



FILED

12-03-15
04:59 PM

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Order Instituting Investigation and
Ordering Pacific Gas and Electric
Company to Appear and Show Cause
Why It Should not be Sanctioned for
Violations of Article 8 and Rule 1.1 of
the Rules of Practice and Procedure
and Public Utilities Code Sections
1701.2 and 1701.3.

Investigation I.15-11-015
(Filed November 23, 2015)

**THE CITY OF SAN BRUNO'S CONCURRENT MOTION FOR PARTY STATUS IN
ORDER INSTITUTING INVESTIGATION I.15-11-015**

STEVEN R. MEYERS
BRITT K. STROTTMAN
EMILIE E. DE LA MOTTE
Meyers, Nave, Riback, Silver & Wilson
555 12th Street, Suite 1500
Oakland, CA 94607
Phone: (510) 808-2000
Fax: (510) 444-1108
E-mail: smeyers@meyersnave.com
Attorneys for CITY OF SAN BRUNO

December 3, 2015

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Order Instituting Investigation and Ordering Pacific Gas and Electric Company to Appear and Show Cause Why It Should not be Sanctioned for Violations of Article 8 and Rule 1.1 of the Rules of Practice and Procedure and Public Utilities Code Sections 1701.2 and 1701.3.

Investigation I.15-11-015
(Filed November 23, 2015)

**THE CITY OF SAN BRUNO'S CONCURRENT MOTION FOR PARTY STATUS IN
ORDER INSTITUTING INVESTIGATION I.15-11-015**

Pursuant to Rule 1.4(a)(4) and (b) of the California Public Utilities Commission's (Commission) Rules of Practice and procedure, the City of San Bruno respectfully files this motion for party status in the above entitled proceeding. The Order Instituting Investigation provides: "Other persons who intend to move for party status may also file comment on these matters within ten days of the date this order is issued, provided that such comment is accompanied by written motion for party status (*See* Rule 1.4(a)(4) and (b)) and such motion is granted."¹ Concurrent herewith the City of San Bruno files comments on the matters to be considered in the OII.

San Bruno desires party status for the following reasons. This proceeding results from the following motions filed in investigation I.12-01-007, Investigation into the Operations and Practices of Pacific Gas and Electric Company to Determine Violations of Pub. Util. Code § 451, General Order 112, and Other Applicable Standards, Laws, Rules and Regulations in Connection with the San Bruno Explosion and Fire on September 9, 2010, and related investigations I.11-02-016 and
///

¹ OII, at p. 8.

I.11-11-009. (Pipeline Investigations):

1. *Motion of the City of San Bruno For An Order To Show Cause Why Pacific Gas And Electric Company Should Not Be Held In Violation of Commission Rule of Practice And Procedure 8.3(b) (Rule Against Ex Parte Communications) and for Sanctions and Fees*, filed on July 28, 2014.

2. *Motion for Evidentiary Hearing on City of San Bruno's Motion for an Order to Show Cause as to Why Pacific Gas and Electric Company Should Not Be Held in Violation of Commission Rule of Practice and Procedure 8.3(b) and for Sanctions and Fees*, filed on November 10, 2014.

This Commission noted in D.15-04-024, "Due to the seriousness of the allegations raised by [the City of San Bruno] we believe they should be further investigated." The Commission concludes in this OII; "We find that this investigation is the appropriate forum to review these allegations. "

This OII further results from the public disclosure or emails obtained from the Commission under the California Public Records Act under the terms of a settlement agreement in the San Francisco Superior Court proceeding, *City of San Bruno v. CPUC*, Case No. CGC-14-537139.

The self-disclosures and admissions of PG&E in all the listed proceedings are not as a result of a trip to the corporate confessional but a direct and proximate result of have been caught in "pari delicto" of violating the Commission's Rules of Practice and procedure.

Accordingly, San Bruno has a clear and material interest in this proceeding, it does not believe that its participation will unnecessarily broaden the issues under consideration and that it will serve the interests of the Commission and public. San Bruno asks that all be provided to:

///

///

///

Steven R. Meyers
Meyers, Nave, Riback, Silver & Wilson
555 12th Street, Suite 1500
Oakland, California 94607

Britt K. Strottman
Meyers, Nave, Riback, Silver & Wilson
555 12th Street, Suite 1500
Oakland, California 94607

/s/ Steven R. Meyers

STEVEN R. MEYERS
BRITT K. STROTTMAN
EMILIE E. DE LA MOTTE
Meyers, Nave, Riback, Silver & Wilson
555 12th Street, Suite 1500
Oakland, CA 94607
Phone: (510) 808-2000
Fax: (510) 444-1108
E-mail: smeyers@meyersnave.com
Attorneys for CITY OF SAN BRUNO

December 3, 2015