Advanced topics

More fun with classification

July 22nd, 2021

NFL completion probability

Binary outcome model: $Y \in \{\text{Incomplete } (0), \text{Complete } (1)\}$

Create train and test folds based on games:

```
set.seed(1985)
game_fold_table <- tibble(game_id = unique(nfl_passing_plays$game_id)) %>%
  mutate(game_fold = sample(rep(1:5, length.out = n()), n()))
nfl_passing_plays <- nfl_passing_plays %>% dplyr::left_join(game_fold_table, by = "game_id")
```

Logistic regression review

Generate data of test predictions with particular model:

```
logit_cv_preds <-</pre>
  map_dfr(unique(nfl_passing_plays$game_fold),
          function(test_fold) {
            # Separate test and training data:
            test data <- nfl passing plays %>%
              filter(game_fold == test_fold)
            train data <- nfl passing plays %>%
              filter(game fold != test fold)
            # Train model:
            logit_model <- glm(complete_pass ~ yardline_100 + shotgun + air_yards + pass_location</pre>
                                data = train data.family = "binomial")
            # Return tibble of holdout results:
            tibble(test_pred_probs = predict(logit_model, newdata = test_data,
                                              type = "response"),
                   test_actual = test_data$complete_pass,
                   game_fold = test_fold)
          })
```

Holdout performance by fold

Let's think more carefully about what's going on here...

Evaluating the prediction threshold

We can really write our classification as a function of some cutoff c:

$$\hat{Y} = \hat{C}(x) = egin{cases} 1 & \hat{p}(x) > c \ 0 & \hat{p}(x) \leq c \end{cases}$$

Given the classifications, we can form a confusion matrix:

	predicted events	predicted non-events		predicted events	predicted non-events
actual events	correctly forecasted events	missed events	actual events	True Positive	False Negative
actual non-events	missed non-events	correctly forecasted non-events	actual non-events	False Positive	True Negative

	predicted events	predicted non-events			predicted events	predicted non-events
actual events	correctly forecasted events	missed events		actual events	True Positive	False Negative
actual non-events	missed non-events	correctly forecasted non-events	ac	tual non-events	False Positive	True Negative

We want to **maximize** all of the following (positive means 1, negative means 0):

- Accuracy: How often is the classifier correct? $\frac{TP+TN}{total}$
- **Precision**: How often is it right for predicted positives? $\frac{TP}{TP+FP}$
- **Sensitivity**, aka true positive rate (TPR) or power: How often does it detect positives? $\frac{TP}{TP+FN}$
- **Specificity**, aka true negative rate (TNR), or 1 false positive rate (FPR): How often does it detect negatives? $\frac{TN}{TN+FP}$

So how do we handle this?


We want to balance with high power and low false positive rate



Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve


Check all possible values for the cutoff c, plot the power against false positive rate

Want to maximize the area under the curve (AUC)



plotROC and holdout AUC


- d stands for disease status (the outcome)
- m stands for marker (the prediction)



[1] **0.**6864571

plotROC and holdout AUC by test fold

There is definitely room for improvement...




```
## # A tibble: 5 × 2
##
    game fold
             auc
        <int> <dbl>
##
## 1
            1 0.690
## 2
           2 0.679
## 3
           3 0.678
## 4
           4 0.705
## 5
            5 0.681
```

Add in varying intercepts with glmer?

```
library(lme4)
glmer cv preds <-
 map_dfr(unique(nfl_passing_plays$game_fold),
          function(test fold) {
            # Separate test and training data - scale variables:
            test data <- nfl passing plays %>% filter(game fold == test fold) %>%
              mutate(yardline 100 = scale(yardline 100), air yards = scale(air yards))
            train data <- nfl passing plays %>% filter(game fold != test fold) %>%
              mutate(yardline 100 = scale(yardline 100), air yards = scale(air yards))
            # Train model:
            glmer model <- glmer(complete pass ~ yardline 100 + shotgun + air yards +
                                  pass location + qb hit +
                                  (1|passer_name_id) + (1|receiver_name_id),
                                 data = train data, family = "binomial")
            # Return tibble of holdout results:
            tibble(test_pred_probs = predict(glmer_model, newdata = test_data,
                                             type = "response",
                                             allow.new.levels = TRUE),
                   test_actual = test_data$complete_pass,
                   game fold = test fold)
```

Add in varying intercepts with glmer?

Looks like player-level effects do not help!



```
## # A tibble: 5 × 2
   game fold
##
             auc
##
        <int> <dbl>
## 1
           1 0.692
## 2
           2 0.681
## 3
           3 0.682
## 4
           4 0.705
## 5
           5 0.684
```

Tree-based approach?

We need to first convert categorical variables into dummy indicators:


Random forests using probability forest

For each tree compute class proportion in terminal node, then take average across all trees

```
library(ranger)
rf prob cv preds <-
 map dfr(unique(model data$game fold),
          function(test fold) {
            # Separate test and training data - scale variables:
            test data <- model data %>% filter(game fold == test fold)
            train data <- model data %>% filter(game fold != test fold)
            rf prob model <-
              ranger(complete pass ~ ., data = dplyr::select(train data, -game fold),
                     probability = TRUE)
            # Return tibble of holdout results:
            tibble(test pred probs =
                     as.numeric(predict(rf_prob_model, data = test_data,
                                        type = "response")$predictions[,2]),
                   test_actual = as.numeric(test_data$complete_pass) - 1,
                   game fold = test fold)
          })
```

Random forests using probability forest

Looks like just a modest improvement



```
## # A tibble: 5 × 2
    game_fold
##
               auc
##
         <int> <dbl>
## 1
             1 0.702
## 2
             2 0.703
## 3
             3 0.683
## 4
             4 0.713
## 5
             5 0.690
```


XGBoost!

```
library(xgboost)
xgb_cv_preds <-
 map_dfr(unique(model_data$game_fold),
          function(test_fold) {
            # Separate test and training data - scale variables:
            test data <- model data %>% filter(game fold == test fold)
            test_data_x <- as.matrix(dplyr::select(test_data, -complete_pass, -game_fold))</pre>
            train_data <- model_data %>% filter(game_fold != test_fold)
            train_data_x <- as.matrix(dplyr::select(train_data, -complete_pass, -game_fold))</pre>
            train data y <- as.numeric(train data$complete pass) - 1
            xgb_model <- xgboost(data = train_data_x, label = train_data_y,</pre>
                                  nrounds = 100, max_depth = 3, eta = 0.3,
                                  gamma = 0, colsample bytree = 1, min child weight = 1,
                                  subsample = 1, nthread = 1,
                                  objective = 'binary:logistic', eval_metric = 'auc',
                                  verbose = 0)
            # Return tibble of holdout results:
            tibble(test pred probs =
                     as.numeric(predict(xgb_model, newdata = test_data_x, type = "response")),
                   test actual = as.numeric(test data$complete pass) - 1,
                   game fold = test fold)
```

16 / 25

XGBoost


Should actually tune this more...



```
## # A tibble: 5 × 2
##
    game_fold
               auc
         <int> <dbl>
##
## 1
             1 0,704
## 2
             2 0.706
## 3
             3 0.683
## 4
             4 0.715
## 5
             5 0.692
```

All together now...

```
bind_rows(
 mutate(logit_cv_preds, type = "logit"),
 mutate(glmer_cv_preds, type = "glmer"),
 mutate(rf_prob_cv_preds, type = "RF"),
 mutate(xgb cv preds, type = "XGBoost")) %>%
 ggplot() +
 geom_roc(aes(d = test_actual,
              m = test_pred_probs,
               color = type),
          n.cuts = 0) +
 style_roc() +
 geom_abline(slope = 1, intercept = 0, linet
 ggthemes::scale_color_colorblind() +
 labs(color = "Model") +
 theme(legend.position = "bottom")
```



Pretty similar performance across all models...

Explaining predictions with SHAP-values

SHAP-values are based on Shapley values (an idea from game theory) and are used to measure the contributions from each feature in the model to the prediction for an individual observation

Shapley value ϕ_i^j for feature value j for observation i can be interpreted as:


- the value of feature j contributed ϕ_i^j to the prediction of observation i compared to the average prediction for the dataset
- linear regression coefficients function in the same way

Can use them in multiple ways:

- View total importance: $\frac{1}{n}\sum |\phi_i^j|$
- View distribution of ϕ_i^j for each feature
- Plot ϕ_i^j against feature value for partial dependence

SHAPforxgboost

Fit model on full data then extract SHAP-values with SHAPforxgboost



Multinomial classification with XGBoost

Use same NFL play-by-play dataset as before but get ready for XGBoost...

XGBoost requires the multinomial categories to be numeric starting at 0

Leave-one-season-out cross-validation

```
library(xgboost)
xgb_loso_cv_preds <-
 map dfr(unique(nfl_ep_model_data$season), function(x) {
            # Separate test and training data - scale variables:
            test data <- nfl ep model data %>% filter(season == x)
            test data x <- as.matrix(dplyr::select(test data, model variables))
            train data <- nfl ep model data %>% filter(season != x)
            train data x <- as.matrix(dplyr::select(train data, model variables))</pre>
            train data y <- train data$next score label
            xgb model <- xgboost(data = train data x, label = train data y, nrounds = 100, max de
                                 eta = 0.3, gamma = 0, colsample bytree = 1, min child weight = 1
                                 subsample = 1, nthread = 1, objective = 'multi:softprob', num_cl
                                 eval metric = 'mlogloss', verbose = 0)
            xgb_preds <- matrix(predict(xgb_model, test_data_x), ncol = 7, byrow = TRUE) %>%
              as tibble()
            colnames(xgb_preds) <- c("No_Score", "Safety", "Field_Goal", "Touchdown",
                                     "Opp Safety", "Opp Field Goal", "Opp Touchdown")
            xgb_preds %>%
              mutate(Next Score Half = test data$Next Score Half,season = x)
            })
```

Calibration results for each scoring event

Calibration results for each scoring event

```
ep_cv_loso_calibration_results %>%
 mutate(next_score_type = fct_relevel(next_score_type, "Opp_Safety", "Opp_Field_Goal",
                                       "Opp_Touchdown", "No_Score", "Safety", "Field_Goal", "Touc
 next_score_type = fct_recode(next_score_type, "-Field Goal (-3)" = "Opp_Field_Goal", "-Safety
                               "Field Goal (3)" = "Field Goal", "No Score (0)" = "No Score",
                               "Touchdown (7)" = "Touchdown", "Safety (2)" = "Safety")) %>%
 ggplot(aes(x = bin pred prob, y = bin actual prob)) +
 geom abline(slope = 1, intercept = 0, color = "black", linetype = "dashed") +
 geom smooth(se = FALSE) +
 geom_point(aes(size = n_plays)) +
 geom_errorbar(aes(ymin = bin_lower, ymax = bin_upper)) + #coord_equal() +
 scale x continuous(limits = c(0,1)) +
 scale y continuous(limits = c(0,1)) +
 labs(size = "Number of plays", x = "Estimated next score probability",
      v = "Observed next score probability") +
 theme bw() +
 theme(strip.background = element blank(),
        axis.text.x = element text(angle = 90),
        legend.position = c(1, .05), legend.justification = c(1, 0)) +
 facet_wrap(~ next_score_type, ncol = 4)
```

Calibration results for each scoring event

