



FILED
1-13-17
11:14 AM

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Order Instituting Investigation and Ordering
Pacific Gas and Electric Company to Appear
and Show Cause Why It Should Not Be
Sanctioned for Violations of Article 8 and Rule
1.1 of the Rules of Practice and Procedure and
Public Utilities Code Sections 1701.2 and
1701.3.

Investigation 15-11-015
(Filed November 23, 2015)

**JOINT STATUS REPORT OF THE CITY OF SAN BRUNO, THE CITY OF SAN
CARLOS, THE OFFICE OF RATEPAYER ADVOCATES, THE SAFETY AND
ENFORCEMENT DIVISION, THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK, AND PACIFIC
GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY**

KIRK A. WILKINSON
SEAN P.J. COYLE
LATHAM & WATKINS LLP
355 South Grand Avenue
Los Angeles, CA 90071-1560
Telephone: (213) 485-1234
Facsimile: (213) 891-8763
Email: Kirk.Wilkinson@lw.com

Dated: January 13, 2017

Attorneys for
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Order Instituting Investigation and Ordering
Pacific Gas and Electric Company to Appear
and Show Cause Why It Should Not Be
Sanctioned for Violations of Article 8 and Rule
1.1 of the Rules of Practice and Procedure and
Public Utilities Code Sections 1701.2 and
1701.3.

Investigation 15-11-015
(Filed November 23, 2015)

**JOINT STATUS REPORT OF THE CITY OF SAN BRUNO, THE CITY OF SAN
CARLOS, THE OFFICE OF RATEPAYER ADVOCATES, THE SAFETY AND
ENFORCEMENT DIVISION, THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK, AND PACIFIC
GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY**

Pursuant to the schedule proposed by the Parties¹ in their October 14, 2016 Joint Status Report, Pacific Gas and Electric Company (“PG&E”), on behalf of the Parties, files this Joint Status Report regarding settlement discussions and the need for further proceedings.

I. Update on Settlement Discussions

The Parties have worked diligently to try to reach a settlement agreement. The Parties engaged in multiple settlement discussions in person, by telephone, and by email from November 2016 to January 2017. Although the Parties have been working toward settlement, it is still uncertain at this time if the Parties will be able to reach an agreement, and there have been unanticipated delays.

II. Motion for Extension in Briefing Schedule

On January 11, 2017, the Parties jointly moved the Commission for an extension to the current briefing schedule. The Parties requested additional time to continue settlement discussions. Additional time will allow the Parties to continue narrowing issues, potentially reach additional stipulations, and possibly reach an agreement. Further, even if the Parties are

¹ The City of San Bruno, the City of San Carlos, the Office of Ratepayer Advocates (“ORA”), the Safety and Enforcement Division (“SED”), and The Utility Reform Network (“TURN”) collectively are referred to as the “Non-PG&E Parties.” Together with Pacific Gas and Electric Company, they are referred to as the “Parties.”

