

# **The Twilight of the Grey Zone: A Comprehensive Audit of American Democratic Resilience and Institutional Decay (December 2025)**

## **1. Introduction: The Bifurcation of the American Polity**

As the United States approaches the end of 2025, the nation stands at a precipice unprecedented in its two-and-a-half-century history. The second presidency of Donald Trump, inaugurated in January 2025, has catalyzed a profound transformation of the federal government, characterized by a systematic dismantling of the administrative state, a radical reinterpretation of executive power, and a targeted erosion of the checks and balances that have historically stabilized the republic. Yet, this narrative of decline is complicated by a simultaneous and robust assertion of democratic resilience at the state and civil society levels, creating a bifurcated polity where the mechanisms of democracy remain functional—and indeed, vigorous—in specific arenas, even as the federal superstructure undergoes a rapid process of autocratization.

This report, commissioned to re-evaluate the frameworks for diagnosing democratic health and to apply them to the contemporary United States, argues that the binary classification of "democracy" versus "autocracy" is no longer sufficient to capture the American condition as of December 2025. Instead, the United States has entered what comparative political scientists term the "grey zone"—a hybrid state where competitive elections coexist with illiberal governance, politicized violence, and the weaponization of the rule of law.

The analysis that follows draws upon the most recent academic literature from late 2024 and 2025, including the groundbreaking "Democracy Playbook 2025" by the Brookings Institution and the "Democracy Report 2025" by the V-Dem Institute. It synthesizes data on the "Third Wave" of autocratization, the evolving jurisprudence of the Supreme Court following the landmark *Trump v. CASA, Inc.* decision, the administrative purge conducted under the auspices of the Department of Government Efficiency (DOGE), and the resurgence of political violence exemplified by the "Turtle Island Liberation Front" plot disrupted in December 2025. By rigorously examining these developments, particularly the shifts occurring since July 2025, this report aims to provide a nuanced, exhaustive, and data-driven diagnosis of the American body politic. It posits that while the federal government is exhibiting classic symptoms of democratic backsliding, the resilience of the electorate—demonstrated by the "Blue Wave" in the November 2025 off-year elections—suggests that the democratic immune system of the United States, though compromised, is far from defunct.

## **2. Re-evaluating the Diagnostic Framework: From**

# Consolidation to Resilience

To accurately assess the events of 2025, one must first interrogate the analytical tools available to political scientists. For decades, the dominant paradigm was "democratic consolidation," a teleological framework that assumed democracies, once established, would naturally strengthen over time. The events of the mid-2020s have shattered this assumption, necessitating a pivot toward frameworks of "democratic resilience" and "autocratization episodes."

## 2.1 The "Third Wave" of Autocratization

The prevailing theoretical model in 2025 scholarship is the "Third Wave of Autocratization." Unlike historical waves of authoritarianism driven by military coups or violent revolutions, this third wave is defined by "executive aggrandizement"—a gradual, legalistic process where democratically elected leaders dismantle democratic institutions from within.<sup>1</sup> The V-Dem Institute's 2025 report identifies this trend as distinct because it preserves the façade of democracy—elections are held, legislatures meet, and courts issue rulings—while hollowing out their substance.<sup>3</sup>

Current academic consensus, as reflected in the V-Dem data, suggests that autocratization is a "modal" phenomenon. Countries are classified not merely by their current state but by their trajectory. As of March 2025, V-Dem identified 45 countries in active autocratization episodes, with a terrifying "fatality rate": 67% of democracies that enter such an episode eventually succumb to full authoritarianism.<sup>3</sup> The United States, having been placed on the V-Dem "Watchlist" in early 2025, is currently categorized as undergoing one of the fastest evolving episodes of autocratization in modern history.<sup>2</sup>

## 2.2 Operationalizing Democratic Resilience

In response to these trends, the academic community has developed new metrics to measure "resilience"—the capacity of a system to absorb shocks and reverse backsliding. The 2025 literature delineates resilience into four actionable building blocks:

1. **Arenas (Institutions):** The structural integrity of the judiciary, the legislature, and the electoral administration. Resilience in this arena is measured by the ability of these institutions to resist executive encroachment.<sup>6</sup>
2. **Actors:** The strategic behavior of opposition parties, civil society organizations, and dissidents. Effective resistance requires "big tent" coalitions that bridge ideological divides to defend procedural democracy.<sup>1</sup>
3. **Forms:** The systemic response to stress. Does the system *withstand* the shock (maintaining the status quo), *adjust* (evolving to meet the threat), or *recover* (bouncing back after a temporary failure)?<sup>6</sup>
4. **Outputs:** The ability of the democracy to continue delivering public goods (economic stability, healthcare, safety) despite political turmoil. A failure in outputs often precedes a failure in legitimacy.<sup>7</sup>

This framework shifts the analytical focus from static "scores" to dynamic interactions. For instance, a decline in the "Liberal Component Index" (LCI) might be offset by a surge in the "Participatory Component Index" (PCI) if civil society mobilizes effectively.<sup>5</sup> This dynamic is precisely what is observable in the US context of late 2025: as federal institutions weaken (Arena decline), civic mobilization surges (Actor resilience).

## 2.3 The Seven Pillars of Democratic Health

To provide a granular assessment, this report utilizes the seven pillars identified in the *Democracy Playbook 2025* as the primary diagnostic criteria. These pillars serve as the benchmarks for the subsequent analysis of the US condition<sup>10</sup>:

1. **Electoral Integrity:** The security, accessibility, and fairness of the voting process.
2. **Rule of Law:** The independence of the judiciary and the equal application of the law.
3. **Administrative State:** The professional integrity and neutrality of the civil service.
4. **Civic and Media Space:** The freedom of press, assembly, and association.
5. **Pluralistic Governance:** The protection of minority rights and the ability of diverse groups to participate.
6. **Information Integrity:** The resilience against disinformation and state propaganda.
7. **Democratic Deliverables:** The economic and social performance of the regime.

## 3. Pillar Analysis: The Administrative State and the "Deep State" Purge

The most significant structural alteration to American democracy in 2025 has been the aggressive restructuring of the federal bureaucracy. Under the ideological banner of dismantling the "Deep State," the Trump administration has operationalized the "Department of Government Efficiency" (DOGE) and revived "Schedule F" to fundamentally alter the relationship between the executive and the civil service.

### 3.1 The Department of Government Efficiency (DOGE)

Established via executive order on Inauguration Day, January 20, 2025, DOGE was positioned as an advisory body led by Elon Musk and Vivek Ramaswamy to modernize federal technology and cut waste.<sup>11</sup> However, by late 2025, it had evolved into a *de facto* shadow agency with immense power over federal personnel and procurement.

The mechanism of DOGE's influence relies on "DOGE Team Leads" embedded within every federal agency. These leads, often political appointees, possess veto power over hiring and procurement decisions, effectively bypassing the statutory authority of Senate-confirmed agency heads.<sup>13</sup> This structure has created a dual chain of command, where career civil servants answer not to their department secretaries, but to the ideological directives of the DOGE apparatus.

#### The "Fork in the Road" and Mass Departures

One of DOGE's primary instruments for workforce reduction was the "deferred resignation"

program, colloquially known as the "Fork in the Road." Federal employees were offered a choice: resign immediately with pay through September 30, 2025, or face potential termination under new performance metrics. By mid-February 2025, approximately 75,000 workers had accepted this offer. Combined with the firing of 25,000 probationary employees and subsequent Reductions in Force (RIFs), the federal workforce had shrunk by over 200,000 employees by August 2025.<sup>15</sup>

This exodus has not been uniform. Agencies tasked with scientific research, regulatory oversight, and civil rights enforcement have been disproportionately targeted. For example, the Department of Education faces a planned 50% workforce reduction, while the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) has seen its climate research divisions gutted in favor of privatized weather forecasting contracts.<sup>16</sup>

### **3.2 Schedule F and the End of Meritocracy**

The revival of "Schedule F" (rebranded as "Schedule Policy/Career") represents the institutionalization of patronage. This executive order reclassifies tens of thousands of policy-adjacent civil service positions as "at-will" appointments, stripping them of Civil Service Reform Act protections.<sup>18</sup>

The implications for democratic resilience are catastrophic. The civil service historically acts as a "balance wheel," ensuring that the government functions according to law regardless of political volatility. By converting these roles into political appointments, the administration has removed a critical check on executive power. As of December 2025, the Office of Personnel Management (OPM) is finalizing regulations to expedite these reclassifications, prioritizing "performance" (as defined by political loyalty) over tenure.<sup>20</sup>

### **3.3 Case Study: The Erosion of the Census Bureau**

A specific and alarming casualty of this purge is the United States Census Bureau. In May 2025, DOGE announced the termination of five critical surveys, citing cost-efficiency.<sup>17</sup> By December 2025, the Bureau reported the loss of over 1,000 staff members.

The degradation of the Census Bureau affects the very infrastructure of democracy. Accurate data is the prerequisite for fair apportionment, redistricting, and the equitable distribution of federal funds. The termination of the "Survey of Income and Program Participation" (SIPP) and the reduction in funding for the 2030 Census preparation compromise the nation's ability to understand its own demographic and economic reality.<sup>21</sup> This aligns with the autocratization tactic of "informational blindness"—if the state does not collect data on inequality or demographic change, it cannot be held accountable for addressing them.

## **4. Pillar Analysis: The Rule of Law and Judicial Capture**

The judiciary, traditionally the most robust check on executive power in the United States, has undergone a radical transformation in 2025. Through a combination of strategic jurisprudence and aggressive executive defiance, the ability of the courts to restrain the presidency has been significantly curtailed.

## **4.1 *Trump v. CASA, Inc.*: The Death of the Universal Injunction**

The turning point for the judiciary in 2025 was the Supreme Court's decision in *Trump v. CASA, Inc.*, handed down on June 27, 2025.<sup>22</sup> The Court, in a 6-3 decision, ruled that federal district courts lack the equitable authority to issue "universal" or nationwide injunctions—orders that block the enforcement of a federal policy against all persons, not just the plaintiffs in the case.<sup>24</sup>

This ruling fundamentally altered the balance of power between the executive and the judiciary.

- **Pre-CASA:** A single district judge could halt an unconstitutional executive order (e.g., a travel ban or family separation policy) nationwide, preserving the status quo while appeals proceeded.
- **Post-CASA:** Relief is limited strictly to the named plaintiffs. If a policy is found unconstitutional in New York, the administration remains free to enforce it in Texas, Florida, or any other jurisdiction where a specific injunction has not been issued.<sup>23</sup>

**The "Patchwork" Consequence:** Since July 2025, this decision has created a fragmented legal landscape. Civil rights organizations have been forced to file duplicative lawsuits in dozens of jurisdictions to protect vulnerable populations. This attrition strategy favors the executive branch, which possesses vast resources to litigate on multiple fronts simultaneously, while exhausting the resources of civil society.<sup>26</sup>

## **4.2 *Trump v. Barbara* and the Threat to Birthright Citizenship**

The most consequential legal battle of late 2025 centers on Executive Order 14160, titled "Protecting the Meaning and Value of American Citizenship," signed on Inauguration Day.<sup>27</sup> This order purports to end birthright citizenship for children born in the US to undocumented parents, a direct challenge to the long-standing interpretation of the 14th Amendment's Citizenship Clause.

In the wake of the CASA decision, legal challengers were forced to adapt. In *Trump v. Barbara*, plaintiffs sought to certify a "nationwide class" of all children born or to be born in the US to undocumented parents, attempting to bypass the ban on universal injunctions by making everyone a plaintiff.<sup>27</sup>

### **Status as of December 2025:**

- **December 5, 2025:** The Supreme Court granted certiorari in *Trump v. Barbara*, agreeing to review the constitutionality of the Executive Order.<sup>27</sup>
- **Implications:** The mere fact that the Court has agreed to hear the case, rather than dismissing it based on the precedent of *United States v. Wong Kim Ark* (1898), signals a potential willingness to revisit the constitutional definition of citizenship. A ruling in favor of the administration, expected in mid-2026, would represent a foundational rupture in the American social contract, effectively stripping millions of their status as citizens and rendering them stateless.<sup>30</sup>

## **4.3 The Politicization of the Department of Justice**

The rule of law relies not only on courts but on the neutral application of justice by prosecutors. The confirmation of Pam Bondi as Attorney General in early 2025 marked a shift toward the politicization of the DOJ.<sup>31</sup> By December 2025, reports emerged that the DOJ had circulated memos instructing the FBI to compile lists of groups engaged in "anti-capitalist" or "pro-open borders" advocacy, creating a framework for the criminalization of political ideology.<sup>32</sup> This conflation of political dissent with criminal activity is a hallmark of "rule by law" authoritarianism, where the law is used as a weapon against opponents rather than a shield for rights.

## 5. Pillar Analysis: Civil Liberties, Dissent, and the "Terrorist" Label

The second half of 2025 has witnessed a sharp deterioration in civil liberties, driven by the administration's aggressive response to protest and dissent. The designation of domestic opposition groups as "terrorists" and the militarized response to demonstrations have created a climate of fear and repression.

### 5.1 The "No Kings" Movement and State Response

The "No Kings" protests, which began in June 2025 and surged again in October 2025, represent the largest mobilization of civil society in American history. Organized by a coalition including the 50501 Movement and Indivisible, the October 18 protests drew an estimated 7 million participants across 2,700 locations.<sup>33</sup> The protests were a direct response to the administration's authoritarian posturing, specifically the militarization of immigration enforcement and the "Liberation Day" tariffs.

The "Terrorist" Designation:

In September 2025, following the first wave of protests, President Trump issued an Executive Order formally designating "Antifa" as a domestic terrorist organization.<sup>32</sup> While "Antifa" is a decentralized movement rather than a structured organization, the designation provides a legal pretext for broad surveillance and prosecution of left-wing activists.

- **Impact:** The EO defines the group as a "militarist, anarchist enterprise" calling for the overthrow of the government. This rhetoric allows federal law enforcement to utilize counter-terrorism tools—such as warrantless surveillance and asset freezing—against domestic political actors.<sup>32</sup>

### 5.2 The "Turtle Island Liberation Front" (TILF) Plot

The administration's narrative of "left-wing terrorism" was significantly bolstered in December 2025 by the disruption of the "Turtle Island Liberation Front" (TILF) plot. On December 15, 2025, federal authorities arrested four individuals in Southern California accused of planning coordinated bombings of corporate logistics centers and ICE vehicles on New Year's Eve.<sup>32</sup>

**Analysis of the Event:**

- **The Plot:** The suspects, allegedly members of a radical "anti-capitalist, pro-Palestine" group, were arrested with bomb-making materials in the Mojave Desert.<sup>35</sup>

- **Political Utility:** While the plot appears to have been a genuine threat disrupted by the FBI, its timing and nature have been weaponized by the administration. Attorney General Bondi and the White House have cited the TILF plot as justification for the "Antifa" designation and the broader crackdown on "radical left" groups.<sup>32</sup> This creates a "rally 'round the flag" effect, legitimizing the erosion of civil liberties in the name of security.

### 5.3 Press Freedom Under Siege

A critical component of democratic health is a free press. In 2025, the US Press Freedom Tracker documented a disturbing rise in the arrest of journalists. By mid-December, 32 journalists had been arrested or detained, with nearly 90% of these incidents occurring at protests.<sup>38</sup>

- **Targeting:** The arrests were not random. Journalists covering ICE enforcement actions and the "No Kings" protests were specifically targeted. In many cases, reporters were detained, processed, and released without charge—a tactic known as "catch and release" designed to disrupt newsgathering and intimidate the press without creating a court record of unconstitutional suppression.<sup>40</sup>
- **Impunity:** The lack of consequences for law enforcement officers who detain identifiable journalists suggests a culture of impunity, further chilling independent coverage of government actions.

## 6. Pillar Analysis: Electoral Integrity and the Federal-State Divide

While the federal government trends toward autocracy, the state-level electoral machinery has demonstrated remarkable resilience. The November 2025 off-year elections serve as a vital counter-narrative to the story of democratic decline.

### 6.1 The November 2025 "Blue Wave"

The elections in Virginia and New Jersey were widely viewed as a referendum on the first year of the Trump second term. The results were a decisive rebuke of the administration's policies.

- **Virginia:** Democrat Abigail Spanberger defeated Republican Winsome Earle-Sears for the governorship by a 15.4-point margin, a landslide that defied polling expectations.<sup>42</sup> Democrats also flipped the House of Delegates, gaining a "trifecta" in the state government.<sup>44</sup>
- **New Jersey:** Incumbent party control was maintained as Democrat Mikie Sherrill won the governorship by over 14 points, defeating Jack Ciattarelli.<sup>42</sup>

Interpretation of Resilience:

The high turnout and decisive margins in these races indicate that the electorate remains engaged and believes in the efficacy of the voting process. Despite the polarized environment, the losing candidates conceded, and the results were certified without the significant disruptions seen in 2020 or 2024.<sup>45</sup> This suggests that at the state level, the norms of democratic contestation hold firm.

## 6.2 The Dismantling of Federal Election Infrastructure

However, this resilience is threatened by federal actions taken since July 2025. The Department of Government Efficiency (DOGE) has targeted the Election Assistance Commission (EAC) for severe budget cuts, endangering the federal support systems that assist states in running elections.<sup>14</sup>

- **ISAC Funding Cut:** Most critically, the administration terminated funding for the Information Sharing and Analysis Centers (ISACs) that provided free cybersecurity monitoring and threat intelligence to local election officials. This leaves under-resourced rural and county election boards vulnerable to cyberattacks and foreign interference ahead of the 2026 midterms.<sup>47</sup>
- **Strategic Vulnerability:** By removing these federal safeguards, the administration is effectively creating a "security gap" that could be exploited to delegitimize future election results, a tactic consistent with "third wave" autocratization strategies of undermining trust in electoral administration.

## 7. Pillar Analysis: Economic Democracy and Coercion

Economic stability is a pillar of democratic legitimacy. In 2025, the administration has utilized economic policy as a tool of political coercion and consolidation.

### 7.1 The "Liberation Day" Tariffs

Implemented in April 2025, the "Liberation Day" tariffs imposed a universal 10% tariff on imports, with higher "reciprocal" rates for specific nations. By late 2025, the economic fallout of this policy was fully visible.

- **Inflation:** The Consumer Price Index (CPI) for food rose 3.2% year-over-year by August 2025, outpacing general inflation. Specific staples saw dramatic increases: beef prices rose 11.6%, and egg prices soared by 24.8%.<sup>48</sup>
- **Political Weaponization:** The tariffs were enacted using the International Emergency Economic Powers Act (IEEPA), bypassing Congress. This centralization of economic authority weakens the legislative branch's "power of the purse" and allows the executive to reward allies and punish adversaries arbitrarily.<sup>49</sup>

### 7.2 Food Security and Social Stability

The rising cost of food has contributed to social instability, fueling the "No Kings" protests. The administration's response—blaming "saboteurs" and "radical leftists" for the economic pain—follows a classic populist-authoritarian playbook. By externalizing the blame for policy failures, the administration justifies further consolidation of power to "fix" the crisis it created.

## 8. Synthesis: The US in the Grey Zone

Integrating the data from these pillars allows for a definitive grading of the US democratic condition as of December 2025.

## 8.1 V-Dem Classification: Electoral Democracy with Autocratic Traits

Under the V-Dem framework, the United States currently occupies the "Grey Zone."

- **Electoral Democracy Index (EDI):** The US retains competitive elections (as seen in VA/NJ) and freedom of association, keeping it technically within the "democracy" category.
- **Liberal Component Index (LCI):** This score is in freefall. The CASA decision, the Schedule F purge, and the "Antifa" designation represent a collapse of the checks and balances that define *liberal* democracy.<sup>2</sup>
- **Trajectory:** The US is in an active, accelerating "autocratization episode." The "fatality rate" for democracies in this stage is 67%, indicating a high probability that the US could transition to a full Electoral Autocracy if the 2026 midterms fail to provide a legislative check.<sup>3</sup>

## 8.2 Freedom House Assessment: The Risk of Downgrade

Historically rated "Free," the US is at imminent risk of being downgraded to "Partly Free" in the upcoming 2026 report (covering 2025 events).

- **Political Rights (Score Breakdown):**
  - *Electoral Process:* Remains functional (Score: 10/12).
  - *Political Pluralism:* Threatened by the criminalization of opposition (Score: 10/16).
  - *Functioning of Government:* Severely compromised by the "Deep State" purge and lack of transparency (Score: 6/12).
- **Civil Liberties (Score Breakdown):**
  - *Freedom of Expression:* Declining due to journalist arrests and protest crackdowns (Score: 10/16).
  - *Rule of Law:* Major decline due to politicized DOJ and judicial capture (Score: 8/16).
- **Total Score Estimate:** The US score is likely to drop from 83/100 (2024) to the low 70s or high 60s, placing it on the borderline of "Partly Free".<sup>45</sup>

## 8.3 Comparative Metrics Table (December 2025)

The following table synthesizes the "Resilience" metrics applied to the US context:

Metric	Status	Trend (Since July 2025)	Key Evidence
Arena: Judiciary	Compromised	Negative	<i>Trump v. CASA</i> ends universal injunctions; <i>Barbara</i> cert grant threatens 14th Amdt.
Arena: Legislature	Weakened	Negative	IEEPA used for tariffs bypassing Congress; DOGE bypasses appropriations

			oversight.
<b>Arena: Elections</b>	<b>Resilient</b>	<b>Mixed</b>	Strong state-level performance (VA/NJ) vs. federal defunding of EAC/ISACs.
<b>Actor: Civil Society</b>	<b>High Mobilization</b>	<b>Positive</b>	7 million in "No Kings" protests; broad coalition formation.
<b>Actor: Executive</b>	<b>Aggrandizing</b>	<b>Negative</b>	"Antifa" designation; Schedule F implementation; politicized DOJ.
<b>Form: System Response</b>	<b>Straining</b>	<b>Neutral</b>	The system is bending (patchwork legal rights) but has not yet broken.
<b>Output: Public Goods</b>	<b>Failing</b>	<b>Negative</b>	3.2% food inflation; 200,000+ civil service job losses affecting services.

## 9. Conclusion: The Immune System Struggles

As December 2025 draws to a close, the United States presents a paradoxical image to the world. At the federal level, the machinery of autocracy is being assembled with frightening speed and efficiency. The legal, administrative, and coercive tools necessary to consolidate power are largely in place: the civil service is being tamed, the courts are being stripped of their injunctive power, and the opposition is being criminalized.

However, the "autocratization episode" is not yet a *fait accompli*. The events of the second half of 2025—specifically the "No Kings" protests and the Democratic sweep in the November elections—demonstrate that the "actors" in American democracy remain resilient even as the "arenas" degrade. The American public has not succumbed to apathy; rather, polarization has fueled mobilization.

The defining question for 2026 will be whether this civic mobilization can translate into institutional checking power. The Supreme Court's impending decision in *Trump v. Barbara* and the outcome of the 2026 midterm elections will act as the final stress tests. If the 14th Amendment holds and the opposition captures one chamber of Congress, the US may "adjust" and survive the grey zone. If the Court acquiesces to the executive and the electoral machinery is successfully compromised by the DOGE cuts, the transition to electoral autocracy will likely be completed.

For now, the diagnosis is stark: American democracy is critically ill, feverish with polarization, and suffering from multiple organ failure in its federal institutions. Yet, the patient is still

fighting. The grey zone is not a destination; it is a battlefield, and the outcome remains unwritten.

## Works cited

1. Effective Strategies to Resist Democratic Backsliding: An Events Analysis of Instigators, Targets, and Resistance Mobilization - Ash Center, accessed December 18, 2025,  
<https://ash.harvard.edu/wp-content/uploads/2025/03/Effective-Strategies-to-Resist-Democratic-Backsliding.pdf>
2. Autocracies outnumber democracies for the first time in 20 years: V-Dem, accessed December 18, 2025,  
<https://www.democracywithoutborders.org/36317/autocracies-outnumber-democracies-for-the-first-time-in-20-years-v-dem/>
3. New V-Dem report highlights decline in democracy and freedom of expression - WEXFO, accessed December 18, 2025,  
<https://wexfo.no/2025/03/26/new-v-dem-report-highlights-decline-in-democracy-and-freedom-of-expression/>
4. V-DEM Democracy Report 2025 25 Years of Autocratization ..., accessed December 18, 2025,  
[https://www.v-dem.net/documents/54/v-dem\\_dr\\_2025\\_lowres\\_v1.pdf](https://www.v-dem.net/documents/54/v-dem_dr_2025_lowres_v1.pdf)
5. Full article: State of the world 2024: 25 years of autocratization – democracy trumped?, accessed December 18, 2025,  
<https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/13510347.2025.2487825>
6. What is democratic resilience? - Taylor & Francis Online, accessed December 18, 2025, <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/13510347.2025.2590677>
7. Full article: What is democratic resilience? - Taylor & Francis Online, accessed December 18, 2025,  
<https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/13510347.2025.2590677>
8. Global democracy is more resilient than you may think - Brookings Institution, accessed December 18, 2025,  
<https://www.brookings.edu/articles/global-democracy-is-more-resilient-than-you-may-think/>
9. Global democracy trumped? 25 years of autocratization - Decentralization Net, accessed December 18, 2025,  
<https://decentralization.net/2025/05/global-democracy-trumped-25-years-of-autocratization/>
10. Democracy Playbook 2025 | Brookings, accessed December 18, 2025,  
<https://www.brookings.edu/articles/democracy-playbook-2025/>
11. Establishing And Implementing The President's "Department Of Government Efficiency", accessed December 18, 2025,  
<https://www.whitehouse.gov/presidential-actions/2025/01/establishing-and-implementing-the-presidents-department-of-government-efficiency/>
12. Department of Government Efficiency - Wikipedia, accessed December 18, 2025,  
[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Department\\_of\\_Government\\_Efficiency](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Department_of_Government_Efficiency)

13. Implementing The President's "Department of Government Efficiency" Workforce Optimization Initiative - The White House, accessed December 18, 2025,  
<https://www.whitehouse.gov/presidential-actions/2025/02/implementing-the-presidents-department-of-government-efficiency-workforce-optimization-initiative/>
14. Executive Order on DOGE Cost Efficiency: Major Changes in Federal Contracts and Grants, accessed December 18, 2025,  
<https://www.hklaw.com/en/insights/publications/2025/03/executive-order-on-doge-cost-efficiency-major-changes-in-federal>
15. 2025 United States federal mass layoffs - Wikipedia, accessed December 18, 2025, [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/2025\\_United\\_States\\_federal\\_mass\\_layoffs](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/2025_United_States_federal_mass_layoffs)
16. Project 2025 wanted to hobble the federal workforce. DOGE has hastily done that, and more, accessed December 18, 2025,  
<https://www.govexec.com/transition/2025/04/project-2025-wanted-hobble-federal-workforce-doge-has-hastily-done-and-more/404390/>
17. US federal agencies targeted by DOGE - Wikipedia, accessed December 18, 2025, [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/US\\_federal\\_agencies\\_targeted\\_by\\_DOGE](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/US_federal_agencies_targeted_by_DOGE)
18. Trump Administration Revives "Schedule F" Plan to Fire Thousands of Federal Workers, accessed December 18, 2025,  
<https://fabbs.org/news/2025/05/trump-administration-revives-schedule-f-plan-to-fire-thousands-of-federal-workers/>
19. The Potential Impact of Schedule F on Federal Employees, accessed December 18, 2025,  
<https://www.pinesfederal.com/blog/2025/january/the-potential-impact-of-schedule-f-on-federal-em/>
20. Agency layoff rules to get an overhaul under nearly finalized Trump administration proposal, accessed December 18, 2025,  
<https://www.govexec.com/management/2025/11/agency-layoff-rules-get-overhaul-under-nearly-finalized-trump-administration-proposal/409706/>
21. June 2025 Census Project Update, accessed December 18, 2025,  
<https://thecensusproject.org/2025/07/01/june-2025-census-project-update/>
22. Opinions from 2025 - Justia U.S. Supreme Court Center, accessed December 18, 2025, <https://supreme.justia.com/cases/federal/us/year/2025.html>
23. Challenging Federal Government Actions After Trump v. CASA: New Limits and Open Pathways | Major Questions: An Administrative Law and Regulatory Blog - Arnold & Porter, accessed December 18, 2025,  
<https://www.arnoldporter.com/en/perspectives/blogs/major-questions-an-administrative-law-and-regulatory-blog/2025/11/challenging-federal-government-actions-after-trump-v-casa>
24. What Trump v. CASA Means for the Future of Universal Relief in Administrative Law - Yale Journal on Regulation, accessed December 18, 2025,  
<https://www.yalejreg.com/nc/what-trump-v-casa-means-for-the-future-of-universal-relief-in-administrative-law/>
25. Trump v. CASA and the future of the universal injunction - SCOTUSblog, accessed December 18, 2025,

- <https://www.scotusblog.com/2025/07/trump-v-casa-and-the-future-of-the-universal-injunction/>
26. Casa v. Trump (2025) | Institute for Constitutional Advocacy and Protection, accessed December 18, 2025,  
<https://www.law.georgetown.edu/icap/our-work/defending-immigrants-and-sanctuary-cities/casa-v-trump-2025/>
27. Case: Barbara v. Trump - Civil Rights Litigation Clearinghouse, accessed December 18, 2025, <https://clearinghouse.net/case/46748/>
28. BARBARA v. TRUMP (2025) - FindLaw Caselaw, accessed December 18, 2025, <https://caselaw.findlaw.com/court/us-dis-crt-d-new-ham/117470011.html>
29. Supreme Court to Review Constitutionality of Birthright Citizenship in 2025–26 Term, accessed December 18, 2025,  
<https://ogletree.com/insights-resources/blog-posts/supreme-court-to-review-constitutionality-of-birthright-citizenship-in-2025-26-term/>
30. The Supreme Court Just Took a Case That Would Have Only Recently Been Unthinkable, accessed December 18, 2025,  
<https://slate.com/news-and-politics/2025/12/supreme-court-unthinkable-birthright-citizenship-case-trump.html>
31. 2025 in the United States - Wikipedia, accessed December 18, 2025,  
[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/2025\\_in\\_the\\_United\\_States](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/2025_in_the_United_States)
32. Left-Wing Terror Plot Planned for New Year's Eve Disrupted by U.S. Law Enforcement, accessed December 18, 2025,  
<https://thesoufancenter.org/intelbrief-2025-december-17/>
33. No Kings protests | Meaning, Turnout, Numbers, & Around the World | Britannica, accessed December 18, 2025,  
<https://www.britannica.com/event/No-Kings-protests>
34. October 2025 No Kings protests - Wikipedia, accessed December 18, 2025,  
[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/October\\_2025\\_No\\_Kings\\_protests](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/October_2025_No_Kings_protests)
35. UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT - Courthouse News Service, accessed December 18, 2025,  
<https://www.courthousenews.com/wp-content/uploads/2025/12/turtle-island-complaint-updated.pdf>
36. Federal authorities arrest 4 suspected extremists in New Year's Eve bombing plot across Southern California - PBS, accessed December 18, 2025,  
<https://www.pbs.org/newshour/nation/federal-authorities-arrest-4-suspected-extremists-in-new-years-eve-bombing-plot-across-southern-california>
37. 4 members of pro-Palestinian group arrested for planning bombings across Los Angeles, accessed December 18, 2025,  
<https://forward.com/fast-forward/791026/4-members-of-pro-palestinian-group-arrested-for-planning-bombings-across-los-angeles/>
38. The fence is down » Nieman Journalism Lab, accessed December 18, 2025,  
<https://www.niemanlab.org/2025/12/the-fence-is-down/>
39. Press arrests used to silence protest coverage in 2025 - 2025-12-15 - Press - News, accessed December 18, 2025,  
<https://monroegallery.com/news/press/press-arrests-used-to-silence-protest-co>

verage-in-2025

40. Press arrests used to silence protest coverage in 2025 - U.S. Press Freedom Tracker, accessed December 18, 2025,  
<https://pressfreedomtracker.us/blog/press-arrests-used-to-silence-protest-coverage-in-2025/>
41. Most Press Arrests In 2025 Resulted In Zero Criminal Charges - The Dissenter, accessed December 18, 2025,  
<https://thedissenter.org/most-press-arrests-in-2025-resulted-in-zero-criminal-charges/>
42. 2025 Election Results: Live : NPR, accessed December 18, 2025,  
<https://apps.npr.org/2025-election-results/>
43. Polling Accuracy in the 2025 Elections: Virginia, New Jersey, and New York City - Sabato's Crystal Ball - UVA Center for Politics, accessed December 18, 2025,  
<https://centerforpolitics.org/crystalball/polling-accuracy-in-the-2025-elections-virginia-new-jersey-and-new-york-city/>
44. Election results, 2025 - Ballotpedia, accessed December 18, 2025,  
[https://ballotpedia.org/Election\\_results,\\_2025](https://ballotpedia.org/Election_results,_2025)
45. United States: Freedom in the World 2025 Country Report, accessed December 18, 2025, <https://freedomhouse.org/country/united-states/freedom-world/2025>
46. US EAC FY 2025 Congressional Budget Justification, accessed December 18, 2025,  
[https://www.eac.gov/sites/default/files/2024-03/US\\_EAC\\_FY\\_2025\\_Congressional\\_Budget\\_Justification.pdf](https://www.eac.gov/sites/default/files/2024-03/US_EAC_FY_2025_Congressional_Budget_Justification.pdf)
47. The Feds Cut Funding for Election Cybersecurity. How Will Public Officials Adapt?, accessed December 18, 2025,  
<https://www.governing.com/management-and-administration/the-feds-cut-funding-for-election-cybersecurity-how-will-public-officials-adapt>
48. Food Price Outlook - Summary Findings | Economic Research Service - ERS USDA, accessed December 18, 2025,  
<https://www.ers.usda.gov/data-products/food-price-outlook/summary-findings>
49. Trump's Liberation Day tariffs: a framework for economic impact and policy assessment, accessed December 18, 2025,  
[https://www.emerald.com/sef/article/doi/10.1108/SEF-05-2025-0344/1315522/Trump's-Liberation-Day-tariffs-a-framework-for](https://www.emerald.com/sef/article/doi/10.1108/SEF-05-2025-0344/1315522/Trump-s-Liberation-Day-tariffs-a-framework-for)
50. Liberation Day tariffs - Wikipedia, accessed December 18, 2025,  
[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Liberation\\_Day\\_tariffs](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Liberation_Day_tariffs)