# STAT 243: Final Project

Jonathan Larson, Chenzhi Li, Courtney Schiffman, & Shamindra Shrotriya

December 17th, 2015

### 1 Function Design

We chose to use a functional style as opposed to an object-oriented style, and composed our main function ars from the auxiliary functions that are summarized in Table 1. Note that we employed a naming convention wherein all auxiliary functions begin with the prefix faux. In order to maximize efficiency, we distributed these auxiliary functions among our group. This necessitated an explicit mapping of the output of early functions to the input of later functions. Note also that objects that translate to the same ideas in the paper are named in a similar way to each other, and also to how those objects are named in the paper. For example, inp\_Dvec is used consistently to represent D, and inp\_xvec is used consistently to represent  $T_k$ .

A pseudocode summary of the overall function ars is included here:

```
ars <- function(n, g, D, k = 100) {
 Check for log-concavity
Get initial points in Tk
Get h, uk, lk, and sk
 while (length of sample < n) {
   Sample x* from s
   Generate w ~ uniform(0,1)
   Determine which interval x* falls into
   if (w passes squeezing test) {
     Include x* in sample
   } else if (w passes rejection test) {
     Include x* in sample
     if (there are no numerical issues with the derivative) {
       Include x* in Tk
       Recalculate z, u, 1, and s
   }
return(sample)
```

## 2 Testing

We used testthat throughout our work on this project. We tested our functions as we created them, and in some cases we modeled test-driven development by writing the tests before the function. Writing tests for each others' functions was our main method of code review, although we did engage in further code review as well as pair programming.

The tests for our auxiliary functions mainly took these three forms:

- 1. Valid input. For example, testing that
  - (a) g is a function;
  - (b) D is a numeric vector of length 2; and
  - (c)  $T_k$  is a numeric vector.
- 2. Valid output. For example, testing that
  - (a) faux\_CheckLogConcavity produces a logical vector of length 1;
  - (b) faux\_hx produces a function; and

Table 1: Summary of the auxiliary functions.

Function Name	Summary
${ t faux\_CheckLogConcavity}$	Takes $g$ and $D$ and returns TRUE if $g$ is log-concave.
	We chose to do one global check at the beginning as opposed to multiple
	checks throughout
faux_hx	Takes $g$ and returns $h$ .
$faux\_findmode$	Takes $g$ and $D$ and returns the mode of $g$ .
	Obtaining initial points in $T_k$ on either side of the mode was how we
	ensured $h'(x) > 0$ and $h'(x_k) < 0$ .
$faux\_InitChoose$	Takes $g, D$ , and an initial $k$ and returns $T_k$ .
faux_hPrimex	Takes $g$ and $T_k$ and returns $h'(T_k)$ .
faux_Lkx	Takes $g$ and $T_k$ and returns $l_k$ .
faux_Zj	Takes $g, T_k$ , and $D$ and returns the $z_j$ .
$faux\_uInterval$	Takes the $z_j$ and returns the intervals between the $z_j$ .
faux_Ukx	Takes $g$ and $T_k$ and returns $u_k$ .
faux_Skx	Takes $u_k$ and the intervals between the $z_j$ and returns $s_k$ .
faux_SampleSkx	Takes $u_k$ and the intervals between the $z_j$ and returns a sample from $s_k$ .

- (c) faux\_Ukx produces a list of functions.
- 3. Correct output. For example, testing that
  - (a) faux\_CheckLogConcavity detects that  $g(x) = \phi(x)$  is log-concave;
  - (b) faux\_hPrimex returns h'(x) = -2 for  $g(x) = 2e^{-2x}$ ; and
  - (c) faux\_findmode returns 0 for  $g(x) = \phi(x)$ .

In addition to the tests for each auxiliary function, we also wrote tests for the overall ars function, checking its output against theory. This modular testing structure allowed us to easily create an overall testing function test-main.R which combined all tests into one. After all was said and done, we tested the package in the BCE.

#### 3 Documentation

We used roxygen2 to facilitate the creation of documentation; essentially it allows us to create all the documentation with a single command. We maintained the documentation throughout the coding process, ensuring that function descriptions, inputs, outputs, and examples were up-to-date. We followed the R Style Guide for coding and linting practices, and heavily commented our code to ensure clarity and to facilitate code review.

## 4 Collaboration

We used Git in order to collaborate on the code itself. We also created a private Slack group for collaboration and real-time communication. Slack employs a markdown-based text formatting interface which allows easy formatting of code and text. Lastly, we used a Google doc for group documentation, including meeting minutes, technical notes on the ars function, and to-do lists.

We all contributed to the writing of functions, tests, and documentation, with major contributions breaking down as follows:

- 1. Jonathan wrote faux\_CheckLogConcavity and assisted with faux\_hx, faux\_Ukx, faux\_Ukx, and faux\_SampleSkx.
- 2. Chenzhi
- 3. Courtney
- 4. Shamindra