STAT 243: Final Project

Jonathan Larson, Chenzhi Li, Courtney Schiffman, & Shamindra Shrotriya

December 17th, 2015

1 Function Design

We chose to use a functional coding style as opposed to an object-oriented coding style, and composed our main function ars from the auxiliary functions that are summarized in Table 1. Note that we employed a naming convention wherein all auxiliary functions begin with the prefix faux. In order to encourage modularity, we distributed these auxiliary functions among our group. This necessitated an explicit mapping of the output of early functions to the input of later functions. Note also that objects that translate to the same ideas in Gilks and Wild [1992] are named in a similar way to each other, and also to how those objects are named in the paper. For example, inp_Dvec is used consistently to represent D, and inp_xvec is used consistently to represent T_k .

A pseudocode summary of the overall function ars is included here:

```
ars \leftarrow function(n, g, D, k = 100) {
  Check for log-concavity
 Get initial points in Tk
 Get h, uk, lk, and sk
  while (length of sample < n) {
    Sample x* from s
    Generate w ~ uniform(0,1)
    Determine which interval x* falls into
    if (w passes squeezing test) {
      Include x* in sample
    } else if (w passes rejection test) {
      Include x* in sample
      if (there are no numerical issues with the derivative) {
        Include x* in Tk
        Recalculate z, u, 1, and s
 }
 return(sample)
```

2 Testing

We used testthat throughout our work on this project. We tested our functions as we created them, and in some cases we modeled test-driven development by writing the tests before the function. Writing tests for each others' functions was our main method of code review, although we did engage in further code review as well as utilising pair programming to systematically discuss and handle tough corner cases.

The tests for our auxiliary functions mainly took these three forms:

- 1. Validity of input. For example, testing that
 - (a) g is an R function;
 - (b) D is a numeric vector of length 2; and
 - (c) T_k is a numeric vector.
- 2. Validity of output of R object types. For example, testing that
 - (a) faux_CheckLogConcavity outputs a logical vector of length 1;

Table 1: Summary of the inputs/ outputs of the auxiliary functions.

Function Name	Summary
${ t faux_CheckLogConcavity}$	Takes g and D and returns TRUE if g is log-concave.
	We chose to do one global check at the beginning as opposed to multiple
	checks throughout
faux_hx	Takes g and returns h .
$faux_findmode$	Takes g and D and returns the mode of g .
	Obtaining initial points in T_k on either side of the mode was how we
	ensured $h'(x) > 0$ and $h'(x_k) < 0$.
${\tt faux_InitChoose}$	Takes g, D , and an initial k and returns T_k .
faux_hPrimex	Takes g and T_k and returns $h'(T_k)$.
faux_Lkx	Takes g and T_k and returns l_k .
$\mathtt{faux}_{-}\mathtt{Zj}$	Takes g, T_k , and D and returns the z_j .
$\mathtt{faux}_\mathtt{uInterval}$	Takes the z_j and returns the intervals between the z_j .
faux_Ukx	Takes g and T_k and returns u_k .
faux_Skx	Takes u_k and the intervals between the z_j and returns s_k .
faux_SampleSkx	Takes u_k and the intervals between the z_j and returns a sample from s_k .

- (b) faux_hx outputs an R function; and
- (c) faux_Ukx outputs a list of R functions.
- 3. Validity of output of values. For example, testing that
 - (a) faux_CheckLogConcavity detects that $g(x) = \phi(x)$ is log-concave;
 - (b) faux_hPrimex returns h'(x) = -2 for $g(x) = 2e^{-2x}$; and
 - (c) faux_findmode returns 0 for $g(x) = \phi(x)$.

In addition to the tests for each auxiliary function, we also wrote tests for the overall ars function, checking its output against theory. This modular testing structure allowed us to easily create an overall testing function test-main.R which combined all tests into one. After all was said and done, we tested the package in the BCE. Please see the Appendix for how to use the function with end-to-end testing performed on the validity of the output (e.g. histograms).

3 Documentation

We used roxygen2 to facilitate the creation of documentation. Essentially it allows us to create the CRAN style reference manual with a single command. We maintained the roxygen2 style throughout the coding process, ensuring that function descriptions, inputs, outputs, and examples were up-to-date. We followed the R Style Guide for coding and linting practices, and heavily commented our code to ensure clarity and to facilitate code review. Please see appendix for the auto-generated reference manual.

4 Collaboration

We used Git in order to collaborate on the code itself. We also created a private Slack group for collaboration and real-time communication. Slack employs a markdown-based text formatting interface which allows easy formatting of code and text. Lastly, we used a Google doc for group documentation, including meeting minutes, technical notes on the ars function, and to-do lists.

We all contributed to the writing of functions, tests, and documentation, with major contributions breaking down as follows:

- 1. Jonathan wrote faux_CheckLogConcavity and assisted with faux_hx, faux_Ukx, faux_Ukx, and faux_SampleSkx.
- 2. Chenzhi wrote faux_hx, faux_hPrimex, faux_Lkx and faux_Zj, as well as their tests.
- 3. Courtney wrote faux_SampleSkx, faux_Skx, and faux_uInterval, and helped to create the final ars function.
- 4. Shamindra helped design the testing-documentation-coding-git workflow which enabled the team to develop with greater efficiency and also assisted in the coding of faux_findmode, faux_InitChoose, faux_hPrimex, faux_Lkx, faux_Ukx, and pair programmed with Courtney on some aspects of ars.R.

5 Appendix

5.1 Using the ars Function and some Validity Testing

Below are some test use cases for the ars function with detailed comments. Also shown are some standard statistical tests and histograms which can help determine the validity of the distribution of the sample points returned from the ars function. This is effectively a demonstration of our end-to-end testing methodology.

```
install.packages("../ars.tar.gz", repos=NULL, type="source")
library(ars)
# We can reference a standard normal density using R's built in 'dnorm' function
set.seed(0)
dnorm_NO_1_1000_Rinbult \leftarrow ars(n = 1000, g = dnorm, D = c(-Inf,Inf))
# We can reference a non-standard normal density using R's built in 'dnorm'
# function as well e.g a N(5, 3) density
# This is done using an anonymous function call
set.seed(0)
dnorm_N5_3_1000_Rinbult <- ars(n = 1000, g = function(x) dnorm(x = x, mean = 5</pre>
                                                               , sd = 3)
                               , D = c(-Inf, Inf)
# We can reference a density using an explicit form for g(x) directly by writing
# it as an explicit function of x e.g. a N(0, 1) density can be done as follows
set.seed(0)
dnorm_NO_1_1000_exf <- ars(n = 1000)
                             , g = function(x) (1/sqrt(2*pi))*exp(-(x^2)/2)
                             , D = c(-Inf, Inf)
# Other densities can also be tested as follows
# Chi-Squared with 5 degrees of freedom
set.seed(0)
dchisq_5_1000_Rinbult <- ars(1000
                              , g = function(x) dchisq(x, df = 5)
                              , D = c(0, Inf)
# Other densities can also be tested as follows
# Exponential(10)
set.seed(0)
dexp_10_1000_exf <- ars(1000
                         , g = function(x) 10*exp(-10*x)
                        , D=c(0, Inf)
```

For the above densities we can conduct some some standard statistical tests and histograms which can help determine the validity of the distribution of the sample points returned from the ars function. This is effectively a demonstration of our end-to-end testing methodology.

```
# jonno/ courtney - could you please add in our standard testing function here
# It should accept an input vector of samples and output KS-test, histogram,
# QQplot etc
# We can directly reference the sampled vectors from the previous page as
# follows e.g. ars_testing_function()
# Test 1 - The validity of the standard normal density output as per above
# testing_function(dnorm_NO_1_1000_Rinbult)
# Test 2 - The validity of the exp(10) density
# testing_function(dexp_10_1000_exf)
```

References

W. R. Gilks and P. Wild. Adaptive rejection sampling for gibbs sampling. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series C (Applied Statistics), 41(2):337–348, 1992. ISSN 00359254, 14679876. URL http://www.jstor.org/stable/2347565.