75
HG	I15-11-015	M&C	status call with PG&E and other parties re status of Cat1 stip review, Cat2 discovery, and Cat3 review	8/2/16	0.25
HG	I15-11-015	Cat1	rsch, review Cat1 stips, confer with N. Sher/ORa	8/5/16	0.75
HG	I15-11-015	Cat1	review SED notes on PG&E proposed Cat1 stips	8/8/16	0.25
HG	I15-11-015	Cat1	more work on reviewing, editing Cat 1 stips	8/9/16	5.50
HG	I15-11-015	Cat1	confer with N. Sher/ORa re Cat 1 stips	8/9/16	1.00
HG	I15-11-015	Cat1	finalize edits to Cat 1 stips and send to PG&E	8/10/16	0.50
HG	I15-11-015	Cat1	review PG&E response re our edits to Cat 1 stips, rsch and draft email re same to non-PG&E parties	8/23/16	0.75
HG	I15-11-015	Cat1	rsch for call with non-PG&E parties today on Cat1 stips	8/25/16	1.50
HG	I15-11-015	Cat1	call with non-PG&E parties re Cat1 stips	8/25/16	0.50
HG	I15-11-015	Cat1	rsch, draft response to PG&E re exclusion of alleged proceedings from Cat 1 stips; confer with non-PG&E parties re draft email	8/29/16	0.75
HG	I15-11-015	Cat1	get back to PG&E re: Cat 1 stip disputed issues	8/30/16	0.25
HG	I15-11-015	Cat1	review PG&E docs to file Friday re Cat 1 stips	8/31/16	0.25
HG	I15-11-015	Cat3	begin review of Cat3 DR responses	9/21/16	0.25
HG	I15-11-015	M&C	confer with ORa, SED re next steps now that we have all DR responses	9/23/16	0.25
HG	I15-11-015	Cat3	further review of Cat3 DR responses, note re disposition of communications	9/28/16	5.50
HG	I15-11-015	Cat3	call with non-PG&E parties re Cat3 DR responses, next steps	9/28/16	0.75
HG	I15-11-015	Cat3	confer with Marcel on briefing certain Cat3 issues	9/29/16	0.25
HG	I15-11-015	Cat3	confer with non-PG&E parties re next M&C; draft email to PG&E re same	9/29/16	0.25
HG	I15-11-015	Cat3	further analysis of Cat3 DR responses	10/3/16	4.50
HG	I15-11-015	M&C	meet and confer with all parties re next steps re Cat2, Cat3, briefing, and sett discussions	10/3/16	2.00
HG	I15-11-015	Cat3	finish notes on Cat3 communications; draft email to ORa, SED re same	10/4/16	0.25
HG	I15-11-015	Cat3	call with Non-PG&E parties re Cat3 DR responses, next steps	10/4/16	1.00
HG	I15-11-015	Cat3	rsch, draft follow-up DRs on Cat3 communications	10/4/16	2.00
HG	I15-11-015	Cat3	confer with Marcel, and edit follow-up questions on Cat3 communications; share same with non-PG&E parties	10/5/16	0.75
HG	I15-11-015	Cat2	rsch re Cat 2 DR responses, SED follow-up ideas	10/7/16	0.50
HG	I15-11-015	Cat2	further review of Cat2 DR responses, rsch, draft follow-up questions in prep for tomorrow's mtg with PG&E	10/12/16	5.75
HG	I15-11-015	M&C	review status report docs PG&E will file today	10/14/16	0.25

Atty	Case #	Code	Description	Date	Time
HG	I15-11-015	Sett	develop ideas for settlement admissions and remedies in prep for mtg today with other non-PG&E parties	11/1/16	1.00
HG	I15-11-015	Sett	attend mtg with non-PG&E parties to discuss sett issues	11/1/16	2.00
HG	I15-11-015	Cat2/3	confer with non-PG&E parties re review of PG&E proposed Cat 2 & 3 stips	11/15/16	0.25
HG	I15-11-015	Cat2/3	review edits from ORA, SED, and conduct my own review of Cat 2 & 3 stips; provide same to non-PG&E parties for review	11/16/16	5.75
HG	I15-11-015	Cat2/3	with input from other non-PG&E parties, finalize our cmts on Cat 2 & 3 stips and send to PG&E	11/17/16	0.25
HG	I15-11-015	Cat2/3	review PG&E draft pleading and edits to draft Stips; correspond with PG&E re same	11/18/16	0.50
HG	I15-11-015	Sett	mtg with Tom re potential sett remedies	11/28/16	1.50
HG	I15-11-015	Sett	draft proposed sett term concepts for non-PG&E parties' consideration	11/29/16	1.75
HG	I15-11-015	Sett	attend sett conf at CPUC	11/30/16	2.00
HG	I15-11-015	Sett	draft follow-up email to non-PG&E parties about preparation of counter offer	11/30/16	0.25
HG	I15-11-015	Sett	rsch for specific remedies elements of counter proposal assigned to me	11/30/16	1.00
HG	I15-11-015	Sett	continue work on counter offer	12/1/16	3.25
HG	I15-11-015	Sett	further work on my sections of counter offer, and confer with other non-PG&E sett parties re same	12/2/16	2.00
HG	I15-11-015	Sett	review proposed remedies from other non-PG&E parties, confer with Tom, Bob re same, and discuss with N. Skinner/ORA	12/2/16	1.00
HG	I15-11-015	Sett	discuss counteroffer with non-PG&E parties; and draft proposed document for parties' consideration	12/5/16	1.25
HG	I15-11-015	Sett	attend sett conf at CPUC	12/6/16	2.00
HG	I15-11-015	Sett	review PG&E counter, confer internally	12/9/16	0.25
HG	I15-11-015	Sett	call with non-PG&E parties to discuss PG&E's counter, prep for mtg today	12/12/16	0.75
HG	I15-11-015	Sett	settlement meeting with PG&E and all parties	12/12/16	1.50
HG	I15-11-015	Sett	sett call with all parties	12/15/16	0.50
HG	I15-11-015	Sett	follow-up sett call with non-PG&E parties	12/15/16	1.00
HG	I15-11-015	Sett	review draft proposal from other non-PG&E parties in prep for call with all non-PG&E parties	12/16/16	0.25
HG	I15-11-015	Sett	call with non-PG&E parties to discuss next move	12/16/16	0.50
HG	I15-11-015	Sett	review proposal for next counter to PG&E, propose modifications to non-PG&E parties	12/19/16	1.00
HG	I15-11-015	Sett	review PG&E inquiry from last counter, and discuss same and response with other non-PG&E parties	1/4/17	0.25
HG	I15-11-015	M&C	review email from PG&E & related docs re moving documents into the record	1/9/17	0.50

Atty	Case #	Code	Description	Date	Time
HG	I15-11-015	Sett	reach out to SED, ORA re need for extension of briefing schedule in light of timing of PG&E's responses re settlement, and next steps; draft email to PG&E re same for review by group	1/9/17	0.75
HG	I15-11-015	Sett	contact PG&E re delay in briefing schedule; confer with SED re drafting motion for extension	1/10/17	0.25
HG	I15-11-015	M&C	review PG&E draft status report, propose edits re same	1/12/17	0.25
HG	I15-11-015	Sett	confer with PG&E, non-PG&E parties re continued sett neg	1/17/17	0.50
HG	I15-11-015	Sett	call with non-PG&E parties re sett strategy	1/19/17	0.50
HG	I15-11-015	Sett	draft email to PG&E on behalf of non-PG&E parties, and confer with same	1/19/17	0.25
HG	I15-11-015	#	mtg with ORA, SED on drafting a joint brief should the proceeding not settle, and strategy for briefing	1/25/17	1.00
HG	I15-11-015	Sett	attend sett conference at CPUC; follow-up meeting with non-PG&E parties	1/31/17	2.50
HG	I15-11-015	Sett	communicate with non-PG&E parties re: tomorrow's sett conference with PG&E	2/2/17	0.25
HG	I15-11-015	Sett	sett conference via phone with all parties	2/3/17	0.75
HG	I15-11-015	Sett	confer with Tom re settlement negotiations	2/13/17	0.50
HG	I15-11-015	Sett	call with Sean Coyle/PG&E re delays in settlement neg; draft memo re same to other non-PG&E parties	2/14/17	0.50
HG	I15-11-015	Sett	sett conference via phone with all parties and separately confer with non-PG&E parties	2/22/17	1.00
HG	I15-11-015	Sett	sett conference (via phone with all parties, in-person mtg with ORA, SED)	2/24/17	1.00
HG	I15-11-015	Sett	confer with Tom re settlement negotiations	2/27/17	0.25
HG	I15-11-015	Sett	call with non-PG&E parties re settlement negotiations	2/27/17	0.75
HG	I15-11-015	Sett	call with all parties	2/27/17	1.25
HG	I15-11-015	Sett	all party sett conference at CPUC	3/2/17	3.25
HG	I15-11-015	M&C	review PG&E's proposal for submitting the record, and respond with TURN's position	3/5/17	0.50
HG	I15-11-015	Sett	meeting with Tom, Bob to discuss tax issues related to financial penalties	3/6/17	0.50
HG	I15-11-015	Sett	review proposed redlines from other Non-PG&E parties, draft further proposed changes, and confer with Non-PG&E parties to finalize proposed term sheet modifications	3/7/17	2.75
HG	I15-11-015	Sett	begin review of PG&E's draft mot/sett	3/8/17	1.00
HG	I15-11-015	Sett	attend settlement mtg	3/8/17	2.50
HG	I15-11-015	Sett	confer internally re status of sett negotiations	3/8/17	0.50
HG	I15-11-015	Sett	review PG&E draft motn/time and motn/sett	3/9/17	0.25
HG	I15-11-015	Sett	draft counter language, and confer internally and with other non-PG&E parties re same, in response to PG&E's latest counter	3/9/17	0.75
HG	I15-11-015	Sett	call with all parties	3/9/17	0.50
HG	I15-11-015	Sett	continue reviewing, editing PG&E draft motn/sett	3/10/17	1.00
HG	I15-11-015	Sett	confer internally re next steps in negotiations	3/10/17	0.25

Atty	Case #	Code	Description	Date	Time
HG	I15-11-015	Sett	call with all parties	3/10/17	1.00
HG	I15-11-015	Sett	follow-up calls with non-PG&E parties	3/10/17	0.25
HG	I15-11-015	Sett	call with B. Strottman/San Bruno	3/13/17	0.25
HG	I15-11-015	Sett	confer with Tom on latest negotiations, next steps	3/14/17	0.75
HG	I15-11-015	Sett	draft language in response to PG&E's latest counter, and circulate to other non-PG&E parties	3/14/17	0.25
HG	I15-11-015	Sett	sett call with all parties	3/14/17	0.25
HG	I15-11-015	Sett	sett call with Non-PG&E parties	3/14/17	0.50
HG	I15-11-015	Sett	follow-up emails with Non-PG&E parties about terms of counter	3/14/17	0.25
HG	I15-11-015	Sett	sett call with all parties	3/15/17	0.75
HG	I15-11-015	Sett	finish review of, edits to, PG&E's draft joint motn re sett	3/16/17	3.00
HG	I15-11-015	Sett	review docs drafted by PG&E re schedule, sett conf, cmt period, and final redline version of term sheet	3/20/17	0.25
HG	I15-11-015	Sett	call with settling parties re motion/sett edits, and next steps	3/20/17	0.50
HG	I15-11-015	Sett	review new edits to motions, draft communication with ALJ, and correspond with sett parties	3/21/17	0.25
HG	I15-11-015	Sett	review ORA edits to sett agreement, draft response to all parties; confer with same re near-final versions of documents	3/24/17	0.50
HG	I15-11-015	Sett	settlement conference	3/27/17	0.75
HG	I15-11-015	Sett	review Shell response to proposed settlement, communicate with Tom about covering call today with settling parties in my absence, and read Tom's memo about the call	4/4/17	0.50
HG	I15-11-015	Sett	confer with Marcel about RRQ reduction allocation issue raised in Shell's motion	4/10/17	0.25
HG	I15-11-015	Sett	draft email to PG&E asking for data re GT&S allocation methodologies to inform assessment of PG&E's draft response to Shell motion	4/11/17	0.25
HG	I15-11-015	Sett	review data from PG&E re allocation, and confer with Marcel re same	4/12/17	0.25
HG	I15-11-015	Sett	review, edit PG&E proposed response to Shell motion	4/12/17	0.50
HG	I15-11-015	PD	begin review of PD	9/1/17	0.50
HG	I15-11-015	PD	call with non-PG&E parties re PD	9/7/17	0.50
HG	I15-11-015	PD	call with PG&E atty re PG&E's plan for responding to PD's motion requirement	9/12/17	0.25
HG	I15-11-015	PD	review PG&E draft mot'n in response to PD, email correspondence among sett parties re same	9/20/17	0.50
HG	I15-11-015	NewCom	read PG&E mtn accepting increased fine and disclosing additional communications	9/21/17	0.50
HG	I15-11-015	PD	call with N. Sher/ORR re call with PG&E I missed earlier this week	9/21/17	0.25
HG	I15-11-015	NewCom	analyze new communications vis-a-vis communications in record and discovery protocol	9/22/17	1.00
HG	I15-11-015	NewCom	call with Tom re tomorrow's sett mtg re new emails just released by PG&E	9/25/17	0.25

Atty	Case #	Code	Description	Date	Time
HG	I15-11-015	NewCom	confer further with Tom re process for addressing new communications	9/26/17	0.25
HG	I15-11-015	NewCom	meet w/ non-PG&E partes re new communications	9/26/17	0.75
HG	I15-11-015	NewCom	meet with PG&E and parties to the settlement re new communications	9/26/17	1.50
HG	I15-11-015	NewCom	call with N. Sher/ORa re new communications	9/27/17	0.75
HG	I15-11-015	NewCom	call with K. Wilkinson/PG&E re new communications, process for proceeding	9/27/17	0.50
HG	I15-11-015	NewCom	calls with N. Sher/ORa, B. Strottman/SB re process for proceeding	9/27/17	0.50
HG	I15-11-015	NewCom	call with PG&E and parties re next steps	9/28/17	0.50
HG	I15-11-015	NewCom	call with non-PG&E parties re next steps	9/28/17	0.75
HG	I15-11-015	NewCom	review PG&E's draft status report due today; provide edits	10/2/17	0.25
HG	I15-11-015	NewCom	call with ORa, SED; communicate with cities; in prep for call with PG&E re next steps	10/11/17	0.25
HG	I15-11-015	NewCom	confer with Non-PG&E parties about today's call with PG&E	10/13/17	0.50
HG	I15-11-015	NewCom	call with all parties re next steps	10/13/17	0.50
HG	I15-11-015	NewCom	call with G. Heiden/SED re next steps	10/30/17	0.25
HG	I15-11-015	NewCom	call with G. Heiden/SED re yesterday's call with PG&E	10/31/17	0.25
HG	I15-11-015	NewCom	talk with B. Strottman/SB re next steps	10/31/17	0.25
HG	I15-11-015	NewCom	draft response to PG&E mtn with new disclosures, confer with SED/ORa re same	10/31/17	3.50
HG	I15-11-015	NewCom	review ORa edits to motn response	11/1/17	0.25
HG	I15-11-015	PD	review revised PD, communication from other Non-PG&E parties	4/4/18	0.25
HG	I15-11-015	PD	further review of revised PD	4/10/18	0.25
HG	I15-11-015	PD	call with Non-PG&E parties re Rev PD	4/10/18	0.25
HG	I15-11-015	Comp	work on comp request	5/25/18	3.50
HG	I15-11-015	Comp	work on comp request	5/31/18	2.75
HG Total					193.50
MH	I15-11-015	Cat3	Consult with Hayley re discovery responses concerning Florio tour of gas operations facility	9/29/16	0.25
MH	I15-11-015	Cat3	Research re ex parte on smart meter proceeding; write email memo to Hayley	10/5/16	0.25
MH	I15-11-015	Cat3	Review/edit Hayley's supplemental questions re Tabs 3-17 and 3-19 (related to site tours); mtg w/ Hayley	10/5/16	0.50
MH	I15-11-015	Sett	Write email to HG re ratemaking/allocation of GT&S penalty for settlement	3/9/17	0.25
MH	I15-11-015	Sett	Mtg w/ Hayley; Prepare question re cost allocation re settlement terms	4/10/17	0.50
MH Total					1.75
TL	I15-11-015	GP	Initial review of PGE sanctions OII	11/23/15	0.25
TL	I15-11-015	GP	Discuss OSC procedure with HG (0.25) and rev/edit her draft cmts in response to OII	12/1/15	0.50
TL	I15-11-015	M&C	Discuss w/HG strategy, issues for meet and confer	1/21/16	0.50

Atty	Case #	Code	Description	Date	Time
TL	I15-11-015	Sett	Discuss strategy re penalties, remedies and settlement w/Hayley	11/28/16	1.50
TL	I15-11-015	Sett	Rev ORA draft counter-offer and discuss suggested changes w/ Hayley	12/2/16	0.50
TL	I15-11-015	Sett	Meet w/HG re negotiation strategy/need for motion to extend schedule	2/13/17	0.50
TL	I15-11-015	Sett	Discuss final stlmt strategy w/HG	2/27/17	0.25
TL	I15-11-015	Sett	Prep email to HG re tax deduction issue re GT&S rate offset	3/1/17	0.25
TL	I15-11-015	Sett	Email to HG re her question re tax deduction issues in settlement	3/3/17	0.25
TL	I15-11-015	Sett	Discuss w/HG, BF strategy re tax issue in negotiations	3/6/17	0.50
TL	I15-11-015	Sett	Rev and respond to HG email re changes to stlmt re tax issues	3/8/17	0.50
TL	I15-11-015	Sett	Rev and edit PGE edits to stlmt agrmt re tax issues	3/9/17	0.50
TL	I15-11-015	Sett	Discuss negotiating strategy re latest developments w/HG	3/10/17	0.25
TL	I15-11-015	Sett	Conf call w/parties re Shell motion (0.5) and review pleadings to prep for same (0.25); email to HG re results of conf call (0.25)	4/4/17	1.00
TL	I15-11-015	NewCom	Rev PGE motion revealing more ex parte violation emails and prep email to HG re my initial reaction	9/22/17	0.50
TL	I15-11-015	NewCom	Discuss issues re PGE new ex parte revelations w/HG and potential TURN strategy	9/25/17	0.25
TL	I15-11-015	NewCom	Further discussion w/HG re options and strategy	9/26/17	0.25
TL Total					8.25
Grand Total					206.25

Attachment 3

Expense Detail

TURN Expenses in I.15-11-015 Associated with D.18-04-014

Date	Case	Task	Description	Amount
12/3/15	I15-11-015	\$Copies	Copy Of Motion of TURN For Party Status To Send To ALJ and Service List recipients without email addresses - 19 pages at \$0.10 per page	\$1.90
12/3/15	I15-11-015	\$Copies	Copy of Comments of TURN on the preliminary determination of need for evidentiary hearings, issues, and schedule in this proceeding to send to the ALJ, and Service List Recipients without emails - 95 pages at \$0.10 per page	\$9.50
5/20/16	I15-11-015	\$Copies	Copy of NOI to send to the ALJ and Service List recipients without email addresses - 44 pages at \$0.10 per page	\$4.40
	\$Copies Total			\$15.80
5/31/16	I15-11-015	\$Phone	5/31/2016 Phone Bill	\$0.98
8/31/16	I15-11-015	\$Phone	8/31/2016 Phone Bill	\$2.32
12/31/16	I15-11-015	\$Phone	12/31/2016 Phone Bill	\$2.25
	\$Phone Total			\$5.55
12/3/15	I15-11-015	\$Postage	Postage To Mail Motion of TURN For Party Status To ALJ and Service List recipients without email addresses	\$3.82
12/3/15	I15-11-015	\$Postage	Postage to mail Comments of TURN on the preliminary determination of need for evidentiary hearings, issues, and schedule in this proceeding to the ALJ, and 17 Service List Recipients without emails	\$4.26
5/20/16	I15-11-015	\$Postage	Postage to mail NOI to the ALJ and Service List recipients without email addresses	\$5.75
	\$Postage Total			\$13.83
	Grand Total			\$35.18

Attachment 4

TURN Hours Allocated by Issue

I.15-11-015: Allocation of TURN's Time Associated with D.18-04-014 by Activity Code

	Activity Code (hours)											
Advocate	GP	M&C	Cat1	Cat2	Cat3	Cat2/3	#	Sett	NewCom	PD	Comp	Total
Robert Finkelstein, TURN General Counsel								2.75				2.75
Hayley Goodson, TURN Attorney	13.25	46.00	12.00	10.25	20.50	6.75	1.00	60.50	13.25	2.75	7.25	193.50
Marcel Hawiger, TURN Attorney					1.00			0.75				1.75
Thomas Long, TURN Legal Director	0.75	0.50						6.00	1.00			8.25
Total Hours	14.00	46.50	12.00	10.25	21.50	6.75	1.00	70.00	14.25	2.75	7.25	206.25
% of Grand Total Hours	6.8%	22.5%	5.8%	5.0%	10.4%	3.3%	0.5%	33.9%	6.9%	1.3%	3.5%	100.00%