BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION







ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE ROBERT MASON, presiding

Order Instituting Investigation and Ordering Pacific Gas and Electric Company to Appear and Show Cause Why It Should not be Sanctioned for Violations of Article 8 and Rule 1.1 Of the Rules of Practice and Procedure and Public Utilities Code Sections 1701.2 and 1701.3.

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT San Francisco, California March 15, 2019 Pages 27 - 41 PHC - 2

Reported by: Doris Huaman, CSR No. 10538

1	SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA
2	MARCH 15, 2019 - 9:32 A.M.
3	* * * *
4	ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE MASON: We are
5	on the record.
6	Good morning, ladies and gentlemen.
7	This is the prehearing conference in
8	Investigation 15-11-015 Order Instituting
9	Investigation and ordering Pacific Gas &
10	Electric Company to appear and show cause why
11	it should not be sanctioned for violations of
12	Article 8 and Rule 1.1 of the Rules of
13	Practice and Procedure and Public Utilities
14	Code Sections 1701.2 and 1701.3.
15	My name is Robert Mason. I am the
16	assigned administrative law judge in this
17	proceeding, and I will begin by taking
18	appearances from the parties starting from my
19	far left.
20	MR. COYLE: Sean Coyle on behalf of
21	Pacific Gas & Electric Company, your Honor.
22	MS. STROTTMAN: Britt Strottman on
23	behalf of the City of San Bruno. And Mr.
24	Rubens could not be here today, so he asked
25	me to appear on behalf of the City of San
26	Carlos.
27	ALJ MASON: Thank you.
28	MR. FOSS: Travis Foss for the Safety

and Enforcement Division. Greg Heiden is the 1 assigned attorney, but he asked me to be here 2 3 because he couldn't be here today. MS. GOODSON: Hayley Goodson on behalf 4 5 of TURN. Nicholas Sher on behalf of 6 MR. SHER: 7 the Public Advocates Office. Thank you. 8 ALJ MASON: 9 Mr. Frank, I see you sitting at the 10 front table. Do you intend to participate in 11 today's prehearing conference? 12 MR. FRANK: Only if Mr. Coyle needs me. 13 Why don't you enter an ALJ MASON: 14 appearance. Then if you need to speak, we'll 15 have you speak, but just for recordkeeping, 16 why don't you make your appearance also. 17 MR. FRANK: Thank you. Yeah. My name 18 is Steve Frank. I'm in-house attorney at Just to clarify our roles, Mr. Coyle, 19 PG&E. 20 sitting to my right, is with the Coblentz 21 Firm, and he's been with us since the onset 22 of this investigation. So he'll be playing 23 the speaking role today for PG&E. 24 ALJ MASON: Thank you very much, Mr. 25 Frank, and for the clarification. 26 This is a prehearing conference 27 where we will identify the parties, confirm 28 the accuracy of the service list, discuss

categorization of the proceeding, identify and discuss the issues, identify and discuss the scheduling and any scheduling issues, and then as a wrap-up, if there are any other things that we -- I haven't identified as being part of the schedule this morning, I'll open it up to the parties to address before we conclude this morning's prehearing conference.

So the appearances have been entered this morning for those appearing at the prehearing conference. And I have a copy of the service list. So I would suggest that the parties make sure that they are on the service list and that they are properly identified. And if there are any issues with that, please let the administrative law judge division process department know so any corrections to the service list can be made.

Since we are dealing with an Order Instituting Investigation, this is an adjudicatory proceeding. That's how this proceeding is categorized. And as a result of that, there are no ex-party communications permitted during the course of this proceeding.

Now I want to get into the issues. I appreciate the parties submitting their

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

joint prehearing conference statement, and I 1 2 was intrigued by some of the statements in 3 When the issue of additional here. communications came to light in September of 4 5 2017, it was my understanding that we were 6 dealing with just a subset of communications, possibly 20 -- in the 20-to-22 range and that 7 the issue was going to be whether or not 8 9 these communications, if any of them, 10 constituted ex-party communications that were 11 in violation of our Rules of Practice and 12 Procedure. 13

But in looking at the joint prehearing conference statement, one of the things that struck me is that Cal Advocates and SED have engaged in extensive discovery from PG&E concerning and related to the emails disclosed in September of 2017. I'm curious about what is the discovery that's going on and is the -- do we have any discovery disputes? Or is discovery close to being completed?

I'll hear from Mr. Sher first.

MR. SHER: Good morning, your Honor. The discovery as of now is completed. The discovery was based on the release of those 20, 21 e-mails you just mentioned. And we, PG&E and the other parties, have met, and

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 based on that and those emails, we had other 2 questions for PG&E. They did quite a large 3 data research and produced, I think, about 3,000 additional -- 3,000 pages or 3,000 4 5 emails -- I'm forgetting which -- which we 6 have gone through and we are in the process, 7 as stated in the prehearing conference, of 8 trying to settle the issue. 9 ALJ MASON: What triggered that 10 discovery request? 11 MR. SHER: It was the additional emails 12 provided by PG&E to the Commission with 13 regards to the Political Fair Practices 14 Commission. 15 ALJ MASON: No. I understand that. Т

ALJ MASON: No. I understand that. I guess I'm not being clear with the question. So the emails were disclosed, and we all saw the emails. And as a result of that, when I wrote the decision, it was -- we were going to -- after I heard from the parties, we decided, okay, we're going to approve the settlement with modifications, and then we'll have a subsequent phase to deal with these newly discovery emails.

I guess the emails themselves -- or the communications themselves gave cause for Public Advocates, SED and TURN to want to conduct some additional discovery to PG&E.

1 MR. SHER: That is correct, your Honor. 2 All right. Let me hear ALJ MASON: 3 from you, Mr. Coyle. There was either 3,000 pages or 3,000 additional emails were 4 5 produced. Do you know which it is? Emails, your Honor. 6 That's MR. COYLE: not an exact number, but that's an 7 8 approximation. We'll just deal with that 9 ALJ MASON: 10 as the ballpark. 11 Talk to me about the parties' 12 progress towards at least trying to get to 13 some kind of a stipulated factual record. 14 Let me hear from you, Mr. Coyle. Are we 15 looking at putting all 3,000 e-mails as part 16 of the stipulated factual record? Are we 17 talking about some kind of a subset? 18 trying to get a sense -- in the event that 19 there's going to be briefing or if there's 20 going to be an evidentiary hearing, I'm 21 trying to get a sense of the universe of 22 documents I'm going to be asked to look at 23 and weigh to make an ultimate determination. 24 MR. COYLE: So we haven't agreed 25 precisely on the record, but we did meet on 26 Monday with all parties, with the exception 27 of Shell who hasn't been participating on the 28 And we have agreed to -- compared to merits.

that 3,000 number, what I would consider a 1 small subset of the 3,000, I don't have an 2 3 exact number for you -- but I think we were all talking about something on the order of 4 5 about a hundred. And the idea is that subset 6 of -- and I'll call it, just for purposes of discussion, something like a hundred emails 7 8 is what the parties are discussing as a 9 potential proposed joint evidentiary record for resolution of the case. And what we 10 11 envision doing between now and hopefully the 12 submission of a proposed record -- and I 13 think we're -- without speaking for everyone, 14 I think we're all optimistic that we can do 15 We went through that exercise together 16 as a group in Phase 1 and had success with 17 that. So I think we can do that again here. 18 What we would do is we would decide 19 and discuss among one another which ones were 20 appropriate for inclusion and recommendation 21 to the Commission, which ones were not and 22 then come up with a final set and add 23 stipulations to those to resolve what would 24 otherwise be potentially disputable facts so 25 that we have one proposed joint evidentiary record that we would submit to the Commission 26 27 as the proposed record of the case that 28 resolves all issues of material fact.

1	I'll just add one nuance to that.
2	In addition to emails, there may be some
3	related materials that would be part of the
4	record. That would be contracting materials.
5	I don't know if the other parties are
6	interested in any of PG&E's written discovery
7	responses being part of the record, but
8	that's the kind of material that we're
9	talking about as part of a joint evidentiary
10	record.
11	ALJ MASON: Counsel for Cities of San
12	Bruno and San Carlos, Ms. Strottman. Do you
13	agree with what Mr. Coyle said? Or do you
14	have anything you'd like to add?
15	MS. STROTTMAN: No, I agree with what
16	Mr. Coyle said.
17	ALJ MASON: Mr. Foss.
18	MR. FOSS: Nothing further to add.
19	ALJ MASON: Ms. Goodson.
20	MS. GOODSON: Nothing further to add.
21	ALJ MASON: And Mr. Sher.
22	MR. SHER: Nothing further, your Honor.
23	ALJ MASON: Thank you. So we're in
24	agreement there. So I will move onto the
25	issue of scheduling. The scenario that you
26	proposed under A, that by May the 15th
27	parties if an agreement is reached on the
28	evidentiary record by May the 15th, 2019,

parties to submit a proposed joint evidentiary record. Then June 14th, 2019, parties to submit opening briefs. Then July 19, 2019, parties to submit replies to opening briefs.

On the surface of things, that schedule seems fine to me. I'll confer with the assigned Commissioner of the proceeding to see if there are any issues with that. I'll certainly let the parties know if this schedule is going to be confirmed or modified.

Then we have Scenario B in the event that the parties do not reach an agreement on an evidentiary record. If the parties fail, then the parties would alert the Commission by filing a motion no later than April 26, 2019 requesting dates for testimony and evidentiary hearings. But if the parties have not filed a motion requesting dates for evidentiary hearing by April 26, that's going to mean the parties have successfully reached an agreement.

I would tweak that April 26, 2019 deadline to be -- that's going to be your status report deadline to me. You're either going to let me know you have reached an agreement or that you haven't. That way I'm

not wondering whether or not an email got misdirected and I'm operating on one plane and you're operating on other plane.

So April 26, 2019, that will be for the parties to file a joint status report either advising me that you've been able to reach an agreement or that you haven't been able to reach an agreement. And we're going to be moving forward with the evidentiary record schedule assuming that the Commissioner is in agreement that that is the one that has been adopted. So that would be the only tweak I would make in terms of the scheduling.

Does anyone want to be heard on that tweak?

MR. FOSS: I'll just make a comment, your Honor. Does it have to be a motion or, like, does it have to be official -- does it have to be an officially filed document, or can it just be an email?

ALJ MASON: It could be just a joint status report like you've done before. It can just be a one-page document. I do want something in the file to reflect it because the proceeding has been around for a while now, just because of the advent of new information being discovered and my wanting

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

to give the parties sufficient time to reach 1 2 what I thought was going to be an agreement 3 to dealing with the small universe of documents, but that expectation didn't come 4 5 to fruition. And we're dealing with a much 6 larger universe of documents that I 7 anticipated before coming into the hearing 8 today. So I will want something formal -- it 9 just has to be a page or two -- just letting 10 me know what the status is. 11 MR. FOSS: Thank you. ALJ MASON: Ms. Goodson, you wanted to 12 13 speak. 14

MS. GOODSON: Yes. Thank you, your Honor. The parties were anticipating that on April 26 when we would notify the Commission if we had not been successful in coming to an agreement on the evidentiary record that we would at that time request dates for testimony in hearings and we would propose dates for your Honor's consideration.

We understand that the hearing room availability is not something that we can anticipate in advance. So my question for you, if -- given your preference for a status report that gives you the thumbs up or thumbs down, is in that report would you like us to propose dates for testimony in hearings and

then briefing certain number of weeks 1 2 following hearings in the event wherein what 3 we call Scenario B? Or would you like to try to develop a Scenario B schedule now that has 4 5 testimony, hearings and briefing so that the 6 status report is more just a toggle? 7 ALJ MASON: No. I would prefer the 8 If you're going to submit a status 9 report on April 26 and you have not reached a 10 settlement, then I would like some proposed 11 hearing dates and a briefing schedule, 12 because then, at that point, I'm going to 13 have to look at my schedule. I'll have to 14 talk to the court reporters. I'll have to 15 see what we have in terms of availability. 16 And what you may be proposing as a schedule 17 may not work. But give me dates anyway. 18 then I'll meet with our court reporters, and 19 then we'll see what we can do. 20 MS. GOODSON: Thank you, your Honor. 21 Sure. All right. ALJ MASON: I've 22 gone over the points that I wanted to raise 23 with the parties this morning. 24 Are there any other issues any of 25 the parties wish to bring to my attention at 26 this point? 27 Mr. Sher? 28 MR. SHER: No, your Honor.

```
ALJ MASON: Ms. Goodson?
 1
 2
           MS. GOODSON: No, your Honor.
 3
           ALJ MASON:
                      Mr. Foss?
           MR. FOSS: That's it, your Honor.
 4
                       Ms. Strottman?
 5
           ALJ MASON:
           MS. STROTTMAN:
                           No. Thank you.
 6
 7
           ALJ MASON: Mr. Coyle?
 8
           MR. COYLE: No, your Honor.
 9
           ALJ MASON: In that case, thank you
10
     very much.
11
               Ladies and gentlemen, this
12
     prehearing conference is concluded.
13
               (Whereupon, at the hour of 9:48
           a.m., the Commission then adjourned.)
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
```

1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
2	OF THE
3	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
4	
5	
6	CERTIFICATION OF TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDING
7	I, DORIS HUAMAN, CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER
8	NO. 10538, IN AND FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, DO
9	HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE PAGES OF THIS TRANSCRIPT
10	PREPARED BY ME COMPRISE A FULL, TRUE, AND CORRECT
11	TRANSCRIPT OF THE TESTIMONY AND PROCEEDINGS HELD IN
12	THIS MATTER ON MARCH 15, 2019.
13	I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT I HAVE NO INTEREST IN THE
14	EVENTS OF THE MATTER OR THE OUTCOME OF THE PROCEEDING.
15	EXECUTED THIS MARCH 18, 2019.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	Davidana
21	DORIS HUAMAN CSR NO. 10538
22	OOK NOT 10000
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

	addition 35:2	attorney 29:2,18	communications
1	additional 31:3 32:4,	availability 38:23	30:24 31:4,6,9,10 32:26
1 34:16	11,28 33:4	39:15	Company 28:10,21
1.1 28:12	address 30:7	В	compared 33:28
14th 36:2	adjourned 40:13		completed 31:22,25
15 28:2	adjudicatory 30:22	ballpark 33:10	conclude 30:8
15-11-015 28:8	administrative 28:4, 16 30:17	based 31:26 32:1	concluded 40:12
15th 35:26,28	adopted 37:12	begin 28:17	conduct 32:28
1701.2 28:14	advance 38:24	behalf 28:20,23,25 29:4,6	confer 36:7
1701.3 28:14	advent 37:27	briefing 33:19 39:1,5,	conference 28:7 29:11,26 30:9,12 31:1,
19 36:4	advising 37:6	11	14 32:7 40:12
	Advocates 29:7 31:15	briefs 36:3,5	confirm 29:27
2	32:27	bring 39:25	confirmed 36:11
20 31:7,27	agree 35:13,15	Britt 28:22	consideration 38:21
20-to-22 31:7	agreed 33:24,28	Bruno 28:23 35:12	constituted 31:10
2017 31:5,18	agreement 35:24,27 36:14,23,28 37:7,8,11 38:2,18		contracting 35:4
2019 28:2 35:28 36:2,4,		c	copy 30:12
18,24 37:4	alert 36:16	Cal 31:15	correct 33:1
21 31:27	ALJ 28:27 29:8,13,24	CALIFORNIA 28:1	corrections 30:19
26 36:17,21,24 37:4 38:16 39:9	32:9,15 33:2,9 35:11, 17,19,21,23 37:22	call 34:6 39:3	Counsel 35:11
	38:12 39:7,21 40:1,3,5,	Carlos 28:26 35:12	court 39:14,18
3	7,9	case 34:10,27 40:9	Coyle 28:20 29:12,19
2 000 22:4 22:2 4 45	anticipate 38:24	categorization 30:1	33:3,6,14,24 35:13,16 40:7,8
3,000 32:4 33:3,4,15 34:1,2	anticipated 38:7	categorized 30:23	curious 31:19
	anticipating 38:15	Cities 35:11	
8	appearance 29:14,16	City 28:23,25	D
8 28:12	appearances 28:18 30:10	clarification 29:25	data 32:3
	appearing 30:11	clarify 29:19	dates 36:18,20 38:19,
9	approve 32:21	clear 32:16	21,28 39:11,17
9:32 28:2	approximation 33:8	close 31:21	deadline 36:25,26
9:48 40:13	April 36:17,21,24 37:4	Coblentz 29:20	deal 32:23 33:9
	38:16 39:9	Code 28:14	dealing 30:20 31:6
Α	Article 28:12	comment 37:17	38:3,5 decide 34:18
a.m. 28:2 40:13	assigned 28:16 29:2	Commission 32:12,14	decide 34:18 decided 32:21
	36:8	34:21,26 36:16 38:16 40:13	decided 32:21 decision 32:19
accuracy 29:28	assuming 37:10	Commissioner 36:8	
add 34:22 35:1,14,18,	attention 39:25	37:11	department 30:18

Index: 1..department

determination 33:23		he'll 29:22	
develop 39:4	F	hear 31:23 33:2,14	K
disclosed 31:18 32:17	fact 34:28	heard 32:20 37:15	kind 33:13,17 35:8
discovered 37:28	facts 34:24	hearing 33:20 36:21	
discovery 31:16,19,21,	factual 33:13,16	38:7,22 39:11	L
25,26 32:10,24,28 35:6	fail 36:15	hearings 36:19 38:20, 28 39:2,5	ladies 28:6 40:11
discuss 29:28 30:2 34:19	Fair 32:13	Heiden 29:1	large 32:2
discussing 34:8	file 37:5,25	Honor 28:21 31:24	larger 38:6
discussion 34:7	filed 36:20 37:20	33:1,6 35:22 37:18 38:15 39:20,28 40:2,4,8	law 28:4,16 30:17
disputable 34:24	filing 36:17	Honor's 38:21	left 28:19
disputes 31:21	final 34:22	hour 40:13	letting 38:9
division 29:1 30:18	fine 36:7	hundred 34:5,7	light 31:4
document 37:20,24	Firm 29:21		list 29:28 30:13,15,19
documents 33:22	forgetting 32:5	1	
38:4,6	formal 38:8	idea 34:5	M
E	forward 37:9	identified 30:5,16	made 30:19
e-mails 31:27 33:15	Foss 28:28 35:17,18 37:17 38:11 40:3,4	identify 29:27 30:1,2	make 29:16 30:14 33:23 37:13,17
Electric 28:10,21	FRANCISCO 28:1	in-house 29:18	MARCH 28:2
email 37:1,21	Frank 29:9,12,17,18,25	inclusion 34:20	Mason 28:4,15,27 29:8
emails 31:18 32:1,5,11,	front 29:10	information 37:28	13,24 32:9,15 33:2,9
17,18,24,25 33:4,6 34:7 35:2	fruition 38:5	Instituting 28:8 30:21 intend 29:10	35:11,17,19,21,23 37:22 38:12 39:7,21 40:1,3,5,7,9
Enforcement 29:1	G	interested 35:6	material 34:28 35:8
engaged 31:16	Gas 28:9,21	intrigued 31:2	materials 35:3,4
enter 29:13	gave 32:26	investigation 28:8,9	meet 33:25 39:18
entered 30:10	gentlemen 28:6 40:11	29:22 30:21	mentioned 31:27
envision 34:11	give 38:1 39:17	issue 31:3,8 32:8 35:25	merits 33:28
event 33:18 36:13 39:2	Good 28:6 31:24	issues 30:2,3,16,27 34:28 36:9 39:24	met 31:28
evidentiary 33:20 34:9,25 35:9,28 36:2,	Goodson 29:4 35:19,	34.28 30.9 39.24	misdirected 37:2
15,19,21 37:9 38:18	20 38:12,14 39:20 40:1,	J	modifications 32:22
ex-party 30:24 31:10	2	ioint 21:1 12 24:0 25	modified 36:12
exact 33:7 34:3	Greg 29:1	joint 31:1,13 34:9,25 35:9 36:1 37:5,22	Monday 33:26
exception 33:26	group 34:16	judge 28:4,16 30:17	morning 28:6 30:6,11
exercise 34:15	guess 32:16,25	July 36:3	31:24 39:23
expectation 38:4	Н	June 36:2	morning's 30:8
extensive 31:16			motion 36:17,20 37:18

Index: determination..motion

move 35:24	PG&E 29:19,23 31:17, 28 32:2,12,28	Q	Rules 28:12 31:11
moving 37:9	PG&E's 35:6		S
N	phase 32:23 34:16	question 32:16 38:24	Sofoto 00 00
	plane 37:2,3	questions 32:2	Safety 28:28
newly 32:24 Nicholas 29:6	playing 29:22	R	San 28:1,23,25 35:11, 12
notify 38:16	point 39:12,26	raina 20:22	sanctioned 28:11
nuance 35:1	points 39:22	raise 39:22	scenario 35:25 36:13
	Political 32:13	range 31:7	39:3,4
number 33:7 34:1,3 39:1	possibly 31:7	reach 36:14 37:7,8 38:1	schedule 30:6 36:7,11 37:10 39:4,11,13,16
	potential 34:9	reached 35:27 36:22, 27 39:9	scheduling 30:3 35:2
O	potentially 34:24	recommendation	37:14
Office 29:7	Practice 28:13 31:11	34:20	Sean 28:20
official 37:19	Practices 32:13	record 28:5 33:13,16,	Sections 28:14
officially 37:20	precisely 33:25	25 34:9,12,26,27 35:4, 7,10,28 36:2,15 37:10	SED 31:16 32:27
one-page 37:24	prefer 39:7	38:18	sense 33:18,21
onset 29:21	preference 38:25	recordkeeping 29:15	September 31:4,18
open 30:7	prehearing 28:7 29:11,	reflect 37:25	service 29:28 30:13,1
opening 36:3,5	26 30:8,12 31:1,14 32:7 40:12	related 31:17 35:3	19
operating 37:2,3	Procedure 28:13 31:12	release 31:26	set 34:22
optimistic 34:14	proceeding 28:17	replies 36:4	settle 32:8
order 28:8 30:20 34:4	30:1,22,23,26 36:8 37:26	report 36:26 37:5,23 38:26,27 39:6,9	settlement 32:22 39:10
ordering 28:9	process 30:18 32:6	reporters 39:14,18	Shell 33:27
	produced 32:3 33:5	request 32:10 38:19	Sher 29:6 31:23,24
	progress 33:12	requesting 36:18,20	32:11 33:1 35:21,22 39:27,28
Pacific 28:9,21	properly 30:15	research 32:3	show 28:10
pages 32:4 33:4	propose 38:20,28	resolution 34:10	sitting 29:9,20
part 30:6 33:15 35:3,7,9	proposed 34:9,12,25,	resolve 34:23	small 34:2 38:3
participate 29:10	27 35:26 36:1 39:10	resolves 34:28	speak 29:14,15 38:13
participating 33:27	proposing 39:16	responses 35:7	speaking 29:23 34:13
parties 28:18 29:27 30:7,14,28 31:28 32:20	provided 32:12	result 30:23 32:18	starting 28:18
33:26 34:8 35:5,27	Public 28:13 29:7 32:27	Robert 28:15	stated 32:7
36:1,3,4,10,14,15,16, 19,22 37:5 38:1,15	purposes 34:6	role 29:23	statement 31:1,14
39:23,25	putting 33:15	roles 29:19	statements 31:2
parties' 33:11	. •	room 38:22	status 36:26 37:5,23
permitted 30:25		Rubens 28:24	38:10,25 39:6,8
		Rule 28:12	Steve 29:18

Index: move..Steve

Prehearing Conference March 15, 2019

stipulated 33:13,16 tweak 36:24 37:13,16 stipulations 34:23 U **Strottman** 28:22 35:12, 15 40:5,6 ultimate 33:23 **struck** 31:15 understand 32:15 submission 34:12 38:22 **submit** 34:26 36:1,3,4 understanding 31:5 39:8 universe 33:21 38:3,6 submitting 30:28 Utilities 28:13 subsequent 32:23 **subset** 31:6 33:17 34:2, ٧ 5 violation 31:11 **success** 34:16 violations 28:11 successful 38:17 successfully 36:22 W sufficient 38:1 suggest 30:13 wanted 38:12 39:22 surface 36:6 wanting 37:28 weeks 39:1 Т weigh 33:23 **table** 29:10 wondering 37:1 work 39:17 **taking** 28:17 wrap-up 30:4 talk 33:11 39:14 talking 33:17 34:4 35:9 written 35:6 wrote 32:19 terms 37:13 39:15 testimony 36:18 38:20, 28 39:5 things 30:5 31:15 36:6 thought 38:2 thumbs 38:26 time 38:1,19 today 28:24 29:3,23 38:8 today's 29:11 toggle 39:6 **Travis** 28:28 triggered 32:9 TURN 29:5 32:27

Index: stipulated..wrote