



VSM Calculation Guide — Learning to See (Rother & Shook)

A complete reference of every calculation and formula from *Learning to See* by Mike Rother & John Shook, organized logically with worked examples from the Acme Stamping and TWI Industries case studies.

Part 1—Foundation: Demand & Tempo

These calculations establish the fundamental rhythm of production. Everything else builds on them.

1.1 Available Working Time

$$\text{Available Time} = \text{Total Shift Time} - \text{Breaks} - \text{Other Non-Working Time}$$

Acme Stamping Example (pp. 58–59)

Input	Value
Total shift time	8 hours = 28,800 sec
Breaks (2 × 10 min)	1,200 sec
Available time per shift	28,800 – 1,200 = 27,600 sec

TWI Industries Example (p. 46)

Input	Value
Total shift time	8 hours = 28,800 sec
Breaks (2 × 15 min)	1,800 sec
Available time per shift	28,800 – 1,800 = 27,000 sec

1.2 Customer Demand Rate

$$\text{Daily Demand} = \text{Monthly Demand} \div \text{Working Days per Month}$$

$$\text{Demand per Shift} = \text{Daily Demand} \div \text{Number of Shifts}$$

Acme Stamping Example (pp. 58–59)

Step	Calculation	Result
Monthly demand	12,000 LH + 6,400 RH	18,400 pcs/month
Working days		20 days/month
Daily demand	18,400 ÷ 20	920 pcs/day
Shifts per day		2
Demand per shift	920 ÷ 2	460 pcs/shift

TWI Industries (p. 45)

Step	Calculation	Result
Monthly demand		24,000 pcs/month
Daily demand	24,000 ÷ 20	1,200 pcs/day
Demand per shift	1,200 ÷ 2	600 pcs/shift

Step	Calculation	Result

1.3 Takt Time

Takt Time = Available Working Time per Shift ÷ Customer Demand per Shift

Takt time synchronizes the pace of production to the pace of sales (*p. 44*).

Generic Example (*p. 44*)

Takt = 27,000 sec ÷ 455 pcs = 59.3 seconds

Acme Stamping (*p. 59*)

Takt = 27,600 sec ÷ 460 pcs = 60 seconds

This means Acme must produce one steering bracket every 60 seconds to match customer demand. This number "includes no time for equipment downtime, changeovers... or for producing scrap" (*p. 59*).

TWI Industries (*implied, pp. 107–108*)

Takt = 27,000 sec ÷ 600 pcs = 45 seconds

Part 2 — Current State Analysis

These calculations describe how the value stream performs today.

2.1 Process Data Boxes

Each process on the map records key metrics in a data box (*pp. 21–22*):

Metric	Abbreviation	What It Means
Cycle Time	C/T	Time between one part and the next coming off the process
Changeover Time	C/O	Time to switch from one product variant to another
Uptime	%	On-demand machine availability
EPE	Every Part Every __	Measure of production batch size
Number of Operators		People required to run the process
Available Time	sec	Working time per shift minus breaks
Scrap Rate	%	Percentage of defective output

Acme Stamping — All Process Data (*pp. 33–35, 119*)

Process	C/T	C/O	Uptime	Operators	EPE
Stamping (200T press)	1 sec	1 hour	85%	1	2 weeks
Spot Weld #1	39 sec	10 min	100%	1	—
Spot Weld #2	46 sec	10 min	80%	1	—
Assembly #1	62 sec	0	100%	1	—
Assembly #2	40 sec	0	100%	1	—

2.2 Process Capacity

$$\text{Capacity} = (\text{Available Time} \div \text{Cycle Time}) \times \text{Uptime \%}$$

This is implicit on p. 22: "available work time divided by cycle time multiplied by uptime percent is a measure of current process capacity, if no changeovers are made."

Acme Stamping Examples:

Process	Available	C/T	Uptime	Capacity
Stamping	27,600 sec	1 sec	85%	$(27,600 \div 1) \times 0.85 = 23,460 \text{ pcs}$
Weld #1	27,600 sec	39 sec	100%	$(27,600 \div 39) \times 1.00 = 708 \text{ pcs}$
Weld #2	27,600 sec	46 sec	80%	$(27,600 \div 46) \times 0.80 = 480 \text{ pcs}$
Assembly #1	27,600 sec	62 sec	100%	$(27,600 \div 62) \times 1.00 = 445 \text{ pcs}$
Assembly #2	27,600 sec	40 sec	100%	$(27,600 \div 40) \times 1.00 = 690 \text{ pcs}$

Assembly #1 is the bottleneck at 445 pcs/shift vs. 460 demand — a gap that must be addressed.

2.3 Inventory Conversion: Pieces to Days

$$\text{Inventory (days)} = \text{Inventory Quantity} \div \text{Daily Customer Demand}$$

"Lead times (in days) for each inventory triangle are calculated as follows: inventory quantity divided by the daily customer requirement" (p. 35).

Acme Stamping — All Inventory Points (pp. 33–35)

Daily customer requirement = **920 pcs/day**

Location	LH Pcs	RH Pcs	Total	Calculation	Days
Raw coils	—	—	—	(observed)	5.0
After Stamping	4,600	2,400	7,000	$7,000 \div 920$	7.6
After Weld #1	1,100	600	1,700	$1,700 \div 920$	1.8
After Weld #2	1,600	850	2,450	$2,450 \div 920$	2.7
After Assembly #1	1,200	640	1,840	$1,840 \div 920$	2.0
Finished Goods	2,700	1,440	4,140	$4,140 \div 920$	4.5

2.4 Production Lead Time

$$\text{Production Lead Time} = \text{Sum of all inventory days across the value stream}$$

Acme Current State Timeline (p. 35)

Coils	Stamped	Post-W1	Post-W2	Post-A1	FG	Total
5.0	7.6	1.8	2.7	2.0	4.5	23.6 days

2.5 Total Value-Added Time

$$\text{VA Time} = \text{Sum of all process cycle times}$$

Acme: $1 + 39 + 46 + 62 + 40 = 188 \text{ seconds}$

2.6 VA % and NVA % — The "Shock" Ratio

VA % = Total Processing Time ÷ (Production Lead Time × 86,400 sec/day)

NVA % = 1 - VA %

This is the central insight of the value stream map — how little of the total lead time is actual processing.

Acme Current State:

$\text{VA\%} = 188 \text{ sec} \div (23.6 \text{ days} \times 86,400 \text{ sec/day}) = 188 \div 2,038,400 = 0.0092\%$

~99.99% of the time is non-value-added. The part sits waiting for 23.6 days but is only being worked on for 188 seconds.

Part 3 — Future State Design

These calculations drive the redesign of the value stream.

3.1 Operators Needed for a Cell

Operators Needed = Total Work Content ÷ Takt Time

"Dividing the total welding and assembly work content by the takt time" (p. 63).

Acme Weld/Assembly Cell (pp. 63–64)

Step	Cycle Time
Weld #1	39 sec
Weld #2	46 sec
Assembly #1	62 sec
Assembly #2	40 sec
Total Work Content	187 sec

$\text{Operators} = 187 \text{ sec} \div 60 \text{ sec takt} = 3.12 \rightarrow 4 \text{ operators (round up)}$

Four operators would be underutilized. The kaizen target:

Max Work per Operator = Takt Time – Buffer (e.g., 4 sec)

Target Total Work = Operators × Max Work

Item	Calculation	Result
Target operators		3
Max work per operator	60 – 4 sec buffer	56 sec
Target total work	3×56	168 sec
Waste to eliminate	$187 - 168$	19 seconds

TWI Assembly Cell (p. 108)

$\text{Operators} = 195 \text{ sec} \div 45 \text{ sec takt} = 4.33 \rightarrow 5 \text{ operators}$

3.2 Cycle Time vs. Takt — When C/T Must Be Faster

When a process requires changeovers, it must cycle faster than takt to leave time for setups.

Time Remaining = Available Time – (Demand × Actual C/T)

Changeovers per Shift = Time Remaining ÷ C/O Duration

TWI Weld/Deflash (pp. 107–108) — Why C/T = 39 sec, not 45 sec takt:

Step	Calculation	Result
Demand per shift		600 pcs
If C/T = Takt (45 sec)	600×45	27,000 sec = ALL available time
No time left for changeovers!		
Actual C/T chosen		39 sec
Run time at 39 sec	600×39	23,400 sec
Time remaining	$27,000 - 23,400$	3,600 sec (1 hour)
C/O target		300 sec (5 min)
Changeovers per shift	$3,600 \div 300$	12 changeovers

Part 4 — Pull Systems & Supermarkets

4.1 Kanban Sizing

Kanban per Shift = Demand per Shift ÷ Container Quantity

Acme Finished Goods (p. 61)

`Kanban/shift = 460 pcs ÷ 20 pcs/tray = 23 kanban per shift`

4.2 Container Size as Time

Time per Container = Container Quantity × Takt Time

Acme Stamped-Parts Bins (p. 67)

`Time per bin = 60 pcs × 60 sec = 3,600 sec = 60 minutes`

The book describes this as "about one hour of current steering bracket assembly."

4.3 Supermarket Sizing

Supermarket Size = EPE Demand + Safety Buffer

Acme Stamped-Parts Supermarket (pp. 67–68)

Item	Value	Notes
EPE target	1 day	
LH daily demand	600 pcs	
RH daily demand	320 pcs	
Buffer	+0.5 day	For replenishment delay and stamping problems
Total supermarket	1.5 days	
LH stock	$600 \times 1.5 = 900$ pcs	
RH stock	$320 \times 1.5 = 480$ pcs	

4.4 Signal Kanban Trigger

When bin-for-bin pull is impractical (due to long changeovers), a signal kanban triggers a batch.

Feasibility: C/O-to-Run % = C/O Time ÷ (C/O Time + Run Time)

Acme Stamping — Why Bin-for-Bin Fails (p. 68)

Item	Value
Bin size	60 pcs
Run time for 1 bin	$60 \times 1 \text{ sec} = 60 \text{ sec}$
Changeover time	3,600 sec (1 hour)
C/O as % of total	$3,600 \div (3,600 + 60) = 98.4\%$

Setup time completely dominates — producing 60-piece bins one at a time is impractical. The signal kanban triggers a full daily batch instead (600 LH or 320 RH).

Part 5 — Scheduling & Leveling

5.1 Pitch

Pitch = Takt Time × Pack-Out Quantity

Pitch is "the basic unit of your production schedule for a product family" (p. 51).

Generic Example (p. 51)

$\text{Pitch} = 30 \text{ sec} \times 20 \text{ pcs} = 600 \text{ sec} = 10 \text{ minutes}$

Acme Stamping (p. 76)

$\text{Pitch} = 60 \text{ sec} \times 20 \text{ pcs/tray} = 1,200 \text{ sec} = 20 \text{ minutes}$

TWI Industries (p. 108)

$\text{Pitch} = 39 \text{ sec} \times 50 \text{ pcs (avg order)} + 300 \text{ sec C/O} \approx 30 \text{ minutes}$

5.2 Load-Leveling Box

Columns in Leveling Box = Available Time per Shift ÷ Pitch

Acme Stamping:

$\text{Columns} = 27,600 \text{ sec} \div 1,200 \text{ sec} = 23 \text{ columns}$

Rows = number of product variants (2 for Acme: LH and RH).

5.3 Mix Leveling Pattern

Mix Ratio = LH Quantity ÷ RH Quantity → Repeating Pattern

Acme Stamping (pp. 73–74)

Item	Value
LH trays per day	$600 \div 20 = 30 \text{ trays}$
RH trays per day	$320 \div 20 = 16 \text{ trays}$
LH:RH ratio	$30 \div 16 = 1.875 : 1$
Simplified pattern	RLLRLLRLL...

Instead of batching (30 LH then 16 RH), alternate in a repeating pattern. Every 3 trays: 2 LH + 1 RH.

- **Batched:** **LLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLLRRRRRRRRRRRRRR**

- **Leveled:** BLL BLL

Part 6 — Batch Sizing & EPE

6.1 Current Batch Size from EPE

Batch Size = EPE Interval (days) × Daily Demand

Acme Current State — EPE = 2 weeks:

Variant	EPE	Daily Demand	Batch Size
LH	10 days	600 pcs	6,000 pcs
RH	10 days	320 pcs	3,200 pcs

6.2 Target Batch Size (EPE = 1 day)

Acme Future State — Intermediate (p. 68)

Variant	Batch Size
LH	600 pcs (1 day)
RH	320 pcs (1 day)

6.3 Target Batch Size (EPE = 1 shift)

Acme Future State — Final (p. 77)

Variant	Batch Size
LH	300 pcs (1 shift)
RH	160 pcs (1 shift)

6.4 Inventory Reduction from Smaller Batches

Reduction % = 1 - (New Batch ÷ Old Batch)

The book states "about 85 percent" (p. 77)—the difference accounts for the supermarket buffer held beyond

May Changcavans - Time for C/O + C/O Duration per Setup

"A typical target is approximately 10% of available time to be used for changeovers". (p. 54)

Generic Example (n = 54)

Step	Calculation	Result
Available time/day		16 hours
Time to run daily demand		14.5 hours
Time left for C/O	16 – 14.5	1.5 hours

Step	Calculation	Result
C/O duration per setup		15 min (0.25 hr)
Max changeovers	$1.5 \div 0.25$	6 per day

Part 7 — Supplier Integration

7.1 Delivery Frequency Improvement

$$\text{Inventory Reduction} = 1 - (\text{New Inventory Days} \div \text{Old Inventory Days})$$

Acme Coil Supply (p. 69)

Item	Current	Future
Delivery frequency	2x per week (Tue/Thu)	Daily (milk run)
Coil inventory	5 days	1.5 days
Reduction		$1 - (1.5 \div 5) = 70\%$

The book notes: "Simply moving to daily delivery eliminates 80% of the inventory at Acme" (p. 69). The difference is because ideal daily delivery would need only 1 day of stock.

7.2 Scrap Adjustment to Demand

Scrap rate is listed as a data box metric (p. 21) and shown as "2% Scrap" in the icon examples (p. 108). Acme does not apply it, but the implicit formula is:

$$\text{Gross Demand} = \text{Net Demand} \div (1 - \text{Scrap Rate})$$

$$\text{Gross Demand} = 460 \text{ pcs} \div (1 - 0.02) = 469.4 \text{ pcs/shift}$$

You must produce more than the customer needs to account for scrap.

Part 8 — Performance Metrics & Comparison

8.1 Three-State Lead Time Comparison

Acme Stamping (pp. 69–70, 80–81)

State	Coils	Stamped	WIP	FG	Lead Time
Current	5.0 d	7.6 d	6.5 d	4.5 d	23.6 days
Flow & Pull	2.0 d	1.5 d	0 d	4.5 d	8.0 days
With Leveling	1.5 d	1.0 d	0 d	2.0 d	4.5 days

8.2 Inventory Turns

$$\text{Inventory Turns} \approx \text{Working Days per Year} \div \text{Production Lead Time (days)}$$

State	Lead Time	Turns
Current	23.6 days	$240 \div 23.6 \approx 10$
Flow & Pull	8.0 days	$240 \div 8.0 = 30$
With Leveling	4.5 days	$240 \div 4.5 \approx 53$

8.3 Lead Time Reduction

$$\text{Reduction \%} = (\text{Current} - \text{Future}) \div \text{Current}$$

$$\text{Reduction} = (23.6 - 4.5) \div 23.6 = 80.9\%$$

8.4 VA % Improvement

State	VA Time	Lead Time	VA %
Current	188 sec	23.6 days	0.0092%
Future	169 sec	4.5 days	0.0435%

VA % improves by ~4.7x — but remains extremely small, showing that even the future state has further to go.

Part 9 — TWI Industries Summary

TWI Current State (*Appendix B, p. 111*)

Inventory Point	Days
Raw rods	20
Cut rods	5
Post-Weld #1	3
Post-Weld #2	3
Post-Deflash	5
At painter (outside)	2
Painted at TWI	6
Finished goods	4
Raw forgings	20
Machined forgings	4
Total lead time	approx 43+ days

TWI Future State Key Calculations (*pp. 107–108*)

Metric	Calculation	Result
Takt time	$27,000 \div 600$	45 sec
Weld/Deflash C/T	(faster for C/O room)	39 sec
Run time per shift	600×39	23,400 sec
Time for C/Os	$27,000 - 23,400$	3,600 sec (1 hr)
C/O target		300 sec (5 min)
C/Os per shift	$3,600 \div 300$	12
Assembly operators	$195 \div 45 \rightarrow \text{round up}$	5 operators
Pitch	$50 \text{ pcs} \times 39 \text{ sec} + 300 \text{ sec}$	~30 min
Future lead time		< 11 days

Part 10 — Complete Formula Reference

#	Formula Name	Expression	Page
1	Available Time	Total Shift – Breaks	p. 59
2	Daily Demand	Monthly Demand ÷ Working Days	p. 58
3	Demand per Shift	Daily Demand ÷ Shifts	p. 58
4	Takt Time	Available Time ÷ Demand per Shift	p. 44
5	Process Capacity	(Available ÷ C/T) × Uptime	p. 22
6	Inventory Days	Quantity ÷ Daily Demand	p. 35
7	Production Lead Time	Sum of all inventory days	p. 35

#	Formula Name	Expression	Page
8	VA Time	Sum of process cycle times	p. 35
9	VA %	Processing Time ÷ Lead Time (in sec)	p. 35
10	NVA %	1 - VA %	p. 35
11	Operators Needed	Total Work Content ÷ Takt	p. 63
12	Max Work / Operator	Takt - Buffer	p. 64
13	Pitch	Takt × Pack-Out Quantity	p. 51
14	Kanban per Shift	Demand ÷ Container Qty	p. 61
15	Leveling Box Columns	Available Time ÷ Pitch	p. 53
16	Mix Ratio	LH Qty ÷ RH Qty	p. 73
17	Batch Size (EPE)	EPE Interval × Daily Demand	p. 77
18	C/O Budget	Available - Run Time	p. 54
19	Max Changeovers	C/O Budget ÷ C/O Duration	p. 54
20	Supermarket Size	EPE Demand + Safety Buffer	p. 68
21	Container as Time	Container Qty × Takt	p. 67
22	Signal Kanban Check	C/O ÷ (C/O + Run Time)	p. 68
23	Inventory Turns	Working Days/Year ÷ Lead Time	p. 69
24	Lead Time Reduction	(Current - Future) ÷ Current	p. 81
25	Gross Demand (Scrap)	Net Demand ÷ (1 - Scrap Rate)	p. 59
26	Delivery Inv. Reduction	1 - (New Inv ÷ Old Inv)	p. 69
27	Cell C/T Target	Target Work Content ÷ Operators	p. 64
28	C/Os per Shift	Time Remaining ÷ C/O Duration	p. 108

Source: Learning to See — Value Stream Mapping to Add Value and Eliminate MUDA, by Mike Rother and John Shook (Lean Enterprise Institute, 1999)