

Date: -24/02/2024

To,
The Secretary,
Listing Department
National Stock Exchange of India Ltd.
Exchange plaza, BKC, Bandra
Mumbai - MH 400051.

To,
The Secretary,
Corporate Relationship Department
BSE Limited
P. J. Towers, Dalal Street
Mumbai- MH 400001.

## REF: -(ISIN- INE908D01010) SCRIP CODE BSE-531431, NSE Symbol -SHAKTIPUMP

<u>Subject: - Announcement under Regulation 30 of SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulation, 2015.</u>

Dear Sir/Madam,

Pursuant to Regulation 30 of SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015 read with Schedule III thereof, we would like to inform you that the Shakti Pumps (India) Limited has received 3<sup>rd</sup> work order under the KUSUM-3 scheme from Haryana Renewable Energy Department (HAREDA) for 2,443 pumps. The total amount of the work order is for around Rs. 84.30 Crores (inclusive of GST).

Details as per SEBI Circular no SEBI/HO/CFD/CFD-PoD-1/P/CIR/2023/123 dated July 13, 2023 is enclosed as **Annexure – I.** 

Kindly take the same on record and acknowledge the receipt of the same.

Thanking You,

Yours faithfully,

For Shakti Pumps (India) Limited

Ravi Patidar Company Secretary



## <u> Annexure - I</u>

S. No.	Particulars	Details
1.	Name of the entity awarding the	Haryana Renewable Energy Department
	order(s)/contract(s)	(HAREDA)
2.	Whether order(s) / contract(s) have	
	been awarded by domestic/	Domestic
	international entity	
3.	Significant terms and conditions of	Supply, Installation and commissioning of
	order(s)/contract(s) awarded, in	solar water pumping systems.
	brief	
4.	Time period if any, associated with	Within 90 days period from the date of
	the order(s)/contract(s)	issue of work order.
5.	Broad commercial consideration or	Rs. 84.30 Crores (inclusive of GST)
	size of the order(s)/contract(s)	
6.	Whether the promoter/ promoter	No
	group / group companies have any	
	interest in the entity that awarded the	
	order(s)/contract(s)? If yes, nature of	
	interest and details thereof;	
6.	Whether the order(s)/contract(s)	No
	would fall within related party	
	transactions? If yes, whether the	
	same is done at "arm's length"	