



**FILED**

11-01-17  
04:59 PM

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION  
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Order Instituting Investigation and Ordering  
Pacific Gas and Electric Company to Appear  
and Show Cause Why It Should Not Be  
Sanctioned for Violations of Article 8 and  
Rule 1.1 of the Rules of Practice and  
Procedure and Public Utilities Code Sections  
1701.2 and 1701.3.

Investigation 15-11-015  
(Filed November 23, 2015)

**THIRD STATUS REPORT OF PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY (U 39M)  
REGARDING SEPTEMBER 1, 2017 PROPOSED DECISION ON JOINT MOTION OF  
THE CITY OF SAN BRUNO, THE CITY OF SAN CARLOS, THE OFFICE OF  
RATEPAYER ADVOCATES, THE SAFETY AND ENFORCEMENT DIVISION, THE  
UTILITY REFORM NETWORK, AND PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY  
FOR ADOPTION OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT**

KIRK A. WILKINSON  
MONICA KLOSTERMAN  
LATHAM & WATKINS LLP  
355 South Grand Avenue  
Los Angeles, CA 90071 1560  
Telephone: (213) 485-1234  
Facsimile: (213) 891-8763  
E-Mail: Kirk.Wilkinson@lw.com

Dated: November 1, 2017

Attorneys for  
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION  
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Order Instituting Investigation and Ordering  
Pacific Gas and Electric Company to Appear  
and Show Cause Why It Should Not Be  
Sanctioned for Violations of Article 8 and  
Rule 1.1 of the Rules of Practice and  
Procedure and Public Utilities Code Sections  
1701.2 and 1701.3.

Investigation 15-11-015  
(Filed November 23, 2015)

**THIRD STATUS REPORT OF PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY (U 39M)  
REGARDING SEPTEMBER 1, 2017 PROPOSED DECISION ON JOINT MOTION OF  
THE CITY OF SAN BRUNO, THE CITY OF SAN CARLOS, THE OFFICE OF  
RATEPAYER ADVOCATES, THE SAFETY AND ENFORCEMENT DIVISION, THE  
UTILITY REFORM NETWORK, AND PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY  
FOR ADOPTION OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT**

Pacific Gas and Electric Company ("PG&E") submits this third status report regarding the Assigned Administrative Law Judge's ("ALJ") September 1, 2017 Proposed Decision (the "Proposed Decision"). Since PG&E's October 18, 2017 Status Report, the Parties<sup>1/</sup> have held additional discussions concerning the settlement and how to proceed in this matter in light of the emails disclosed by PG&E in its September 21, 2017 Motion in Response to the Proposed Decision ("PG&E's Motion"). Unfortunately, the Parties were unable to reach agreement.

PG&E expects that the Non-PG&E Parties will file their responses to PG&E's Motion on November 1, 2017. PG&E may seek leave to reply to such responses pursuant to Rule 11.1(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure.

---

<sup>1/</sup> The City of San Bruno, the City of San Carlos, the Office of Ratepayer Advocates ("ORA"), the Safety and Enforcement Division ("SED"), and The Utility Reform Network ("TURN") are collectively referred to as the "Non-PG&E Parties." The Non-PG&E Parties together with PG&E are referred to as the "Parties."

Respectfully Submitted,

By: /s/ Kirk A. Wilkinson  
KIRK A. WILKINSON

KIRK A. WILKINSON  
MONICA KLOSTERMAN  
LATHAM & WATKINS LLP  
355 South Grand Avenue  
Los Angeles, CA 90071 1560  
Telephone: (213) 485-1234  
Facsimile: (213) 891-8763  
E-Mail: [Kirk.Wilkinson@lw.com](mailto:Kirk.Wilkinson@lw.com)

Dated: November 1, 2017

Attorneys for  
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY