

# VAPT Testing Report

For Intern Intelligence

**Version:** 1.0

**Date:** 3<sup>rd</sup> FEB 2025

**Author:** SHAIKH LIYAKAT

## Report Details:

Title	Black Box Penetration Testing Report
Version	V1.0
Author	Shaikh Liyakat
Tester(s)	Shaikh Liyakat

Classification	Confidential

**Version Control:**

Version	Date	Author	Description
V1.0	22/02/2025	Shaikh Liyakat	Final Draft

**Table of Contents:**

List of Tables..... 4

List of Figures..... 4

1.Executive Summary: ..... 6

Reporting..... 6

1.    SQL Injection via login bypass:..... 7

Impact:..... 7

Risk Rating:..... 8

Threat Level: .....	8
Analysis:.....	8
Recommendation: .....	8
2.Brute force:.....	9
Impact:.....	9
Risk Rating:.....	9
Threat Level: .....	9
Analysis:.....	9
Recommendations:.....	9
3. Insecure Direct Object Reference (IDOR).....	10
Impact:.....	10
Risk Rating:.....	10
Threat Level: .....	10
Analysis:.....	10
Recommendation: .....	10
4.Cross-Site Scripting (XSS) .....	11
Impact:.....	11
Risk Rating:.....	11
Threat Level: .....	11
Analysis:.....	11
Recommendation: .....	11
<a href="https://nvd.nist.gov/vuln">https://nvd.nist.gov/vuln</a> .....	12

## List of Illustrations

### List of Tables

Table 1 Penetration Testing Time Line.....	5
Table 2 Total Risk Rating .....	6
Table 3 Risk Analysis .....	8
Table 4 Rating Calculation.....	8

### List of Figures

Figure 1 Total Risks .....	6
Figure 2 Penetration Testing Methodology.....	7
Figure 3 Nmap – Open Ports.....	9
Figure 4 Burp Suite SQL Payload.....	10
Figure 5 Wireshark – Packet Sniffing.....	11
Figure 6 Target Web App Admin Panel .....	13
Figure 7 what web output.....	15

# Methodology

The review was conducted manually by:

1. **Reviewing Key PHP Files:** Examining the source code for database queries, user input handling, file inclusion, and session management.
2. **Identifying Vulnerabilities:** Matching code practices against the OWASP Top 10 vulnerabilities.
3. **Code Comparison:** Documenting both the vulnerable (old) code and the secure (fixed) code along with explanations.
4. **Risk Rating:** Assigning severity based on the potential impact and likelihood of exploitation.

## **1.Executive Summary:**

This report details the security assessment conducted on OWASP Juice Shop; a deliberately vulnerable web application designed for security testing. The assessment was carried out to identify and exploit vulnerabilities, including SQL Injection, Cross-Site Scripting (XSS), brute force attacks, credential access, price manipulation, administrative file access, and improper error handling. The goal was to assess the application's security posture and provide recommendations for mitigating risks.

## **2.Scope of Work:**

This security assessment covers the remote penetration testing of an accessible OWASP Juice Shop, Try hack me, Port Swigger Web Application. The assessment was carried out from a black box perspective, with the only supplied information was the name of the web application. No other information was assumed at the start of the assessment.

## **3.Project Objectives:**

This security assessment is carried out to gauge the security posture of OWASP's Juice shop. The result of the assessment is then analyzed for vulnerabilities. Given the limited time that is given to perform the assessment, only immediately exploitable services have been tested. The vulnerabilities are assigned a risk rating based on threat, vulnerability and impact.

## **4.Assumption:**

While writing the report, it was assumed that the given Web application is considered open to public.

## **Reporting**

Based on the results from the first two steps, start analyzing the results. The risk rating is based on this calculation:

$\text{Risk} = \text{Threat} * \text{Vulnerability} * \text{Impact}$

Overall Risk Severity				
Impact	HIGH	Medium	High	Critical
	MEDIUM	Low	Medium	High
	LOW	Note	Low	Medium
		LOW	MEDIUM	HIGH
	Likelihood			

OWASP Top 10 2017		change	OWASP Top 10 2021 proposal	
A1	Injection	as is	A1	Injection
A2	Broken Authentication	as is	A2	Broken Authentication
A3	Sensitive Data Exposure	down 1	A3	Cross-Site Scripting (XSS)
A4	XML eXternal Entities (XXE)	down 1 + A8	A4	Sensitive Data Exposure
A5	Broken Access Control	down 1	A5	Insecure Deserialization (merged with XXE)
A6	Security Misconfiguration	down 4	A6	Broken Access Control
A7	Cross-Site Scripting (XSS)	up 4	A7	Insufficient Logging & Monitoring
A8	Insecure Deserialization	up 3 + A4	A8	NEW: Server Side Request Forgery (SSRF)
A9	Known Vulnerabilities	as is	A9	Known Vulnerabilities
A10	Insufficient Logging & Monitoring	up 3	A10	Security Misconfiguration

### 1. SQL Injection via login bypass:

email = ' OR 1=1--

password = ' OR 1=1--

#### Impact:

High - Can allow unauthorized access to admin accounts.

**Risk Rating:**

Critical

**Threat Level:**

Critical

**Analysis:**

- SQL injection occurs when unvalidated user input is directly executed in SQL queries.
- This can lead to authentication bypass, data exfiltration, and even full system compromise.

**CVE:** CVE-2022-40888

**Recommendation:**

- Use prepared statements (**parameterized queries**).
- Implement input validation and allow-list filtering.
- Use Web Application Firewalls (**WAF**) to block malicious SQL patterns.



## 2.Brute force:

Common wordlist Reference:

<https://github.com/danielmiessler/SecLists/blob/master/Passwords/Default-Credentials/default-passwords.txt>

### Impact:

High

### Risk Rating:

High

### Threat Level:

Critical

### Analysis:

- Login request sends  
`{"email": " admin@juicesh.op","password":"admin123"}`
- No HTTPS/TLS encryption, making credentials vulnerable to sniffing.
- Likely a misconfiguration or lack of proper security enforcement.

**CVE:** CVE-2017-8917

### Recommendations:

- Enforce HTTPS: Implement TLS (SSL) to encrypt login credentials.
- Use Secure Authentication: Implement OAuth, JWT tokens, or hash passwords before transmission.
- Security Headers: Add Strict-Transport-Security (HSTS) & X-Content-Type-Options: no sniff.
- Monitor Traffic: Use tools like Wireshark or Burp Suite to detect insecure transmissions.

### 3. Insecure Direct Object Reference (IDOR)

**Vulnerability:** Access to user data without authentication.

**Impact:**

High – Can lead to unauthorized access to user accounts.

**Risk Rating:**

High

**Threat Level:**

High

**Analysis:**

- IDOR occurs when an attacker can manipulate object identifiers (e.g., user IDs) to gain unauthorized access to resources.

**CVE:** CVE-2021-35449

**Recommendation:**

- Implement access control checks at the API level.
- Use session-based authentication with proper authorization mechanisms.
- Log and monitor API access to detect suspicious activity.

## 4. Cross-Site Scripting (XSS)

### Vulnerability:

- xss attack on search bar
- `<script>` tag has been filtered out but and other tag not
- `<img src=x onerror=alert('hacked')>`
- `<iframe src="javascript:alert('XSS')"> </iframe>`
- `<form action="https://timely-dragon-0022e1.netlify.app/" method="POST">`  
`<input type="submit" value="Click Me">`  
`</form>`

executed on the website.

### Impact:

Medium

### Risk Rating:

Medium

### Threat Level:

Medium

### Analysis:

1. XSS occurs when an attacker injects malicious JavaScript, which executes in a victim's browser.

**CVE:** CVE-2023-38361

### Recommendation:

2. Implement output encoding (e.g., use `htmlspecialchars()` in PHP).
3. Enforce Content Security Policy (CSP) to restrict script execution.
4. Use HTTP-only cookies to prevent session hijacking.

## 5. References

<https://nvd.nist.gov/vuln>