



FILED
10-02-17
04:59 PM

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Order Instituting Investigation and Ordering
Pacific Gas and Electric Company to Appear
and Show Cause Why It Should Not Be
Sanctioned for Violations of Article 8 and
Rule 1.1 of the Rules of Practice and
Procedure and Public Utilities Code Sections
1701.2 and 1701.3.

Investigation 15-11-015
(Filed November 23, 2015)

**STATUS REPORT OF PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY (U 39M)
REGARDING SEPTEMBER 1, 2017 PROPOSED DECISION ON JOINT MOTION OF
THE CITY OF SAN BRUNO, THE CITY OF SAN CARLOS, THE OFFICE OF
RATEPAYER ADVOCATES, THE SAFETY AND ENFORCEMENT DIVISION, THE
UTILITY REFORM NETWORK, AND PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
FOR ADOPTION OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT**

KIRK A. WILKINSON
MONICA KLOSTERMAN
LATHAM & WATKINS LLP
355 South Grand Avenue
Los Angeles, CA 90071 1560
Telephone: (213) 485-1234
Facsimile: (213) 891-8763
E-Mail: Kirk.Wilkinson@lw.com

Dated: October 2, 2017

Attorneys for
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Order Instituting Investigation and Ordering
Pacific Gas and Electric Company to Appear
and Show Cause Why It Should Not Be
Sanctioned for Violations of Article 8 and
Rule 1.1 of the Rules of Practice and
Procedure and Public Utilities Code Sections
1701.2 and 1701.3.

Investigation 15-11-015
(Filed November 23, 2015)

**STATUS REPORT OF PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY (U 39M)
REGARDING SEPTEMBER 1, 2017 PROPOSED DECISION ON JOINT MOTION OF
THE CITY OF SAN BRUNO, THE CITY OF SAN CARLOS, THE OFFICE OF
RATEPAYER ADVOCATES, THE SAFETY AND ENFORCEMENT DIVISION, THE
UTILITY REFORM NETWORK, AND PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
FOR ADOPTION OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT**

Pacific Gas and Electric Company ("PG&E") submits this status report regarding the Assigned Administrative Law Judge's ("ALJ") September 1, 2017 Proposed Decision (the "Proposed Decision"). Since PG&E's September 21, 2017 Motion in Response to the Proposed Decision, the Parties have held two discussions concerning how to proceed in this matter in light of the emails disclosed therein. On September 29, 2017, the City of San Bruno, the City of San Carlos, the Office of Ratepayer Advocates, the Safety and Enforcement Division, and The Utility Reform Network (collectively the "non-PG&E parties") submitted an email "Motion for Extension of Time to respond to PG&E's Motion in Response to PD" until October 18, 2017, which the ALJ approved today. In their Motion, the non-PG&E parties indicated that city staff for the City of San Bruno and the City of San Carlos (the "Cities") need time to confer with their respective City Councils to receive direction on how to respond to PG&E's Motion in Response to the Proposed Decision, and specifically, the recently disclosed email communications. The parties have agreed to further discussions regarding how to proceed in this matter after staff for the Cities have had this opportunity to receive direction from their City Councils. PG&E respectfully proposes that it submit a further status report on October 18, 2017 informing the

Commission of the status of these discussions – which may of course also be described by the non-PG&E parties in their responses to PG&E’s motion that are due that same day.

To respond specifically to the ALJ's September 27, 2017 email: At this point in the Parties' discussions, PG&E does not know if the non-PG&E parties will withdraw from the settlement, attempt to negotiate a new settlement, or pursue some other path forward in this matter.

Respectfully Submitted,

By: /s/
KIRK A. WILKINSON

KIRK A. WILKINSON
MONICA KLOSTERMAN
LATHAM & WATKINS LLP
355 South Grand Avenue
Los Angeles, CA 90071 1560
Telephone: (213) 485-1234
Facsimile: (213) 891-8763
E-Mail: Kirk.Wilkinson@lw.com

Dated: October 2, 2017

Attorneys for
PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY