

## A survey of malware detection using deep learning

**Operating System:** MacOS, Windows, iOS, Android, and Linux.

**Dataset:** standard benchmark dataset.

**Methods:** Explainable Machine Learning (XAI) or Interpretable Machine Learning (IML)

Comparative performance summary of Transfer Learning models for malware image classification:

Model	Files	Accuracy	Dataset
CapsNet	PE	98.63%	Maling
RCNF	PE	98.72%	Maling
ResNeXt	PE	98.32%	Maling
Inception V3	PE	99.24%	Maling
VGG16	PE	99.97%	Maling
DenseNet	PE	98.23%	Maling
DenseNet	PE	98.46%	BIG 2015
Xception	PE	99.03%	Maling
Xception	PE	99.17%	BIG 2015

Fine-tuned pre-trained models applied on different malware image datasets:

Setting			
Pre-trained model	Samples	Resize image	Epoch
EffNet B0	30,000	224	200
EffNet B1	30,000	240	200
EffNet B2	20,000	260	200
EffNet B3	15,000	300	400
EffNet B4	20,000	380	400
EffNet B5	25,000	456	400
EffNet B6	40,000	528	400
EffNet B7	30,000	600	1000
Inception V4	20,000	229	300
Xception	20,000	229	200
CapsNet	3000	256	100

Average accuracy			Our dataset
Maling	Microsoft challenge	Drebin	Accuracy
92.72%	90.45%	87.23%	94.59%
95.64%	93.65%	88.91%	95.89%
93.84%	91.78%	86.82%	94.12%
90.32%	94.19%	89.35%	95.73%
95.63%	96.68%	90.59%	97.98%
80.19%	87.54%	84.23%	94.68%
85.67%	83.82%	85.43%	93.54%
82.76%	80.76%	90.57%	88.45%
95.98%	93.21%	88.93%	96.39%
89.50%	90.84%	84.39%	93.53%
88.64%	72.69%	78.68%	92.65%

State-of-the-art deep learning models:

Deep learning approach	OS	Features	Accuracy
MAPAS	Android	API call graphs	91.27%
MaMaDroid	Android	API calls	84.99%
Deep Generative Model	Android	Dalvik code, API call, Malware images, developers' signature	97.47%
DeepWare	Windows/Linux	HPC	96.8%
Multi-Modal Deep Learning	Windows	Grayscale image, Byte/Entropy Histogram	97.01%
Deep multi-task learning	Windows Android Linux MacOS	Grayscale color image	99.97%