

Liskove Substitution Principle was written by [Barbara Liskov](#) in 1988.

The principle defines that objects of a superclass shall be replaceable with objects of its subclasses without breaking the application. That requires the objects of your subclasses to behave in the same way as the objects of your superclass.

An overriding method of a subclass needs to accept the same input parameter values as the method of the superclass. That means you can implement less restrictive validation rules, but you are not allowed to enforce stricter ones in your subclass. Otherwise, in case of polymorphic invocation, it may cause an **exception**, if it gets called with an object of the subclass.

Similar rules apply to the return value of the method. The return value of a method of the subclass needs to comply with the same rules

as the return value of the method of the superclass. You can only decide to apply even stricter rules by returning a specific subclass of the defined return value, or by returning a subset of the valid return values of the superclass.

```
01 class Bird {
02     public void fly() {}
03     public void eat() {}
04 }
05 class Crow extends Bird {}
06 class Ostrich extends Bird{
07     fly() {
08         throw new
09         UnsupportedOperationException
10         ();
11     }
12 }
13 public BirdTest {
```

```

13     public static void
main(String[] args) {
14         List<Bird> birdList = new
ArrayList<Bird>();
15         birdList.add(new Bird());
16         birdList.add(new Crow());
17         birdList.add(new
Ostrich());
18         letTheBirdsFly ( birdList
);
19     }

20     static void letTheBirdsFly (
List<Bird> birdList ) {
21         for ( Bird b : birdList )
{
22             b.fly();
23         }
24     }
25 }

```

What will happen to the above code? As soon as an Ostrich instance is passed, it blows up!!!
Here the sub type is not replaceable for the super type.

So this is a violation of LSP.

How do we fix such issues?

In the above scenario we can factor out the fly feature into- Flight and NonFlight birds.

```
1 class Bird{
2     public void eat () {}
3 }
4 class FlightBird extends Bird{
5     public void fly () ()
6 }
7 class NonFlight extends Bird{ }
```

So instead of dealing with Bird, we can deal with 2 categories of birds- Flight and NonFlight.

i.e.

```
20     static void letTheBirdsFly (
    List<FlyingBird> birdList ) {
21         for ( FlyingBird b :
    birdList ) {
```

```
22         b.fly();  
23     }
```

Another Example:

```
class MyThread extends  
Thread  
{  
    public void run()  
    {  
        // some code  
    }  
}
```

```
Thread t1=new Thread();  
t1.start(); // here  
Thread's run will be  
invoked
```

```
t1=new MyThread();  
t1.start(); // here  
MyThread's run will be  
invoked
```

So here we've seen that
run() still executes but
with different
implementation, so
behaviour is same,
implementation is
different.

Violation of LSP

```
class Mythread extends Thread
{
    public void start()
    {
        System.out.println("Hello World");
    }
}
```

```
Thread t1=new Thread();
```

```
t1.start();    // Thread registration with  
Scheduler
```

```
t1=new Mythread();
```

```
t1.start();    // Hello world - doesn't have any  
meaning
```

Solution

```
class Mythread extends Thread  
{  
    public void start()  
    {  
        super.start();
```

```
// some genuine code
```

```
}
```

```
}
```