```
2> Implementation - 1
   def fibonacci 1 (n): (r) ( _ 1338 and 12 33
      if n < =[10:0] = 10000 = 10000
       print ("Invalid input") = 0(1)
      elif n < (= 2tin J biloval") tale
       return n-1 = 0 0 (1)
      else: 1-nl "nan issandit nayto
        return fibonacci_1 (n-1) + fibonacci_1 (n-2)
   T(n) = O(1) + T(n-1) + T(n-2)
   Best case : T(1) (=) T(0) = 11000 smit
   Assumption: T(n-2) \times T(n-1)
   1. T(n) = 2T(n-1) + cod+ 243240
   T(n-1) = 4T(n-2) + 2c
  = 2^{2} T(n-2) + (2^{2-1})c
   T(n-2) = 8T((n-3) + 4c x 3 am -
   T(n) = 2^{K} T(n-K) + (2^{K-1})c
                               T(0) = 1
                                 n-K = 0
     T(n) = 2^n T(0) + (2^{n-1})c
                                 n = K
     T(n) = 2^n + (2^{n-1})c
     T(n) \propto 2^n
```

: time complexity = 0(2n)

Implementation-2

def fibonacci\_2(n):

fibonacci\_array = [0,1]if n < 0:

print ("Invalid Input!")
elif n < = 2:</pre>

else: + (1-2) (10000001) antion

for i in range (2, n):
fibonacci-array [-1] (1) 0 = 0 (1)

Time complexity = 0(1) + 0(n-2) = 0(n)

We can observe that in Implementation - 1, the time complexity is  $O(2^n)$  which is in exponential time whereas in Implementation - 2 the time complexity is O(n) which is in linear time. Hence, implementation - 2 is more efficient.

(100) + (OUT 00 = . (~)T

(TC) 0 = p+ixalamas am

2

4> Pseudocode

Procedure Multiply-matrix (A, B)

Input A, B n x n matrix

Output C n x n matrix

begin

Initialise C as a n x n zero matrix

for i = 0 to (n-1) O(n)for j = 0 to n-1 O(n)for k = 0 to n-1 O(n) $C[i,j] + = A[i,k] \times B[k,j]$ 

end for

end for

end for

end Multiply-matrix

time complexity =  $O(n^k)$  k = 3=  $O(n^3)$