



VSM Calculation Guide — KAIZEN ACADEMY™

A complete reference of every calculation and formula from *Learning to See* by Mike Rother & John Shook, organized logically with worked examples from the Acme Stamping and TWI Industries case studies.

Part 1 — Foundation: Demand & Tempo

These calculations establish the fundamental rhythm of production. Everything else builds on them.

1.1 Available Working Time

$$\text{Available Time} = \text{Total Shift Time} - \text{Breaks} - \text{Other Non-Working Time}$$

Acme Stamping Example (pp. 58–59)

Input	Value
Total shift time	8 hours = 28,800 sec
Breaks (2 × 10 min)	1,200 sec
Available time per shift	28,800 – 1,200 = 27,600 sec

TWI Industries Example (p. 46)

Input	Value
Total shift time	8 hours = 28,800 sec
Breaks (2 × 15 min)	1,800 sec
Available time per shift	28,800 – 1,800 = 27,000 sec

1.2 Customer Demand Rate

$$\text{Daily Demand} = \text{Monthly Demand} \div \text{Working Days per Month}$$

$$\text{Demand per Shift} = \text{Daily Demand} \div \text{Number of Shifts}$$

Acme Stamping Example (pp. 58–59)

Step	Calculation	Result
Monthly demand	12,000 LH + 6,400 RH	18,400 pcs/month
Working days		20 days/month
Daily demand	18,400 ÷ 20	920 pcs/day
Shifts per day		2

Step	Calculation	Result
Demand per shift	$920 \div 2$	460 pcs/shift

TWI Industries (p. 45)

Step	Calculation	Result
Monthly demand		24,000 pcs/month
Daily demand	$24,000 \div 20$	1,200 pcs/day
Demand per shift	$1,200 \div 2$	600 pcs/shift

1.3 Takt Time

Takt Time = Available Working Time per Shift ÷ Customer Demand per Shift

Takt time synchronizes the pace of production to the pace of sales (p. 44).

Generic Example (p. 44)

Takt = 27,000 sec ÷ 455 pcs = 59.3 seconds

Acme Stamping (p. 59)

Takt = 27,600 sec ÷ 460 pcs = 60 seconds

This means Acme must produce one steering bracket every 60 seconds to match customer demand. This number "includes no time for equipment downtime, changeovers... or for producing scrap" (p. 59).

TWI Industries (implied, pp. 107–108)

Takt = 27,000 sec ÷ 600 pcs = 45 seconds

Part 2 — Current State Analysis

These calculations describe how the value stream performs today.

2.1 Process Data Boxes

Each process on the map records key metrics in a data box (pp. 21–22):

Metric	Abbreviation	What It Means
Cycle Time	C/T	Time between one part and the next coming off the process
Changeover Time	C/O	Time to switch from one product variant to another
Uptime	%	On-demand machine availability
EPE	Every Part Every ____	Measure of production batch size
Number of Operators		People required to run the process
Available Time	sec	Working time per shift minus breaks
Scrap Rate	%	Percentage of defective output

Acme Stamping — All Process Data (pp. 33–35, 119)

Process	C/T	C/O	Uptime	Operators	EPE
Stamping (200T press)	1 sec	1 hour	85%	1	2 weeks

Process	C/T	C/O	Uptime	Operators	EPE
Spot Weld #1	39 sec	10 min	100%	1	—
Spot Weld #2	46 sec	10 min	80%	1	—
Assembly #1	62 sec	0	100%	1	—
Assembly #2	40 sec	0	100%	1	—

2.2 Process Capacity

$$\text{Capacity} = (\text{Available Time} \div \text{Cycle Time}) \times \text{Uptime \%}$$

This is implicit on p. 22: "available work time divided by cycle time multiplied by uptime percent is a measure of current process capacity, if no changeovers are made."

Acme Stamping Examples:

Process	Available	C/T	Uptime	Capacity
Stamping	27,600 sec	1 sec	85%	$(27,600 \div 1) \times 0.85 = 23,460 \text{ pcs}$
Weld #1	27,600 sec	39 sec	100%	$(27,600 \div 39) \times 1.00 = 708 \text{ pcs}$
Weld #2	27,600 sec	46 sec	80%	$(27,600 \div 46) \times 0.80 = 480 \text{ pcs}$
Assembly #1	27,600 sec	62 sec	100%	$(27,600 \div 62) \times 1.00 = 445 \text{ pcs}$
Assembly #2	27,600 sec	40 sec	100%	$(27,600 \div 40) \times 1.00 = 690 \text{ pcs}$

Assembly #1 is the bottleneck at 445 pcs/shift vs. 460 demand — a gap that must be addressed.

2.3 Inventory Conversion: Pieces to Days

$$\text{Inventory (days)} = \text{Inventory Quantity} \div \text{Daily Customer Demand}$$

"Lead times (in days) for each inventory triangle are calculated as follows: inventory quantity divided by the daily customer requirement" (p. 35).

Acme Stamping — All Inventory Points (pp. 33–35)

Daily customer requirement = **920 pcs/day**

Location	LH Pcs	RH Pcs	Total	Calculation	Days
Raw coils	—	—	—	(observed)	5.0
After Stamping	4,600	2,400	7,000	$7,000 \div 920$	7.6
After Weld #1	1,100	600	1,700	$1,700 \div 920$	1.8
After Weld #2	1,600	850	2,450	$2,450 \div 920$	2.7
After Assembly #1	1,200	640	1,840	$1,840 \div 920$	2.0
Finished Goods	2,700	1,440	4,140	$4,140 \div 920$	4.5

2.4 Production Lead Time

$$\text{Production Lead Time} = \text{Sum of all inventory days across the value stream}$$

Acme Current State Timeline (p. 35)

Coils	Stamped	Post-W1	Post-W2	Post-A1	FG	Total
5.0	7.6	1.8	2.7	2.0	4.5	23.6 days

2.5 Total Value-Added Time

VA Time = Sum of all process cycle times

Acme: $1 + 39 + 46 + 62 + 40 = 188 \text{ seconds}$

2.6 VA % and NVA % — The "Shock" Ratio

VA % = Total Processing Time ÷ (Production Lead Time × 86,400 sec/day)

NVA % = 1 – VA %

This is the central insight of the value stream map — how little of the total lead time is actual processing.

Acme Current State:

$VA\% = 188 \text{ sec} \div (23.6 \text{ days} \times 86,400 \text{ sec/day}) = 188 \div 2,038,400 = 0.0092\%$

~99.99% of the time is non-value-added. The part sits waiting for 23.6 days but is only being worked on for 188 seconds.

Part 3 — Future State Design

These calculations drive the redesign of the value stream.

3.1 Operators Needed for a Cell

Operators Needed = Total Work Content ÷ Takt Time

"Dividing the total welding and assembly work content by the takt time" (*p. 63*).

Acme Weld/Assembly Cell (*pp. 63–64*)

Step	Cycle Time
Weld #1	39 sec
Weld #2	46 sec
Assembly #1	62 sec
Assembly #2	40 sec
Total Work Content	187 sec

$Operators = 187 \text{ sec} \div 60 \text{ sec takt} = 3.12 \rightarrow 4 \text{ operators (round up)}$

Four operators would be underutilized. The kaizen target:

Max Work per Operator = Takt Time – Buffer (e.g., 4 sec)

Target Total Work = Operators × Max Work

Item	Calculation	Result
Target operators		3
Max work per operator	60 – 4 sec buffer	56 sec
Target total work	3 × 56	168 sec
Waste to eliminate	187 – 168	19 seconds

TWI Assembly Cell (p. 108)

Operators = 195 sec ÷ 45 sec takt = 4.33 → 5 operators

3.2 Cycle Time vs. Takt — When C/T Must Be Faster

When a process requires changeovers, it must cycle faster than takt to leave time for setups.

Time Remaining = Available Time - (Demand × Actual C/T)

Changeovers per Shift = Time Remaining ÷ C/O Duration

TWI Weld/Deflash (pp. 107–108) — Why C/T = 39 sec, not 45 sec takt:

Step	Calculation	Result
Demand per shift		600 pcs
If C/T = Takt (45 sec)	600 × 45	27,000 sec = ALL available time
No time left for changeovers!		
Actual C/T chosen		39 sec
Run time at 39 sec	600 × 39	23,400 sec
Time remaining	27,000 - 23,400	3,600 sec (1 hour)
C/O target		300 sec (5 min)
Changeovers per shift	3,600 ÷ 300	12 changeovers

Part 4 — Pull Systems & Supermarkets

4.1 Kanban Sizing

Kanban per Shift = Demand per Shift ÷ Container Quantity

Acme Finished Goods (p. 61)

Kanban/shift = 460 pcs ÷ 20 pcs/tray = 23 kanban per shift

4.2 Container Size as Time

Time per Container = Container Quantity × Takt Time

Acme Stamped-Parts Bins (p. 67)

Time per bin = 60 pcs × 60 sec = 3,600 sec = 60 minutes

The book describes this as "about one hour of current steering bracket assembly."

4.3 Supermarket Sizing

Supermarket Size = EPE Demand + Safety Buffer

Acme Stamped-Parts Supermarket (pp. 67–68)

Item	Value	Notes
EPE target	1 day	
LH daily demand	600 pcs	

Item	Value	Notes
RH daily demand	320 pcs	
Buffer	+0.5 day	For replenishment delay and stamping problems
Total supermarket	1.5 days	
LH stock	$600 \times 1.5 = 900$ pcs	
RH stock	$320 \times 1.5 = 480$ pcs	

4.4 Signal Kanban Trigger

When bin-for-bin pull is impractical (due to long changeovers), a signal kanban triggers a batch.

$$\text{Feasibility: C/O-to-Run \%} = \text{C/O Time} \div (\text{C/O Time} + \text{Run Time})$$

Acme Stamping — Why Bin-for-Bin Fails (p. 68)

Item	Value
Bin size	60 pcs
Run time for 1 bin	$60 \times 1 \text{ sec} = 60 \text{ sec}$
Changeover time	3,600 sec (1 hour)
C/O as % of total	$3,600 \div (3,600 + 60) = 98.4\%$

Setup time completely dominates — producing 60-piece bins one at a time is impractical. The signal kanban triggers a full daily batch instead (600 LH or 320 RH).

Part 5 — Scheduling & Leveling

5.1 Pitch

$$\text{Pitch} = \text{Takt Time} \times \text{Pack-Out Quantity}$$

Pitch is "the basic unit of your production schedule for a product family" (p. 51).

Generic Example (p. 51)

$$\text{Pitch} = 30 \text{ sec} \times 20 \text{ pcs} = 600 \text{ sec} = 10 \text{ minutes}$$

Acme Stamping (p. 76)

$$\text{Pitch} = 60 \text{ sec} \times 20 \text{ pcs/tray} = 1,200 \text{ sec} = 20 \text{ minutes}$$

TWI Industries (p. 108)

$$\text{Pitch} = 39 \text{ sec} \times 50 \text{ pcs (avg order)} + 300 \text{ sec C/O} \approx 30 \text{ minutes}$$

5.2 Load-Leveling Box

$$\text{Columns in Leveling Box} = \text{Available Time per Shift} \div \text{Pitch}$$

Acme Stamping:

$$\text{Columns} = 27,600 \text{ sec} \div 1,200 \text{ sec} = 23 \text{ columns}$$

Rows = number of product variants (2 for Acme: LH and RH).

5.3 Mix Leveling Pattern

$$\text{Mix Ratio} = \text{LH Quantity} \div \text{RH Quantity} \rightarrow \text{Repeating Pattern}$$

Acme Stamping (pp. 73–74)

Item	Value
LH trays per day	$600 \div 20 = 30$ trays
RH trays per day	$320 \div 20 = 16$ trays
LH:RH ratio	$30 \div 16 = 1.875 : 1$
Simplified pattern	RLLRLLRLL ...

Instead of batching (30 LH then 16 RH), alternate in a repeating pattern. Every 3 trays: 2 LH + 1 RH.

- [illegible]

Part 6 — Batch Sizing & EPE

6.1 Current Batch Size from EPE

$$\text{Batch Size} = \text{EPE Interval (days)} \times \text{Daily Demand}$$

Acme Current State — EPE = 2 weeks:

Variant	EPE	Daily Demand	Batch Size
LH	10 days	600 pcs	6,000 pcs
RH	10 days	320 pcs	3,200 pcs

6.2 Target Batch Size (EPE = 1 day)

Acme Future State — Intermediate (p. 68)

Variant	Batch Size
LH	600 pcs (1 day)
RH	320 pcs (1 day)

6.3 Target Batch Size (EPE = 1 shift)

Acme Future State — Final (p. 77)

Variant	Batch Size
LH	300 pcs (1 shift)
RH	160 pcs (1 shift)

6.4 Inventory Reduction from Smaller Batches

$$\text{Reduction \%} = 1 - (\text{New Batch} \div \text{Old Batch})$$

$$\text{Reduction} = 1 - (300 \div 6,000) = 1 - 0.05 = 95\%$$

The book states "about 85 percent" (*p.* 77) — the difference accounts for the supermarket buffer held beyond the batch itself.

6.5 Changeover Budget Method

$$\text{Time for C/O} = \text{Available Time} - \text{Time to Run Daily Demand}$$

$$\text{Max Changeovers} = \text{Time for C/O} \div \text{C/O Duration per Setup}$$

"A typical target is approximately 10% of available time to be used for changeovers" (p. 54).

Generic Example (p. 54)

Step	Calculation	Result
Available time/day		16 hours
Time to run daily demand		14.5 hours
Time left for C/O	16 - 14.5	1.5 hours
C/O duration per setup		15 min (0.25 hr)
Max changeovers	1.5 ÷ 0.25	6 per day

Part 7 — Supplier Integration

7.1 Delivery Frequency Improvement

$$\text{Inventory Reduction} = 1 - (\text{New Inventory Days} \div \text{Old Inventory Days})$$

Acme Coil Supply (p. 69)

Item	Current	Future
Delivery frequency	2× per week (Tue/Thu)	Daily (milk run)
Coil inventory	5 days	1.5 days
Reduction		$1 - (1.5 \div 5) = 70\%$

The book notes: "Simply moving to daily delivery eliminates 80% of the inventory at Acme" (p. 69). The difference is because ideal daily delivery would need only 1 day of stock.

7.2 Scrap Adjustment to Demand

Scrap rate is listed as a data box metric (p. 21) and shown as "2% Scrap" in the icon examples (p. 108). Acme does not apply it, but the implicit formula is:

$$\text{Gross Demand} = \text{Net Demand} \div (1 - \text{Scrap Rate})$$

$$\text{Gross Demand} = 460 \text{ pcs} \div (1 - 0.02) = 469.4 \text{ pcs/shift}$$

You must produce more than the customer needs to account for scrap.

Part 8 — Performance Metrics & Comparison

8.1 Three-State Lead Time Comparison

Acme Stamping (pp. 69–70, 80–81)

State	Coils	Stamped	WIP	FG	Lead Time
Current	5.0 d	7.6 d	6.5 d	4.5 d	23.6 days
Flow & Pull	2.0 d	1.5 d	0 d	4.5 d	8.0 days
With Leveling	1.5 d	1.0 d	0 d	2.0 d	4.5 days

8.2 Inventory Turns

$$\text{Inventory Turns} \approx \text{Working Days per Year} \div \text{Production Lead Time (days)}$$

State	Lead Time	Turns
Current	23.6 days	$240 \div 23.6 \approx 10$
Flow & Pull	8.0 days	$240 \div 8.0 = 30$
With Leveling	4.5 days	$240 \div 4.5 \approx 53$

8.3 Lead Time Reduction

$\text{Reduction \%} = (\text{Current} - \text{Future}) \div \text{Current}$

$\text{Reduction} = (23.6 - 4.5) \div 23.6 = 80.9\%$

8.4 VA % Improvement

State	VA Time	Lead Time	VA %
Current	188 sec	23.6 days	0.0092%
Future	169 sec	4.5 days	0.0435%

VA % improves by ~4.7x — but remains extremely small, showing that even the future state has further to go.

Part 9 — TWI Industries Summary

TWI Current State (*Appendix B, p. 111*)

Inventory Point	Days
Raw rods	20
Cut rods	5
Post-Weld #1	3
Post-Weld #2	3
Post-Deflash	5
At painter (outside)	2
Painted at TWI	6
Finished goods	4
Raw forgings	20
Machined forgings	4
Total lead time	approx 43+ days

TWI Future State Key Calculations (*pp. 107–108*)

Metric	Calculation	Result
Takt time	$27,000 \div 600$	45 sec
Weld/Deflash C/T	(faster for C/O room)	39 sec
Run time per shift	600×39	23,400 sec
Time for C/Os	$27,000 - 23,400$	3,600 sec (1 hr)
C/O target		300 sec (5 min)
C/Os per shift	$3,600 \div 300$	12
Assembly operators	$195 \div 45 \rightarrow \text{round up}$	5 operators
Pitch	$50 \text{ pcs} \times 39 \text{ sec} + 300 \text{ sec}$	~30 min
Future lead time		< 11 days

Part 10 — Complete Formula Reference

#	Formula Name	Expression	Page
1	Available Time	$\text{Total Shift} - \text{Breaks}$	p. 59
2	Daily Demand	$\text{Monthly Demand} \div \text{Working Days}$	p. 58
3	Demand per Shift	$\text{Daily Demand} \div \text{Shifts}$	p. 58
4	Takt Time	$\text{Available Time} \div \text{Demand per Shift}$	p. 44
5	Process Capacity	$(\text{Available} \div \text{C/T}) \times \text{Uptime}$	p. 22
6	Inventory Days	$\text{Quantity} \div \text{Daily Demand}$	p. 35
7	Production Lead Time	$\text{Sum of all inventory days}$	p. 35
8	VA Time	$\text{Sum of process cycle times}$	p. 35
9	VA %	$\text{Processing Time} \div \text{Lead Time (in sec)}$	p. 35
10	NVA %	$1 - \text{VA \%}$	p. 35
11	Operators Needed	$\text{Total Work Content} \div \text{Takt}$	p. 63
12	Max Work / Operator	$\text{Takt} - \text{Buffer}$	p. 64
13	Pitch	$\text{Takt} \times \text{Pack-Out Quantity}$	p. 51
14	Kanban per Shift	$\text{Demand} \div \text{Container Qty}$	p. 61
15	Leveling Box Columns	$\text{Available Time} \div \text{Pitch}$	p. 53
16	Mix Ratio	$\text{LH Qty} \div \text{RH Qty}$	p. 73
17	Batch Size (EPE)	$\text{EPE Interval} \times \text{Daily Demand}$	p. 77
18	C/O Budget	$\text{Available} - \text{Run Time}$	p. 54
19	Max Changeovers	$\text{C/O Budget} \div \text{C/O Duration}$	p. 54
20	Supermarket Size	$\text{EPE Demand} + \text{Safety Buffer}$	p. 68
21	Container as Time	$\text{Container Qty} \times \text{Takt}$	p. 67
22	Signal Kanban Check	$\text{C/O} \div (\text{C/O} + \text{Run Time})$	p. 68
23	Inventory Turns	$\text{Working Days/Year} \div \text{Lead Time}$	p. 69
24	Lead Time Reduction	$(\text{Current} - \text{Future}) \div \text{Current}$	p. 81
25	Gross Demand (Scrap)	$\text{Net Demand} \div (1 - \text{Scrap Rate})$	p. 59
26	Delivery Inv. Reduction	$1 - (\text{New Inv} \div \text{Old Inv})$	p. 69
27	Cell C/T Target	$\text{Target Work Content} \div \text{Operators}$	p. 64
28	C/Os per Shift	$\text{Time Remaining} \div \text{C/O Duration}$	p. 108

Source: *Learning to See — Value Stream Mapping to Add Value and Eliminate MUDA*, by Mike Rother and John Shook (Lean Enterprise Institute, 1999)