

Algebraic Geometry 2

Tutorial session 2

Lecturer: Rami Aizenbud
TA: Shai Shechter

May 1, 2020

Presheaves and sheaves

Definition (Presheaf)

Let X be a topological space. A presheaf \mathcal{F} of abelian groups on X consists of the following data:

- ① for any open subset $U \subseteq X$, an abelian group $\mathcal{F}(U)$, and
- ② for every inclusion $V \subseteq U$ of open sets in X , a (restriction) homomorphism $\text{res}_{UV} : \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(V)$,

such that the following hold:

- ① $\mathcal{F}(\emptyset) = 0$,
- ② $\text{res}_{UU} = \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{F}(U)}$, and
- ③ $\text{res}_{UW} = \text{res}_{VW} \circ \text{res}_{UV}$ for any $W \subseteq V \subseteq U$ open.

Presheaves and sheaves

Definition (Presheaf)

Let X be a topological space. A presheaf \mathcal{F} of abelian groups on X consists of the following data:

- ① for any open subset $U \subseteq X$, an abelian group $\mathcal{F}(U)$, and
- ② for every inclusion $V \subseteq U$ of open sets in X , a (restriction) homomorphism $\text{res}_{UV} : \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(V)$,

such that the following hold:

- ① $\mathcal{F}(\emptyset) = 0$,
- ② $\text{res}_{UU} = \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{F}(U)}$, and
- ③ $\text{res}_{UW} = \text{res}_{VW} \circ \text{res}_{UV}$ for any $W \subseteq V \subseteq U$ open.

The elements of \mathcal{F} are called *sections* of \mathcal{F} over U .

Presheaves and sheaves

Definition (Presheaf)

Let X be a topological space. A presheaf \mathcal{F} of abelian groups on X consists of the following data:

- 1 for any open subset $U \subseteq X$, an abelian group $\mathcal{F}(U)$, and
- 2 for every inclusion $V \subseteq U$ of open sets in X , a (restriction) homomorphism $\text{res}_{UV} : \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(V)$,

such that the following hold:

- 1 $\mathcal{F}(\emptyset) = 0$,
- 2 $\text{res}_{UU} = \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{F}(U)}$, and
- 3 $\text{res}_{UW} = \text{res}_{VW} \circ \text{res}_{UV}$ for any $W \subseteq V \subseteq U$ open.

The elements of \mathcal{F} are called *sections* of \mathcal{F} over U . It is sometimes convenient to write $\mathcal{F}(U) = \Gamma(U, \mathcal{F})$.

Equivalently, a presheaf is a contravariant functor

$$\mathcal{F} : \underline{\mathbf{Open}}(X) \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{AbGps}},$$

where $\underline{\mathbf{Open}}(X)$ is the category of open sets of X with

$$\mathrm{Hom}(V, U) = \begin{cases} \{i_{VU}\} & \text{if } V \subseteq U \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Presheaves and sheaves

Definition (Sheaf)

A presheaf \mathcal{F} on X is a *sheaf* if it satisfies the following additional axioms, for any $U \subseteq X$ open with open cover $U = \bigcup_{\alpha} V_{\alpha}$:

- 1 (locality) for any $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$, if $s|_{V_{\alpha}} = 0$ for all α then $s = 0$, and
- 2 (gluing) given sections $s_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{F}(U_{\alpha})$ such that $s_{\alpha}|_{V_{\alpha} \cap V_{\beta}} = s_{\beta}|_{V_{\alpha} \cap V_{\beta}}$ for all α, β , there exists $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ such that $s|_{V_{\alpha}} = s_{\alpha}$ for all α .

Presheaves and sheaves

Definition (Sheaf)

A presheaf \mathcal{F} on X is a *sheaf* if it satisfies the following additional axioms, for any $U \subseteq X$ open with open cover $U = \bigcup_{\alpha} V_{\alpha}$:

- 1 (locality) for any $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$, if $s|_{V_{\alpha}} = 0$ for all α then $s = 0$, and
- 2 (gluing) given sections $s_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{F}(U_{\alpha})$ such that $s_{\alpha}|_{V_{\alpha} \cap V_{\beta}} = s_{\beta}|_{V_{\alpha} \cap V_{\beta}}$ for all α, β , there exists $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ such that $s|_{V_{\alpha}} = s_{\alpha}$ for all α .

Given an inclusion $V \subseteq U$ of open sets and $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$, we abbreviate $s|_V = \text{res}_{UV}(s)$.

The sheaf axioms can be described by a sequence:

$$1 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U) \xrightarrow{s \mapsto (s|_{V_\alpha})_\alpha} \prod_{\alpha} \mathcal{F}(V_\alpha) \xrightarrow{(s_\alpha)_\alpha \mapsto (s_\alpha|_{V_{\alpha,\beta}} - s_\beta|_{V_{\alpha,\beta}})_{\alpha,\beta}} \prod_{\alpha,\beta} \mathcal{F}(V_{\alpha,\beta})$$

where $V_{\alpha,\beta} := V_\alpha \cap V_\beta$.

The sheaf axioms can be described by a sequence:

$$1 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U) \xrightarrow{s \mapsto (s|_{V_\alpha})_\alpha} \prod_{\alpha} \mathcal{F}(V_\alpha) \xrightarrow{(s_\alpha)_\alpha \mapsto (s_\alpha|_{V_{\alpha,\beta}} - s_\beta|_{V_{\alpha,\beta}})_{\alpha,\beta}} \prod_{\alpha,\beta} \mathcal{F}(V_{\alpha,\beta})$$

where $V_{\alpha,\beta} := V_\alpha \cap V_\beta$.

The locality axiom is equivalent to exactness at $\mathcal{F}(U)$, and the gluing axioms is equivalent to exactness at $\prod_{\alpha} \mathcal{F}(V_\alpha)$.

The sheaf axioms can be described by a sequence:

$$1 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U) \xrightarrow{s \mapsto (s|_{V_\alpha})_\alpha} \prod_{\alpha} \mathcal{F}(V_\alpha) \xrightarrow{(s_\alpha)_\alpha \mapsto (s_\alpha|_{V_{\alpha,\beta}} - s_\beta|_{V_{\alpha,\beta}})_{\alpha,\beta}} \prod_{\alpha,\beta} \mathcal{F}(V_{\alpha,\beta})$$

where $V_{\alpha,\beta} := V_\alpha \cap V_\beta$.

The locality axiom is equivalent to exactness at $\mathcal{F}(U)$, and the gluing axioms is equivalent to exactness at $\prod_{\alpha} \mathcal{F}(V_\alpha)$.

Remark. In different contexts, e.g. if \mathcal{F} is a sheaf of sets, the last arrow is often replaced by an equalizer arrow.

Some examples

Let X be a topological space.

Some examples

Let X be a topological space.

- 1 The assignments $U \mapsto C(U)$, $C_b(U)$ and $C_c(U)$ (continuous, bounded and compactly supported functions on $U \subseteq X$) give rise to presheaves on X , with res defined by restriction of functions.

Some examples

Let X be a topological space.

- 1 The assignments $U \mapsto C(U)$, $C_b(U)$ and $C_c(U)$ (continuous, bounded and compactly supported functions on $U \subseteq X$) give rise to presheaves on X , with res defined by restriction of functions. $U \mapsto C(U)$ is also a sheaf. $U \mapsto C_b(U)$ is not a sheaf.

Some examples

Let X be a topological space.

- 1 The assignments $U \mapsto C(U)$, $C_b(U)$ and $C_c(U)$ (continuous, bounded and compactly supported functions on $U \subseteq X$) give rise to presheaves on X , with res defined by restriction of functions. $U \mapsto C(U)$ is also a sheaf. $U \mapsto C_b(U)$ is not a sheaf. $C_c(U)$ is a sheaf iff X is compact.

Some examples

Let X be a topological space.

- 1 The assignments $U \mapsto C(U)$, $C_b(U)$ and $C_c(U)$ (continuous, bounded and compactly supported functions on $U \subseteq X$) give rise to presheaves on X , with res defined by restriction of functions. $U \mapsto C(U)$ is also a sheaf. $U \mapsto C_b(U)$ is not a sheaf. $C_c(U)$ is a sheaf iff X is compact.
- 2 Given an abelian group, the *constant* presheaf on X is the assignment $\mathcal{F}(U) = \{\text{constant functions } U \rightarrow A\}$, for any $U \subseteq X$ open, with function restriction. This is *not* a presheaf.

Some examples

Let X be a topological space.

- 1 The assignments $U \mapsto C(U)$, $C_b(U)$ and $C_c(U)$ (continuous, bounded and compactly supported functions on $U \subseteq X$) give rise to presheaves on X , with res defined by restriction of functions. $U \mapsto C(U)$ is also a sheaf. $U \mapsto C_b(U)$ is not a sheaf. $C_c(U)$ is a sheaf iff X is compact.
- 2 Given an abelian group, the *constant* presheaf on X is the assignment $\mathcal{F}(U) = \{\text{constant functions } U \rightarrow A\}$, for any $U \subseteq X$ open, with function restriction. This is *not* a presheaf.
- 3 In the same setting, the assignment

$$\mathcal{F}(U) = \{\varphi : U \rightarrow A : \varphi \text{ is locally constant}\}$$

is the presheaf of *locally constant functions*. It is a sheaf.

Some examples

In general, given a field K and a property (P) of functions with values to K (e.g. continuity, differentiability, integrability, boundedness etc.), any assignment of the form

$$U \mapsto \{\varphi : U \rightarrow K \mid \varphi \text{ has property } (P)\}$$

gives rise to a presheaf on X .

Some examples

In general, given a field K and a property (P) of functions with values to K (e.g. continuity, differentiability, integrability, boundedness etc.), any assignment of the form

$$U \mapsto \{\varphi : U \rightarrow K \mid \varphi \text{ has property } (P)\}$$

gives rise to a presheaf on X .

Heuristically, the sheaf axioms tell us that the property (P) is of local nature.

Exercise

Let X be a topological space and A an abelian group. Assuming X has a non-dense open subset and A is non-trivial, show that the constant presheaf is not a sheaf on X .

Exercise

Let X be a topological space and A an abelian group. Assuming X has a non-dense open subset and A is non-trivial, show that the constant presheaf is not a sheaf on X .

Show that the locally constant presheaf is a sheaf.

Solution.

Exercise

Let X be a topological space and A an abelian group. Assuming X has a non-dense open subset and A is non-trivial, show that the constant presheaf is not a sheaf on X .

Show that the locally constant presheaf is a sheaf.

Solution.

Let $V_1 \subseteq X$ be a non-dense open subset and let $V_2 \subseteq V_1^c$ be open.

Exercise

Let X be a topological space and A an abelian group. Assuming X has a non-dense open subset and A is non-trivial, show that the constant presheaf is not a sheaf on X .

Show that the locally constant presheaf is a sheaf.

Solution.

Let $V_1 \subseteq X$ be a non-dense open subset and let $V_2 \subseteq V_1^c$ be open. Let $a_1, a_2 \in A$ be distinct elements and $s_i \in \mathcal{F}(V_i)$ the function $s_i \equiv a_i$, for $i = 1, 2$.

Exercise

Let X be a topological space and A an abelian group. Assuming X has a non-dense open subset and A is non-trivial, show that the constant presheaf is not a sheaf on X .

Show that the locally constant presheaf is a sheaf.

Solution.

Let $V_1 \subseteq X$ be a non-dense open subset and let $V_2 \subseteq V_1^c$ be open. Let $a_1, a_2 \in A$ be distinct elements and $s_i \in \mathcal{F}(V_i)$ the function $s_i \equiv a_i$, for $i = 1, 2$. Then $V_1 \cap V_2 = \emptyset$, and therefore any selection of elements $s_i \in \mathcal{F}(V_i)$ should glue to an element of $\mathcal{F}(V_1 \cup V_2)$. However, a function $s : V_1 \cup V_2 \rightarrow A$ such that $s|_{V_1} = s_1$ and $s|_{V_2} = s_2$ is not constant. Thus the gluing axiom fails.

Exercise

Let X be a topological space and A an abelian group. Assuming X has a non-dense open subset and A is non-trivial, show that the constant presheaf is not a sheaf on X .

Show that the locally constant presheaf is a sheaf.

Solution.

Let $V_1 \subseteq X$ be a non-dense open subset and let $V_2 \subseteq V_1^c$ be open. Let $a_1, a_2 \in A$ be distinct elements and $s_i \in \mathcal{F}(V_i)$ the function $s_i \equiv a_i$, for $i = 1, 2$. Then $V_1 \cap V_2 = \emptyset$, and therefore any selection of elements $s_i \in \mathcal{F}(V_i)$ should glue to an element of $\mathcal{F}(V_1 \cup V_2)$. However, a function $s : V_1 \cup V_2 \rightarrow A$ such that $s|_{V_1} = s_1$ and $s|_{V_2} = s_2$ is not constant. Thus the gluing axiom fails.

To show that the presheaf of locally constant functions is a sheaf, we need to verify the locality and gluing axioms.

Exercise

Let X be a topological space and A an abelian group. Assuming X has a non-dense open subset and A is non-trivial, show that the constant presheaf is not a sheaf on X .

Show that the locally constant presheaf is a sheaf.

Solution.

Let $V_1 \subseteq X$ be a non-dense open subset and let $V_2 \subseteq V_1^c$ be open. Let $a_1, a_2 \in A$ be distinct elements and $s_i \in \mathcal{F}(V_i)$ the function $s_i \equiv a_i$, for $i = 1, 2$. Then $V_1 \cap V_2 = \emptyset$, and therefore any selection of elements $s_i \in \mathcal{F}(V_i)$ should glue to an element of $\mathcal{F}(V_1 \cup V_2)$. However, a function $s : V_1 \cup V_2 \rightarrow A$ such that $s|_{V_1} = s_1$ and $s|_{V_2} = s_2$ is not constant. Thus the gluing axiom fails.

To show that the presheaf of locally constant functions is a sheaf, we need to verify the locality and gluing axioms. The locality axiom holds for any sheaf of functions.

Exercise

Let X be a topological space and A an abelian group. Assuming X has a non-dense open subset and A is non-trivial, show that the constant presheaf is not a sheaf on X .

Show that the locally constant presheaf is a sheaf.

Solution.

Let $V_1 \subseteq X$ be a non-dense open subset and let $V_2 \subseteq V_1^c$ be open. Let $a_1, a_2 \in A$ be distinct elements and $s_i \in \mathcal{F}(V_i)$ the function $s_i \equiv a_i$, for $i = 1, 2$. Then $V_1 \cap V_2 = \emptyset$, and therefore any selection of elements $s_i \in \mathcal{F}(V_i)$ should glue to an element of $\mathcal{F}(V_1 \cup V_2)$. However, a function $s : V_1 \cup V_2 \rightarrow A$ such that $s|_{V_1} = s_1$ and $s|_{V_2} = s_2$ is not constant. Thus the gluing axiom fails.

To show that the presheaf of locally constant functions is a sheaf, we need to verify the locality and gluing axioms. The locality axiom holds for any sheaf of functions. The gluing axiom is also easy. □

Stalks

Definition

Let X be a topological space and \mathcal{F} a presheaf on X . Given a point $p \in X$, the stalk of \mathcal{F} is defined to be

$$\mathcal{F}_p = \varinjlim_{p \in U \text{ open}} \mathcal{F}(U)$$

Definition

Let X be a topological space and \mathcal{F} a presheaf on X . Given a point $p \in X$, the stalk of \mathcal{F} is defined to be

$$\mathcal{F}_p = \varinjlim_{p \in U \text{ open}} \mathcal{F}(U)$$

The set \mathcal{F}_p can be identified with the set equivalence classes of pairs

$$\{(s, U) : p \in U \subseteq X \text{ open}, s \in \mathcal{F}(U)\},$$

with respect to the relation

$$(s, U) \sim (t, V) \iff \exists p \in W \subseteq U \cap V \text{ such that } s|_W = t|_W.$$

Another example - Skyscraper sheaves

Let X be a topological space, $p \in X$ a point and A an abelian group. Define, for any $U \subseteq X$ open,

$$i_{p,A}(U) = \begin{cases} A & \text{if } p \in U \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

with $\text{res}_{U,V} = \mathbf{1}_A$ if $p \in V \subseteq U$ and 0 otherwise.

Exercise

Show that $i_{p,A}$ is a sheaf. What are its stalks?

Solution.

The verification that $i_{p,A}$ is a sheaf is easy, and left as a home exercise.

Solution.

The verification that $i_{p,A}$ is a sheaf is easy, and left as a home exercise.

Let us compute the stalk of $i_{p,A}$ at a point $q \in X$.

Solution.

The verification that $i_{p,A}$ is a sheaf is easy, and left as a home exercise.

Let us compute the stalk of $i_{p,A}$ at a point $q \in X$.

- **Case 1.** Consider $q = p$. Then, for any open neighbourhood $p \in U$ we have $i_{p,A}(U) = A$, and for two pairs (s, U) and (t, V) as above, we have that $(s, U) \sim (t, V)$ iff there exists $W \subseteq U \cap V$ such that $s|_W = t|_W$. That is, $(s, U) \sim (t, V)$ iff $s = t$, which implies $(i_{p,A})_p = A$.



Solution.

The verification that $i_{p,A}$ is a sheaf is easy, and left as a home exercise.

Let us compute the stalk of $i_{p,A}$ at a point $q \in X$.

- **Case 1.** Consider $q = p$. Then, for any open neighbourhood $p \in U$ we have $i_{p,A}(U) = A$, and for two pairs (s, U) and (t, V) as above, we have that $(s, U) \sim (t, V)$ iff there exists $W \subseteq U \cap V$ such that $s|_W = t|_W$. That is, $(s, U) \sim (t, V)$ iff $s = t$, which implies $(i_{p,A})_p = A$.
- **Case 2.** Assume there exists $q \in U \subseteq X$ open such that $p \notin q$. Then, for any $q \in V \subseteq U$ we necessarily have that $i_{p,A}(V) = 0$, since $p \notin V$. Thus, the stalk in this case is 0.



Solution.

The verification that $i_{p,A}$ is a sheaf is easy, and left as a home exercise.

Let us compute the stalk of $i_{p,A}$ at a point $q \in X$.

- **Case 1.** Consider $q = p$. Then, for any open neighbourhood $p \in U$ we have $i_{p,A}(U) = A$, and for two pairs (s, U) and (t, V) as above, we have that $(s, U) \sim (t, V)$ iff there exists $W \subseteq U \cap V$ such that $s|_W = t|_W$. That is, $(s, U) \sim (t, V)$ iff $s = t$, which implies $(i_{p,A})_p = A$.
- **Case 2.** Assume there exists $q \in U \subseteq X$ open such that $p \notin q$. Then, for any $q \in V \subseteq U$ we necessarily have that $i_{p,A}(V) = 0$, since $p \notin V$. Thus, the stalk in this case is 0.
- **Case 3.** Otherwise, any $q \in U \subseteq X$ open necessarily contains p as well, and hence $i_{p,A}(U) = A$. It follows, as in the first case, that $(i_{p,A})_q = A$ in this case as well.



Example

Consider $X = \{1, 2, 3\}$ with topology given by

$$\{\emptyset, X, \{1\}, \{1, 2\}\}.$$

Example

Consider $X = \{1, 2, 3\}$ with topology given by

$$\{\emptyset, X, \{1\}, \{1, 2\}\}.$$

Then $\overline{\{1\}} = X$, $\overline{\{2\}} = \{2, 3\}$ and $\overline{\{3\}} = \{3\}$.

Example

Consider $X = \{1, 2, 3\}$ with topology given by

$$\{\emptyset, X, \{1\}, \{1, 2\}\}.$$

Then $\overline{\{1\}} = X$, $\overline{\{2\}} = \{2, 3\}$ and $\overline{\{3\}} = \{3\}$.

The following table describes the stalk $(i_{p,\mathbb{Z}})_q$ for different values of p and q :

$q \backslash p$	1	2	3
1	\mathbb{Z}	0	0
2	\mathbb{Z}	\mathbb{Z}	0
3	\mathbb{Z}	\mathbb{Z}	\mathbb{Z}

Morphisms of presheaves

Definition

A morphism $\varphi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ between two presheaves on a topological space X is a collection $(\varphi_U)_{U \subseteq X \text{ open}}$ such that the following diagram commutes for any $V \subseteq U \subseteq X$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}(U) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_U} & \mathcal{G}(U) \\ \text{res}_{U,V}^{\mathcal{F}} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{res}_{U,V}^{\mathcal{G}} \\ \mathcal{F}(V) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_V} & \mathcal{G}(V). \end{array}$$

Morphisms of presheaves

Definition

A morphism $\varphi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ between two presheaves on a topological space X is a collection $(\varphi_U)_{U \subseteq X \text{ open}}$ such that the following diagram commutes for any $V \subseteq U \subseteq X$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}(U) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_U} & \mathcal{G}(U) \\ \text{res}_{U,V}^{\mathcal{F}} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{res}_{U,V}^{\mathcal{G}} \\ \mathcal{F}(V) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_V} & \mathcal{G}(V). \end{array}$$

Equivalently, a morphism of presheaves is the same as a natural transformation between \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} , when considered as functors $\underline{\mathbf{Open}}(X) \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{AbGps}}$.

Exercise

Let $\varphi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be a morphism of sheaves on X . Show that the presheaf $\text{Ker}(\varphi)$, defined by $\text{Ker}(\varphi)(U) = \text{Ker}(\varphi_U)$ with the restriction maps induced from \mathcal{F} , is a sheaf on X .

Exercise

Let $\varphi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be a morphism of sheaves on X . Show that the presheaf $\text{Ker}(\varphi)$, defined by $\text{Ker}(\varphi)(U) = \text{Ker}(\varphi_U)$ with the restriction maps induced from \mathcal{F} , is a sheaf on X .

Solution.

The fact that $\text{Ker}(\varphi)$ is a presheaf follows from the presheaf axioms of \mathcal{F} . For example, by definition, $\text{res}_{U,U}^{\text{Ker}(\varphi)} = \text{res}_{U,U}^{\mathcal{F}}|_{\text{Ker}(\varphi_U)}$, which is simply $\mathbf{1}_{\text{Ker}(\varphi_U)}$.

Exercise

Let $\varphi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be a morphism of sheaves on X . Show that the presheaf $\text{Ker}(\varphi)$, defined by $\text{Ker}(\varphi)(U) = \text{Ker}(\varphi_U)$ with the restriction maps induced from \mathcal{F} , is a sheaf on X .

Solution.

The fact that $\text{Ker}(\varphi)$ is a presheaf follows from the presheaf axioms of \mathcal{F} . For example, by definition, $\text{res}_{U,U}^{\text{Ker}(\varphi)} = \text{res}_{U,U}^{\mathcal{F}}|_{\text{Ker}(\varphi_U)}$, which is simply $\mathbf{1}_{\text{Ker}(\varphi_U)}$. Also, given an open cover $U = \bigcup_{\alpha} V_{\alpha}$ and $s \in \text{Ker}(\varphi_U)$ such that $s|_{V_{\alpha}} = 0$, we have that $s = 0$ by the locality axiom of \mathcal{F} .

Exercise

Let $\varphi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be a morphism of sheaves on X . Show that the presheaf $\text{Ker}(\varphi)$, defined by $\text{Ker}(\varphi)(U) = \text{Ker}(\varphi_U)$ with the restriction maps induced from \mathcal{F} , is a sheaf on X .

Solution.

The fact that $\text{Ker}(\varphi)$ is a presheaf follows from the presheaf axioms of \mathcal{F} . For example, by definition, $\text{res}_{U,U}^{\text{Ker}(\varphi)} = \text{res}_{U,U}^{\mathcal{F}}|_{\text{Ker}(\varphi_U)}$, which is simply $\mathbf{1}_{\text{Ker}(\varphi_U)}$. Also, given an open cover $U = \bigcup_{\alpha} V_{\alpha}$ and $s \in \text{Ker}(\varphi_U)$ such that $s|_{V_{\alpha}} = 0$, we have that $s = 0$ by the locality axiom of \mathcal{F} .

Let us show the gluing axiom.

Exercise

Let $\varphi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be a morphism of sheaves on X . Show that the presheaf $\text{Ker}(\varphi)$, defined by $\text{Ker}(\varphi)(U) = \text{Ker}(\varphi_U)$ with the restriction maps induced from \mathcal{F} , is a sheaf on X .

Solution.

The fact that $\text{Ker}(\varphi)$ is a presheaf follows from the presheaf axioms of \mathcal{F} . For example, by definition, $\text{res}_{U,U}^{\text{Ker}(\varphi)} = \text{res}_{U,U}^{\mathcal{F}}|_{\text{Ker}(\varphi_U)}$, which is simply $\mathbf{1}_{\text{Ker}(\varphi_U)}$. Also, given an open cover $U = \bigcup_{\alpha} V_{\alpha}$ and $s \in \text{Ker}(\varphi_U)$ such that $s|_{V_{\alpha}} = 0$, we have that $s = 0$ by the locality axiom of \mathcal{F} .

Let us show the gluing axiom. Given $U = \bigcup_{\alpha} V_{\alpha}$ and $s_{\alpha} \in \text{Ker}(\varphi_{V_{\alpha}})$ such that $s_{\alpha}|_{V_{\alpha} \cap V_{\beta}} = s_{\beta}|_{V_{\alpha} \cap V_{\beta}}$ for all α, β , the gluing axiom of \mathcal{F} implies that there exists $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ such that $s|_{V_{\alpha}} = s_{\alpha}$ for all α . We show that in fact $s \in \text{Ker}(\varphi_U)$.

Exercise

Let $\varphi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be a morphism of sheaves on X . Show that the presheaf $\text{Ker}(\varphi)$, defined by $\text{Ker}(\varphi)(U) = \text{Ker}(\varphi_U)$ with the restriction maps induced from \mathcal{F} , is a sheaf on X .

Solution.

The fact that $\text{Ker}(\varphi)$ is a presheaf follows from the presheaf axioms of \mathcal{F} . For example, by definition, $\text{res}_{U,U}^{\text{Ker}(\varphi)} = \text{res}_{U,U}^{\mathcal{F}}|_{\text{Ker}(\varphi_U)}$, which is simply $\mathbf{1}_{\text{Ker}(\varphi_U)}$. Also, given an open cover $U = \bigcup_{\alpha} V_{\alpha}$ and $s \in \text{Ker}(\varphi_U)$ such that $s|_{V_{\alpha}} = 0$, we have that $s = 0$ by the locality axiom of \mathcal{F} .

Let us show the gluing axiom. Given $U = \bigcup_{\alpha} V_{\alpha}$ and $s_{\alpha} \in \text{Ker}(\varphi_{V_{\alpha}})$ such that $s_{\alpha}|_{V_{\alpha} \cap V_{\beta}} = s_{\beta}|_{V_{\alpha} \cap V_{\beta}}$ for all α, β , the gluing axiom of \mathcal{F} implies that there exists $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ such that $s|_{V_{\alpha}} = s_{\alpha}$ for all α . We show that in fact $s \in \text{Ker}(\varphi_U)$. Indeed $\varphi_U(s)|_{V_{\alpha}} = \varphi_{V_{\alpha}}(s|_{V_{\alpha}}) = 0$ implies, by the locality axiom of \mathcal{G} , that $\varphi_U(s) = 0$. □

Remark

Let $\varphi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be a morphism of sheaves on a top space X .

- 1 φ is said to be *injective* if $\text{Ker}(\varphi)$ is the zero sheaf.

Remark

Let $\varphi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be a morphism of sheaves on a top space X .

- ① φ is said to be *injective* if $\text{Ker}(\varphi)$ is the zero sheaf.
- ② Defining surjective morphisms requires the notion of a sheafification, to be defined in the next lecture.

Remark

Let $\varphi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be a morphism of sheaves on a top space X .

- 1 φ is said to be *injective* if $\text{Ker}(\varphi)$ is the zero sheaf.
- 2 Defining surjective morphisms requires the notion of a sheafification, to be defined in the next lecture.
- 3 However, we have another characterization of surjective and injective morphisms using stalks (to be proved in the home exercise).

Remark

Let $\varphi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be a morphism of sheaves on a top space X .

- 1 φ is said to be *injective* if $\text{Ker}(\varphi)$ is the zero sheaf.
- 2 Defining surjective morphisms requires the notion of a sheafification, to be defined in the next lecture.
- 3 However, we have another characterization of surjective and injective morphisms using stalks (to be proved in the home exercise).

Fact

A morphism $\varphi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ as above is injective (resp surjective) iff, for any $p \in X$, φ induces an injective (resp surjective) homomorphism $\varphi_p : \mathcal{F}_p \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_p$.

Surjectivity is elusive

Example

Let $X = \mathbb{R}$ with the standard topology, and let \mathcal{F} be the sheaf of locally constant functions on X with values in \mathbb{Z} , and $\mathcal{G} = i_{0,\mathbb{Z}} \oplus i_{1,\mathbb{Z}}$ (direct sum of two skyscraper sheaves).

Surjectivity is elusive

Example

Let $X = \mathbb{R}$ with the standard topology, and let \mathcal{F} be the sheaf of locally constant functions on X with values in \mathbb{Z} , and $\mathcal{G} = i_{0,\mathbb{Z}} \oplus i_{1,\mathbb{Z}}$ (direct sum of two skyscraper sheaves).

Let $\varphi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be defined, for any $U \subseteq X$ open, by

$$\varphi_U(s) = (\tilde{s}(0), \tilde{s}(1)) \quad (s \in \mathcal{F}(U)),$$

where $\tilde{s} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is such that $\tilde{s}|_U \equiv s$ and $\tilde{s}|_{U^c} \equiv 0$.

Surjectivity is elusive

Example

Let $X = \mathbb{R}$ with the standard topology, and let \mathcal{F} be the sheaf of locally constant functions on X with values in \mathbb{Z} , and $\mathcal{G} = i_{0,\mathbb{Z}} \oplus i_{1,\mathbb{Z}}$ (direct sum of two skyscraper sheaves).

Let $\varphi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be defined, for any $U \subseteq X$ open, by

$$\varphi_U(s) = (\tilde{s}(0), \tilde{s}(1)) \quad (s \in \mathcal{F}(U)),$$

where $\tilde{s} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is such that $\tilde{s}|_U \equiv s$ and $\tilde{s}|_{U^c} \equiv 0$.

Then, for any $p \in \mathbb{R}$, we have that $\mathcal{F}_p = \mathbb{Z}$ and \mathcal{G}_p is either \mathbb{Z} if $p \in \{0, 1\}$ or 0 otherwise. The induced map φ_p is either identity, in the first case, or the zero map, otherwise, and in any case – surjective.

Surjectivity is elusive

Example

Let $X = \mathbb{R}$ with the standard topology, and let \mathcal{F} be the sheaf of locally constant functions on X with values in \mathbb{Z} , and $\mathcal{G} = i_{0,\mathbb{Z}} \oplus i_{1,\mathbb{Z}}$ (direct sum of two skyscraper sheaves).

Let $\varphi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be defined, for any $U \subseteq X$ open, by

$$\varphi_U(s) = (\tilde{s}(0), \tilde{s}(1)) \quad (s \in \mathcal{F}(U)),$$

where $\tilde{s} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is such that $\tilde{s}|_U \equiv s$ and $\tilde{s}|_{U^c} \equiv 0$.

Then, for any $p \in \mathbb{R}$, we have that $\mathcal{F}_p = \mathbb{Z}$ and \mathcal{G}_p is either \mathbb{Z} if $p \in \{0, 1\}$ or 0 otherwise. The induced map φ_p is either identity, in the first case, or the zero map, otherwise, and in any case – surjective. Thus φ is surjective.

Surjectivity is elusive

Example

Let $X = \mathbb{R}$ with the standard topology, and let \mathcal{F} be the sheaf of locally constant functions on X with values in \mathbb{Z} , and $\mathcal{G} = i_{0,\mathbb{Z}} \oplus i_{1,\mathbb{Z}}$ (direct sum of two skyscraper sheaves).

Let $\varphi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be defined, for any $U \subseteq X$ open, by

$$\varphi_U(s) = (\tilde{s}(0), \tilde{s}(1)) \quad (s \in \mathcal{F}(U)),$$

where $\tilde{s} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is such that $\tilde{s}|_U \equiv s$ and $\tilde{s}|_{U^c} \equiv 0$.

Then, for any $p \in \mathbb{R}$, we have that $\mathcal{F}_p = \mathbb{Z}$ and \mathcal{G}_p is either \mathbb{Z} if $p \in \{0, 1\}$ or 0 otherwise. The induced map φ_p is either identity, in the first case, or the zero map, otherwise, and in any case – surjective. Thus φ is surjective.

However, $\mathcal{F}(X) = \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathcal{G}(X) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$, and the map φ_X is *not* surjective.

The spectrum of a ring

Let R be a commutative unital ring.

Definition

The spectrum of R is the set

$$\operatorname{Spec}(R) = \{\mathfrak{p} \triangleleft R : \mathfrak{p} \text{ prime}\}.$$

The spectrum of a ring

Let R be a commutative unital ring.

Definition

The spectrum of R is the set

$$\operatorname{Spec}(R) = \{\mathfrak{p} \triangleleft R : \mathfrak{p} \text{ prime}\}.$$

Example

① $\operatorname{Spec}(k) = \{*\}$ for any field k .

The spectrum of a ring

Let R be a commutative unital ring.

Definition

The spectrum of R is the set

$$\operatorname{Spec}(R) = \{\mathfrak{p} \triangleleft R : \mathfrak{p} \text{ prime}\}.$$

Example

- ① $\operatorname{Spec}(k) = \{*\}$ for any field k .
- ② $\operatorname{Spec}(k[x_1, \dots, x_n]) =: \mathbb{A}_k^n$
 $\approx \{\text{irreducible monic polynomials in } x_1, \dots, x_n\} \sqcup \{0\}$

The spectrum of a ring

Let R be a commutative unital ring.

Definition

The spectrum of R is the set

$$\operatorname{Spec}(R) = \{\mathfrak{p} \triangleleft R : \mathfrak{p} \text{ prime}\}.$$

Example

- 1 $\operatorname{Spec}(k) = \{*\}$ for any field k .
- 2 $\operatorname{Spec}(k[x_1, \dots, x_n]) =: \mathbb{A}_k^n$
 $\approx \{\text{irreducible monic polynomials in } x_1, \dots, x_n\} \sqcup \{0\}$
- 3 $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}) = \{\langle 0 \rangle\} \sqcup \{\langle p \rangle : p \text{ prime}\}.$

The spectrum of a ring - topology

Given $I \triangleleft R$, define $V(I) := \{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(R) : I \subseteq \mathfrak{p}\}$.

Exercise

The spectrum of a ring - topology

Given $I \triangleleft R$, define $V(I) := \{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(R) : I \subseteq \mathfrak{p}\}$.

Exercise

- 1 $V((0)) = R$ and $V(R) = \emptyset$.

The spectrum of a ring - topology

Given $I \triangleleft R$, define $V(I) := \{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(R) : I \subseteq \mathfrak{p}\}$.

Exercise

- 1 $V((0)) = R$ and $V(R) = \emptyset$.
- 2 $V(IJ) = V(I) \cup V(J)$.

The spectrum of a ring - topology

Given $I \triangleleft R$, define $V(I) := \{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(R) : I \subseteq \mathfrak{p}\}$.

Exercise

- 1 $V((0)) = R$ and $V(R) = \emptyset$.
- 2 $V(IJ) = V(I) \cup V(J)$.
- 3 Given a collection $\{I_\alpha\}$ of ideals, $V(\sum I_\alpha) = \bigcap V(I_\alpha)$.

The spectrum of a ring - topology

Given $I \triangleleft R$, define $V(I) := \{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(R) : I \subseteq \mathfrak{p}\}$.

Exercise

- 1 $V((0)) = R$ and $V(R) = \emptyset$.
- 2 $V(IJ) = V(I) \cup V(J)$.
- 3 Given a collection $\{I_\alpha\}$ of ideals, $V(\sum I_\alpha) = \bigcap V(I_\alpha)$.

Proof.

The spectrum of a ring - topology

Given $I \triangleleft R$, define $V(I) := \{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(R) : I \subseteq \mathfrak{p}\}$.

Exercise

- 1 $V((0)) = R$ and $V(R) = \emptyset$.
- 2 $V(IJ) = V(I) \cup V(J)$.
- 3 Given a collection $\{I_\alpha\}$ of ideals, $V(\sum I_\alpha) = \bigcap V(I_\alpha)$.

Proof.

- 1 Clear;

The spectrum of a ring - topology

Given $I \triangleleft R$, define $V(I) := \{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(R) : I \subseteq \mathfrak{p}\}$.

Exercise

- 1 $V((0)) = R$ and $V(R) = \emptyset$.
- 2 $V(IJ) = V(I) \cup V(J)$.
- 3 Given a collection $\{I_\alpha\}$ of ideals, $V(\sum I_\alpha) = \bigcap V(I_\alpha)$.

Proof.

- 1 Clear;
- 2 \supseteq is clear, if $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq I$ then $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq IJ$ (similarly if $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq J$).

The spectrum of a ring - topology

Given $I \triangleleft R$, define $V(I) := \{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(R) : I \subseteq \mathfrak{p}\}$.

Exercise

- 1 $V((0)) = R$ and $V(R) = \emptyset$.
- 2 $V(IJ) = V(I) \cup V(J)$.
- 3 Given a collection $\{I_\alpha\}$ of ideals, $V(\sum I_\alpha) = \bigcap V(I_\alpha)$.

Proof.

- 1 Clear;
- 2 \supseteq is clear, if $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq I$ then $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq IJ$ (similarly if $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq J$). Conversely, assume $IJ \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ and $I \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}$. Take $x \in I \setminus \mathfrak{p}$, and $y \in J$. Then $xy \in IJ \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ implies $y \in \mathfrak{p}$, since \mathfrak{p} is prime.

The spectrum of a ring - topology

Given $I \triangleleft R$, define $V(I) := \{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(R) : I \subseteq \mathfrak{p}\}$.

Exercise

- 1 $V((0)) = R$ and $V(R) = \emptyset$.
- 2 $V(IJ) = V(I) \cup V(J)$.
- 3 Given a collection $\{I_\alpha\}$ of ideals, $V(\sum I_\alpha) = \bigcap V(I_\alpha)$.

Proof.

- 1 Clear;
- 2 \supseteq is clear, if $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq I$ then $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq IJ$ (similarly if $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq J$). Conversely, assume $IJ \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ and $I \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}$. Take $x \in I \setminus \mathfrak{p}$, and $y \in J$. Then $xy \in IJ \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ implies $y \in \mathfrak{p}$, since \mathfrak{p} is prime.
- 3 \supseteq : $\mathfrak{p} \in \bigcap_\alpha V(I_\alpha)$ implies $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq \bigcup I_\alpha \supseteq \sum I_\alpha$.

The spectrum of a ring - topology

Given $I \triangleleft R$, define $V(I) := \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R) : I \subseteq \mathfrak{p}\}$.

Exercise

- 1 $V((0)) = R$ and $V(R) = \emptyset$.
- 2 $V(IJ) = V(I) \cup V(J)$.
- 3 Given a collection $\{I_\alpha\}$ of ideals, $V(\sum I_\alpha) = \bigcap V(I_\alpha)$.

Proof.

- 1 Clear;
- 2 \supseteq is clear, if $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq I$ then $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq IJ$ (similarly if $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq J$). Conversely, assume $IJ \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ and $I \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}$. Take $x \in I \setminus \mathfrak{p}$, and $y \in J$. Then $xy \in IJ \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ implies $y \in \mathfrak{p}$, since \mathfrak{p} is prime.
- 3 \supseteq : $\mathfrak{p} \in \bigcap_\alpha V(I_\alpha)$ implies $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq \bigcup I_\alpha \supseteq \sum I_\alpha$.
 \subseteq : Since $I_{\alpha_0} \subseteq \sum I_\alpha$ for all α_0 , $\mathfrak{p} \in V(\sum I_\alpha)$ implies $\mathfrak{p} \in V(I_{\alpha_0})$ for all α_0 .

The collection $\{V(I) : I \triangleleft R\}$ is the set of closed sets for a topology on $\text{Spec}(R)$, which is known as the *Zariski Topology* of R .

Exercise

Let R be a ring.

- 1 Show that $\overline{\{\mathfrak{p}\}} = V(\mathfrak{p})$, for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(R)$ and, in particular, that $\{\mathfrak{p}\}$ is closed iff \mathfrak{p} is maximal.
- 2 Show that, if R is a domain, then $\{(0)\}$ is a dense point.

Exercise

Let R be a ring.

- 1 Show that $\overline{\{\mathfrak{p}\}} = V(\mathfrak{p})$, for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(R)$ and, in particular, that $\{\mathfrak{p}\}$ is closed iff \mathfrak{p} is maximal.
- 2 Show that, if R is a domain, then $\{(0)\}$ is a dense point.

Solution.



Exercise

Let R be a ring.

- 1 Show that $\overline{\{\mathfrak{p}\}} = V(\mathfrak{p})$, for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(R)$ and, in particular, that $\{\mathfrak{p}\}$ is closed iff \mathfrak{p} is maximal.
- 2 Show that, if R is a domain, then $\{(0)\}$ is a dense point.

Solution.

- 1 By definition, and by the previous exercise:

$$\overline{\mathfrak{p}} = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in F \text{ closed}} F = \bigcap_{\substack{I \triangleleft R \\ I \subseteq \mathfrak{p}}} V(I) = V\left(\sum_{I \subseteq \mathfrak{p}} I\right) = V(\mathfrak{p}).$$

In particular, $\{\mathfrak{p}\}$ is closed iff $\{\mathfrak{p}\} = V(\mathfrak{p})$ which occurs iff \mathfrak{p} is maximal (o/w, take $\mathfrak{m} \supsetneq \mathfrak{p}$ maximal).



Exercise

Let R be a ring.

- 1 Show that $\overline{\{\mathfrak{p}\}} = V(\mathfrak{p})$, for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(R)$ and, in particular, that $\{\mathfrak{p}\}$ is closed iff \mathfrak{p} is maximal.
- 2 Show that, if R is a domain, then $\{(0)\}$ is a dense point.

Solution.

- 1 By definition, and by the previous exercise:

$$\overline{\{\mathfrak{p}\}} = \bigcap_{\substack{F \text{ closed} \\ \mathfrak{p} \in F}} F = \bigcap_{\substack{I \triangleleft R \\ I \subseteq \mathfrak{p}}} V(I) = V\left(\sum_{I \subseteq \mathfrak{p}} I\right) = V(\mathfrak{p}).$$

In particular, $\{\mathfrak{p}\}$ is closed iff $\{\mathfrak{p}\} = V(\mathfrak{p})$ which occurs iff \mathfrak{p} is maximal (o/w, take $\mathfrak{m} \supsetneq \mathfrak{p}$ maximal).

- 2 Note: $(0) \in \operatorname{Spec}(R)$ iff R is a domain, in which case $V(0) = R$.



It still remains to define a sheaf structure on $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$. We will do this in the next tutorial.

Questions?