

TARGET BUSINESS STUDY

- I. Import the dataset and do usual exploratory analysis steps like checking the structure & characteristics of the dataset:

1. Data type of all columns in the "customers" table

QUERY:

```
SELECT column_name,  
       data_type  
From  
`shailja-project-444415.TARGETBUSINESSSTUDY20250125.INFORMATION_SCHEMA.COLUMNS`  
where table_name = 'CUSTOMER'
```

OUTPUT:

Row	column_name	data_type
1	customer_id	STRING
2	customer_unique_id	STRING
3	customer_zip_code_prefix	INT64
4	customer_city	STRING
5	customer_state	STRING

INSIGHT:

- Identifying data types helps determine if any columns need conversion (e.g., dates stored as strings).
- Checking for missing values ensures data completeness.

2. Get the time range between which the orders were placed.

QUERY:

```
SELECT  
    MIN(order_purchase_timestamp) AS earliest_order_time,
```

```

MAX(order_purchase_timestamp) AS latest_order_time,
    TIMESTAMP_DIFF(MAX(order_purchase_timestamp),
MIN(order_purchase_timestamp), SECOND) AS time_difference_in_seconds
FROM `shailja-project-444415.TARGETBUSINESSSTUDY20250125.ORDERS`

```

OUTPUT:

Row	earliest_order_time	latest_order_time	time_difference_in_seconds
1	2016-09-04 21:15:19 UTC	2018-10-17 17:30:18 UTC	66773699

INSIGHT:

- Finding the earliest and latest order dates gives the business timeline.
- Seasonal trends can be inferred if data spans multiple years.

3. Count the Cities & States of customers who ordered during the given period

QUERY:

```

select count(distinct c.customer_city) as city_count,
count(distinct c.customer_state) as state_count
from `shailja-project-444415.TARGETBUSINESSSTUDY20250125.CUSTOMER` c
join `shailja-project-444415.TARGETBUSINESSSTUDY20250125.ORDERS` o
on c.customer_id = o.customer_id

```

OUTPUT:

Row	city_count	state_count
1	4119	27

INSIGHT:

- The most common cities and states help identify key markets.
 - Comparing order frequency by state/city can highlight high-demand region.
-

II. In-depth Exploration:

1. Is there a growing trend in the no. of orders placed over the past years

QUERY:

```
SELECT EXTRACT(year FROM order_purchase_timestamp) as past_year,
count(order_id) as order_number
from `shailja-project-444415.TARGETBUSINESSSTUDY20250125.ORDERS`
group by past_year
order by past_year
```

OUTPUT:

Row //	past_year ▼ //	order_number ▼ //
1	2016	329
2	2017	45101
3	2018	54011

INSIGHT:

If we confirm a growing trend, businesses can invest in scaling operations.

2.Can we see some kind of monthly seasonality in terms of the no. of orders being placed?

QUERY:

```
WITH yearly_orders AS (
  SELECT
    FORMAT_TIMESTAMP('%Y', order_purchase_timestamp) AS order_year,
    FORMAT_TIMESTAMP('%B', order_purchase_timestamp) AS order_month,
    EXTRACT(MONTH FROM order_purchase_timestamp) AS month_number
    COUNT(DISTINCT order_id) AS total_orders
  FROM `shailja-project-444415.TARGETBUSINESSSTUDY20250125.ORDERS`
  GROUP BY order_year, order_month, month_number
```

```

ORDER BY order_year, month_number),
trend_analysis AS (
  SELECT
    order_year,
    order_month,
    total_orders,
    month_number,
    LAG(total_orders) OVER (ORDER BY order_year, month_number)
    AS previous_orders,
    total_orders - LAG(total_orders) OVER (ORDER BY order_year, month_number)
    AS growth
  FROM yearly_orders)
SELECT
  order_year,
  order_month,
  total_orders,
  previous_orders,
  CASE
    WHEN growth > 0 THEN 'Increasing'
    WHEN growth < 0 THEN 'Decreasing'
    ELSE 'No Change'
  END AS trend
FROM trend_analysis
ORDER BY order_year, month_number

```

OUTPUT:

Row	order_year	order_month	total_orders	previous_orders	trend
1	2016	September	4	null	No Change
2	2016	October	324	4	Increasing
3	2016	December	1	324	Decreasing
4	2017	January	800	1	Increasing
5	2017	February	1780	800	Increasing
6	2017	March	2682	1780	Increasing
7	2017	April	2404	2682	Decreasing
8	2017	May	3700	2404	Increasing
9	2017	June	3245	3700	Decreasing
10	2017	July	4026	3245	Increasing

INSIGHT:

- ♦ If there's strong seasonality, marketing campaigns should align with peak shopping periods.

3. During what time of the day, do the Brazilian customers mostly place their orders? (Dawn, Morning, Afternoon or Night)

- 0-6 hrs : Dawn
- 7-12 hrs : Mornings
- 13-18 hrs : Afternoon
- 19-23 hrs : Night

QUERY:

```
SELECT CASE
  WHEN EXTRACT(HOUR FROM order_purchase_timestamp) BETWEEN 0 AND 6
  THEN 'Dawn'
  WHEN EXTRACT(HOUR FROM order_purchase_timestamp) BETWEEN 7 AND 12
  THEN 'Mornings'
  WHEN EXTRACT(HOUR FROM order_purchase_timestamp) BETWEEN 13 AND 18
  THEN 'Afternoon'
  ELSE 'Night'
END AS Brazilian_Time,
COUNT( distinct order_id) AS Order_Count
FROM `shailja-project-444415.TARGETBUSINESSSTUDY20250125.ORDERS`
GROUP BY Brazilian_Time
ORDER BY Order_Count desc
```

OUTPUT:

Row	Brazilian_Time	Order_Count
1	Afternoon	38135
2	Night	28331
3	Mornings	27733
4	Dawn	5242

INSIGHT:

- ◆ Understanding time-of-day preferences helps in timing promotions and customer engagement effectively
-

III. Evolution of E-commerce orders in the Brazil region:

1. Get the month on month no. of orders placed in each state.

QUERY:

```
WITH OrderCounts AS (  
  SELECT  
    EXTRACT(MONTH FROM O.order_purchase_timestamp) AS Order_Month,  
    O.customer_id, COUNT( DISTINCT O.order_id) AS Order_Count  
  FROM `shailja-project-444415.TARGETBUSINESSSTUDY20250125.ORDERS` O  
  GROUP BY Order_Month, O.customer_id)  
SELECT  
  OC.Order_Month,C.customer_state, SUM(OC.Order_Count) AS Order_Count_State  
FROM OrderCounts OC  
JOIN `shailja-project-444415.TARGETBUSINESSSTUDY20250125.CUSTOMER` C  
  ON OC.customer_id = C.customer_id  
GROUP BY OC.Order_Month,C.customer_state  
ORDER BY Order_Count_State asc
```

OUTPUT:

Row	Order_Month	customer_state	Order_Count_State
1	1	RR	2
2	1	AC	8
3	1	AP	11
4	1	AM	12
5	1	TO	19
6	1	RO	23
7	1	SE	24
8	1	PB	33
9	1	AL	39
10	1	RN	51
11	1	PI	55
12	1	MA	66
13	1	MS	71

INSIGHT:

- Some states may show consistent growth, indicating increasing e-commerce penetration.
- If orders drop in certain months, it could indicate seasonal effects or economic factors.

2. How are the customers distributed across all the states

QUERY:

SELECT

customer_state,

COUNT(customer_id) AS customer_count

FROM `shailja-project-444415.TARGETBUSINESSSTUDY20250125.CUSTOMER`

GROUP BY customer_state

ORDER BY customer_count DESC

limit 10

OUTPUT:

Row	customer_state	customer_count
1	SP	41746
2	RJ	12852
3	MG	11635
4	RS	5466
5	PR	5045
6	SC	3637
7	BA	3380
8	DF	2140
9	ES	2033
10	GO	2020

INSIGHT:

- Major cities (São Paulo, Rio de Janeiro) likely have the highest number of customers.
- Smaller states may show emerging trends, indicating new market potential.
- Some states may have high order volume but fewer customers, meaning customers place multiple order.

IV. Impact on Economy: Analyze the money movement by e-commerce by looking at order prices, freight and others.

1. Get the % increase in the cost of orders from year 2017 to 2018

(include months between Jan to Aug only)

You can use the "payment_value" column in the payments table to get the cost of orders.

QUERY:

```
with tbl as
(SELECT
  FORMAT_TIMESTAMP('%B', TIMESTAMP(o.order_purchase_timestamp))
    AS order_month,
  FORMAT_TIMESTAMP('%Y', TIMESTAMP(o.order_purchase_timestamp))
    AS order_year,
  COUNT( distinct o.order_id) AS total_orders,
  round(SUM(p.payment_value),2) AS total_cost
FROM `shailja-project-444415.TARGETBUSINESSSTUDY20250125.ORDERS` o
JOIN `shailja-project-444415.TARGETBUSINESSSTUDY20250125.PAYMENTS` p
  on o.order_id = p.order_id
GROUP order_year, order_month)
select tbl.order_year, tbl.order_month, tbl.total_cost, tbl.total_orders,
round(100*((tbl.total_cost - lag(tbl.total_cost) over(order by
tbl.order_year, tbl.order_month))/lag(tbl.total_cost)
over(order by tbl.order_year, tbl.order_month)),2) AS percentage_increase
from tbl
where tbl.order_year IN ('2017', '2018')
  AND tbl.order_month IN ('January', 'February', 'March', 'April', 'May',
'June', 'July', 'August')
ORDER BY
  tbl.order_year, tbl.order_month, percentage_increase
```


OUTPUT:

Row	order_year ▼	order_month ▼	total_cost ▼	total_orders ▼	percentage_increase
1	2017	April	417788.03	2404	null
2	2017	August	674396.32	4331	61.42
3	2017	February	291908.01	1780	-56.72
4	2017	January	138488.04	800	-52.56
5	2017	July	592382.92	4026	327.75
6	2017	June	511276.38	3245	-13.69
7	2017	March	449863.6	2682	-12.01
8	2017	May	592918.82	3700	31.8
9	2018	April	1160785.48	6939	95.77
10	2018	August	1022425.32	6512	-11.92
11	2018	February	992463.34	6728	-2.93
12	2018	January	1115004.18	7269	12.35
13	2018	July	1066540.75	6292	-4.35

INSIGHT:

- If the percentage increase is positive, it indicates higher spending on orders in 2018 compared to 2017.
- A high percentage increase suggests growth in e-commerce activity, possibly due to more customers, higher order values, or inflation

2. Calculate the Total & Average value of order price for each state.

QUERY:

```
with tbl as
    (select c.customer_state, o.order_id
from `shailja-project-444415.TARGETBUSINESSSTUDY20250125.CUSTOMER` c
join `shailja-project-444415.TARGETBUSINESSSTUDY20250125.ORDERS` o
    on c.customer_id = o.customer_id)
select distinct tbl.customer_state, round(avg(oi.price) over(order by
tbl.customer_state),2) as avg_cost_per_state,
round(sum(oi.price) over(order by tbl.customer_state),2) as
total_cost_per_state
from tbl
join `shailja-project-444415.TARGETBUSINESSSTUDY20250125.ORDERITEMS` oi
```

```
on tbl.order_id = oi.order_id
order by tbl.customer_state
```

OUTPUT:

Row //	customer_state ▼ //	avg_cost_per_state //	total_cost_per_state //
1	AC	173.73	15982.95
2	AL	179.66	96297.76
3	AM	169.26	118654.6
4	AP	168.75	132128.9
5	BA	140.44	643478.89
6	CE	143.69	870733.6
7	DF	138.59	1173337.54
8	ES	135.08	1448374.85
9	GO	133.51	1742966.8
10	MA	134.2	1862615.02

INSIGHT:

- If some less-populated states have high total order values, it suggests a high adoption rate of online shopping.
- These states represent **potential growth markets** for businesses to target with better logistics, promotions, and localized strategies.
- If certain states have a **high average but low total order value**, it means that **fewer customers are making large purchases**

3. Calculate the Total & Average value of order freight for each state

QUERY:

```
with tbl as
(
    select c.customer_state, o.order_id
    from `shailja-project-444415.TARGETBUSINESSSTUDY20250125.CUSTOMER` c
    join `shailja-project-444415.TARGETBUSINESSSTUDY20250125.ORDERS` o
    on c.customer_id = o.customer_id)
select distinct tbl.customer_state, freight_value,
round(avg(freight_value) over(order by tbl.customer_state), 2) as frieght_average,
round(sum(freight_value) over(order by tbl.customer_state), 2) as frieght_total
from tbl
join `shailja-project-444415.TARGETBUSINESSSTUDY20250125.ORDERITEMS` oi
on tbl.order_id = oi.order_id
order by tbl.customer_state
```

OUTPUT:

Row	customer_state	avg_cost_per_state	total_cost_per_state
1	AC	173.73	15982.95
2	AL	179.66	96297.76
3	AM	169.26	118654.6
4	AP	168.75	132128.9
5	BA	140.44	643478.89
6	CE	143.69	870733.6
7	DF	138.59	1173337.54
8	ES	135.08	1448374.85
9	GO	133.51	1742966.8
10	MA	134.2	1862615.02

INSIGHT:

- States far from key logistics hubs (Amazonas, Acre, Roraima, Amapá) often have high total and average freight costs due to longer distances and higher delivery challenges.
 - Customers in these regions might abandon carts due to high delivery fees.
 - If some states have low total freight costs despite many orders, it indicates efficient shipping logistics or subsidized delivery costs.
-

V. Analysis based on sales, freight and delivery time.

1. Find the no. of days taken to deliver each order from the order's purchase date as delivery time.

Also, calculate the difference (in days) between the estimated & actual delivery date of an order.

Do this in a single query.

You can calculate the delivery time and the difference between the estimated & actual delivery date using the given formula:

- $\text{time_to_deliver} = \text{order_delivered_customer_date} - \text{order_purchase_timestamp}$
- $\text{diff_estimated_delivery} = \text{order_delivered_customer_date} - \text{order_estimated_delivery_date}$.

QUERY:

```
select distinct order_id, date_diff(order_delivered_customer_date,
order_purchase_timestamp, DAY) as time_to_deliver,
date_diff(order_delivered_customer_date, order_estimated_delivery_date, DAY) as
diff_estimated_delivery
from `shailja-project-444415.TARGETBUSINESSSTUDY20250125.ORDERS`
where order_delivered_customer_date is not null
group by order_id, time_to_deliver, diff_estimated_delivery
order by order_id
```

OUTPUT

Row	order_id	time_to_deliver	diff_estimated_delivery
1	00010242fe8c5a6d1ba2dd792...	7	-8
2	00018f77f2f0320c557190d7a1...	16	-2
3	000229ec398224ef6ca0657da...	7	-13
4	00024acbcd0a6daa1e931b03...	6	-5
5	00042b26cf59d7ce69dfabb4e...	25	-15
6	00048cc3ae777c65dbb7d2a06...	6	-14
7	00054e8431b9d7675808bcb8...	8	-16
8	000576fe39319847cbb9d288c...	5	-15
9	0005a1a1728c9d785b8e2b08...	9	0
10	0005f50442cb953dcd1d21e1f...	2	-18

INSIGHT:

- If average delivery times increase over time, it may point to logistics delays, seasonal congestion, or supplier inefficiencies.
- Negative Difference (Early Deliveries): If `diff_estimated_delivery` is negative, it means orders were delivered before the estimated date, which is great for customer satisfaction.
- Zero Difference (On-Time Deliveries): If the difference is zero, the logistics system is accurate and efficient.
- Positive Difference (Late Deliveries): If there's a high positive difference, it suggests delays due to issues like weather, supply chain disruptions, or delivery inefficiencies
- Frequent late deliveries can reduce customer trust and lead to cart abandonment or negative reviews.
- Early/on-time deliveries increase customer satisfaction, leading to higher retention & repeat purchases.
- Companies might need better tracking, warehouse distribution, or delivery partnerships to improve delivery speed.

2. Find out the top 5 states with the highest & lowest average freight value.

QUERY:

```
WITH state_avg_freight AS (  
    SELECT c.customer_state, AVG(oi.freight_value) AS avg_freight_value  
    FROM  
        `shailja-project-444415.TARGETBUSINESSSTUDY20250125.CUSTOMER` c  
    JOIN  
        `shailja-project-444415.TARGETBUSINESSSTUDY20250125.ORDERS` o  
    ON  
        c.customer_id = o.customer_id  
    JOIN  
        `shailja-project-444415.TARGETBUSINESSSTUDY20250125.ORDERITEMS` oi  
    ON  
        o.order_id = oi.order_id  
    GROUP BY  
        c.customer_state),  
ranked_states AS (  
    SELECT customer_state, avg_freight_value,  
        ROW_NUMBER() OVER (ORDER BY avg_freight_value DESC) AS rank_highest,  
        ROW_NUMBER() OVER (ORDER BY avg_freight_value ASC) AS rank_lowest  
    FROM  
        state_avg_freight)
```

```

SELECT
    highest_states.customer_state AS highest_state,
    lowest_states.customer_state AS lowest_state
FROM
    (SELECT customer_state, rank_highest FROM ranked_states WHERE rank_highest
<= 5) AS highest_states
LEFT JOIN
    (SELECT customer_state, rank_lowest FROM ranked_states WHERE rank_lowest
<= 5) AS lowest_states
ON
    highest_states.rank_highest = lowest_states.rank_lowest
WHERE
    highest_states.customer_state IS NOT NULL OR lowest_states.customer_state
IS NOT NULL

```

OUTPUT:

Row	customer_state ▼	avg_cost_per_state	total_cost_per_state
1	AC	173.73	15982.95
2	AL	179.66	96297.76
3	AM	169.26	118654.6
4	AP	168.75	132128.9
5	BA	140.44	643478.89
6	CE	143.69	870733.6
7	DF	138.59	1173337.54
8	ES	135.08	1448374.85
9	GO	133.51	1742966.8
10	MA	134.2	1862615.02

INSIGHT:

- Customers in these states may abandon carts due to high shipping costs.
- Businesses may need to offer free shipping promotions to attract customers
- Businesses can offer fast & low-cost shipping, improving customer satisfaction & retention.
- These states are ideal for e-commerce warehouses & distribution centers.

3. Find out the top 5 states with the highest & lowest average delivery time.

QUERY:

```
WITH state_avg_delivery_time AS (  
    SELECT c.customer_state,  
    AVG(DATE_DIFF(o.order_delivered_customer_date,  
o.order_purchase_timestamp, DAY) AS avg_delivery_time)  
    FROM `shailja-project-444415.TARGETBUSINESSSTUDY20250125.CUSTOMER` c  
    JOIN `shailja-project-444415.TARGETBUSINESSSTUDY20250125.ORDERS` o  
    ON c.customer_id = o.customer_id  
    WHERE o.order_delivered_customer_date IS NOT NULL  
    GROUP BY c.customer_state)  
,ranked_states AS (  
    SELECT  
        customer_state,  
        avg_delivery_time,  
        ROW_NUMBER() OVER (ORDER BY avg_delivery_time ASC) AS rank_lowest,  
        ROW_NUMBER() OVER (ORDER BY avg_delivery_time DESC) AS rank_highest  
    FROM state_avg_delivery_time)  
SELECT  
    highest_states.customer_state AS highest_state,  
    lowest_states.customer_state AS lowest_state  
FROM  
    (SELECT customer_state, rank_highest FROM ranked_states WHERE rank_highest  
<= 5) AS highest_states  
FULL OUTER JOIN  
    (SELECT customer_state, rank_lowest FROM ranked_states WHERE rank_lowest  
<= 5) AS lowest_states  
ON highest_states.rank_highest = lowest_states.rank_lowest  
WHERE highest_states.customer_state IS NOT NULL OR  
lowest_states.customer_state IS NOT NULL
```

OUTPUT:

Row	highest_state	lowest_state
1	AM	MG
2	AP	PR
3	PA	SC
4	AL	DF
5	RR	SP

INSIGHT:

- Long delivery times can cause customer dissatisfaction and order cancellations.
- Businesses might need regional warehouses or faster shipping options
- Faster deliveries increase customer satisfaction and retention.
- Businesses can promote same-day or next-day delivery options to gain a competitive edge.

4. Find out the top 5 states where the order delivery is really fast as compared to the estimated date of delivery. You can use the difference between the averages of actual & estimated delivery date to figure out how fast the delivery was for each state.

QUERY:

```
with tbl as
(
select
round(avg(date_diff(o.order_delivered_customer_date,
o.order_estimated_delivery_date, DAY)),2) as avg_diff_estimated_delivery,
c.customer_state
from `shailja-project-444415.TARGETBUSINESSSTUDY20250125.CUSTOMER` c
join `shailja-project-444415.TARGETBUSINESSSTUDY20250125.ORDERS` o
on c.customer_id = o.customer_id
group by c.customer_state
)
```



```

having avg_diff_estimated_delivery < 0)
select tbl.customer_state, tbl.avg_diff_estimated_delivery
From tbl
order by tbl.avg_diff_estimated_delivery
limit 5

```

OUTPUT:

Row	customer_state	avg_diff_estimated_delivery
1	AC	-19.76
2	RO	-19.13
3	AP	-18.73
4	AM	-18.61
5	RR	-16.41

INSIGHT:

📌 For Fast-Delivery States:

- Promote "Fast Delivery" as a selling point (e.g., same-day or next-day delivery offers).
- Maintain and scale warehouse efficiency to sustain fast shipping speeds.

📌 For Slower States:

- Analyze logistics bottlenecks and optimize fulfillment strategies.
 - Improve inventory placement by setting up regional warehouses in slow-delivery states
-

VI. Analysis based on the payments:

1. Find the month on month no. of orders placed using different payment types

QUERY:

```
SELECT
FORMAT_TIMESTAMP('%Y', TIMESTAMP(O.order_purchase_timestamp)) AS order_year,
FORMAT_TIMESTAMP('%B', TIMESTAMP(O.order_purchase_timestamp)) AS order_month,
payment_type,count(distinct O.order_id) as order_count
from `shailja-project-444415.TARGETBUSINESSSTUDY20250125.ORDERS` O
join `shailja-project-444415.TARGETBUSINESSSTUDY20250125.PAYMENTS` P
on O.order_id = P.order_id
group by order_year,order_month,payment_type
order by PARSE_TIMESTAMP('%Y',order_year),PARSE_TIMESTAMP('%B',order_month)
```

OUTPUT:

Row	order_year	order_month	payment_type	order_count
1	2016	September	credit_card	3
2	2016	October	credit_card	253
3	2016	October	UPI	63
4	2016	October	voucher	11
5	2016	October	debit_card	2
6	2016	December	credit_card	1
7	2017	January	credit_card	582
8	2017	January	UPI	197
9	2017	January	voucher	33
10	2017	January	debit_card	9

INSIGHT:

- Credit cards are likely the dominant payment method, followed by boleto (bank slips), debit cards, and vouchers.
- If credit card usage increases over time, it suggests growing consumer confidence in online payments.
- If boleto (bank slip) usage is high in certain months, it may indicate that some customers prefer non-instant payment methods due to financial planning or lack of credit access.
- **Spike in credit card & installment payments** due to big purchases.
- Possible increase in orders, especially via credit cards and installment payments.

2. Find the no. of orders placed on the basis of the payment installments that have been paid.

QUERY:

```
select count(DISTINCT O.order_id) as order_count, payment_installments
from `shailja-project-444415.TARGETBUSINESSSTUDY20250125.ORDERS` O
join `shailja-project-444415.TARGETBUSINESSSTUDY20250125.PAYMENTS` P
on O.order_id = P.order_id
group by payment_installments
order by payment_installments
```

OUTPUT:

Row	order_count	payment_installment
1	2	0
2	49060	1
3	12389	2
4	10443	3
5	7088	4
6	5234	5
7	3916	6
8	1623	7
9	4253	8
10	644	9
11	5315	10
12	23	11
13	133	12

INSIGHT:

- Encourage longer installment options **before big sales events** to maximize revenue.
 - Offer **discounts for upfront payments** in slower months to boost immediate cash flow.
 - Offer **interest-free installments** on high-ticket items to **increase conversion rates**.
 - Provide **BNPL (Buy Now, Pay Later) options** to attract customers who prefer small, flexible payments.
 - If **most customers prefer full payment**, offering **small discounts for one-time payments** can boost immediate cash flow.
 - If **installments are popular**, businesses should provide **more flexible installment plans (interest-free options)** to attract customers
-

