

## ATTACHMENT A

1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
2	OF THE
3	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
4	,
5	
6	Order Instituting Investigation and ) Order to Show Cause on the )
7	Commission's Own Motion into the ) Investigation Operations and Practices of Pacific ) 18-12-007
8	Gas and Electric Company with ) Respect to Locate and Mark Practices )
9	and Related Matters. )
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	DEPOSITION OF KATHERIN MACK
16	DEPOSITION OF WHITEKIN MACK
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT
23	San Francisco, California  March 6, 2019
24	Pages 1 - 171
25	
26	Reported by: Jason Stacey, CSR No. 14092
27	Shannon Ross, CSR No. 8916 Rebekah DeRosa, CSR No. 8708
28	induction policida, con no. 0,00

1		APPEARANCES
2	FOR:	CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
3		Safety and Enforcement Division BY: DARRYL GRUEN, ESQ., Staff Counsel 505 Van Ness Boulevard
4		San Francisco, CA 94102
5	FOR:	CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION Gas Safety and Reliability Branch, SED
6		BY: KENNETH BRUNO, Program Manager 320 West 4th Street, Suite 500
7		Los Angeles, CA 90013
8	FOR:	CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION Electric Safety and Reliability
9		Branch, SED BY: CHARLES MEE,
10		Senior Utilities Engineer 505 Van Ness Boulevard
11		San Francisco, CA 94102
12	FOR:	CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION Gas Safety and Reliability Branch, SED
13		BY: Wai Yin "Franky" Chan, Senior Utilities Engineer
14		505 Van Ness Boulevard San Francisco, CA 94102
15	FOR:	
16		BY: JONATHAN D. PENDLETON, ESQ., Senior Counsel, Law Department
17		77 Beale Street, B30A San Francisco, CA 94120
18		
19		
20		* * * *
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1			
1		INDEX	
3	WITNESSES:		PAGE
4	KATHERIN MACK		_
5	Examination	By Mr. Gruen By Mr. Bruno	5 14
5	Examination	By Mr. Gruen By Mr. Bruno	4 0 4 2
	Examination	By Mr. Gruen By Mr. Gruen	55 61 74
	Examination	By Mr. Gruen By Mr. Gruen	104
	Examination		106 111 121
	Examination Examination	By Mr. Gruen	127
	Exhibits:	Iden. Evid.	
	SED-01	13	
		10	

1 BE IT REMEMBERED THAT, by Subpoena of the Witness, and on Wednesday, March 6, 2 3 2019, commencing at the hour of 10:00 a.m. thereof, at the offices of the CALIFORNIA 5 PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, 505 Van Ness Avenue, Room 2200, San Francisco, California 6 7 94102, before REBEKAH L. DE ROSA, CSR No. 8 8708, JASON A. STACEY, CSR No. 14092 and 9 SHANNON M. ROSS, CSR No. 8916, personally 10 appeared 11 KATHERIN MACK, 12 called as a witness herein, who, being first 13 duly sworn, was thereupon examined and 14 interrogated as hereinafter set forth. 15 16 MR. GRUEN: On the record. 17 So if we could go around the room 18 and identify ourselves for the record, 19 indicating our names and titles, and I'll 20 start. 21 My name is Darryl Gruen. I'm the 22 Staff Counsel at the Public Utilities 23 Commission of California representing the 24 Safety and Enforcement Division. 25 MR. BRUNO: And I'm Kenneth Bruno, 26 Program Manager, Gas Safety and Reliability 27 Branch for Safety and Enforcement Division. 28 THE WITNESS: Katherin Mack, Expert

1	Auditor for PG&E.
2	MR. PENDLETON: John Pendleton. I'm
3	Senior Counsel with PG&E's Law Department.
4	MR. MEE: Charles Mee, Senior Utilities
5	Engineer for ESRB, stands for Electric Safety
6	and Reliability Branch, for SED. It's the
7	Safety Enforcement Division of CPUC.
8	MR. CHAN: Wai Yin Frankie Chan, Senior
9	Utilities Engineer for Gas Safety and
10	Reliability Branch of Safety Enforcement
11	Division.
12	MR. GRUEN: Great.
13	EXAMINATION
14	BY MR. GRUEN:
15	Q Okay. And Ms. Mack, if I could
16	if you could, just so we're clear for the
17	court reporters, could you spell your name
18	for the record, as well?
19	A K-a-t-h-e-r-i-n M-a-c-k.
20	Q Thank you. Okay. And and your
21	address, please?
22	A My home address?
23	Q Your work address, if you happen to
24	know it.
25	A Carpenter Road, Modesto.
26	Q Okay.
27	A I can't remember offhand the
28	numbers.

1 Q Okay. That's fine. 2 Okay. 3 That's fine. Okay. Now that 4 there's a formal locate and mark proceeding, 5 this is a formal deposition of you regarding locating and marking practices of Pacific Gas 6 7 & Electric Company. Unlike the last time, we 8 examined you under oath, and I asked you 9 questions and the Safety and Enforcement 10 Division asked you questions without PG&E 11 counsel present. This time, there is a 12 formal proceeding, and as a result, PG&E has 13 a right to have counsel present at this 14 deposition, and so we recognize and see 15 Mr. Pendleton as -- as counsel for PG&E here 16 today. The information used in this 17 deposition may be used as evidence in the 18 Commission's locate and mark proceeding, 19 the -- the Order Instituting Investigation 20 that the Commission has opened. 21 Are you familiar with the Order 22 Instituting Investigation that's been 23 opened --24 Α Yes. 25 -- at this time? Okay. 26 Please answer Safety and 27 Enforcement Division's questions -- that is, 28 questions that I might ask, Mr. Bruno,

Mr. Chan or Mr. Mee -- any of the four of us might ask directly. We may ask certain questions very broadly, which will give you a chance to add to your answers or answer as broadly as you wish in those cases, as broad as the question asks; but, please keep your questions (sic) directly responsive to the questions that we ask.

Do you understand that?

A Yes.

Q Okay. If you do not understand a question that we ask today, either because we have not articulated it well or we have poorly phrased it, either ask that the question be repeated or just say you do not understand the question. Please do not speculate or guess about what questions we are asking. Do you understand that?

A Yes.

Q Okay. Great. And just a bit of context about why we are here today. So paraphrasing the instructions from the administrative law judge, we had asserted initially -- SED had asserted and asked in its -- asserted when the Commission opened the OII that certain of PG&E's locate and mark practices on the natural gas side merited an investigation, and that was the

1 basis for the Commission opening the 2 investigation. It was focusing on locate and 3 mark practices pertaining to natural gas. 4 Since the OII, or the Order Instituting 5 Investigation, opened, the administrative law judge, through instructions, asked whether 6 7 Safety and Enforcement Division would make similar assertions about PG&E's locate and 8 9 mark practices on the electric side. So with 10 that, our understanding from PG&E -- and 11 Mr. Pendleton, you can correct us here. 12 understanding from PG&E was that PG&E's gas 13 operations division focused on locate and 14 mark issues, both for natural gas on the 15 transmission and distribution side, as well 16 as electric distribution, that their gas 17 operations division was focusing on that, and 18 that, therefore, PG&E's efforts, their focus 19 in some of the documentation that PG&E 20 produced for us, covered electric 21 distribution in addition to natural gas. 22 Mr. Pendleton, did I state PG&E's 23 position correctly, or is there anything that 24 you want to add? 25 MR. PENDLETON: No. That's consistent 26 with what we stated at the law and motion 27 hearing. 28 MR. GRUEN: Okay.

1 MR. PENDLETON: Yes. 2 MR. GRUEN: Very good. 3 So that's just background, 4 Ms. Mack, for -- for why we're here today, is 5 to focus a bit more on whether the locate and mark issues that SED, Safety and Enforcement 6 7 Division, identified when the Commission 8 opened its investigation -- whether some of 9 the facts you say today, some of the 10 testimony you give today, will inform SED as 11 to whether it will assert that PG&E's locate 12 and mark practices apply on the electric 13 side. Do you understand that? 14 Α Yes. 15 Okay. Let me just, if -- if I can, 16 the following comes from General Order 112 17 (f) Section 302.1, and this is regarding PG&E 18 not being able to retaliate against its 19 employees for any safety-related information 20 that the employees share with Safety 21 Enforcement Division. So that's -- I'm just 22 going to read it and ask you a couple of 23 questions about whether PG&E has, in any way, 24 retaliated against you and -- if you 25 testified in a certain way today. Okay? 26 So in addition to other statutes --27 I'm sorry. General Order 112 Section 302.1 28 says:

1 "The utility has no right to 2 retaliate against an employee for 3 notifying the California Public Utilities Commission," and it says specifically "In addition to other 5 6 statutes, which provide remedies 7 for retaliation against 8 Whistleblowers (e.g., the 9 California Whistleblower Act, California Labor Code 10 11 Section 1102.5), or any other 12 remedy an employee may have in a 13 court, the Commission prohibits 14 California natural gas utilities 15 from retaliating against any 16 employee, who reports, in good 17 faith, unsafe conditions to the 18 Commission. For purposes of this 19 regulation, the Commission retains 20 the option to impose penalties and 21 any other remedies provided under 22 the California Public Utilities 23 Code for any natural gas utility, 24 which the Commission finds violates 25 this regulation." 26 Let me just say, SED is not 27 asserting that PG&E has retaliated against 28 you or any of your colleagues at this point

1 in time. But, I do want to ask you a few 2 questions related to what I just read 3 regarding retaliation. 4 Do you understand? 5 A Yes. 6 Okay. Has anyone threatened or in 7 any way insinuated retaliation against you 8 for appearing today and providing any 9 information? 10 11 Has anyone threatened or in any way 12 insinuated retaliation against you for 13 disclosing any facts truthfully, honestly, 14 completely and to the best of your knowledge? 15 Α To the Commission? 16 Q Yes. 17 Α No. 18 Okay. What about to anyone else? 0 19 Α I mean I'm not sure what you mean. 20 Okay. I just -- I asked it because 0 21 of the way that you answered the question, 22 "to the Commission?" So let me -- let me 23 restate it.

Has anyone threatened or in any way insinuated retaliation against you for disclosing any facts truthfully, honestly, completely and to the best of your knowledge to anyone outside of the Commission related

24

25

26

27

28

12

```
to locating and marking -- PG&E's locating
1
2
     and marking practices?
               I mean I --
 3
            Α
 4
            MR. GRUEN: Let's go off the record for
5
     just a second.
                (Off the record.)
 6
7
            MR. GRUEN: Let's go back on the
8
     record. Okay.
9
               Has anyone, in any way, advised you
10
     about how to answer the questions you provide
11
     today?
12
            Α
               No.
13
               Okay. Did you talk with anyone
14
     about this examination under -- I'm sorry,
15
     this deposition today?
16
            Α
               Yes.
17
               Who?
18
               I talked to PG&E attorneys
19
     yesterday.
20
               Okay.
            Q
21
            Α
               And friends and family --
22
               Okay.
            Q
23
                -- you know, just that I had to
            Α
24
     come up --
25
            Q
               Okay.
26
               -- in general conversation.
27
               But, you didn't receive any advice
28
     from anyone --
```

1	A No.
2	Q on on what to tell us or
3	anything of that sort?
4	A No.
5	Q Okay. At this point, this is
6	just I'm going to share a subpoena. This
7	is a subpoena for you to appear today that I
8	understand Mr. Pendleton received on your
9	behalf. So we served him requiring that you
10	appear today. I'm just going to send
11	share it with Mr. Pendleton to see if he
12	recognizes it as an accurate signed document
13	that required your appearance today. Okay?
14	A Okay.
15	Q Okay.
16	MR. PENDLETON: I do recognize this.
17	MR. GRUEN: Okay. And this appears to
18	be a true and accurate copy of the signed
19	of the signed subpoena that was served upon
20	you for the appearance of Ms. Mack today?
21	MR. PENDLETON: Yes.
22	MR. GRUEN: Okay. Very good.
23	I'd like to ask that this be marked
24	as Exhibit 1.
25	Let's go off the record for a
26	moment.
27	(Exhibit No. SED-01 was marked for identification.)
28	

1	(Off the record.)
2	MR. GRUEN: Back on the record.
3	Mr. Bruno, do you want to ask
4	questions at this point?
5	MR. BRUNO: Yes, Mr. Gruen. Thank you.
6	EXAMINATION
7	BY MR. BRUNO:
8	Q Good morning, Mrs. Mack. This
9	is I'm Kenneth Bruno, program manager of
10	Gas Safety and Enforcement Division. I just
11	wanted to ask you a few questions regarding
12	to what extent, if any, electric facilities
13	were involved in locate and mark issues
14	identified previously by the Safety and
15	Enforcement Division, and when I say that,
16	what I'm referring to is the Safety and
17	Enforcement Division filed a staff report in
18	an Order Instituting Investigation.
19	Are you familiar with the staff
20	report?
21	A No.
22	Q Are you generally familiar with the
23	locate and mark issues in the Order
24	Instituting Investigation against
25	A Yes.
26	Q PG&E?
27	And at this point, is it your
28	understanding that that it involves both

```
1
     gas and electric or, in other words, your --
2
     the scope of the proceeding, as you
3
     understand it, the Order Instituting
 4
     Investigation in the PG&E locate and mark
5
     practices, does that involve both gas and
     electric?
6
7
           Α
               Electric tickets? I'm going to
8
     say, "Yes."
9
           MR. PENDLETON:
                           For -- for the clarity
10
     of the transcript, if I may, Ken --
11
           MR. BRUNO: Yes, sir.
12
           MR. PENDLETON: -- when we talk about
13
     electric facilities, it may be helpful to
14
     talk about both electric distribution and
     electric transmission facilities. At the law
15
16
     and motion hearing, PG&E made that
17
     distinction, that the electric distribution
18
     was handled by one group, and electric
19
     transmission by another, so that when the
20
     questions are asked, if -- if we just say,
     "electric," it might get confusing on the
21
22
     transcript. So I -- if you could, I would
23
     suggest asking about each.
24
           MR. BRUNO:
                      Understood, Mr. Pendleton.
25
     I -- I -- I agree with you. I -- I was
26
     planning to get to that level of detail --
27
           MR. PENDLETON: Okay. Thank you.
28
           MR. BRUNO: -- and -- and I think it's
```

1 an important distinction to get to that 2 granularity. 3 Ms. Mack, could you briefly explain 4 the process, as you understand it, when 5 somebody calls 811? What happens at PG&E as it relates to locate and mark? 6 7 Okay. So during the timeframe that Α 8 we're talking about, tickets would go, you 9 know, one of four ways. So the electric 10 transmission would get tickets, the -- in 11 places where we were electric only, they 12 received tickets, then gas distribution would 13 receive tickets, and then gas transmission 14 would receive tickets; so handled by four different entities. 15 16 Thank you, Ms. Mack. Does that 17 mean that when an excavator or whomever calls 18 811, four separate tickets are -- are 19 generated at PG&E? 20 Only if there's facilities in those 21 areas. 22 And how is that determined, whether 23 or not there are facilities in those areas? 24 Through maps that PG&E provided to 25 811. 26 Okay. So my understanding, then, 27 is that when an excavator or -- or whomever 28 calls 811, the 811 facility will look at

previously supplied data, and make the decision if they should generate an electric transmission, electric only ticket, gas distribution or gas transmission ticket?

A Yes.

Q Okay. Thank you, Ms. Mack.

In the four categories just

described, electric transmission, electric
only, gas distribution, gas transmission,
those would indeed be four separate distinct
tickets?

A Yes.

Q And who would be -- what would happen next in terms of the process at PG&E?

A So in -- the folks that got the ticket, they would be locating, you know, the facilities that were on their ticket. I mean I don't know how deep you want to go into the process, but I mean if it's gas, they're going to go out there and locate, you know, secondary, and if there's prime in their ticket, they're going to go out and try to get ahold of -- you know, if they can locate it without a QEW, they will. But, if they -- if the primary -- there's very little primary that they can locate without using a QEW, so they go and get a QEW -- you know, request that, and have him locate it.

If it's electric only ticket --1 2 that would be like in the north and in the 3 south, we have areas where we don't have gas, so the electric folks would be handling those 4 5 tickets. That would either be a supervisor that's a locate and mark electric -- all 6 7 electric side handling it, or there would be 8 maybe the -- the same guy that handled 9 electric, electric supervisor, you know, 10 he -- there might not be a separate 11 supervisor for it. He might be handling it. 12 But, those were strictly under the electric 13 department in the north and south. 14 And then electric transmission, I 15 mean, of course, they handled theirs. 16 And then gas transmission, 17 backbone, they handled their tickets. 18 So, yes, four separate tickets. 19 Okay. Thank you, Ms. Mack. 20 think I'll -- I'll probably dive into each 21 one of those --22 Α Okay. 23 -- in a bit. Bear with me one --24 one moment here. 25 Using the term QEW or the -- the 26 letters QEW, could you -- could you please 27 elaborate and explain what that is? 28 Α It's a Qualified Electrical Worker.

So it's required that -- anything over 1 2 600 volts, it's required that electric -- you know, we can't -- locators cannot get into 3 4 those facilities or break the plane or hook 5 up to anything, so it's required that they use the QEW to locate those facilities. 6 7 Okay. So a -- a QEW is a -- if you 8 will, a subject matter expert for electric facilities for both electric transmission and 9 10 electric distribution, anything over 11 600 volts? 12 Α I don't think that they're 13 qualified in electric transmission. 14 Q I see. 15 They're -- yeah. They're -- they 16 work on primary. 17 I see. 18 They have electric transmission folks that work on the electric transmission 19 20 side. 21 Okay. All right. With respect to 0 22 reporting structure, we've talked about 23 electric transmission, electric only tickets, 24 gas distribution and gas transmission. 25 Could you briefly explain your 26 understanding of where that fits 27 organizationally, who do they report to, are

they all in one group, et cetera?

28

1 Α No, they're all four different 2 groups. And is -- is it -- is it accurate 3 4 that electric reports to the electric operations? 5 6 Α Yes. 7 Does that mean that electric distribution and electric transmission 8 9 reports -- it reports to something equivalent to electric vice president? 10 11 Α Yes. How about for locating and mark --12 0 13 marking practices? 14 In those areas that it's electric, 15 that -- they report to the electric side of 16 the house. 17 0 And --18 And electric transmission reports to electric side of the house in all areas. 19 20 Okay. And then just -- just for 21 sake of closing this out, gas distribution, 22 gas transmission obviously reports to gas 23 operations? 24 Well, at that time, transmission 25 was separate up to a certain degree in the 26 file. When you got to president level, yeah. 27 But, I mean they had their own directors and 28 they had their own -- you know, so high, they

1 were separate. 2 Q Okay. 3 MR. GRUEN: Can I just clarify? 4 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. 5 That's okay. You're doing MR. GRUEN: fine. 6 7 At that time, can you just specify 8 what point in time you -- you meant when you 9 said, "at that time"? 10 THE WITNESS: All the way up to 2017. 11 MR. GRUEN: Okay. 12 THE WITNESS: So there -- there's been In 2016, gas distribution started 13 changes. 14 to work towards locating the gas 15 transmission. And the same thing for 16 electric; you know, they started working 17 towards putting gas folks to locate some of 18 that stuff in 2017. So that's why you say 19 during that timeframe, because there's been 20 changes along the way that changed that. 21 But, from all the way up until about 2017, 22 the -- it was as this is. 23 MR. GRUEN: Okay. 24 MR. PENDLETON: Again, for the sake of 25 the transcript, do you mind if I interject 26 for a moment, because Katherin's using the 27 term "gas transmission." 28 I may be wrong, but do you need to

specify between backbone and local
transmission? You're just saying, "gas
transmission" generally, and I want to make
sure the transcript is accurate.

THE WITNESS: Yeah. So the difference

THE WITNESS: Yeah. So the difference between backbone and local transmission -- yeah. If it was backbone, it would go to the transmission department, which is a separate entity, reporting structure. Local transmission, then that was handled by distribution.

12 BY MR. BRUNO:

Q Okay. All right. Thank you,

Ms. Mack.

I -- I think -- I think I -- I do appreciate the clarity, Mr. Pendleton. I think we need a little more specifics here, because I -- I am trying to reconcile the statement PG&E made at the law and motion hearing. And I -- I guess what we need to explore is to -- where do the locators reside?

MR. PENDLETON: If I -- if I could ask just one question, I think that might help to clarify that point.

In -- in areas where PG&E has gas facilities, what group within PG&E performs locate and mark for electric -- electric

distribution?

THE WITNESS: Well, the gas folks do it for -- with the help of electric in gas areas. If it's in the areas where gas is not, north and south, those areas, Santa Maria, up in that Humboldt area, all -- I don't know how far up that goes; but, those areas are electric areas, so they handle all the locate and mark in those areas, the electric side of the house does.

MR. BRUNO: Okay. Could we go off the record?

MR. GRUEN: Yeah.

(Off the record.)

MR. GRUEN: Back on the record.

Q So, Ms. Mack, while we were off the record, we just discussed a couple of points of clarification with regards to who -- in instances where gas service and electric service is provided and a ticket is for both gas and electric -- who the different workers report to. So those are the nature of the questions that I'm going to ask you.

So let's say -- with that in mind, let's say we're talking about a service area where PG&E provides both gas and electric service and you've got a ticket that requires electric distribution to be located and

1 marked.

In that instance, which division within PG&E does the electric locator report to? Does it report to Gas Operations, Electric Operations, or somewhere else?

A So the ticket goes to the gas locating team; right? The gas locating team is going to look at the ticket and decide if they need a QEW. So the QEW that they're going to use to perform that locate reports to the electric side of the house.

Q Okay.

A So what they would do is they would locate the gas portion, the locater would.

And then they would -- you know, different areas have different ways of facilitating that electric that help from the electric side of the house.

Q Okay. And so the QEW -- while the QEW reports to the electric side --

A Yes.

Q -- in that instance, does the locator of the electric line report to the Gas Ops side in that case?

A It's kind of confusing. Let me just say that the gas locator gets the ticket; right?

Q Okay.

A Decides he needs the electric help. He makes arrangements with the electric side of the house, the electric supervisor. They make arrangements for the electric person who reports to the electric side of the house to come over and hook up and perform that function on the electric side. He works hand-in-hand with the gas locator. So they're both on the job.

But the electric person reports to the electric side, and the gas person reports to the gas side. PG&E cannot have an electric person reporting to the gas house. It's against the contract.

Q Okay. Just another clarification. You said, "Performs that function." I just want to clarify specifically the work of the function that you're talking about.

So when you say the QEW performs that function, what exact function in that instance is the QEW performing?

A They're opening the electric facility, they're hooking up the locating machine, they're telling the gas locator, "You know, hey, here's what you have. Here's where it goes." All that kind of function. And they're hooking up the lines that the locating machine so it can be located.

1 Then generally the locator will then note the ticket. You know, use --2 3 they'll check the box "QEW," or check the box 4 "Primary Electric," and they will make notes 5 on there who they used on the electric side of the house. 6 7 And is the -- which of those two 8 employees or someone else, the QEW, the 9 locator, or someone else is actually doing 10 the location of the electric facility in the 11 instance you just described? 12 They're both doing it. electric guy is hooking up. He's telling him 13 14 where it's at. And the gas locator is using 15 the machine. So it's kind of in tandem. 16 Now, that's in gas and electric areas. 17 0 Yes --18 Α Two -- well, yeah. 19 0 Go ahead. 20 Well, there's some exceptions in San Francisco. 21 22 Okay. We'll get to that. But then 23 the -- from PG&E's perspective, who is it who 24 is considered handling that locate? The gas 25 locator, the QEW, or both? 26 Α Both. 27 Q And --28 That's why they put their names on Α

the ticket.

Q Both names are on the ticket in that instance. And so both of those -- then we've got the locator for the gas who's reporting to Gas Ops, the QEW whose done some of the locating work reporting to Electric Ops; is that right?

A Yes. And then in San Francisco if we borrow electric locators if we need them, they locate the ticket themselves and they close it. They do it all. And they report to the electric side of the house.

Q Electric locators locate gas lines in San Francisco?

A No. In San Francisco, the gas worker would go out and perform the gas locate. And the electric person that they borrowed would just have his own folder with his own tickets, and he would locate all the electric, close the ticket, do the whole thing, and he reports to electric.

So it's a little bit different over there. Because there's so much underground electric that, you know, they're not going to work -- I mean, I'm not going to say they never work in tandem. Sometimes they do, but it's not always the case. You see both scenarios there where you have an electric

guy with his own folder just taking care of 1 2 tickets, taking care of the electric. 3 opens them, he closes them, he does 4 everything. But then you also might have 5 them working in tandem too where he's hooking up and he's doing this. It just depends on 6 7 the locator and how much skill they have in 8 getting in the computer and closing the 9 tickets. It depends on a lot of other 10 things. 11 I follow. 12 MR. GRUEN: Off the record. (Off the record.) 13 14 MR. GRUEN: Back on the record. 15 BY MR. BRUNO: 16 All right, Ms. Mack, I do have a 17 question with respect to the exception you 18 just described in San Francisco. So going 19 back to the 811 call. 811 gets called, 811 makes a 20 21 decision. Let's assume this is a San Francisco ticket. 22 23 Α Mh-hm. 24 811 makes the decision if it's gas 25 and electric based on their maps; is that 26 accurate? 27 So the only difference in -- okay. 28 For San Francisco, you know, they're going to

go -- they go to a folder based on area. So the ticket itself -- unless it's gas transmission -- those go to a different folder. But gas and electric are going to go to the same folders.

But the way San Francisco has their folders set up, so what they do is they know areas that are heavily mostly underground electric where they need -- you know, they're going to need a QEW. And those tickets will go to an area in those folders.

eight folders or ten folders. So, you know, say -- I'll just throw some names out there. Don't take it for sure. Say it's Castro Valley or Castro Street. You know, they're going to say, "Okay. This is a heavy underground electric. So those tickets in this area all go into this folder. In this area, they all go into this folder." And they've set them up to know what's heavy underground.

So then what would happen is a gas locator would be assigned the folders that were heavily gas. And then if he has the occasional ticket that he needs electric on, then he's going to get the QEW, or he's going to do his part. Then he's going to take the

ticket over and send it to the other folder that is primarily an electric ticket.

Then when, you know, the electric guy does the ticket, he closes it out. So then it works the same. If it goes to an electric folder and he's done his electric part, then he's going to send the ticket over to the gas folder who then locates the gas.

Not very efficient. But that's what they have done on San Francisco. I don't know if that's changed or not. But from the time I was there until 2017, that's the way it was handled.

Q Okay. Thank you, Ms. Mack. I'm going to restate what I think you said, and let me know if I'm right or wrong. In San Francisco the process is the same. 811 generates a -- let's just say hypothetically in San Francisco they generate an electric and gas ticket. The gas person is still responsible for calling out the electric QEW if needed?

A So there's only one ticket, though, for San Francisco tickets.

- O All in one ticket?
- A Yes.
- Q So it's a combined ticket?
- 28 A It's a combined ticket in San

1 Francisco. Okay. Always? If it's in San 2 Francisco, it's a combined ticket? 3 4 Yes. Unless it's transmission. 5 That's different. And the gas person will still make 6 7 the decision if they need a QEW? Well, not always. Because if it 8 9 goes into these folders that, you know, we have like four IBEWs at that time. 10 11 hiring hall QEWs that were working in San 12 Francisco from two to four at any given time. 13 And so they were electric workers. And 14 sometimes they would do it. 15 So it was either them or it was 16 somebody from the Electric Department that 17 was assigned that folder. 18 And who puts things in the folder 19 if you will? 20 So generally they have a person 21 that's a senior in the department, the 22 locator who then sorts the tickets. But 23 they're already pre-sorted. So they might go 24 in there and sort some more. But they're 25 sorted by area already. 26 Okay. 27 But they still do some sorting 28 after that.

Q So in San Francisco one ticket is generated for gas and electric, it is sorted, it goes into folders. If there needs to be an electric distribution locate, that will be handled by an electric person standalone. There will not be a gas person also on that job?

- A The gas person will locate the gas.
- Q Right.

- A And they'll locate the secondary.
- 11 Q The gas person will locate the gas.
  12 The electric person will locate the electric,
  13 but they won't be in tandem?

A Not necessarily. It might just be an electric person's folder. If we have -- so what happens is anything the gas guy couldn't locate, he's going to put over into this electric person's -- the QEW's folder. And that was somebody that was either a hiring hall person or somebody that works for the electric side of the house and that we borrowed. And that at that time, they'll work that folder and take care of those tickets.

25 BY MR. GRUEN:

Q Can I ask a quick followup on that if I can? I thought I had heard you say that sometimes the order is the other way. Where

the electric guy --1 2 Α It could be. -- will do the work first. 3 Q 4 (Crosstalk.) 5 Just for the record -- I think Q we're on the same page but just correct me if 6 7 I'm wrong. If the electric guy goes first and cannot identify certain things that need 8 9 to be located, then the electric guy can also 10 refer the rest of the work to the gas guy, 11 and the gas guy then puts it in his folder to 12 complete work? Did I understand you 13 correctly? 14 Α Yes. 15 0 Okay. 16 Α The electric guy will put it in the 17 gas guy's folder if he's done. He'll say, 18 "Okay. I've done my part. I'll ship it over 19 to you." 20 Yes, I follow. Is there a rhyme --0 21 a rationale as to whether the gas guy or the 22 electric quy goes first? 23 Α No. 24 Okay. It's just who happens to be 0 25 available? 26 Yeah. Who got the ticket. Whose 27 folder it's in. Like I said, if it's a heavy 28 underground electric, it's going to, you

1 know, be in a QEW's folder already. And he 2 might say, "There's nothing for me to locate 3 there." And he's going to ship it over to gas if there's gas to locate on there. 5 And this is all San Francisco? Q That's how San Francisco is. 6 Α 7 Q Understood. Thank you. 8 MR. PENDLETON: Just for clarity, you 9 said, "All of San Francisco." I think you 10 were saying that these folders are for 11 certain areas of San Francisco; is that 12 correct? Just to make sure the transcript's 13 clear. 14 THE WITNESS: It's all San Francisco. 15 That includes South San Francisco, San 16 Francisco. Anything under the jurisdiction 17 of San Francisco Division. So that's also 18 South San Francisco. 19 MR. PENDLETON: Okay. 20 THE WITNESS: I know they're split up 21 But that's how it was then. now. 22 MR. GRUEN: Let's go off the record. 23 (Off the record.) 24 MR. GRUEN: Back on the record. 25 So while we were off the record, I 26 understood -- and please correct me on this, 27 Ms. Mack -- except for San Francisco, PG&E's

territory -- except for San Francisco and

28

except for electric-only parts of PG&E's territory. So all the other parts of PG&E's territory that would serve both gas and electric where a ticket was called in, it was called into the Gas Operations Division, and then a locator who worked under the Gas Operations Division would then determine whether to call in for help from an electric employee in order to locate the ticket? have that right so far?

A Yes.

MR. PENDLETON: One clarification.

Putting aside electric transmission. Because that would be my understanding is separate.

BY MR. GRUEN:

Q Is that accurate? That this isn't
-- what I just described does not apply to
electric transmission; is that right?

A Right.

Q Okay. Let's stay with
Mr. Pendleton's clarification that we're not
talking about electric transmission here.

But when we talk about San

Francisco now, the difference is that the notification could -- it would still go to the Gas Operations Division, but Gas

Operations Division has certain electric locators helping. And so the gas -- so the

ticket might be given to those electric 1 2 workers who worked under the Gas Operations 3 Division. Did I did get that right? Not all of them work for the gas. 4 5 Okay. In San Francisco a ticket Q 6 could be given to the Gas Operations Division 7 -- an electric worker who helped with the Gas 8 Operations Division, or an electric worker 9 who worked for the Electric Operations 10 Division? 11 Yes. Α 12 0 And then if it was a ticket that 13 involved both gas and electric, whoever 14 started first whether it was an electric 15 worker, they would then hand it off to the 16 gas worker to complete the locate. If it was 17 given to a gas worker first, they would give 18 it to the electric worker to complete the 19 locate? 20 Α Yes. 21 Okay. Thank you. Thank you, Ms. 22 Mack. 23 Mr. Bruno? 24 BY MR. BRUNO: 25 Q Yes. Thank you, Mr. Gruen. Thank 26 you, Ms. Mack. 27 I want to go back to the process 28 really quick and drill down into these

categories one last time hopefully. The process when somebody calls 811 you previously stated, Ms. Mack, that 811 would look at maps or the equivalent and make a decision how many tickets to generate.

Would they also subcategorize the tickets into the categories of electric transmission, electric only, gas distribution, gas transmission local, gas transmission distribution? Or is that a PG&E function?

A Well, the four categories that we talked about earlier were separated because they wouldn't get a ticket unless it was identified that there was gas transmission or electric transmission. You know, or in the area of electric only. The maps told, you know, 811 whether -- what was in what area. Those -- they were separated at that point at that higher level.

Q Great. Thank you.

So 811 will categorize it into those four categories?

A Yes.

Q And then it sounds like there is a subcategory for gas transmission: One being gas transmission local, and one being gas transmission distribution; is that accurate?

A So I was trying to remember what year that that switched over. So originally the gas distribution locators only located distribution. So at some point they started locating the local transmission, and it was hit and miss which areas did it and which areas didn't do it.

So at that time, there were still two separate tickets identified at the higher level.

Q Uh-huh.

A That all went to the same backbone people. Gas transmission, like, all the tickets went there. Right.

Q Okay.

A Then at some point, that's when the distribution side of the house started locating the local transmission. I know that's confusing --

Q Right.

A -- but I'm trying to get exactly how it worked.

MR. PENDLETON: For clarification of the terminology, as I understand it, gas transmission is divided into local transmission, and then backbone transmission. The backbone transmission is really just the Redwood Path and the Baja Path, the major

transmission lines that come from out of state and then bring gas down, you know, into the local transmission system. I think the term -- those are the two categories of gas transmission.

MR. BRUNO: I appreciate that. I think I used the wrong term, which made a hard topic even more confusing; so I appreciate that distinction, Mr. Pendleton.

Q Ms. Mack, what I'm going to do is,
I'm going to say a category, and if you
wouldn't mind describing the category, and
then the second part, who was responsible for
locating and marking in that category.

So the first category I would like to talk about is gas distribution. Could you briefly describe what that is and who is responsible for locating and marking.

A So that would be the gas department. They're responsible, our locate and mark department of the gas side of the house. That would include secondary electric and gas facilities, services and mains, and local transmission.

Q And the locator and marker for those facilities just described, that is a gas operations locate and marker?

A Yes.

EXAMINATION

BY MR. GRUEN:

Q Can I ask a clarification, if I can, because we're using the term "local transmission." I think Mr. Bruno asked about gas distribution and part of your answer included local transmission. So I'm not clear if local transmission is considered to be part of the gas distribution function.

A So I was explaining that earlier.

It's difficult because you're talking about,
you know -- like, my understanding is from

2013 to 2017. So at some point during that
time, and this is probably where this gets
confusing, but in the beginning gas
distribution only located mains and services;
okay. They did not locate any transmission.

None.

Q Okay.

A At some point - I was trying to figure out when - they started locating local transmission in some areas that were more comfortable with it.

Q Okay.

A And then under 2017, they started locating backbone. So that's probably where it gets confusing is the time frame during that it was handled different at different

1 points. 2 And just for clarity on terms - I want to be sure - did Mr. Pendleton's 3 4 description of "backbone transmission" 5 sound -- did that sound accurate to you, when he described "backbone transmission"? 6 7 Or if you prefer, would you like to explain to us in your own words what backbone 8 9 transmission is. No. That's fine. 10 11 So his description sounded right to 12 you? 13 Α Yes. 14 And "local transmission" then, what 15 does that term mean? 16 So that would be everything coming 17 off the backbone and feeding into the mains, 18 you know, and secondaries. 19 Everything except for distribution or does local transmission include 20 21 distribution as well? 22 Α No. It does not. So it feeds into 23 the distribution. 24 Yes. I'm clear. Thank you. 25 So, then, your description was the 26 gas distribution locators, then, took on 27 responsibilities to locate and mark at some 28 point in time local transmission?

1	A Yes.
2	Q Then at a later point in time
3	A Much later.
4	Q much later backbone
5	transmission?
6	A Right.
7	MR. GRUEN: I'm clear. Thank you.
8	Mr. Bruno.
9	MR. BRUNO: Thank you, Mr. Gruen.
10	EXAMINATION
11	BY MR. BRUNO:
12	Q Ms. Mack, you know, I am interested
13	in both the time that you can speak of and
14	your knowledge of other times. So, for
15	instance, gas transmission backbone, who was
16	responsible for locating and marking those
17	facilities based on the time you can speak
18	of?
19	A Gas transmission.
20	Q Okay. Gas distribution, who was
21	responsible for the locating and marking
22	during the time you can speak of?
23	A For the majority of that time gas
24	distribution.
25	Q And for the other period of time,
26	would that be the local transmission?
27	A That would be gas transmission,
28	yes.

1 Okay. The category electric Q 2 transmission, could you just briefly describe 3 to your knowledge what that is. 4 I can't think off the top of my 5 head what, you know, volts that's over, but when it's deemed transmission facilities, 6 7 then the electric transmission department out of San Francisco handles that, and they go 9 anywhere to locate that facility. I want to make sure I understood 10 11 You said when it's deemed electric 12 transmission, San Francisco dispatches? It's not distribution -- it's not 13 14 out of the gas house. It's a separate 15 department. It just happens to be in San 16 Francisco. 17 It's a systemwide, electric unit housed in San Francisco? 18 19 Α Yes. Yes. But will mark electric transmission 20 0 21 throughout the territory? 22 Α Yes. 23 Thank you very much. 0 24 Who are you locators and markers 25 for that group? They're electric transmission 26 Α 27 employees. 28 Okay. Then the category of

1	electric only, my understanding of that
2	category is, it's electric distribution with
3	no gas in the area; is that accurate?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Who is responsible for the locating
6	and marking of electric-only areas?
7	A Electric employees from the
8	electric house.
9	Q Electric employees
10	A Under the electric side of the
11	house.
12	Q Under the electric side of the
13	house.
14	A Gas had nothing to do with it
15	really.
16	Q Okay.
17	A Well, I won't say they had nothing
18	to do with it. That's not a good statement,
19	but the electric side of the house supervised
20	it, managed it.
21	Q Okay. Do you have anything else on
22	the categories, Mr. Gruen?
23	MR. GRUEN: No, not at this time. Go
24	ahead.
25	MR. BRUNO: Okay.
26	Q Ms. Mack, I appreciate you going
27	through all those categories. It was a
28	little painstaking, but it was my confusion

1 and, obviously, not yours. 2 I would like to talk about the 3 process now a little bit about -- really a 4 hypothetical situation. A natural gas --5 let's call it a ticket. 811 was called. A 6 ticket was generated for gas distribution and 7 electric distribution. I understand that 8 hypothetical to be a gas locator and marker 9 would be responsible for making 10 determinations of what needs to be marked and 11 who he needs to help him. 12 Α Yes. 13 So that process. Let's just say 14 the ticket is in Fresno. I'm just picking an 15 area. 16 Α Uh-huh. 17 PG&E gas locator-marker goes out 18 there; marks the gas facilities for distribution; makes a determination whether 19 20 or not they need QEW for the electric. 21 Α Yes. 22 So let's assume they don't need a 0 23 QEW; what happens? 24 Α They're going to locate the 25 facilities and close the ticket. They'll locate the facilities. 26 27 They'll follow the procedures; locate the

facilities, and close the ticket?

28

1 Α Yes. Uh-huh. 2 What if that gas person determines 3 they need a QEW? 4 Α That might be handled different in 5 different areas; so if it's --And if I may, I'm trying to just 6 7 narrow it to just Fresno at this point. 8 Okay. So probably Fresno, they're 9 going to notify the electric supervisor that 10 they need help, and they'll tell him, you 11 know, how much time they're going to need 12 them for and where to meet them at and what time to meet them. 13 14 And the electric side of the house 15 might have help or might not have somebody 16 available, and they might try to negotiate that to a different time or date. And then 17 18 they work that out between them, and then 19 meet on site, and then the electric guys, you 20 know, going to open it up or do whatever he 21 needs to do, hookup the equipment, and the 22 gas guy will put the marks on the ground. 23 Okay. Ms. Mack, based on your 24 experience, how does that coordination -- how 25 does it work? 26 Is it efficient? Is the electric

SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

side responsive? Do they readily come out

and meet or are there issues with timing and

27

28

whatnot?

A That's been a nightmare since I can remember. For years it's been an issue for getting QEWs to come out. It's not been something that's been smooth for sure.

Q When you say "a nightmare," could you elaborate a little bit.

A Well, I mean, I think there's no ownership on the electric side of the house to provide the service. You know, it's a gas function; so locators are kind of at the mercy of the electric side to try to get the body. And I think for whatever reasons many of them, the electric side, doesn't like to locate. The electric side didn't have the manpower. Maybe they have their own stuff going on. They're behind.

They got, you know, all these things to do. There's a lot of reasons they didn't provide the help to the gas for the locate.

Q Understood. That's a trying situation. I'm curious. What would the response be of the locator when they have determined that they need an electric QEW, but they have trouble getting one?

A Well, I mean, unfortunately, there was some good responses and some not-so-good

responses. So, I mean, if it worked out perfectly, they would, you know, go and not get the help and report it to a supervisor.

And during my time as superintendent there, I have a lot of complaints in this area and really tried to work a lot with the electric side of the house and with my management and with even senior leadership, you know, to try to resolve that issue.

You know, we tried to resolve that issue. We tried to work out some service letter of agreements with the electric side and where they would provide the service or on paper they had to provide it so that I had something so say, Hey, you know, your leadership said you need to provide this service.

But, unfortunately, during my time there, I was never able to resolve the QEW issue at all. Even if, you know, we get all the head nods, that, yeah, we're going to do it, it never materialized at the bottom level, at the locator level.

So, unfortunately, sometimes they would just go indirect, which, you know, isn't a good way to perform that with electric services.

At times we caught them using poles, what QEW calls hot sticks to locate the facilities, which they're not allowed to use those. They would go ahead and get in and do it. They would, you know, put wide paths around. They'd put a mark here and here. They see a manhole there. So I'm like, well, okay. They might do that, you know, to say that's where -- because, unfortunately, the electric maps don't have measurements on them.

Gas maps, at least they can say, Well, I got indirect, and it matches the maps, so I'm probably right.

But with electric maps, there's no footage, so there's nothing to say. Yeah, you know, that's probably it.

So there was a lot of things they did. None of them which were probably the best thing. And sometimes they got so frustrated in San Francisco. So many times -- I mean, it was probably the biggest nightmare over there not having electric.

I mean, those IDW employees, they would, like, leave because they were so frustrated, and, you know, they had like 300 tickets in their folder and they couldn't get all that work done.

And that's why I say it was a nightmare for the QEW portion. Really, I feel like the electric should have taken over that because then they would have some ownership in it, and they'd have to perform the work, but I don't know.

Q Okay. Thank you, Ms. Mack. That was very helpful. I'm going to try to unpack just a little bit of it. I think I heard you say that when it worked -- when there was an issue and it worked right, the gas locator-marker would notify their direct supervisor?

A Yeah.

Q And, then, to your knowledge, how did that get over to electric if at all?

A So I had a lot of meetings with the electric side of the house on the issue. It didn't help immediately. So I shouldn't say it worked perfect, but at least it brought the -- you know, the higher -- the more we brought it up -- and so then I ended up having meetings with the, you know, higher levels, directors, and things, to try to get that resolved.

Q I see.

A A service level agreement to try to really bring some awareness to the fact that,

hey, it's a real safety issue not having the QEWs out there, and this is what's happening.

Like I said, I don't think -- no matter what we did, it didn't get the QEWs on the site. It would work for a minute and then it wouldn't work.

The first time there's stuff going on, you know, they're pulling electric guys back. You know, like, San Jose or Cupertino, I don't know how many times I worked with the local supervisor there to say: Okay. I'm going to provide somebody twice a week, two full days a week, I'm going to provide a QEW for you guys.

And so then locators were putting all their tickets off for these two days, you know, and then a guy calls in sick or they need him. And it's like, oh, you got all these tickets sitting and waiting for QEWs and you can't get the locate done. That's why I say "nightmare."

Q No. I appreciate the description. It sounds like one to me as well. After a complaint was made to the supervisor for the longer-term solution, what happens on the ground? What happens on Fresno that day? I think you said, go indirect and hot sticks?

A Those aren't legal ways. That's

something they do that they weren't supposed to do.

Q By "they," you mean the gas locator?

A Yes.

Q Okay. So the gas locator in this situation, theoretically, has marked the gas facilities. They've called in a QEW. The QEW doesn't show up. And now they're going to get the job done if you will; is that right?

A I'm not saying that's what happened 100 percent of the time. I'm saying those were some of the scenarios that could happen.

Q So could you describe "go indirect" and "hot sticks" just in that hypothetical situation.

A So "indirect" would be that they, you know, put their -- they have a way of doing it. They put the machine in a place where they think the services are or the facilities are by, you know, signs - either manholes or services or whatever - and then they use their machine and a signal to try to pick it up and see where it's at underground and so that would be an indirect way of doing it. And then the hot stick is where they actually open up the box and use these long

poles to hookup to concentrics or, you know, use the ring, put the ring on the facilities. So they're doing what the QEW would do if he was out there.

Q And based on your experience, this scenario we're describing has actually happened before?

A Yes.

Q Is it something that has happened more than once based on your time?

A Yes. I think it happened more in some yards than others. The more heavier the electric need, the more heavier you probably saw hot sticks in trucks.

Q Just in terms of, you know, when the gas locator was sort of, you know, going in the direction of going indirect or hot sticks without a QEW, could you give me some context? Like, is this a weekly thing, a daily thing? Approximately, how many times do you think this has occurred, you know, over a given time period?

So however you want to answer that. Like, if you want to think about an area and how frequent it was or...

A So I think, like, if you were talking about an area like Oakland, it would happen daily. If you're in an area like

Fresno, you know, not so much. Certainly not daily.

Stockton, you know, it probably happened, you know, weekly at least, you know. Like I said, it depends on -- I would say the number of times it happened directly correlated with the amount of underground facilities.

- O That makes sense.
- A Primarily.

Q So a direct correlation between the density of the electric facilities --

A How much overhead and how much underground you have. That's where you're going to see more use.

Q Sure. Would the gas locator in these scenarios - the scenarios being based on your experience when they went indirect or used hot sticks without a QEW - were they qualified to do that?

A No. I mean, they are qualified to do indirect locates, but they're not qualified to use hot sticks. Indirect is it not a -- I mean, if OQ to locate, you're OQ to locate indirect. It's not a different OQ, but hot stick is -- that's part of the QEW. Nobody should be doing hot sticks until you're a QEW.

Q And based on your opinion, are there safety concerns there?

A Yes. I mean there's a reason they have QEWs. They're trained to look for bare wires, things touching each other. There's a million things in there that they're trained to look at and not use a hot stick if they see it. I actually in Oakland brought in a QEW one time to talk to the locators about these things and why they shouldn't use hot sticks. You know, I would find them in their trucks, you know, but they were getting them. They would order them. They would get them from the electric guys and they would get them, so...

MR. GRUEN: I have a few follow-ups.

## EXAMINATION

## BY MR. GRUEN:

Q I think you had said initially that indirects, you weren't supposed to do an indirect; did I track that correctly?

A No. I'm not saying you can't do an indirect. I'm saying for locate and mark, it's like the last course. You shouldn't do that as your first option because it's not the best way to locate because if you're going indirect, you could be picking up anything - telephone, water.

It could be anything that you're putting marks on the ground for. So it's not your first choice, you know. It's a last choice, not a first choice.

Q Understood.

A Especially in some areas where you have like a mess down there.

Q Were indirects -- to your knowledge were indirects being done other than as a last choice?

A Well, yes. Other than -- you know, I think they used them for electric a lot of times when we should have had a QEW if that's what you're asking.

Q Thank you.

Just so I understand that, that's to say that it was done instead of -- an indirect was done in certain instances instead of getting a QEW out there?

A Yes. Or trying to backfeed it off a secondary, something like that, which is not a good way to do it either. You know, hooking up to a service and trying to pick up the primary, but, again, you know, you're going to run off on all kinds of stuff.

That's how we get inaccurate locates, mismarks.

Q And with the hot sticks, under no

1 circumstances, was that supposed to be done; is that right? 2 3 Α Not by a gas locator. 4 0 But it was? 5 Α Yes. Let me ask you, in cases where an 6 7 indirect was done without a QEW, without 8 getting a QEW out there, or a hot stick was 9 used, would you view those instances as a 10 proper basis to show a ticket is complete? 11 To get the job done, yes. 12 the ticket out, yes. 13 So a ticket that used an indirect 14 instead a QEW or a hot stick was properly 15 closed out? 16 I'm not sure what you're saying 17 with that. That's kind of odd question. 18 I'm trying to get at whether if 19 PG&E's locators did not follow proper 20 procedure for getting a QEW out there when 21 they were required to in these kinds of 22 instances. 23 Α Yes. That was not the right --24 they're not following procedure. 25 So since they don't follow 26 procedure in this these instances - I think 27 we've established that - in those instances,

is the ticket properly closed out when PG&E

28

1 isn't following procedure to get its QEW out there in your view? 2 3 Α Well, the work wasn't performed 4 right, so... I mean... 5 Q. Okay. The work wasn't performed right, 6 7 and they didn't follow procedure, but the ticket is closed. I'm not sure. 8 9 You're answering my question. 10 That's helpful. Thank you. 11 Is the ticket -- in those instances 12 to your knowledge, did the ticket show that 13 it did not get a QEW out there even though 14 the locator was required to do so by PG&E's 15 procedure? 16 Well, whenever a locator used a 17 QEW, they'd put in the ticket: "I used" and 18 they put their name. And they did that to 19 help protect themselves; so they would say 20 they used somebody. 21 And when they didn't use a QEW, but they were required to, to your knowledge did 22 23 the locator input that information on the 24 ticket? 25 Would they put in there, "That I didn't use a QEW"? 26 27 Q Yes. 28 They're not going to put Α No.

1 anything. They're just going to mark gas, 2 mark electric, you know, close the ticket 3 out. Q Do you have a sense, just building on Mr. Bruno's questions, about how often in 5 different areas from 2012 to February of 6 7 2017, could you estimate approximately how 8 often a QEW was not used to locate and mark 9 when it was, in fact, required to be used? 10 I wouldn't be able to give you that 11 number. I can tell you, like I said earlier, 12 that it's going to happen, like, daily in 13 towns like Oakland, San Francisco, San Jose, 14 Cupertino. Anything bay-area-ish, it 15 happened daily, or even Stockton. 16 In areas like, you know, Fresno, 17 Merced, places that were mostly overhead 18 facilities, it wouldn't have happened as 19 much. I can't give you numbers. 20 That's helpful. I understand. 0 21 Α Uh-huh. 22 To your knowledge, was use of hot 23 sticks or indirect, those techniques you 24 talked about where a QEW wasn't used --25 Or a backfeed from secondaries. 26 Can you say what a "backfeed from 27 secondary" means?

They hookup to a secondary service

28

Α

and try to backfeed to get the primary from that way. It was just another way they would do it to try to locate it.

- Q Without using a QEW --
- A Without using a QEW.
- Q -- when QEW was required?
- A Needed.

Q When needed. Thank you for the clarification.

To your knowledge was there any documents that discussed the problems you're telling us now within PG&E sharing the problem of these techniques being used to locate and mark without a QEW even though a OEW was needed?

A Yes. I mean I had gone to, like I said, throughout that time I really tried to work with the electric side of the house. I don't remember what year we came up with that service-level agreement, but that was all because of that.

There were some complaints from the electric side of the house. Maybe some of the employees would see employees, you know, breaking the plane of a facility that they shouldn't have been in, and there was some complaints about that. There was some dig-ins where, you know, it was clearly

mismarked. So it kind of came up in some of those instances. I don't know how many, when, dates, all that kind of stuff.

I can just say that there was many discussions even with myself and others about that with my leadership and with the electric side of the house.

Q Can you be specific when you talk about your leadership? Who do you mean?

A I would speak to Joel Dickson and John Higgins. They were pretty much my main sources for that kind of stuff.

MR. GRUEN: Thank you, Ms. Mack.

Mr. Bruno.

## EXAMINATION

## BY MR. GRUEN:

Q Ms. Mack, I do want to go back to the notations on the ticket. Mr. Gruen had established that if a QEW was called out and showed up, that would be noted on the ticket?

A Yes.

Q If work was done by the gas person without a QEW, they wouldn't mark about the QEW. My question is, what if they had called out a QEW, but the QEW didn't show up, and then they went to one of these other techniques of going indirect or hot sticks, would the fact that they called a QEW and

they didn't show up appear on the ticket?

A I'm not going to say that it always would show up, but I'm saying it probably would because the original notes the locator because he's delaying the ticket; right.

He's probably not able to locate the ticket within the 48 hours. So he's probably more than likely putting that note in there to say: Waiting on electric. You know, then he would have to, then, either locate it or get the QEW.

So there would be some notation on there unless in the beginning he just knew he wasn't going to get it, and he didn't even bother. Then he might just locate it.

So there might not be a note in it in that instance because frustration kicks in: I'm not going to get it; so I'm not going to even ask.

Q So a search of the comments might be fruitful in that regard?

A Yes. Yes. Especially in larger places, it might be more prevalent or obvious when they needed them, and didn't get them. ]

Q Great. Thank you, Ms. Mack.

Why would a gas locator do this?

And by "this," what I mean is why would a gas locator -- you know, they're -- up until some

point, they're following procedure. I -- you know, "I'm marking the gas facility. I need a QEW." QEW doesn't show up. "Now I'm going to go indirect or hot sticks." Why? Why would a gas locator do that, based on, you know, your -- your experience?

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

I think it's a combination of Α reasons. I think that, you know, they feel an obligation to the contractor. You know, they -- they have relationships with these folks out there. These folks are trying to get their work done. Their people are waiting, you know. I think that's one reason, that they work hand in hand with They know they need to get the marks on them. They don't want them getting hurt, you know, because they know that the potential for that guy to go out there and go ahead and do it, if he doesn't have marks, might happen. So he wants to -- he wants to do that for the contractor.

I think his -- the other part of it is his folder gets blasted. He gets more and more tickets. If he doesn't close these out, it's not going to stop. He's going to keep getting more tickets.

The other way, you know -- some of them might feel they want to get the job

done, you know. They feel that obligation to finish it, pressure for good numbers, you know, to keep the costs down, that kind of thing.

Like I said, I just don't think there's one answer to it. I think, you know, there's a lot of reasons that they perform that. Some of them were -- felt comfortable doing the -- using the hot stick, you know. So they thought "Well, I can't get a QEW.

I'm okay. I -- I know how to use this, you know. I'm just going to do it, you know, get the work done."

Q I appreciate listening to some of those categories.

Were they ever worried about being late, the ticket not being marked within the 48-hour period?

A Oh, sure. I mean that's definitely another category in its own, you know. They want to get that ticket -- got to get it done within 48 hours, what reason are they going to put on there, you know. Because sometimes -- I mean, you know, legally, they should have -- if they can't renegotiate it, they got to locate it. Contractor says, "I can't wait, you know. I -- I'm doing this work in 48 hours, you know." They've got to

1 get it done. Right. And -- and when you say, 2 3 "obligation to contractors," I -- I guess what we're saying there is time is money, and 5 they're waiting to start their work? Uh-huh. Yes. 6 Α 7 Theoretically, they've already bid Q 8 the job? 9 Α Yeah. 10 And the sooner it gets marked, the 11 sooner they can get to work, and get the job 12 done? 13 Α Right. 14 Q Some of the reasons, such as don't 15 want to get hurt --16 Α Don't want the contractors to get 17 hurt. 18 So -- so that would speak to --0 19 I -- I guess they were -- I guess some of 20 these gas locators were -- were confident 21 that they could -- they could do the work of a QEW? 22 23 I think sometimes they were; not Α 24 always. 25 And just in -- in terms of -- you 26 mentioned pressure for good numbers. Could 27 you elaborate on that a little bit? 28 Well, so, you know, your yard has,

you know, been typically, you know, locating at this cost level, say, you know, so many dollars per ticket, you know, your team does it at, you know, 88 -- I'm just throwing a number out there, \$88 a ticket. Well, you know, next year, you're going to be asked to do it, you know, a percentage less than that. You know, now you're \$70 a ticket, and then you're \$60 a ticket, and then you're \$50 a ticket, and then you're \$30 a ticket. There's -- you know, there's always that expectation that you can do better, you can do faster, you can do -- you know, so then the locators and the supervisor have that pressure to get it done faster, get it done faster. So --

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Q Right. Understood.

Ms. Mack, how about -- how about any pressure to not be -- for tickets not to be late, just similar to what we -- we saw in the gas side, when -- when the -- when we opened the Order Instituting Investigation?

A Yeah. So when a -- so we could use a instance like -- say, it's a ticket that is electric ticket. I'm just going to strictly talk about electric; so if it's a gas or electric ticket, but it needs the electric locate from the QEW. Okay?

Q Sure.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

There's no delay button for, you know, "Oh, I didn't get a QEW." Right? that's not a -- a reason. So what's a locator going to do if they can't locate this ticket in 41 hours -- 48 hours? You have the same scenario. You have that where it's like "Okay. This ticket can't be late. I can't get a QEW within the timeframe, so what am I going to do with this ticket?" So it can't be a late ticket. You know, those tickets were never counted as late, none of those that were delayed due to QEW -- not having a QEW; but, they are. So they're still going to use the exact same scenarios that we talked about with the -- the other one; you know, the renegotiated ticket, you know, left a message, those kind of things, to stop the clock when it doesn't really, you know, stop the clock, or use phase ticket when really that didn't fall into the phase ticket category, because it's just a smaller job, it's just a single service or two or -- you know, I think at that time, our phase ticket had to be more than a block or more than a -you know, I think it was a block at that time. So they were still using the same, you know, approach to prevent the ticket from

1 | being late.

Q Thank you, Ms. Mack.

A And it's not like -- they were handled the exact same way as -- as the other --

Q I'm sorry. Could you say that --

A They were handled in the same way to prevent it from being late.

Q Okay. And just, if you could, why -- why does a locator care if they're late?

A Well, like we talked before, it's like zero was the number. You can't have any late tickets, you know. You're going to feel pressure from your supervisor, who felt pressure from their superintendent, who felt pressure from their director to make sure they had no late tickets. You know, I think supervisors were probably the most vulnerable of the scenario, because, you know, there's always threats of being fired under -- for them, you know. I felt like they were the most -- took the biggest hit for late tickets. So then the locators would want to not get their supervisor in trouble, you know.

Q Would you say this pressure for no late tickets was a -- embedded in the culture

1 of PG&E?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

A Well, I mean I think that it happened when there were metrics surrounding something.

Q And by "metrics surrounding something," do you mean late ticket metrics or something like that?

Yeah. So if you give a -- you have a metric that the -- you know, zero's the number, you know, then your -- and that's in your, you know, director's, you know, program or his, you know, end of the year evaluation and your supervisor's and your superintendent's, and, you know, that's part of the metric that they're, you know -promotion or, you know, evaluation or STIP or anything else is based on that, you know, I think that there's more pressure to make sure that that happens; not only -- if the supervisor doesn't want it for himself, they -- they want it for the superintendent. They don't want their superintendent in trouble. The superintendant doesn't want their director to get in trouble. Somehow, there's more pressure. It depends. know, then it depends on the superintendent and supervisor.

I felt like in locate and mark we

had pressure that I have never felt in any other place in PG&E at that time. So I mean I don't know why it was there. I had spoken to people, and they'd say, "I don't know where that pressure's coming from. It's not coming from --" you know, from them. So for some reason, my leadership absolutely felt that, you know, they put pressure to make sure that that was absolute. But --

Q Ms. Mack, do you -- do you -- do you have any information to suggest that somebody's performance evaluation was tied to a late ticket metric?

A Well, yes. It was on our performance evaluation.

Q And by "our," you mean supervisors or --

A Supervisors, superintendents. I don't know if it was on my director's, but I know it was on mine as a superintendent. It was on mine as a supervisor when I was supervising locate and mark. It was on all the supervisors' metric. It was on their performance evaluation.

Q How about the locator and markers?

A So they're -- they're union employees, so it did not affect them. The only way it would be -- affect them is, you

know, that could be monitored, and they could be written up for not, you know, making contact or whatever, having too many late tickets, whatever.

Q Okay.

A But, they wouldn't -- I don't know of a case that a IBEW employee was ever terminated or anything like that for late tickets.

Q And then Ms. Mack, with respect to your performance evaluation, are you suggesting if you had late tickets in your group, or people that reported to you, you would receive less compensation?

A Yes. I mean I was asked to go
over -- I had a counterpart. I was the south
superintendent, and there was a north
superintendent. And my director would -would make me go over to the -- to the north
and fix areas that were having late tickets,
to go train, supervise, mentor, coach, do
whatever, you know, in that other person's
area to make sure that it was fixed.

Q And just -- just speaking to your own -- I guess your performance evaluation, late is late. It doesn't matter if it's because of electric or gas. Whatever causes something to be late will be a negative mark,

I guess, on -- on your evaluation?

A Yes. I mean I would -- I received phone calls from my director saying, "You know, what's wrong with that supervisor? You know, do I need to fire him, you know, because he had two late tickets."

Q Right.

A You know, and I'm like "No, no, no, no, no, you know. Let me talk to him." I'm just trying to, you know, manage it.

Q Ms. Mack, just -- if you could speak to your experience, the magnitude of -- so I'm specifically zeroing in on when a ticket was -- was put in a category so it would not go late, whether it would be a phase ticket, a renegotiated ticket, what have you.

Could you just, based on your experience, roughly break that down between the culprit? Was it an electric causing this or -- or was it other gas reasons causing it?

A Oh, I think it depends on the area.

Q Okay.

A I think in -- if you're talking

San Francisco, it was a lot caused by not

having QEWs. I mean I can remember a time we

had 300 late tickets because we didn't have

QEWs to perform the work. In other areas, it

1 might be something completely different. 2 manpower, you know, was the biggest culprit, 3 I think, in most areas. Well, that's the issue, even with electric. It was manpower 5 issue. We didn't have the people, and we 6 didn't control it, either. That made it 7 worse, because we had to -- you know, it 8 wasn't like, you know, you had people working 9 together to -- you know, like if -- for gas, 10 at least the supervisor had options. "Oh, I 11 could call another supervisor. You got 12 anybody to come work for me on Saturday? You 13 got any --" you had at least some options. 14 The electric, you didn't have nothing. 15 could call that guy; either he would give him 16 to you or wouldn't give him to you. 17 think it -- it -- as far as whether it was 18 electric or not was depending on how much electric there was in that area, whether that 19 20 was the bigger culprit than, you know, the 21 other reasons, just not having gas guys, you 22 know. 23 Right. Understood. 0 Thank you, 24 Ms. Mack. And I -- I do appreciate the 25 effect that it kind of depends on the area 26 we're speaking of. You know, I'm -- I'm

If we're talking 20,000 late

going to throw a number out there.

27

28

tickets, would you suspect at least hundreds 1 of those are because of electric issues? 2 3 So are you talking -- so are you 4 talking that -- that got performed and 5 located that needed it, or are you talking that were held and waited? I'm not sure 6 7 what --Yeah. Let me -- let me kind of 8 9 withdraw that -- that question. I -- I 10 should -- I should get a little more 11 foundation going there for that. 12 MR. GRUEN: Can I do a little bit of 13 follow-up? 14 MR. BRUNO: Yes. Yes, please. 15 EXAMINATION BY MR. GRUEN: 16 17 A couple of things. One is -- all 18 right. Let me -- let me try and ask the 19 follow-up on Mr. Bruno's point. 20 I think we've asked a couple of 21 times about how -- how many tickets might 22 have -- how many tickets weren't done --23 didn't show up as late -- let me ask it this 24 way. 25 Do you remember talking to us 26 about -- I think the last time you were here, 27 you asked the term inappropriately documented 28 was kind of what you used to describe when a

1 ticket was shown as -- did not show up as 2 late, even though it was. Uh-huh. 3 Α 4 Does that -- am I characterizing 5 that correctly? 6 Α Yes. 7 Does that refresh your recollection? 8 9 Uh-huh. Α 10 Okay. And that was from your last 11 time you spoke with us in the Examination 12 Under Oath. Isn't that right? 13 Α Yes. 14 Q Okay. So if I use that term, 15 inappropriate documentation, I'm just trying to use that as a term --16 17 Α Uh-huh. 18 -- that we might use to commonly 19 understand one another. 20 So if -- let's just say that there 21 were, in 2013 -- just to pull out a number, 22 just to get a percentage, out of every 23 hundred tickets that were inappropriately 24 documented, how many were inappropriately 25 documented because of -- of a problem getting 26 a QEW out to help with the locate? Do you 27 have a sense of that? 28 So again, I mean I think you're

talking about different areas. I'll just use 1 2 an example. I'll pick two areas. 3 So if I was to say in Oakland, I 4 would say probably 50 percent of the tickets. 5 If I was to say Fresno -- now, you're just talking about just because electric, or 6 7 you're talking about in general? I'm -- I'm saying how many tickets 8 9 were inappropriately documented just the way 10 we talked about it before, because there was 11 a need to get a Qualified Electric Worker to 12 help with the locate, but one could not be 13 had? 14 I would think, in Oakland, it 15 was -- saying 50 percent of the tickets would 16 not be overstating the number. 17 Okay. What about Fresno? 18 Fresno would be very low, you know. 19 I -- there's not that much underground in 20 Fresno, so it's mostly downtown and in those areas. So I -- I would hate to, you know --21 22 Q Okay. 23 It's not like I get all the calls 24 there, so it's hard for me. 25 And we're asking you to make your 26 best estimation. I don't want to --27 Yes. It's very, very hard to do

28

that.

1 Q I don't want to put you in a spot 2 where you can't. 3 Α Yeah. 4 0 Understood. Do you have a sense of 5 maybe some of the other areas that have more 6 underground electric? 7 Yeah. So I would say if you talked Α 8 about, you know, San Jose, you know, again --9 0 Yes. 10 Α -- you're talking probably 11 50 percent of the tickets. 12 Q I follow. 13 Α Oakland, you know, probably even 14 higher than 50 percent of the tickets. 15 San Francisco, absolutely probably, you know, 16 80 percent of the tickets. If you're 17 talking, you know, areas in the Bay Area, 18 you're talking a high number percentage of 19 the tickets. So, you know, the locator in 20 those areas, they're going to make a note on 21 that ticket when they first get it. 22 Okay. Q 23 So out the door, you've probably Α 24 got -- you know, the majority of the tickets 25 have a note on them when they first get it. 26 They -- they open up the folder, they're just 27 going to go note every single ticket --

28

Q

Yeah.

1 Α -- you know, to prevent a late 2 You know, "I might miss that. 3 me just make a note, you know." So --4 0 Yeah. I follow. Α -- I mean I tried to -- I really 5 6 tried to get -- stop that by having --7 training seniors to look in the folders. So the senior would then -- that was his job in 9 the morning before he ever went out was to 10 look at the folders and make those phone 11 calls, so it was actually getting done. 12 wasn't like we didn't try to address this. 13 Q Yes. 14 Α You know, I mean I -- the 15 seniors -- I went around the yards, trained 16 them all to go in the folders, look at the 17 tickets, make the phone call to the 18 contractor, and then put a good note in 19 there, you know, correct note in there. 20 So --21 Thank you. Q 22 Α -- that was another way to, you 23 know, try to manage it. 24 Yes. Follow-up, also, on Q 25 Mr. Bruno's point about performance 26 evaluation, you had -- certain supervisors 27 reported directly to you in your role as

superintendent. Isn't that right?

28

1 A Yes.

Q Did those supervisors who reported to you have in their performance evaluations one objective of zero late tickets?

A Yes.

Q And were they -- did their compensation also depend upon whether they met that objective or not?

A So it could have. I mean PG&E's, you know, look at that isn't one -- you fail at one, you fail at all, you know. So, you know, they tried to look at the whole piece of pie, you know. It was a piece of it, but it wasn't all of it. So I -- you know, so say --

Q Yes.

A -- you know, obviously, if they were really just terrible in that area, it's hard to fight that battle to your -- because my -- I would have to explain every one of my direct reports why I rated them. You know, you go into this room, and you talk about it. You say what you did. And so, you know, if they were rated, you know, really bottom out of the -- like I said, it's hard to fight that. But, you know, if they were rated really good in everything else, that gives me, you know, points to be able to say, "Hey,

yeah. Maybe just that one area, but, you know, look at this, this, this and this," you know. So like I said, they don't just look at one thing and say, "Well, you're bad, you know, because you scored low in that."

Q Okay. Was -- I follow.

Was the performance evaluation objectives -- did it -- was this a factor in some of the pressure you had described that locate and mark employees or supervisors were feeling in order to meet the objective of zero late tickets?

A Well, I think it was a piece of it, but I think it was more than that. I think it — a lot of it just came from those phone calls that we'd get from Joel, you know. It was just those, you know, "Somebody had a late ticket. Oh, my God." You're calling your supervisor right away, "What happened? What happened," you know, because you know the next phone call is going to be from Joel saying, "I saw that late ticket, you know, on that report." So —

O Yeah.

A -- you already want to be ready with that explanation, because then, you know, he's calling you, you know, saying, "What's wrong with that supervisor" or

81

1	whatever, you know.
2	Q Uh-huh.
3	A It was craziness; craziness.
4	Q Okay. You've mentioned Joel a
5	couple of times, and I think I understand
6	you're talking about Joel Dickson?
7	A Yes.
8	Q And he was the director of locate
9	and mark at the time that we're talking
10	about, the locate and mark is it
11	department? He was the director of the
12	locate
13	A Yes.
14	Q and mark department?
15	And that was from what dates,
16	approximately, do you know?
17	A I want to say maybe 2014 on. I
18	Q Okay.
19	A Guessing.
20	Q Okay.
21	A '14 or '15. Yeah, I think it was
22	'14.
23	Q Okay.
24	A Maybe maybe even '13.
25	Q Understood. I won't hold you to
26	that.
27	A Yeah, somewhere one of those years.
28	Q But, that's based that your

estimation of the time that he started is 1 2 based on your professional relationship with 3 him in the locate and mark department. 4 Right? 5 Α Yes. Okay. And you mentioned earlier 6 7 talking with Joel Dickson about the problems 8 with acquire -- with getting a QEW out to 9 help with the ticket when one was needed. 10 Α Uh-huh. 11 0 Did I understand that right? 12 Α Yes. 13 And when you talked with him about 14 that, how many times did you mention that 15 problem or communicate that problem with him, 16 to him, approximately, would you say? 17 Oh, I don't know; too numerous for 18 me to count. I mean I had, you know, 19 numerous conversations with him about that. 20 0 Did he ever talk about doing 21 anything to you to address the problem with 22 getting QEWs out there when they were needed? 23 Yeah, he did. I mean he 24 actually -- he's the one that told me to go 25 get the -- the service level agreement, you 26 know, with them. 27 Q Okay.

It didn't

And so, I did do that.

28

Α

help, but I did it. You know, we had the meetings, and, you know, the goal was get upper leadership's buy-in to providing this service, and get them to sign off on it, so then, when we needed it, we would take this piece of paper to say, "Hey, your leadership has signed off saying you're going to provide this service to us."

Q I follow.

A So I mean that was his idea to do that.

Q And did you tell him that the services agreement idea did not work?

A Yes.

Q And what did he say in response to that?

A You know, he told me to go meet with the supervisors, the local supervisors, which I did. I met with the San Jose supervisor; in fact, I met with her there for a while regularly, you know. Once a -- every three weeks or two weeks, I was either meeting with her or calling her up on the phone. And, you know, it was always the same. It's like, you know, they would -- "I'm going to provide it," but then, you know, it could be pulled, and not show up, or whatever reason, they need them. You know,

it was just not a priority. They didn't have any buy-in to provide that service or any consequences for not providing it, I think, is the bottom line. We're like the bottom of the totem pole for them.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Q Could -- could Mr. Dickson have done anything to raise your status on the totem pole so that the electric operations people made more QEWs available?

I think that the problem could only be solved by senior leadership. I think that was -- I think that -- at the supervisor level and the superintendent level, I think we did everything we could. I mean we begged, we borrowed, we -- I did the service level agreement. I met with the electric supervisors. I did -- every time something had failed, I was out there at that office. I think we did everything we could at that level. I think it -- that's the only place it could have been resolved, is at director level and above. And I think it even had to go higher than that. I don't think it could have even been resolved, because I met with the directors on the electric side of the house to resolve this. It didn't help. think it had to go higher. I think that that's the only way it could have been

1 resolved --2 0 Yes. 3 Α -- is through the senior 4 leadership. 5 Did you ever mention that to Mr. Dickson --6 7 Α Yes. 8 Q -- what you just told us? 9 Α Yes. I'm not sure, you know, what 10 happened at that level. I -- you know, our 11 push was either two ways, either meet with 12 the IBEW group and work with them to get our 13 locators, QEW, you know, qualified. That was 14 one resolution. If they don't agree to that, 15 then get them to let us hire QEWs that work 16 for the gas side of the house so they can't 17 be pulled. That was the other res- -- you 18 know, proposal. And then, you know, one of 19 those -- something like that, along those 20 lines. 21 And then eventually, I think 2017, there was some agreement to hire a few -- to 22 23 open positions for QEWs that work for the gas 24 side of the house. I don't know -- I think 25 they -- I don't know if they actually worked 26 for gas or if they still worked for electric, 27 but they were assigned to us, so they're our

people, we can't -- you know, those

28

1 positions. But, my understanding is that 2 they struggled to fill those positions. 3 Do you know approximately when in 2017 that happened? 4 5 I don't. Α 6 Q Okay. 7 Sometime middle -- middle 2017, I think. 8 9 Okay. To your knowledge, did 0 10 Mr. Dickson himself ever approach the 11 electric operations people asking them to 12 assign QEWs to help the gas locators? I think he -- I think he did meet 13 14 with them to try to resolve the issue, too. 15 Q He told you --I believe he tried --16 Α 17 I'm sorry. Go ahead. 0 I do believe he tried to resolve 18 Α 19 the issue. I think he tried to -- I think he 20 met with them. I don't know. It didn't have -- it didn't -- whatever he did do, it 21 didn't resolve the issue. 22 23 Okay. He -- did he tell you that 24 he met with them about the issue? 25 No, he didn't. I just -- it felt 26 like he did, because the -- my service level 27 agreement had to be signed off by a director, 28 so I assume he -- he had to have had

conversations for me to set that meeting up with them. So he had to have at least talked to -- spoke to director level.

Q Who was Mr. Dickson's counterpart at the director level who oversaw the QEWs?

A Oh, gosh, I don't remember.

Q Okay. Would -- to your knowledge, was -- I understand you saying that the QEWs who worked on the electric side had -- you were at the bottom of the totem pole, so QEWs would get reassigned.

Were there any repercussions for QEWs not coming out -- within PG&E, were there any repercussions for QEWs not coming out to help with the locate --

A No.

Q -- when requested?

A No. And that was the problem.

There was no consequences for the electric side of the house for not providing that service.

Q To your knowledge, did

Mr. Dickson -- because I understand you

believe -- it sounds like you have reason to

believe that Mr. Dickson approached the

director of the electric operations division

who oversaw the QEWs. Did I get that right?]

A Yes.

1	Q And when it sounds like you also
2	have reason to believe that after talking
3	about the QEW problem we've been discussing,
4	nothing happened, nothing improved. To your
5	knowledge, did Mr. Dickson ever raise the
6	issue to his supervisors?
7	A I don't know.
8	Q Okay. One other question if I may
9	for just
10	MR. PENDLETON: Can we go off the
11	record for one second?
12	MR. GRUEN: Absolutely. Off the
13	record.
14	(Off the record.)
15	MR. GRUEN: Back on the record, please.
16	Q Do you remember talking about the
17	different techniques and efforts used to mark
18	without a QEW even when one was needed? I
19	think you mentioned hot sticks and indirects
20	and maybe back paths. Did I say that term
21	right?
22	A No. Now you got me confused.
23	Q Okay. But you know what I'm
24	talking about?
25	A Yes.
26	Q You remember talking about that?
27	Were there to your knowledge
28	were there any dig-ins that resulted from

marks that were done using those techniques?

A Yeah. I mean, we had dig-ins from incorrect marks for sure. I do specifically remember the one in San Jose. I know we had issues with it whenever they used -- maybe some failed QCs over it, you know, things like that. They say, "Well, I used indirect."

Or I -- you know, or they would, you know, use -- you know how the poles have, kind of, you know, lines coming down? Have you ever seen those gray conduits other them?

Q Yes. I believe I have.

A Okay. So the locaters might unscrew those, again, which they're not supposed to unscrew those. They'd unscrew those and they'd use their ring. That was a better way of doing it but still something they weren't supposed to do. You know, if it came down to the bottom, they'd have a big fat ring, they could just put it over the whole thing. That was the legal way to do it.

But a lot of times that part they wouldn't be able to do that because it was either all underground or covered. So they have to unscrew those things.

MR. PENDLETON: So the transcript is

1 clear, your question I think was whether she 2 specifically knows of any instances when 3 those techniques were used that resulted in a 4 dig-in? I think that's what you asked. 5 MR. GRUEN: That's correct. 6 MR. PENDLETON: And then you were 7 answering. But I wanted to make sure the 8 transcript is clear. Did you answer that? 9 THE WITNESS: I think I did. The one 10 that comes to the top of my head is the one 11 in San Jose. I really -- I'm not saying 12 they're weren't others. But off the top of 13 my head, I wouldn't be able to tell you this 14 happened then or that happened then. I don't 15 remember. BY MR. GRUEN: 16 17 But San Jose you're certain about? 18 Α Yes. 19 Okay. And in San Jose was anyone 20 hurt as a result of that dig-in? 21 Α I do believe there was. 22 How many people do you know? Q 23 I think it's just the digger. Α 24 The digger was hurt. Was the Q 25 digger killed? 26 Α No. 27 Q Okay. 28 I think he was just hurt. Α

1	been a while. So I just remember there was
2	an incident with his backhoe and hit it and
3	the guy had not used an electric worker to
4	locate it.
5	Q But had indicated that the ticket
6	was complete and the mark was done?
7	A Yes.
8	Q I follow. Do you know
9	approximately when that was?
10	A No.
11	Q Okay. I was just informed. I
12	think the term that I was struggling with was
13	"back feed"?
14	A Yes. Back feed. After you said
15	the other one, you had me confused.
16	Q I didn't mean that. I'm sorry for
17	the confusion.
18	A Back feed.
19	Q Back feed was another, kind of,
20	technique that was used to do a mark when a
21	QEW was needed but could not be had; is that
22	right?
23	A Yeah.
24	Q Okay. Great.
25	MR. GRUEN: Mr. Bruno, do you want I
26	am mindful, Mr. Pendleton, of your request.
27	Mr. Bruno, do you have any more
28	questions before we take a break?

1 MR. BRUNO: Yeah. No let's go ahead 2 and take a break now. We can come back and 3 get little more done before 1:00 p.m. 4 MR. GRUEN: Off the record. (Off the record.) 5 MR. GRUEN: Back on the record. 6 7 Mr. Bruno, do you want to continue? BY MR. BRUNO: 8 9 I have a couple questions here, Ms. 10 Mack, perhaps tightening up a few things and 11 asking you some additional questions. 12 So, you know, I hear I guess two 13 categories of what I'll consider issues or 14 problems. One was the zero late tickets goal 15 and subsequent pressures. The other was it 16 sounds like we're talking about a scarcity of qualified electrical workers --17 18 Α Yes. 19 -- and resources. With respect to 20 zero late tickets and the subsequent 21 pressures in evaluations, was senior 22 leadership aware of this to your knowledge? 23 Of the pressure? Or of the --24 Of the pressure and of the goal? 25 Of the goal definitely. But I mean 26 I did meet with them -- John Higgins about 27 the pressure, and I had my supervisors meet with him about that. 28

1 And do you know how high up of Gas Q 2 Operations knew about it? 3 Α I don't. 4 MR. PENDLETON: Just to be more 5 specific when you say "about it." What are 6 you referring to? 7 MR. BRUNO: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Pendleton. 8 9 The goal of zero late tickets and 10 the fact that PG&E employees were graded on 11 that goal? 12 Yes. I mean, I did speak with --13 like I said I spoke with John Higgins who is 14 Joel's boss about that. He had told me he 15 didn't know where that pressure came from. 16 In your professional opinion, was 17 that goal a feasible goal? 18 Α No. 19 In your opinion did that goal and 20 metric did it help -- did it help management 21 understand how the Locate and Mark Operations 22 were performing? 23 Α No. 24 And why not? Why wouldn't a late 25 ticket metric help Locate and Mark managers? Well, I mean, specifically that 26 27 particular one and the way that things were 28 managed in the Locate and Mark Department

created the inappropriate documentation of the tickets. So it was not an accurate, you know, number who had zero. Probably nobody had zero. So it created a, you know, false number. So it didn't help management understand the problems that we were having. You know, well, if you've got zero late tickets, you don't have a manpower issue. You know. I think that was the bottom line.

For gas it was the problem they didn't have the manpower to get the work, which generated potential late tickets, which generated the problem with the metric. So it did not bring that to light if there'd been a thousand late tickets, which you might -- 2,000 -- I mean, you can see the difference between, you know, 2010, '11, '12, the number of late tickets compared to after that. You know, and Joel took leadership of that and the focus was put on late tickets, you know, rather than what's driving it.

Q Absolutely. So I guess my question would be: Had the metric been accurate, it may have been informative to address the resource problem?

A Yes. Yes.

Q And based on your opinion, the reason the number was not accurate -- I -- it

sounds like the -- I guess "pressure" is the word.

A Yeah. Because, you know, the problem was is that my director, Joel Dickson, it didn't matter what the problem was. So if I go and say, "Hey, this guy has, you know, not enough manpower to get the work done."

"Well he must not be getting -- he must not be managing his people very well.

He's not a very good supervisor."

So, you know, you could never say that, "Hey, this is needed to get this work done."

You know, "Well, why isn't he, you know, doing this?" You know.

And I tried to come up with some tools for the supervisors. I gave them -- I came up with seven things you could do if you had work you couldn't get done.

First, make phone calls; second, you know, use overtime extended days; third, reach out to your other supervisors and ask them if they have anybody they can spare.

Maybe they're light that day. Fourth, you know, do a blitz on the weekend where you just get everybody you can to come and locate. You know, so there were all those

things that they could do. But the bottom
line is I could come up with all of the
things in the world for them to do, and, you
know, sometimes that would solve the problem.
But, you know, the supervisors around them
were probably just as shorthanded.

Locate and Mark was a high turnover job. Nobody wanted to work there. It was an easy place to get in the door to PG&E. But as soon as they got there, they left because of the pressure. Not only the pressure to more, more, more. But do it and don't get a dig-in.

And then you had QC. If you have any miss-marks and then you had the pressure of no late ticks. So there was too much stress. That's the Number 1 thing we heard when somebody was leaving was stress, stress, stress, stress, stress. You know, and so I think that that caused a lot of the late tickets too. You know, it was just the constant.

Q To your knowledge, Ms. Mack, did either the vice president of Gas Operations or the president of PG&E know about this issue? This issue being pressure on late tickets and inaccurate or false numbers?

A Well, I mean, I brought it to John

Higgins' attention for sure at that level.

And I know there was a SAR that it was discussed in that. And all those other things, the QEW and the other things. So that was higher up. You know, but a lot of times that we would ask for the money I would say, "Hey, this is how much money we need to function this year."

And, you know, it would be cut in half. And it would take -- and it would be approved and then taken away. It's like, you know, you'd have all these contractors and the company's trying to save money and they'd say, "You can't use any contractors."

Well, you can't get the work done without contractors in heavy seasons when contractors are out there digging like crazy. You know, from July, June to November, that's heavy for Locate and Mark. You need twice as many people than you do during the winter months. So you'd have to use contractors for that.

I can remember twice where they'd say, "No more contractors." They'd just get rid of all of them. Then you're like, "Well, how am I going to get this work done?" It's just I don't know.

Q Ms. Mack, I want to ask you about

another metric called "hit rate." And that's

I think defined as damages per thousand

tickets. Are you familiar with this metric?

Α

I am.

Q What is your opinion on a condition where the hit rate is going down but you still have this problem. This problem being: Goal of zero late tickets, scarce resources, and making employees change records that shouldn't be changed?

I guess what I'm asking you is:
The scenario described there of, you know,
zero late tickets, pressure, scarce
resources, all the while your hit rate is
going down. I want to ask your opinion on
that.

A Well, I mean, I worked a lot with that team. In fact I did bullets throughout the system to get that hit rate down. So I came up with a presentation, and I went around to the system and did a lot of awareness about it. So I would meet with the -- I would go to the yard, and I'd meet with the supervisor there, with crew supervisors, and we'd take, like, six people and we'd go out and we'd just look for people digging that were -- that didn't have a USA. We'd pass out pamphlets, education. You know, we

would go look at ticket and look to see if 1 2 they were late. We'd do all those kinds of 3 things to try to really hit it in these 4 blitz. I think that was one big way that we 5 brought that. And that year we brought it 6 down a lot by doing those blitz. Education 7 to contractors. Don't dig without marks. think that's a big reason that the hit rate 9 went down. 10 0 Understood. Ms. Mack, what I'm 11 going to ask you to do is assume that you 12 didn't do all that education. All the --13 MR. GRUEN: Can I just jump in just a 14 quick clarification? 15 Which year was that that you're 16 referring to the education? 17 I think that was I want to say 2016. But --18 19 That's your best recollection? 20 Α '16, '17. Just before I left to go 21 to the SGO team. Because I was in charge of 22 both that team and the Locate and Mark 23 manager. I was superintendant for both 24 teams. 25 Q Okay. Thank you. Excuse me? 26 BY MR. BRUNO: 27 Ms. Mack, thank you for describing 28 the additional activities. I think you

called them blitz or education to drive the hit rate down.

What I want to ask you is your professional opinion and subject matter expert opinion had you not done all that extra, you know, blitz activities, education, what would -- would you expect your hit rate to -- what effect on the hit rate would you expect given the goals zero late tickets, the scarce resources, the improper locations, et cetera?

A Right. I think that would definitely affect the hit rate. You'd have more miss-marks, you'd have more contractors digging without marks. You know, those types of things would be happening.

They also utilized a team. A dirt team would go out and be looking for that kind of stuff too. That was a different team. But yeah.

Q Do you -- based on your experience, do you know if senior leadership, the vice president of gas operations, were looking myopically at the hit rate?

MR. PENDLETON: You may want to clarify what you mean by that. "Myopically at the hit rate."

BY MR. BRUNO:

Q Yes. Ms. Mack, do you think the hit rate's metric got more visibility by senior PG&E leaders such as vice president of gas operations and higher?

A That got huge. I mean, it was a big board in the division -- not the division. In the San Bruno that had -- in San Ramon that would have the number up there. What the percentage was and how many hits, you now. So it was a big deal. It was a big thing. Everybody was walking by could see, you know, whether it was going up or down or -- it was a big deal.

They spoke to their efforts that they were, you know, they had done a lot of work in educating. So I think that they were, you know, proud of it. Because they had done a lot of work of educating the contractors and stuff like that. So it felt that their efforts were paying off.

Q I agree. I mean, my opinion is that that's a proud metric. But to put things in context, the other important metric would be how many tickets were shown as not late when actually they were.

A Yeah. You know, I just wonder -- I mean, I don't know. Maybe it's a culture thing, you know. I just -- PG&E, don't bring

102

1 bad news. I don't know. It's like Joel -- I know -- I still remember, you know, showing 2 3 him the percentage. You know, when I was 4 with SGO, I was really -- we were working 5 with contractors to try to pick the top things that would -- locate and mark I was 6 7 working with that -- the top things that 8 would -- they -- that we could really make 9 change on. 10 And I said, "The Number 1 thing is 11 late tickets. Let's do something about 12 this," right? 13 So he said, "Okay." He's a 14 contractor good with numbers, good with everything. He said, "Well, let's do this. 15 16 Let's do a report. You were -- I'm going to 17 have you look at so many tickets." 18 Now, this was after Joel got on the 19 phone to locators and said, "Don't do that 20 anymore." 21 But the expectation of the zero was 22 still there. So it's like -- it's just, "I'm 23 checking that box. I said don't do it. 24 I'm protected." That's what it felt like. 25 Because when I did this thing, you 26 know, I showed him, "Hey, Number 1 if you 27 have this many -- if you're down employees by 28 this much, here's how many late tickets you

can expect. And, oh, by the way I did a
little, you know, survey. I looked at --"
Randomly, I took four folders and I

looked at, you know, like, I don't remember how many tickets I looked at. You know, 40 tickets in each of the folders. And, you know, of those, you know, I don't remember what the exact number was. But it was like, you know, 30 percent of them had, you know, still incorrectly documented tickets in them.

And, you know, he was so angry.

It's like bringing him bad news. I was not supposed to tell him that, you know. He said, "What do you want me to do with that?"

And threw it up and, you know, left the meeting and refused to meet with me ever again, and I was laid off.

You know, it's like I don't know if, you know, what happened with his leadership. But he was certainly, "Don't bring me bad news." You know. I don't know. I just don't know. It's a question you'd have to ask him.

I never had a problem bringing something up to John Higgins. He was very open. And I did. I brought stuff to his attention. You know, what he did with it, I don't know. But I did. He was very open and

1 he would say you know, "Let's work through 2 this." He seemed to be very open to having 3 those conversations unlike Joel. But John 4 Higgins was open to having them. And I do 5 know that the problem wasn't solved. But he was open, you know, and wasn't angry about 6 7 hearing the message. 8 Understood. Thank you, Ms. Mack. 9 I also want to ask you your 10 opinion, your professional opinion, on the 11 condition where we have these -- you know, we 12 have a situation where there are late 13 tickets. There's tickets that are adjusted 14 so that they don't appear to be late, and 15 then there's gas people doing QEW work. 16 In your opinion, did that lead to 17 increased potential risk of an incident? 18 Absolutely. Yes. Definitely. I 19 mean that's, you know, definitely. 20 MR. BRUNO: Thank you, Ms. Mack. 21 EXAMINATION 22 BY MR. GRUEN: 23 And why do you say that? Q 24 Well, because -- I mean, so, you 25 know, the way a dig-in is -- I saw it 26 numerous times. Say, we didn't go out there 27 and locate it in time, within the 48 hours, 28 and a contractor duq.

Well, you know, it would be documented as "dug before marked"; right?

But -- and because we -- you know, we would hold them accountable for digging without marks, but in reality what caused it, we didn't get out there and mark within the 48 hours, but the contractors were still billed for those dig-in. So it definitely was the root cause of it -- even though -- okay. The contractor dug without marks.

Do you know what I'm saying?

Q Yes. I follow that.

I'm going to ask you, if you can it may be difficult - put that into numbers,
during your tenure. How often did PG&E bill
an excavator for a dig-in when PG&E was late
on the mark?

A No. I would not be able to put that into a number. I mean, any dig-in that -- any dig-in that happened that was not marked, you know, within 48 hours pedoes (sic).

(Reporter clarification.)

THE WITNESS: Billed. Any dig-in without marks, but the ticket was already due would be -- fall into that category, you know.

MR. GRUEN: You mentioned a term. I

1 thought you said "P.O.'d"? I may have missed 2 the term. I'm sorry. THE WITNESS: Hmmm. 3 MR. GRUEN: Do you want to continue, 5 Mr. Bruno? MR. BRUNO: Yes. I'll ask one more 6 7 question, and then I think Mr. Mee will have 8 a question or two. 9 EXAMINATION 10 BY MR. BRUNO: 11 Ms. Mack, I want to go back to a 12 different category that we described as electric transmission. To your knowledge any 13 14 issues on locating and marking in electric 15 transmission? 16 Timely. I mean, okay, so a ticket 17 is due within 48 hours. So they're only one 18 team and they're in San Francisco. So 19 getting them to get to even Oakland, you 20 know, or somewhere else was, you know -- I 21 mean, first of all, getting somebody to 22 answer the phone, and then, you know, getting 23 them scheduled, that took longer than 48 24 hours. 25 Q Thank you, Ms. Mack. 26 In that category of electric 27 transmission, would the ticket -- do you have

any knowledge that the tickets were changed

28

to not look late, or do you just not know?

A I think it's a combination. I think when it came to electric department managing the folders and electric transmission managing the folders, I don't think they had the pressure that the gas had to do that, but at least early on, I think that they a lot of times locate them late,

9 you know, and didn't worry or not care that,

10 you know, putting a note in there to make

11 sure that it wasn't late. I think that came

12 later on.

You know, when numbers started showing up on reports that electric department had late tickets or electric, you know, or transmission. A lot of times they just wouldn't even close them. They just leave them open, you know, with no documentation or anything. It may have been located or may not have been located. You know, so it was tough to tell.

So I don't think that -- then I would go out and meet with them. Not electric transmission so much, but definitely gas transmission, you know, and electric distribution. Absolutely, I'd go out there and meet with them.

And I'm like: You guys have 200

tickets in your folder, and, you know, you're charging us 15 hours a day to locate, but we don't see anything getting located or closed.

You know, they changed supervisors and did some stuff. You know, I think they would just -- they had problems inaccurately documenting tickets, too, because they weren't really going out and locating them for the different reasons, not for the lateness because I don't think that they cared about that. I don't think that they had the pressure for the lateness.

Q Ms. Mack, I wanted to ask you -- I appreciate the response, but I want to ask you one more time, and I'm going to just ask you to be disciplined to only answer about electric transmission.

A Okay.

Q To your knowledge was there any manipulation of the late ticket on electric transmission so that it did not appear late?

A Okay. So are you talking about a ticket that we found electric transmission on?

Q No. I'm talking about 811 gets a locate; they generate an electric transmission ticket.

A Uh-huh.

109

1 I'm asking, to your knowledge were Q 2 there issues with the integrity of the 3 information on that ticket. So I don't know because they would -- I mean, if they made notes, I have 5 6 no way of knowing whether they really called 7 somebody or not. Whether they really delayed 8 a job, I have no idea. 9 The only way I can say is if a 10 distribution person needed an electric transmission guy, that would have been 11 12 inappropriately documented because they're 13 not going to say, Oh, this is late because I 14 need a... 15 You know, they're going to check 16 one of those boxes we talked about. 17 that's just the distribution. The electric 18 transmission, I have no way of knowing. 19 mean, know they had late tickets. I know 20 they had issues, but I don't know what they -- how they -- if they incorrectly 21 22 documented or not. 23 MR. GRUEN: Do you know who would know 24 the answer to that question? 25 THE WITNESS: No. 26 MR. GRUEN: Colleagues? 27 THE WITNESS: No. BY MR. BRUNO: 28

Q Ms. Mack, I do want to explore that scenario you just described. So you have an electric distribution locate that calls for a transmission; is that what you said?

A So we would maybe the -- you know,
I've seen this scenario happen a lot in
Oakland where they go out to perform a locate
and they see electric transmission, and
they're like, Whoa, wait a minute. You know
that's not marked. Something's wrong here.

And so they would call the electric transmission department and say, Hey, I need you guys out here.

So that's why I'm saying then they're going to delay that ticket. That's why I'm saying that scenario would happen where they would do one of those inappropriate ways to delay the ticket.

Q Understood. But that would be a locate or mark under gas operations?

A Yeah, but there should have been a ticket going to electric transmission. So that's why I say, I have no way of knowing how they handled their tickets. And, you know, sometimes they would be closed, but the marks wouldn't be out there. So that's why I'm saying I have no way of knowing how they functioned that area because we had nothing

1 to do with them. 2 Right. And really that's all I was 3 asking. I don't expect you to have all the 4 answers for the company. I just wanted to 5 know based on your experience on electric 6 transmission. I think you answered it. 7 MR. GRUEN: If I may, a couple other 8 things. 9 MR. BRUNO: Yes. 10 EXAMINATION 11 BY MR. GRUEN: 12 I think you had mentioned earlier 13 that you talked to Joel Dickson, something 14 like this, what I noted down was the number 15 one improvement that could be made was to 16 tackle late tickets, to address late tickets; 17 did I get that right? 18 I was speaking with the contractor. 19 So we were coming up with what were going to 20 be our top, maybe, 7 to 10 things we were 21 going to work on, and then we presented it to 22 Joel Dickson, and he could either give us a 23 thumbs up or thumbs down on whether to tackle 24 that, or do things that I had listed. 25 And in the case of late tickets, he 26 gave a thumbs down --27 Α To some of them.

-- or a "what do you want me to do

28

with this"? 1 2 That was -- you're talking 3 about a specific presentation that I 4 presented to him. That's different. 5 presented to him something that was more informational. 6 7 Because he said, That's not 8 happening anymore. And I said, Well, yeah, 9 it is, and here's why: Every time you have a 10 lag in support, you know, in manpower, here's 11 how many late tickets you can expect, and 12 here's proof that it's still going on. 13 So that was a presentation that I 14 did to him, you know, when I was with SGO so 15 that's two different things. 16 I see. Then that presentation that 17 quantified the expected late ticket increase 18 correlated to manpower reduction, what was 19 his reaction to that? That's when he threw it on the 20 21 table and said: What do you expect me to do 22 with that? 23 And to your knowledge - this may 24 sound a little bit silly - to your knowledge 25 did he pass that issue onto his senior 26 management? 27 Α I have no idea.

Were you provided with an

28

Q

opportunity in his reaction to present that, to give that presentation about late tickets and the correlation between manpower and late tickets to other senior management?

A No. That became a big deal. He actually went to the person that was over SGO and asked why I presented that to him, and, you know, she kind of back-tracked on it, and it was a big deal anyway. And we did have approval to present it to him, prior approval, and like I said, I worked hand-in-hand with the contractor on it.

We got the approval. We did show it to him, but he was very upset about that, and then, basically, like I said, refused to meet with me after that.

Q When you say you got approval to show that information to him, who gave you that approval?

A The person that was managing the SGO department, Anne Beech.

Q And Anne Beech had coordinated with Joel Dickson ahead time in order to get approval?

A No. So it was approval from her.

So we presented it to her and said, This is what we are going to present to him because she was the one over SGO. So we presented to

her, and said, Hey, this is what we're going to show to him. She said, Thumbs up.

So we set the meeting up, and it was me and the contractor. Presented to him. He was like a change management kind of person. He's not change management. He was a numbers guy, program. He did all of our -- you know, put everything into stuff for us, all those skills that we didn't have.

Q And it sounds like - not being inside the process - with approval from Anne Beech from SGO, you would have reason to expect that with that approval it was okay to share this information with Joel Dickson?

A Yes.

Q Why would you expect that to be the case?

A Why would I expect the --

Q Anne Beech's approval to give you the go-ahead to present information like this, the late tickets, to Joel Dickson.

A I mean, so her -- this program was to, you know, streamline, you know, all the different entities of PG&E within the gas department.

Q Uh-huh.

A And so everything that we did, we presented to her first. Like, we had weekly

1 meetings or, you know, things like that. 2 always, you know, showed her our presentation 3 first, and then presented it to the -- they 4 considered him the customer or the client at 5 that time. So it was like, you know, you 6 just don't -- she's approving everything, you 7 know, and it could be -- it's not just 8 because of -- you know, it's what's in it, 9 but it's also everything. Do you have all 10 your ducks in a row? Do you have everything 11 in it? It's not just, Oh, don't show it to 12 him because it's a safety -- it's not like 13 It's let get this packaged. 14 see the package and make sure you have 15 everything in there, and that's the approval 16 part of it. 17 I follow. 18 This was the process in place, if 19 you will, to get approval to present him? 20 Α Yes. 21 You had done regularly within the 22 realm of locate and mark? 23 No. Within the realm of SGO. 24 at that point I was working for SGO as an SME 25 for locate and mark. 26 And SGO to clarify terms --27 Α Super Gas Operations. 28 SME is Subject Matter Expert? Q

1 Α Yes. 2 And so SGO, you had gotten approval 3 from Anne Beech in your role at SGO to 4 present certain other information to Joel 5 Dickson before? 6 Α Yes. 7 And that included locate and mark information? 8 9 Always locate and mark. Α I was only 10 working for SGO for locate and mark. 11 When you had gone through the 12 process of getting Anne Beech's approval to 13 present to Joel Dickson before and you had 14 presented to Joel Dickson, had you ever seen 15 him react like this before, the way he did 16 when you presented the relationship between manpower and late tickets? 17 18 I've seen him behave badly before, but I think -- I think it was worse that time 19 20 because -- umm, well, I think for a lot of 21 reasons. It was just worse that time 22 definitely. 23 If Joel Dickson had agreed with the 24 presentation, recognized it as a problem, and 25 had been -- I'm going to use the term 26 "supported" --27 Α Yeah. 28 -- on this. I don't mean to be Q

presumptuous in using that term, but I hope you understand what I mean by that.

If he had said something to the effect of, Gee, Katherin, this is a problem. What can we do to fix it? Something like that. What would you have expected to come out of that kind of conversation if that had been his reaction?

A Well, I would have expected that the next steps would have been, Hey, here's why we put so much importance on our next conversation, why these things are so important to do as next steps.

Because we'd picked out like, you know, five or six things that were all about -- that was supposed to be the precursor. It was like, Here's why this is important.

And I think that's what we were going for. I kept telling my counterpart -- or the contractor, I was like, No. No. This is going to be bad. But he's like, No. No. I know you want to fix this. I want to help you. I hear it from the employees. You know, he like had a passion for it now, too, because he'd been to the yards with me. So he knew. He recognized that was a really big issue.

And he wanted fix it as bad as I did. So I think that's why he really wanted to, too, as well to show Joel. But we walked out of that room and I knew it was going to be bad. Bad for me. I just knew.

Q And you described to us the consequences. And I appreciate you walking us through that. I know that may be difficult. I'm not trying to get you to relive a difficult experience although it is very helpful for us. So we appreciate that.

If I can, when you went through the process of getting Anne Beech's approval to present to Joel before and he accepted an idea that you had presented to him, what happened?

Can you think of an example where you presented a locate and mark issue that needed fixing or improvement and he said something like: Yes. I'm on board with this.

A Yeah. I mean, there was other issues where, you know, he readily accepted them.

Q And what happen when he did? What would be the next step?

A He would just say, Yeah. You know, give his approval. And we would put it into

action. Whether it was a policy or procedure, we would work on it and come up with something -- or maybe it was -- whatever it was, you know.

Q Maybe I ought to be specific. It sounds like maybe you have a thing or two in mind. Can you give us an example.

A Sure. Like changing Earthnet to sort tickets better or changing Earthnet to allow for different, like, notation. Like, instead of being these three things, maybe there is four things on it instead.

So it would be -- but we'd, like, to have to pay. Maybe it was like \$2,000 or \$5,000 to change Earthnet to give us more information. That would be a good example that he would be like: Yeah, get that created.

Q Would he report that up, something like that, up to his management as well?

A I have no idea.

Q But you were given authority to move forward with making that happen?

A Yes.

MR. PENDLETON: For clarification, when you started asking this, Katherin was talking about her time in SGO, and these changes that you're discussing, did these all happen when

you were in SGO or are you talking about all the time that you worked with Joel? I was a little unclear.

THE WITNESS: These ones we're talking about, that was when I was just with SGO.

MR. GRUEN: Thank you. Understood.

Q What was the reaction with the Earthnet scenario you described? When you had his approval, who was affected by that within the locate and mark office? All locators? Or all locate staff?

Where I'm trying to go with this is based on his decision or thumbs up or the reaction we saw here, how many people were affected by that in the locate and mark division?

A Well, every change affects the whole locate and mark. Whether it was supervisors or employees or -- all those changes affect everybody.

Q And the reaction from the manager of the locate and mark division like what he had, wasn't just a consequence to you, then, but to everyone in PG&E's locate and mark division; is that accurate?

A Well, yes, because, you know, what that does is then he's upset with different -- you know, like, say, the four

1 divisions that, you know, I did the review 2 on, you know, that would go against them. 3 I mean, it's on paper, that, you know, that they were doing this. And so, you 5 know, I'm sure they got phone calls after 6 that, you know. 7 MR. GRUEN: Thank you. 8 I want to just turn over -- I think 9 we'll have more after lunch, but I wanted to 10 pass the baton to Mr. Mee and give him an 11 opportunity. Thank you for patiently 12 waiting. I know Mr. Mee has a few questions 13 he'll direct to you. 14 Mr. Mee, do you want to go ahead 15 with questions. 16 EXAMINATION BY MR. MEE: 17 18 Thank you for the opportunity. I just wanted to basically get some 19 20 clarification about -- and at PG&E you have 21 only one software or database, what you call 22 Earthnet? 23 Α Yes. 24 So you talk about four kind of 25 tickets: Transmission, electric 26 transmission, electric only, gas transmission 27 and gas distribution? 28 Α Yes.

Q Maybe I'll just focus on the electric only because that one is kind of comparable to the gas distribution and gas transmission. So for the electric only, based on your knowledge or experience whether or not there are lots of late tickets, and then the gas distribution because of the zero late ticket policy added to the gas distribution, and so the electric only because they report electric whether or not their late tickets numbers are more than the late ticket numbers out of the gas distribution.

A I think that early on, we definitely had a high amount of late tickets because I was tasked by Joel to go out to these areas because they had hundreds of late tickets.

Q When you said "this area," you're talking about --

A The electrical areas because they would show up on a report. So was tasked with going up there and just see what is going on. I would go up there; I would train the electric supervisor; have meetings with the employees.

Sometimes it was that they didn't know how to use the computer or they didn't

use the computer. They were still printing out hand tickets and just going out and locating them and not putting them in the system. So sometimes the tickets were actually located timely. They were just not documented. Other times they weren't located at all depending on what was going on.

So I went up there. Like I said, I did a lot of training with them to resolve an issue and get them to understand what they needed to do to get the documentation in Earthnet and set those expectations about late tickets.

And so, I think, eventually Joel's mind-set about the importance of late tickets definitely ended up, you know, having that same expectation because they knew they couldn't have any late tickets.

Eventually, the gas department took over those areas. They took over the southern region tickets. I don't know if they ever did them in Humboldt. That was probably in 2017 that they started to take over those.

Q Yeah, my question was, before they took over --

A Yeah.

Q So you said that the late ticket

number -- the number of late tickets had been
decreased?

A So in the beginning, there were a lot, and then in the end they would be decreased, yes.

- Q Because of the training?
- A Yeah.

- Q Or because of the pressure?
- A I think both.
- Q Okay.

A I think some areas they just weren't documenting. They were actually located timely, and especially up north, I think it was a combination. I think a lot of times they were located on time and just not documented because they didn't use computers then -- and for whatever reason.

And I think they did have some of the same issues where people were pulled because they're QEWs, but not -- I don't think it was as much as San Francisco or Oakland. So I think it was a combination.

So I think after, you know, they were informed about the situation, about, you know, late tickets and what the expectation was and documentation and all that, I think there was that expectation that they should have zero late tickets as well.

1 How about for the other group, the 0 2 electric transmission; do they have that same 3 pressure -- no. Before I ask you that question, as 5 to the electric transmission, their number of 6 late tickets are more than the gas 7 distribution? Their numbers are -- there's 8 No. 9 not as many tickets in electric transmission. 10 In comparison, they are a very small amount. 11 Let me ask the question again: 12 percentage of the late tickets there compared 13 to the gas distribution; which one is higher 14 and which one is lower? 15 I'm sorry. Say that again. 16 As to the electric transmission, 17 the percentage of the late tickets compared 18 to the percentage of the late tickets as to 19 the gas distribution. 20 Α Less. 21 So they are less? 0 22 Α In electric transmission? 23 don't have that many tickets, so, yeah. 24 Q Okay. 25 And that number was excluded from 26 the locate and mark metrics --27 Q Okay. 28 -- gas transmission for whatever Α

1	reason.
2	MR. PENDLETON: Do you mean electric
3	transmission?
4	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.
5	The electric transmission numbers
6	were excluded from the reports in Earthnet
7	and so they weren't included in that.
8	MR. MEE: Okay. Thank you.
9	MR. GRUEN: I see it's just after 1:00
10	and so why don't we go off the record.
11	(Whereupon, at the hour of 1:00
12	<pre>p.m., a recess was taken until 1:55 p.m.)</pre>
13	* * * *
14	]
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1	AFTERNOON SESSION - 1:50 P.M.
2	* * * *
3	MR. GRUEN: Let's go back on the
4	record.
5	EXAMINATION
6	BY MR. GRUEN:
7	Q Okay. Starting picking back up,
8	Ms. Mack, before we had left, I think we had
9	asked you a couple things. One is about
10	one was about the performance evaluations
11	that had zero late ticket objectives on them.
12	A Uh-huh.
13	Q And I think you had identified
14	you had mentioned that your performance
15	evaluations had that
16	A Uh-huh.
17	Q as superintendent in your
18	role as superintendent
19	A Uh-huh.
20	Q and that your the supervisors
21	who worked under your purview also had that
22	performance objective. Did I get
23	A Yes.
24	Q all of that right? Okay.
25	A Uh-huh.
26	Q And do you know which other
27	performance evaluations of locate and mark
28	supervisors also had zero late ticket
	1

objectives? Were there any others, that you know of?

- A All supervisors do.
- Q Within the locate and mark department?
  - A Yeah.

- Q Understood. Okay. Do you know who made the decision to include that objective in those performance evaluations, who within PG&E made that decision?
- A So, for me, it came from Joel. It came from him; but, I don't know if that came from above him or not.
- Q Okay. All right. I think, if -
  if I can -- let's go off the record for a

  minute.

(Off the record.)

MR. GRUEN: Back on the record.

While we were off the record, we noted that we want to capture, as a data request, the following question: Who within PG&E made the decision to include the performance objective of late -- zero late tickets for locate and mark supervisors, including Ms. Mack's former role, that of superintendent, including for the -- the director, assuming that the director of locate and mark had a performance evaluation

1 that included the zero late ticket objective, 2 and -- and any other supervisors who had them who worked for -- for Ms. Mack. 3 For you, Ms. Mack. 4 Q Yeah. I never saw Joel's. 5 Α 6 Q Okay. 7 So I would just know about mine and Α 8 the other superintendent of the north and all 9 the supervisors. So that's the only level. 10 I don't know about Joel's, if they had zero 11 or not. 12 Q I follow. 13 Α Okay. 14 Q Understood. 15 If -- if Joel Dickson's performance 16 evaluation did, in fact, have a zero late 17 ticket objective, we would like to know who 18 made the decision to include that. 19 Let me ask you this, having said 20 that, though: Would it be common practice 21 for someone above the level of the employee 22 to decide whether an -- an objective gets 23 included in a performance evaluation? 24 Α Yes. They come -- they're 25 cascading. 26 Q Okay. 27 Α So he gave us ours. 28 And so if Joel Dickson had a Q

1 performance evaluation objective of zero late 2 tickets, who would have been immediately above Joe -- Joel at the time? 3 4 That would have been John Higgins. Oh, John Higgins. John Higgins 5 Q could have made the decision to include that? 6 7 I can't speculate on that. Α I understand. But, it had to have 8 Q 9 been someone at least on John Higgins' level? 10 Well, it's -- I don't know. 11 Okay. Q 12 Α Because I don't know if he creates his own or if John tells him what to put in 13 14 his. I know, for us --15 Yeah. 16 Α -- we were told what went in ours. 17 Understood. I am -- the last thing 18 I want to do is try and get you to speculate. 19 Α Yeah. I just don't know. 20 I think I tripped over that, and 0 21 you're -- I'll stop asking that, because we 22 just want information. Understood. That's 23 great. 24 Okay. Do you know who made the 25 decision to include the performance 26 evaluation objective for your -- your -- of 27 zero late tickets for your performance 28 evaluation?

1	A Joel did.
2	Q Joel did?
3	A Joel Dickson, yeah.
4	Q What about for your staff, the
5	other supervisors?
6	A Joel Dickson. He told us that zero
7	was the number for for all of us.
8	Q Okay. And regarding regarding
9	the do you know if John just to ask
10	I may be tripping over the speculation wire
11	again.
12	But, did John Higgins have that
13	same objective of zero late tickets in his
14	performance evaluation
15	A I have
16	Q do you know?
17	A no idea.
18	Q You don't know. Yeah. I follow.
19	Okay. So we were talking a little
20	bit about the consequences of the decision
21	that Joel Dickson made in response to your
22	recommendation not a recommendation, but I
23	think you're drawing the relationship between
24	limited man man-hours and and late
25	tickets
26	A Uh-huh.
27	Q and the impact on other
28	employees within the locate and mark

department, and I -- I just want to understand better the impacts of that decision-making, decisions like that, on the entirety of the locate and mark employees, everyone from yourself to other supervisors, everyone under the purview of Mr. Dickson.

A Uh-huh.

Q So a decision like -- if he responded -- if I'm saying this incorrectly, please correct me, if I'm wrong.

When he -- when Mr. Dickson says,

"Hey, Katherin, what do you want me to do
with this information" when you presented the
relationship between limited man-hours and
late tickets, what was the decision that
resulted from that interaction that he made,
or group of decisions?

A Well, I mean he laid me off just shortly after that. So I don't know what -- I mean I don't think anything became of that, if that's what you're asking me, of that particular report, because he just had a phone call, you know, that says, "Don't do that." But, I don't know what -- after I left that organization, locate and mark, I can't speculate what they did or didn't do.

Q Do you -- understood. Thank you. And again, if I've tripped over the

1 speculation --2 Α Yeah. 3 -- part, I don't mean to. 4 The -- the -- do you know if the 5 reason -- or a reason that he laid you off 6 was because you made the presentation drawing 7 the relationship between late tickets and man-hours? 9 Α I think that that was a piece of 10 I think that the constant, you know, 11 bringing stuff to his attention, trying to 12 make those changes thing, that was -- I think 13 it all led up to it, definitely. 14 Q And why do you think so? 15 Α Because it put me on his bad side. 16 Q Okay. You know, "Don't bring me bad 17 18 news," you know, kind of thing. 19 Understood. Had he mentioned 20 anything prior to that time about laying you 21 off? 22 Α No. In fact, I had a meeting with 23 him when he first met me over on SGO, and he 24 said, "You know, don't worry about this. 25 going to put you over there. You're going 26 to -- you know, I've got your back," blah, 27 blah, blah, you know, that kind of 28 conversation, you know. "We'll bring you

back when this SGO thing is done." So -- and then --

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Q Had you ever gotten -- when you had worked for Joel as your direct supervisor, had you ever received any poor or -- or mediocre performance evaluations during that time?

No. In fact, I got usually exceeds in most things, or meets. I've -- like I had said before, my last one, the only time I've ever been in any kind of, you know, trouble at work, was I had referred my son-in-law over to -- for a recommendation, and I did not realize at the time that the company had changed the policy about you can't have anybody work under you. And I had gave his -- his resume to -- recommending him to one of -- somebody for a job, and it was like two layers down from me, and I just didn't realize that it was a -- policy had changed two years prior to that, and I just didn't realize. And that's the only time I had ever got in any trouble. I think he sat me down and talked to me and, you know, gave me a oral conversation or something like that. That's the only time I ever, in my history, can think of a time I got --

Q And that experience was prior to

```
1
     when you were laid off, approximately?
2
               That was a year.
3
               A year prior. And had you received
     performance evaluations between that -- that
5
     time and when you were laid off?
               I had never had a bad performance
6
7
     review after that, no.
8
               So you had several exceeds
9
     expectations performance reviews after that
10
     time?
11
               After -- so I was with SGO during
           Α
12
     that time, that year --
13
               Okay.
14
               -- so I didn't receive -- he didn't
15
     even give me one after -- after --
16
            Q
               Okay.
17
               -- because it was all around that
18
     timeframe they would have been due.
19
            Q
               Okay.
20
               And then I think he laid me off,
21
     instead of giving me a performance review --
22
               Uh-huh.
           Q
23
               -- or it was just before. However,
24
     I got normal --
25
            Q
               Okay.
26
           Α
               -- STIP and all that kind of stuff.
27
     I wasn't --
28
              Okay.
           Q
```

1	A penalized in any way.
2	Q And in your role as a
3	superintendent, had you had occasion to go
4	through either a termination process or some
5	sort of discipline process of any of your
6	employees?
7	A Yes.
8	Q I'm asking, because I want to
9	understand your familiarity with PG&E's
10	process for disciplining or letting employees
11	go. So it sounds like you have some
12	familiarity with that.
13	A Yes.
14	Q Is that right?
15	A Yes.
16	Q Okay. And so do you have a general
17	understanding, at a fairly high level, before
18	one is laid off, are there any steps
19	procedurally that have to happen that PG&E
20	has to do before one is laid off?
21	A Well and I think this was a
22	different scenario, and I
23	Q Okay.
24	A Because PG&E was laying off quite a
25	few people at that time.
26	Q Okay.
27	A There was, you know, several
28	hundred, and I'm just put into that pool.

1 Q Okay. And --So there wasn't any, you know -- it 2 3 wasn't like "You're fired because you have a 4 poor performance" or anything. It was 5 just -- I personally -- and this is my own 6 personal opinion. I think it was just an 7 opportunistic -- it's like that happened 8 probably the wrong time, you know, that they 9 were laying off people, and it was easy to 10 put me into that group. 11 Okay. I follow. Okay. Thank you. 12 Regarding the pressure that you --13 I think we talked a little bit about it this 14 morning and probably the last time you were 15 here, as well, where we were asking you 16 questions. 17 Pressure to have zero late tickets, 18 did that pressure come from Joel Dickson? 19 Α Yes. 20 Do you know if the pressure came 21 from John Higgins, as well? 22 Α I don't know. 23 Q Okay. 24 Because the few conversations I had 25 with John, I never -- he never relayed that 26 to me or -- you know, in fact, the 27 conversation I had with him is "I don't know

what's driving that."

28

Okay. Do you know if anyone in the 1 Q 2 chain of command above Joel Dickson had given that -- the sort of pressure that you're 3 4 describing, to have zero late tickets? 5 Α I don't know. Because I tried to find out what was driving that --6 7 Q Okay. -- and I -- I never did find out if 8 9 it was higher or not. 10 Q Okay. 11 Α It's a question you'd have to ask 12 Joel --13 Q Yeah. 14 Α -- you know. 15 Okay. Understood. And when --16 so -- so this was from Joel in his position as director of the locate and mark 17 18 department. Is that right? 19 Α Yes. 20 And when -- in his position as 21 director, he's exerting pressure -- it's upon yourself and other sup- -- people at the 22 23 superintendent level, your other counterpart? 24 And my supervisors. 25 And -- and who are those? What are 26 their titles? 27 They're supervisors, gas operations 28 supervisors.

139

1	Q Okay. And how many of them are
2	there?
3	A I think at the time I had nine.
4	Q Okay.
5	A And then there was probably eight
6	on the other the south the north side.
7	Q Okay. How many
8	A Maybe 16 total, 17 total, something
9	like that.
10	Q Okay. And then how many
11	superintendents were there?
12	A Two for just locate and mark. It
13	was a north and a south.
14	Q And then how about other
15	supervisors, how many other supervisors in
16	in locate and mark?
17	A There were just the seven the 17
18	total.
19	Q I'm not using the right term.
20	There are supervisor people who do
21	supervising who answer to you. Who were your
22	direct reports?
23	A So nine supervisors reported to
24	me
25	Q Yes.
26	A and eight supervisors reported
27	to the north superintendent.
28	Q Got it. And the you and the

north superintendent reported to whom? 1 2 Α Joel Dickson. 3 I misunderstood. Okay. I got you. 4 And that's -- that makes up the 5 pool of supervisors in the locate and mark 6 division who reported to a superintendent, to 7 yourself or your counterpart, and who then 8 reported to Joel. Is that right? 9 Α Yes. 10 0 Okay. And then below the 11 supervisors who reported to you and your 12 counterpart were the locators? 13 Α Yes. 14 Got it. I'm clear. Okay. when -- when -- at the director level 15 when Joel Dickson made the decision like --16 17 when he made a decision to -- I guess it 18 would be a decision to not increase manpower, 19 because -- let me back up. 20 You recall presenting to him the 21 relationship between limited manpower and 22 late tickets? 23 Α Uh-huh. 24 Okay. So did you have a 25 recommendation as a result of that 26 presentation? 27 Well, that presentation was just to 28 show him that -- the result of not having the

Ιt

manpower and how many late tickets he would 1 2 get, and that it was still going on. So that 3 wasn't the, you know, presentation for fixing 4 anything. That was just --5 Q Okay. -- information. Later, we did some 6 7 things to try to, yeah, make some recommendations. 8 9 What were those? 0 10 So changes in Earthnet. I don't know if I can remember all ten of them. 11 There was like ten, I think, or 14; you know, 12 13 training, using -- adding senior locators to 14 every yard, providing training for senior 15 locators. I can't remember what else --16 Q That's okay. 17 -- was on that list. Yeah. 18 Understood. Thank you. Of those 19 recommendations, can you give an example of a recommend -- recommendation that Joel Dickson 20 21 decided to adopt? 22 The senior locator training 23 program. 24 Okay. And so when Joel Dickson 25 decided to adopt the senior locating --26 locator training program, excuse me, what 27 happened?

It wasn't a senior late ticket.

28

Α

1 was just senior training. 2 Maybe I misstated that. 3 Α I understand. I just --4 Q I'm sorry. 5 -- wanted to make sure you knew it Α wasn't just about the late tickets. 6 7 Understood. Q 8 Α Yeah. 9 And it's helpful. I'm getting a 10 little bit afield from late tickets, but the 11 reason I'm going here is because I want to 12 understand the impact of his decision --13 Α Uh-huh. 14 Q -- on the locate and mark division. 15 Α Uh-huh. 16 So he decided to -- you train 17 the -- adopting the late -- the -- the locate 18 and mark senior locator training program. 19 And so then the senior locators were trained? 20 Α Yep. We -- they put together a 21 program that met with different groups and 22 the other superintendent, and they ended up 23 putting together a training program, and 24 they, you know -- I don't know if it's still 25 in play --26 Okay. 27 Α -- or anything like that, but I 28 know that they did -- that did grow to

143

```
1
     fruition; at least, up until I left, they
2
     were working on that and getting that put
 3
     together and scheduling the first class. I
     don't know if it ever happened. It's after
     my --
5
 6
            Q
               Okay.
7
            Α
               -- tenure there.
8
            Q
               But, you saw this --
9
            Α
               Happen.
10
            Q
               -- in the making --
11
            Α
               Yes.
               -- for all senior locators?
12
            Q
13
            Α
               Yes.
14
            Q
               How many of -- people were that --
15
     was that, approximately?
16
            Α
               So I'm quessing maybe 40.
17
               Okay.
            Q
18
               But, I'm totally -- somewhere in
     that realm.
19
20
                I don't want you to --
            Q
21
            Α
               Yeah.
22
               -- speculate. That's helpful.
            Q
23
            Α
               Yeah.
24
               That's helpful.
            Q
25
               So what did -- did -- to your
26
     knowledge, was there ever a recommendation to
27
     increase staff -- the number of staff?
28
               Well, I mean we tried a number of
```

ways to put that on paper, to say how much it needed; but, you know, I think it had to do with the budget. I mean I think they would give you so much to fund it, and then take it away or whatever. I think that it didn't matter what the number was that you needed. It -- you know, it was how much you were approved for and, you know, what the budget was, you know, if they had to cut it or if you could use, you know, contractors or not use contractors or -- so I mean I think they always knew the need, but being able to supply that was a different --

Q Why do you think that was that -that the budget was not paying attention to
the need, if I'm stating that fairly? Am I
getting that right? I want to be sure,
because I -- I -- I just -- if -- if the
decision to budget a certain amount --

A Take and use it for other things.

Q Okay.

 $\mbox{\mbox{\sc A}}$  I mean I -- just the way it happened.

Q Okay. But, is -- am I saying it fairly and is it a fair characterization, in your mind, that the budget did not take into account the need for enough locators to avoid -- to have zero late tickets?

Well, I don't think it took -- I 1 Α 2 think that's correct, that it didn't take the 3 need to have enough to cover all the tickets; but, I also think that, even if we got that 5 money, a lot of it was taken away sometimes. 6 Q Okay. 7 Α So I think both scenarios happened. 8 Q And who made the decision to take 9 the money away? 10 I -- that came above Joel's head. 11 Do you --0 12 Α I can remember some conversations about that. So I would just hear "Well, they 13 14 took 5,000 in the budget, " five -- you know, whatever, you know. 15 16 Q Okay. 17 11,000, whatever it was, "and so we 18 have to, you know, cancel those bids or not 19 use contractors," or whatever the case may 20 be. 21 Do you know who above Joel's head 0 22 made the decision? 23 Α Huh-uh. 24 You don't know? 0 25 No, I have no idea who made that 26 decision. 27 Q How did you learn about that? 28 Α From Joel.

1 Q From Joel. I follow. 2 Α Yeah. 3 Q Okay. Thank you. Did PG&E do any 4 trainings -- remember, we were talking about 5 inappropriate documentation --Earlier. 6 Α 7 -- earlier in -- do you have 8 that -- that concept in mind and the 9 definition that we used from this morning? 10 Uh-huh. Okay. Did PG&E do any trainings to 11 12 teach its locators, including contractors, to 13 not inappropriately document tickets? 14 Well, I -- I mean their initial 15 training -- I mean they were trained how to 16 properly do it, you know, and if it came up, 17 you know, for some reason, they certainly 18 were talked to again. So they were chain --19 they were trained how to properly do it. I don't know if that's --20 21 Were they --0 22 Α -- what you're saying when you --23 It's similar. Were -- did the 0 24 training talk about what -- talk about what 25 would happen if they inappropriately 26 documented tickets? 27 I don't believe that was in the 28 training, per se --

1 Q Okay. 2 -- exactly like that. I mean it 3 was more about how to do it, not how not to 4 do some things. 5 Q Okay. Did you -- were you part of 6 the training? 7 Α No. 8 Q Okay. 9 I mean I definitely worked hand in Α 10 hand with -- with the training folks that 11 came up with the training, you know. 12 would call me and -- but, I wasn't a part of 13 the training. That's a whole other 14 organization that provided that training. 15 Okay. Were the -- at the time of 16 doing the training when you were there were 17 the trainers aware of the inappropriate 18 documentation problem that you identified 19 this morning? 20 Α They were not heavily involved in 21 that. 22 Okay. Q 23 I think more who was involved with 24 that would be the QC department. They were 25 heavily involved with that, because they 26 would see when they'd go out and do the 27 reviews, you know, about -- they would see

28

the --

148

```
1
            Q
               Yeah.
2
                -- you know, that there was --
 3
               QC. I'm thinking Jennifer
 4
     Burrows --
5
            Α
               Yes.
 6
            Q
                -- when you say, "QC."
7
            Α
               Yes.
8
            Q
               Okay.
9
               That's exactly who.
            Α
10
               Okay. So they would see the
11
     inappropriate documentation problem --
12
            Α
               Yes.
               -- you're talking about?
13
            Q
14
            Α
               Uh-huh.
15
            0
                I follow.
               And I know she had conversations
16
            Α
17
     with Joel, as well.
18
               Do you know if she had
19
     conversations about the inappropriate
20
     documentation problem with anyone above
21
     Joel's level?
22
               I don't know who else she did.
                                                  Ι
23
     know she had it with her leadership --
24
            0
               Yeah.
25
               -- and Joel. I'm not sure who.
26
     You'd have to ask her that.
27
            Q
               I -- I can.
28
                Let's go off the record a moment.
```

149

1 (Off the record.) 2 MR. GRUEN: Back on the record. 3 Just off the record, we have a data 4 request, whether Jennifer Burrows --5 B-u-r-r-o-u-g-h-s, I believe. I could stand corrected on that. 6 7 Does that sound right? 8 MR. PENDLETON: I believe --9 THE WITNESS: It doesn't sound right. 10 MR. PENDLETON: I believe it's 11 B-u-r-r-o-w-s. 12 MR. GRUEN: Okay. Thank you for the 13 correction. 14 If Jennifer Burrows communicated 15 about the inappropriate documentation problem 16 that Ms. Mack described this morning with 17 PG&E leadership; if so, whom, and when? 18 Okay. I wanted to harken back 19 to -- I think you described it as a big deal 20 in San Ramon there being a -- a big board 21 that showed dig-in reductions and the efforts 22 to achieve those. Did I get that right? 23 Α Uh-huh. 24 Just for the record, that's a "Yes"? 25 26 Α Yes. Sorry. 27 Q Okay. Great. Thank you. And I'm 28 just -- am I gleaning that right in

understanding that there was nothing 1 2 comparable to that for efforts to reduce the number of late tickets? 3 4 Α No. 5 Q Okay. MR. PENDLETON: Just so there isn't a 6 7 double negative there, because -- you may 8 want to clarify the record. 9 MR. GRUEN: Absolutely. Thank you. appreciate that. I'll -- I'll restate that. 10 11 I appreciate that. 12 So was there something similar to 13 the big board you described that said, "reduce late tickets" or "achieve zero late 14 15 tickets" or anything like that? 16 Α No. 17 Q Okay. 18 Α Other than the pressure. 19 Other than the pressure, yeah. 20 But -- but --21 No "how are we going to fix this" 22 and doing all that stuff, no. Huh-uh. 23 Okay. And the pressure -- just 24 since you mention it, was there pressure to 25 reduce the dig-ins in the same way that there 26 was pressure to reduce the number of late 27 tickets? 28 Α They're not the same kind of

1 pressure. I don't think anybody felt like 2 "Hey, if we don't fix this or lower this, 3 we're going to be fired." You know, I think it was more about the accomplishment and the 5 dedication and the safety and, you know, that kind of thing. It was different. 6 7 Uh-huh. In your opinion, does 8 reducing the number of late tickets in any 9 way excuse or -- in any way excuse having the 10 number of late tickets that PG&E did? 11 I'm not sure what you're asking me. 12 0 Let me withdraw it. I think --13 actually I'm just -- I'm -- bear with me a 14 second. 15 Oh yeah, here's the question: 16 your knowledge, was the problem of qualified 17 electrical workers being needed before 18 certain tickets could be completed -- let me 19 back up. 20 Do you remember us talking about 21 that? 22 Α Yes. 23 Okay. Was that problem of 24 qualified electrical workers being needed 25 before certain tickets could be completed, 26 was that raised to PG&E leadership? 27 Α Yes. 28 Was that raised -- I think you Q

answered it had been raised to Joel Dickson's 1 2 attention if I got that right? 3 Α Yes. 4 Was that raised to John Higgins' 5 attention? 6 Α Yes. 7 Was that raised to anyone else's attention who was senior in the rank in the 8 9 chain of command to John Higgins? 10 It was raised in the SAR that came 11 out. 12 Q And the SAR, who oversaw the SAR? 13 Α Jesus. 14 Q Jesus Soto? 15 Α Yeah. 16 And when was this SAR prepared to Q 17 your knowledge? 18 I can't remember what the dates are 19 now. 20 Okay. Q 21 MR. GRUEN: That's another data request 22 if we can. So when was the SAR that Ms. Mack 23 is referring to that was overseen by Jesus 24 Soto prepared? 25 When was the first one prepared that 26 identified the problem of qualified 27 electrical workers being needed before 28 certain tickets could be completed?

153

THE WITNESS: The other senior 1 2 leadership would have been on the electric side --3 4 (Reporter clarification.) 5 THE WITNESS: Sorry. Electric side of the house. 6 BY MR. GRUEN: 7 And can you speak to the level? 8 9 Would it have been Joel Dickson's counterpart on the electric side of the house? 10 11 Yeah. It was a little higher than 12 that. 13 Okay. 14 Probably at least another level 15 I was trying to think of who I met, but I can't remember. 16 17 John Higgins' counterpart on the electric side? 18 19 Α Yes. 20 And just for my refreshing my 21 memory, what was John Higgins' title? Do you 22 happen to remember? 23 Α I don't know. 24 That's okay. Q 25 Α No. 26 Your memory is so good that I'm 27 asking very detailed questions, and you're 28 answering most of them. So I appreciate it.

You're being very helpful.

Did the goal of -- so you remember us talking about the objective of zero late tickets on performance evaluations that we just talked about?

A Yes.

Q Okay. Were there any -- given those objectives, were there any accommodations made for getting qualified electrical workers to achieve the goal of zero late tickets? The objectives that were in these performance evaluations?

A No.

Q Okay. All right. A couple of questions if I can about do you -- bear with me one moment.

Do you have -- I want to just followup. I think just off the record as he was leaving, Mr. Bruno had asked a broad question about where things stand today. So I just want to capture that and see if you can shed any light for us about -- I believe you're not in the Locate and Mark Program anymore?

A Right.

Q So I get that this is -- that your knowledge may be a little bit limited or a little bit removed, if you will, from the

time you were in the Locate and Mark Program. So to the extent you can answer if you can.

Do you have a sense, if any, of the things, the concerns that you've identified in this interview have they been addressed?

Or are some the issues that you've identified still concerns today to your knowledge?

A So I'm not involved in the program anymore at all. So the only knowledge I have is, you know, folks that may have called me that are still within the organization still. So it would just be what they told me. Not my firsthand knowledge.

Q And I'll stop there. We don't want to go there.

What -- let me just -- so regarding corrective actions, I think Mr. Bruno mentioned that to you just after the end of the morning section. So SED, Safety and Enforcement Division, would like to ask you about your opinion as a safety professional for corrective actions to address the issues that you have identified for us.

So speaking -- taking you back to the time when you were a superintendant in the Locate and Mark Department. As a safety professional and a subject matter expert on Locate and Mark, were any of the Locate and

1 Mark activities that you discussed unsafe? 2 Α Yes. 3 0 Which ones? 4 You're asking about in general? 5 Just which ones were unsafe? It's a broad --6 7 Yeah, it's broad. Not locating the 8 tickets within the 48 hours. I mean, that's 9 the big one. You know, not having proper 10 staff both QEW and gas employees, you know, 11 to perform the work. You know, asking for 12 setting expectations without a way to meet 13 That's a huge one. If you're just

Q And you're specifically talking there about the objective of zero late tickets without the staff to achieve that goal?

the means to do it.

told to go do something, but you're not given

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

A Right. Right. And that cascades into other areas. Dig-ins and, you know, employee behavior and morale and people staying, you know. People are not going to stay under that kind of stress. I think those are some of the big ones.

And then the stress is another thing because it creates errors. People make errors when they're under stress. It just

creates a lot of other issues I think. 1 2 Q Okay. 3 So and then people were forced to 4 work overtime because they didn't have enough 5 staff to get the work done. They felt 6 obligated, you know, and they didn't want to 7 work. 8 And in your professional opinion, 9 the stress and the not wanting to work, did 10 that impact the performance of locators? 11 I think it absolutely did, and I 12 think it impacted their families. I would 13 get calls from, you know, employees saying, 14 you know, "My wife's going to divorce me if I 15 don't start, you know, staying home. I can't 16 do it." They were literally nearly in tears. 17 I'm like, "I get it. Stay home, you know." 18 So, yeah. And stress causes 19 errors. We can see that, you know, somebody 20 would fail a QC report or something and 21 working too many hours or not focusing where 22 they should be focusing or not having QEWs to 23 perform the locate, you know. 24 Okay. Anything else that you want 25 to add to that? 26 Α No. 27 Q I just want to be sure we have a

28

complete answer.

1 A Yeah.

Q Okay. As a safety professional and subject matter expert on locate and marks given your background as superintendant in the Locate and Mark Division, to your knowledge were any of the Locate and Mark activities illegal?

A Well, not locating tickets within
48 hours is illegal. Performing functions as
a QEW is illegal because you're, you know,
it's against the law to perform those
functions without being, you know, qualified
to do that.

I'm not sure the difference between what is -- if it's, you know, a NERC standard or a, you know, state, you know, OSHA standard. But definitely some of those things fall under OSHA laws. So that would be, I'm assuming, illegal. I don't know the difference what is illegal if you're going against OSHA or NERC.

MR. PENDLETON: That's a question I had for the transcript. Just because -- illegal just to make sure your question is clear to me. Are you asking Katherine about potential criminal conduct? Or not in compliance with regulations with government code? "Illegal," just I'm not sure how you mean the term

159

1 illegal. BY MR. GRUEN: 2 3 Q Well, the two that I heard I think 4 were not complying with the 48-hour 5 requirement, which is Government Code Section 4216, I believe; is that right? 6 Yes. It also falls under OSHA. 7 Α It falls under -- there's an OSHA 8 0 9 requirement? 10 А Yes. 11 I wasn't aware of that. 0 12 Yeah. There's some OSHA 13 requirements about locating. 14 Q Okay. 15 And there's OSHA requirements 16 around the QEW piece. 17 I see. I may be -- your point's 18 well taken, both of you. Maybe I can be 19 specific about which law we're talking about. 20 So in this case what I think I'm 21 hearing is that there's a statute, Government 22 Code Section 4216, that has the 48-hour 23 requirement that you discussed. Am I getting 24 that right? 25 Mh-hm. 26 Okay. And you're familiar with 27 this, it's my understanding, because of your 28 role and your knowledge in the PG&E's Locate

1 and Mark Department; is that accurate? 2 Α Yes. 3 Okay. And then the OSHA 4 requirements would be specific regulations 5 for operational safety and health administration; is that right? 6 7 Α Yes. 8 Q Okay. 9 I know there's some stuff that Α 10 falls under -- and I remember printing it I want to say Section 8, but don't hold 11 out. I don't remember. 12 me to that. 13 Of the OSHA regs? 14 Yeah. I'm not positive about that. 15 But I know that some Locate and Mark stuff and some of the transmission stuff falls 16 17 under that. And I know the QEW does. But I don't remember what numbers. 18 19 0 Okay. For some reason Section 8 sticks in 20 Α 21 my head about the locating map. 22 Okay. Thank you. I appreciate 23 you're doing your best to inform the record. 24 We can research it. 25 Α Yeah. 26 And then if I heard right, the 27 other thing was the -- maybe "the need," is a 28 better term than "requirement." I think you

used it earlier to have a qualified
electrical worker to do work on certain
locates. Did I did get that right?

A I am sorry. I'm not sure if that was a full question or what. I'm not sure what you're asking me.

Q I'll restate. I think it wasn't phrased very well. Pardon me.

I'm trying to stick with other illegal activities or maybe violations is a better word.

But in your view, was there a violation or illegal activity when PG&E did not send a qualified electrical worker out when there was a need to have a qualified electrical worker but there wasn't one to be had? Was that illegal?

A Okay. So you said illegal or -- what was the other one that you used?

O Violation.

A Violation. So, I mean, I think the result of that was a violation. So I think it resulted in violations. Because we couldn't locate the ticket within 48 hours, which was a violation.

The locator performing the locate themselves if they did it with a hot stick or, you know, just put marks on the ground is

a violation.

Q Okay.

A But I think, I mean, I don't know. When you use the term "illegal," I go back to that question. I'm not sure.

Q I don't want you to guess. Let me state it this way: When a qualified electrical worker was needed to locate but one could not be had, was PG&E following its own procedures?

A No.

Q Okay. Again, knowing that you're not in the Locate and Mark Department anymore, but what are the Locate and Mark safety problems today to your knowledge?

A Again, I think you'd have to ask somebody that's in the Locate and Mark world now.

Q Understood. What corrective actions with regards to Locate and Mark, if any, would you recommend?

A I think they have to do one of the two things. Either qualify and train, you know, gas guys to perform that electric locate, or give the electric locates over to the Electric Department and let them locate them. I mean, I think one of the two of those things has to happen. Or hire QEWs.

Something has to happen to provide that.

The other thing is, you know, giving enough manpower to get the work done. No matter what that number is. They have to have enough people to get the work done. And then let supervisors manage the people whether they're working to their capacity or not. But they should have enough people to get the work done.

O Understood.

A I think those are the two big things. And then really changing that mindset about, you know, safety first. Not just a message, you know. Having that open dialogue. You know, I think those are things that just have to happen. It takes time to change culture, you know.

But I think the huge thing is the manpower both on the electric side and the gas side however that's managed. They have to have people to get the work done.

Q Understood. Okay. Thank you very much. One or two other things that just occurred to me. I think if we can go back to just -- I think earlier this morning you had talked about certain areas where -- that had far more underground electric facilities
Oakland, San Jose, San Francisco, and so and

-- versus say a Fresno that have far less underground facility. Just in comparison. I know we're not talking about concrete numbers here.

But am I inferring correctly that there would have been a greater workload on qualified electrical workers in the San Franciscos and Oaklands and San Joses than in the Fresnos?

A Yes.

Q And would there have been more need on gas tickets to have a qualified electrical worker to help in the San Franciscos and Oaklands and San Joses than there would in the Fresnos?

A I am sorry. Could you say that again?

Q Sure. The areas like -- let's just take an example. Let's say Oakland that you've -- I think you've described has a greater amount of underground electric facilities than say Fresno. So just comparing those two for illustrative purposes.

Do tickets -- in your experience,
tickets in Oakland do they require help from
-- more often from qualified electrical
workers than tickets in Fresno?

1 Α Yes. 2 And if the problem you talked about 3 earlier of getting, having qualified 4 electrical workers help when there's a need 5 but not getting them, I'm wondering if there's a greater problem with inappropriate 6 7 documentation in areas like Oakland that have 8 a higher -- a greater density of underground 9 electric facilities than say in Fresno? 10 Well, there's more opportunity for 11 it because you don't have electric folks that 12 you need so you know. 13 On the staffing side, was a 14 qualified -- I'm just wondering if you're 15 able to tell if given -- I don't know how to 16 quantify this. But given the amount of 17 underground electric infrastructure, you 18 know, were there a proportionate number of 19 qualified electrical workers who handled 20 Oakland compared to those who handled Fresno? 21 Do you know? 22 Okay. So proportionate you're 23 asking if there was that number? 24 Correct. Let's -- let me give you 25 just an idea. Let's say the number of 26 qualified electrical workers per linear mile. 27 Yeah. I would not know that at

28

all.

Q Okay. But just that's more illustrative to give an idea of a higher level. Just using that as a concept, were there more qualified electrical workers in -- proportionately in the higher density areas like Oakland compared to Fresno or do you know?

A I don't know.

Q Okay. All right. All right. One other thing to clarify just I think -- let's go off the record for a second if we can.

(Off the record.)

MR. GRUEN: While we were off the record, we had some discussion around the nature of a data request, and we'll use the record to capture it. So the nature of the data request was is in light of the testimony today that Ms. Mack has given, is there any supplemental context that PG&E wishes to provide with regards to electric locate and mark practices?

And by "supplement," I really mean supplementing the words that PG&E used in the law and motion on March 5th, and I'll leave it that broadly. I'll leave it worded that broadly on purpose.

And then I think, Mr. Chan, I think there was a question off the record that you

had contributed that talked about whether the 1 locate and mark procedures and those that 2 3 applied to Qualified Electric Workers are the 4 same in different parts, across different 5 parts of PG&E service territory. 6 Did I understand that correctly? Is there anything you wanted to add 7 or correct on that? 8 9 MR. CHAN: We are specifying also the 10 area that -- where only electric facility, 11 distribution facility, but without gas 12 facility, which we understand that were --13 the locate and mark work were performed by 14 gas -- no, PG&E electric personnel, where 15 they're using the same procedure as gas 16 distribution personnel were using. 17 Mr. Gruen: Okay. Thank you. 18 All right. Just to see if I've 19 captured everything, is there anything else, 20 Mr. Chan or Mr. Mee? Are there any other 21 questions that either of you wish to ask me? 22 MR. MEE: No, I don't have. 23 MR. CHAN: No. 24 MR. GRUEN: Well, with that, we'll go 25 off the record. 26 MR. PENDLETON: I ordered the 27 transcript.

MR. GRUEN: Let's go off the record.

28

1	(Off the record.)
2	MR. GRUEN: Back on the record.
3	Ms. Mack, that concludes our
4	deposition for today. Pursuant to the
5	subpoena that we issued on Mr. Pendleton, you
6	were required to be here and answer our
7	questions, but, nonetheless, I want to thank
8	you very much for your help and insight
9	today. We very much appreciate you sharing
10	the knowledge and insight that you have based
11	on your experience as a PG&E employee, and we
12	very much appreciate that. On behalf of SED,
13	I want to thank you.
14	Off the record.
15	(Whereupon, at the hour of 3:00
16	p.m., at San Francisco, California, the deposition then adjourned.)
17	* * * *
18	]
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

## BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

OF THE

## STATE OF CALIFORNIA

# CERTIFICATION OF TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDING

I, JASON STACEY, Certified Shorthand Reporter No. 14092, in and for the State of California do hereby certify that the pages of this transcript prepared by me comprise a full, true, and correct transcript of the testimony and proceedings held in this matter on March 6, 2019.

I further certify that I have no interest in the events of the matter or the outcome of the proceeding.

EXECUTED this 12th day of March, 2019.

Jason A. Stacey CSR No. 14092

## BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

OF THE

## STATE OF CALIFORNIA

# CERTIFICATION OF TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDING

I, Rebekah L. De Rosa, Certified Shorthand
Reporter No. 8708, in and for the State of California,
do hereby certify that the pages of this transcript
prepared by me comprise a full, true, and correct
transcript of the testimony and proceedings held in
this matter on March 6, 2019.

I further certify that I have no interest in the events of the matter or the outcome of the proceeding.

EXECUTED this 12th day of March, 2019.

Rebekah L. De Rosa

CSR No. 8708

## BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

OF THE

## STATE OF CALIFORNIA

# CERTIFICATION OF TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDING

I, Shannon Ross, Certified Shorthand Reporter No. 8916, in and for the State of California, do hereby certify that the pages of this transcript prepared by me comprise a full, true, and correct transcript of the testimony and proceedings held in this matter on March 6, 2019.

I further certify that I have no interest in the events of the matter or the outcome of the proceeding.

EXECUTED this 12th day of March, 2019.

SHANNON ROSS CSR No. 8916