1 Efficient Frontier

Let a portfolio of N assets be π , whose expected return is μ and the co-variance is Σ .

1.1 Efficient Frontier with 3 Assets

According to the paper, the expected return of the portfolio, $E = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \pi_i \mu_i = \pi^t \mu$. The risk is analogous to the variance of the returns, i.e. $V = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sigma_{ij} \pi_i \pi_j = \pi^t \Sigma \pi$.

Given $\mu = m$ and $\Sigma = C$ for a 3 assets, we can generate 100 random portfolios, where each portfolio $\pi = (\pi_1 \pi_2 \pi_3)^t$ s.t. $\mathbf{1}^t \pi = 1$ by y=randn(3,1); y=y/norm(y,1). Then we can calculate E - V for each of the portfolios by $E=y^+*m$; $V=\text{sqrt}(y^+*C*y)$.




Figure 1: Efficient Portfolio

Finally I make the scatter plot and on the same figure I plot the efficient frontier using estimateFrontier function. As expected all the random portfolios were on the correct one side of the frontier.

1.2 Efficient Frontier with 2 Assets

To prepare three 2 asset porfolios, we remove the data points that are not necessary, i.e. that has the third asset. First I plotted random returns generated by the 2 asset mean and variance using mvnrnd. As can be noticed from Figure 2, asset 2 and 3 are almost uncorrelated, asset 1 and 2 are negatively correlated, asset 1 and 3 are positively correlated.




Figure 2: Distribution of 2 Asset Returns

As done previously with all three assets, I generate 100 random portfolios for each of the three 2 asset combinations and plot the E-V scatters along with the efficient frontiers. Notice that in case of 2 asset portfolios, every portfolio construction is efficient and the frontier has a bend, i.e. risk increases for the lowest returns.

1.3 Use of linprog in NaiveMV

In order to calculate the efficient frontier, we need two extreme points - maximum return for a portfolio regardless of the risk and minimum risk regardless of the return. For the first case, we have $E = \max_{w}(\pi^{t}\mu)$




Figure 3: Efficient Frontier for 2 Asset Portfolios

s.t. $\mathbf{1}^t \boldsymbol{\pi} = 1$ which gives the portfolio that maximises the return regardless of the risk. We can then calculate E - V for this portfolio, thus giving us the top corner of the E - V graphs here. This is a linear equation of $\boldsymbol{\pi}$. Matlab's linprog function can solve linear equation can solve such equations of the following form -

$$\min_{x}(f^{t}x)s.t.\begin{cases} A.x \leq b \\ A_{eq}.x = b_{eq} \\ lb \leq x \leq ub \end{cases}$$
 f=-ERet; A=[]; b=[]; Aeq=ones(1,N); beq=1; lb=0; ub=1

However, to calculate the portfolio that minimises the risk we need to solve a quadratic equation of π , $mim(\pi^t\Sigma\pi)$ s.t. $\mathbf{1}^t\pi=1$. In this case we use the quadprog function. Finally, we choose N expected returns between the two extreme points and calculate the portfolio that minimises the risk while achieving the chosen expected returns. Thus the efficient portfolio is created.

1.4 Efficient Frontier: NaiveMV vs CVX

Using the CVX tool we can declarively perform the convex optimisations we performed earlier with linprog and quadprog, as follows -

```
cvx_begin quiet
                                                     cvx_begin quiet
           variable w(N,1)
                                                                 variable w(N,1)
           minimize( -ERet'*w )
                                                                 minimize (0.5*w'*ECov*w + zeros(N,1)'*w)
            subject to
                                                                 subject to
                        ones(1,N)*w == 1;
                                                                             ones(1,N) * x == 1;
                        w >= zeros(N,1);
                                                                             x \ge zeros(N,1);
cvx_end
                                                     cvx_end
                                                                            Efficient frontier
                             Efficient frontier
                                                           0.1658102
                                                        Expected Between (E) 0.16581015 O.1658101 O.16581005 O.16581005
             <u>a</u>
             Return '
                                                                                          Naive MV
                                                             0.16581
                                                                                          CVX MV
                                                                 0.102267
                                                                                   0.1022675
                                Risk (V)
                                                                                Risk (V
                         (a) Similarity
                                                                     (b) Difference
```

Figure 4: NaiveMV vs Using CVX

The results are extremely similar, since differences only show up in 10^{-7} scale. However, the CVX tool was noticeably slower.

2 Markowitz vs Naive 1/N Strategy on FTSE 100

2.1 Getting FTSE 100 Data




Figure 5: FTSE 100 and Top 30 Most Traded Company Adjusted Close over 3 Years

2.2 Returns and Efficient Portfolio of 3 Random Assets




Figure 6: Portfolio of all 30 assets analysis where returns are based on daily change




Figure 7: Portfolio of 3 random assets analysis where returns are based on daily change




Figure 8: Portfolio of all 30 assets analysis where returns are based on first return




Figure 9: Portfolio of 3 random assets analysis where returns are based on first return

2.3 Comparison with Naive Strategy