

Machine Learning Engineer Nanodegree

Model Evaluation & Validation

Project 1: Predicting Boston Housing Prices

Welcome to the first project of the Machine Learning Engineer Nanodegree! In this notebook, some template code has already been written. You will need to implement additional functionality to successfully answer all of the questions for this project. Unless it is requested, do not modify any of the code that has already been included. In this template code, there are four sections which you must complete to successfully produce a prediction with your model. Each section where you will write code is preceded by a **STEP X** header with comments describing what must be done. Please read the instructions carefully!

In addition to implementing code, there will be questions that you must answer that relate to the project and your implementation. Each section where you will answer a question is preceded by a **QUESTION X** header. Be sure that you have carefully read each question and provide thorough answers in the text boxes that begin with "**Answer:**". Your project submission will be evaluated based on your answers to each of the questions.

A description of the dataset can be found [here \(https://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/datasets/Housing\)](https://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/datasets/Housing), which is provided by the **UCI Machine Learning Repository**.

Getting Started

To familiarize yourself with an iPython Notebook, **try double clicking on this cell**. You will notice that the text changes so that all the formatting is removed. This allows you to make edits to the block of text you see here. This block of text (and mostly anything that's not code) is written using Markdown (<http://daringfireball.net/projects/markdown/syntax>), which is a way to format text using headers, links, italics, and many other options! Whether you're editing a Markdown text block or a code block (like the one below), you can use the keyboard shortcut **Shift + Enter** or **Shift + Return** to execute the code or text block. In this case, it will show the formatted text.

Let's start by setting up some code we will need to get the rest of the project up and running. Use the keyboard shortcut mentioned above on the following code block to execute it. Alternatively, depending on your iPython Notebook program, you can press the **Play** button in the hotbar. You'll know the code block executes successfully if the message *"Boston Housing dataset loaded successfully!"* is printed.

```
In [1]: # Importing a few necessary libraries
import numpy as np
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
from sklearn import datasets
from sklearn.tree import DecisionTreeRegressor

# Make matplotlib show our plots inline (nicely formatted in the notebook)
%matplotlib inline

# Create our client's feature set for which we will be predicting a selling price
CLIENT_FEATURES = [[11.95, 0.00, 18.100, 0, 0.6590, 5.6090, 90.00, 1.385, 24, 680.0, 20.20, 332.09, 12.13]]

# Load the Boston Housing dataset into the city_data variable
city_data = datasets.load_boston()

# Initialize the housing prices and housing features
housing_prices = city_data.target
housing_features = city_data.data

print "Boston Housing dataset loaded successfully!"
```

Boston Housing dataset loaded successfully!

Statistical Analysis and Data Exploration

In this first section of the project, you will quickly investigate a few basic statistics about the dataset you are working with. In addition, you'll look at the client's feature set in `CLIENT_FEATURES` and see how this particular sample relates to the features of the dataset. Familiarizing yourself with the data through an explorative process is a fundamental practice to help you better understand your results.

Step 1

In the code block below, use the imported numpy library to calculate the requested statistics. You will need to replace each `None` you find with the appropriate numpy coding for the proper statistic to be printed. Be sure to execute the code block each time to test if your implementation is working successfully. The print statements will show the statistics you calculate!

```
In [2]: # Number of houses in the dataset
total_houses = housing_features.shape[0]

# Number of features in the dataset
total_features = housing_features.shape[1]

# Minimum housing value in the dataset
minimum_price = housing_prices.min()

# Maximum housing value in the dataset
maximum_price = housing_prices.max()

# Mean house value of the dataset
mean_price = np.mean(housing_prices)

# Median house value of the dataset
median_price = np.median(housing_prices)

# Standard deviation of housing values of the dataset
std_dev = housing_prices.std()

# Show the calculated statistics
print "Boston Housing dataset statistics (in $1000's):\n"
print "Total number of houses:", total_houses
print "Total number of features:", total_features
print "Minimum house price:", minimum_price
print "Maximum house price:", maximum_price
print "Mean house price: {0:.3f}".format(mean_price)
print "Median house price:", median_price
print "Standard deviation of house price: {0:.3f}".format(std_dev)
```

Boston Housing dataset statistics (in \$1000's):

Total number of houses: 506
Total number of features: 13
Minimum house price: 5.0
Maximum house price: 50.0
Mean house price: 22.533
Median house price: 21.2
Standard deviation of house price: 9.188

Question 1

As a reminder, you can view a description of the Boston Housing dataset [here](https://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/datasets/Housing) (<https://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/datasets/Housing>), where you can find the different features under **Attribute Information**. The MEDV attribute relates to the values stored in our housing_prices variable, so we do not consider that a feature of the data.

Of the features available for each data point, choose three that you feel are significant and give a brief description for each of what they measure.

Remember, you can **double click the text box below** to add your answer!

Answer: Form correlation coefficient and pairs plot, we identified the following three are the significant variables: RM, LSTAT, PTRATIO. RM: Average number of rooms per dwellings (size of the house) LSTAT: % of Lower status of the population PTRATION: pupil teacher ratio (indicators of good school district)

Question 2

Using your client's feature set CLIENT_FEATURES, which values correspond with the features you've chosen above?

Hint: Run the code block below to see the client's data.

```
In [3]: print CLIENT_FEATURES  
[[11.95, 0.0, 18.1, 0, 0.659, 5.609, 90.0, 1.385, 24, 680.0, 20.2, 332.0  
9, 12.13]]
```

Answer: 5.609, 20.2,12.13

Evaluating Model Performance

In this second section of the project, you will begin to develop the tools necessary for a model to make a prediction. Being able to accurately evaluate each model's performance through the use of these tools helps to greatly reinforce the confidence in your predictions.

Step 2

In the code block below, you will need to implement code so that the `shuffle_split_data` function does the following:

- Randomly shuffle the input data `X` and target labels (housing values) `y`.
- Split the data into training and testing subsets, holding 30% of the data for testing.

If you use any functions not already accessible from the imported libraries above, remember to include your import statement below as well!

Ensure that you have executed the code block once you are done. You'll know if the `shuffle_split_data` function is working if the statement *"Successfully shuffled and split the data!"* is printed.

```
In [11]: # Put any import statements you need for this code block here

def shuffle_split_data(X, y):
    """ Shuffles and splits data into 70% training and 30% testing subsets,
        then returns the training and testing subsets. """
    #np.random.seed(1234)
    #np.random.shuffle(X)
    #np.random.shuffle(y)
    #size=X.shape[0]*.7
    # Shuffle and split the data
    from sklearn.cross_validation import train_test_split
    X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X, y, test_size=
0.3, random_state=123)
    # Return the training and testing data subsets
    return X_train, y_train, X_test, y_test
```

```
# Test shuffle_split_data
try:
    X_train, y_train, X_test, y_test = shuffle_split_data(housing_features, housing_prices)
    print "Successfully shuffled and split the data!!"
except:
    print "Something went wrong with shuffling and splitting the data."
```

Successfully shuffled and split the data!!

Question 4

Why do we split the data into training and testing subsets for our model?

Answer: Data set is split into training and testing subsets to assess the performance of predictive models i.e. how well the model predicts on future/unknown data, to check whether it overfits. If we don't split the data, we risk having a model that can only make good predictions with the training dataset, therefore, we would end up with an overfit model.

Step 3

In the code block below, you will need to implement code so that the `performance_metric` function does the following:

- Perform a total error calculation between the true values of the y labels `y_true` and the predicted values of the y labels `y_predict`.

You will need to first choose an appropriate performance metric for this problem. See [the sklearn metrics documentation \(http://scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/classes.html#sklearn-metrics-metrics\)](http://scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/classes.html#sklearn-metrics-metrics) to view a list of available metric functions. **Hint:** Look at the question below to see a list of the metrics that were covered in the supporting course for this project.

Once you have determined which metric you will use, remember to include the necessary import statement as well!

Ensure that you have executed the code block once you are done. You'll know if the `performance_metric` function is working if the statement *"Successfully performed a metric calculation!"* is printed.

```
In [12]: # Put any import statements you need for this code block here
         from sklearn.metrics import mean_squared_error

         def performance_metric(y_true, y_predict):
             """ Calculates and returns the total error between true and predicted values
                 based on a performance metric chosen by the student. """

             error = mean_squared_error(y_true,y_predict)
             return error

         # Test performance_metric
         try:
             total_error = performance_metric(y_train, y_train)
             print "Successfully performed a metric calculation!"
         except:
             print "Something went wrong with performing a metric calculation."
```

Successfully performed a metric calculation!

Question 4

Which performance metric below did you find was most appropriate for predicting housing prices and analyzing the total error. Why?

- Accuracy
- Precision
- Recall
- F1 Score
- Mean Squared Error (MSE)
- Mean Absolute Error (MAE)

Answer: In this analysis, we used MSE.

Accuracy, Precision, Recall and F1 score are performance metrics for classification problems. Since, predicting housing prices is a regression problem, either MSE or MAE can be used as performance metric. MAE is a linear score where all the errors are weighted equally in the average. In MSE, errors are squared before average, therefore, it gives relatively greater weights on the large errors. That's why MSE is used in this analysis.

Step 4 (Final Step)

In the code block below, you will need to implement code so that the `fit_model` function does the following:

- Create a scoring function using the same performance metric as in **Step 2**. See the [sklearn make_scorer documentation \(http://scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/generated/sklearn.metrics.make_scorer.html\)](http://scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/generated/sklearn.metrics.make_scorer.html).
- Build a GridSearchCV object using regressor, parameters, and scoring_function. See the [sklearn documentation on GridSearchCV \(http://scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/generated/sklearn.grid_search.GridSearchCV.html\)](http://scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/generated/sklearn.grid_search.GridSearchCV.html).

When building the scoring function and GridSearchCV object, *be sure that you read the parameters documentation thoroughly*. It is not always the case that a default parameter for a function is the appropriate setting for the problem you are working on.

Since you are using sklearn functions, remember to include the necessary import statements below as well!

Ensure that you have executed the code block once you are done. You'll know if the `fit_model` function is working if the statement *"Successfully fit a model to the data!"* is printed.

```
In [13]: # Put any import statements you need for this code block
from sklearn.grid_search import GridSearchCV
from sklearn.metrics import make_scorer
from sklearn.tree import DecisionTreeRegressor
from sklearn.metrics import mean_squared_error
from sklearn import cross_validation

def fit_model(X, y):
    """ Tunes a decision tree regressor model using GridSearchCV on the
    input data X
        and target labels y and returns this optimal model. """

    # Create a decision tree regressor object
    regressor = DecisionTreeRegressor()

    # Set up the parameters we wish to tune
    parameters = {'max_depth':(1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10)}

    # Make an appropriate scoring function
    scoring_function = make_scorer(mean_squared_error, greater_is_better
    =False)

    # Make the GridSearchCV object
    reg = GridSearchCV(regressor,parameters,cv = 5, scoring=scoring_func
    tion)

    # Fit the Learner to the data to obtain the optimal model with tuned
    parameters
    reg.fit(X, y)

    # Return the optimal model
    return reg

# Test fit_model on entire dataset
try:
    reg = fit_model(housing_features, housing_prices)
    print "Successfully fit a model!"
except:
    print "Something went wrong with fitting a model."
```

Successfully fit a model!

Question 5

What is the grid search algorithm and when is it applicable?

Answer: Grid search is the parameters optimization/tuning algorithm. It is used to find best/optimized parameters for a predictive model.

In every machine learning models, the model's parameters must be tuned to improve model's prediction performance. Grid search provides an automated approach for optimizing a model's parameters. In Grid search, a user gives the ranges of parameters, and the algorithm iteratively evaluates the performance of models for all the combinations of the parameters to identify the combination of parameters that will provide best prediction.

Question 6

What is cross-validation, and how is it performed on a model? Why would cross-validation be helpful when using grid search?

Answer: Cross-validation is a model evaluation technique. K-Fold cross-validation is one of the widely used cross-validation methods. In k-Fold cross-validation, the data set is divided into k subsets. Then, one of the k subsets is used as the test set and the other k-1 subsets are put together to form a training set. The process continues for k times. Then the average error across all k trials is computed.

Grid search uses training dataset to tune the parameters, therefore, we need another test dataset to truly evaluate the performance of the models generated by grid search. However, creation of new test dataset leads to loose data points. If dataset is very limited, then losing data points will be very costly for the model performance. Furthermore, if we limit grid search to single testing set, we may accidentally overfit our model if the new testing set is imbalanced. Using cross validation with grid search, the parameters will be optimized on the entire data set and any random anomalies due to random splitting will be removed. Cross-validation with grid search also allows to evaluate models against hypothetical test datasets without losing any data points and maximizes both the training and testing data so that the data we can use to provide best learning result and best validation.

sklearn comes with a default 3 fold cross-validation. Higher fold cross-validation can reduce bias in the models but requires more computation time. Since our dataset is small, we tried to go for a higher fold cross-validation to reduce bias in the prediction model.

Checkpoint!

You have now successfully completed your last code implementation section. Pat yourself on the back! All of your functions written above will be executed in the remaining sections below, and questions will be asked about various results for you to analyze. To prepare the **Analysis** and **Prediction** sections, you will need to initialize the two functions below. Remember, there's no need to implement any more code, so sit back and execute the code blocks! Some code comments are provided if you find yourself interested in the functionality.

```

In [14]: def learning_curves(X_train, y_train, X_test, y_test):
    """ Calculates the performance of several models with varying sizes
    of training data.
        The learning and testing error rates for each model are then plo
    tted. """

    print "Creating learning curve graphs for max_depths of 1, 3, 6, and
    10. . ."

    # Create the figure window
    fig = plt.figure(figsize=(10,8))

    # We will vary the training set size so that we have 50 different si
    zes
    sizes = np.round(np.linspace(1, len(X_train), 50))
    train_err = np.zeros(len(sizes))
    test_err = np.zeros(len(sizes))

    # Create four different models based on max_depth
    for k, depth in enumerate([1,3,6,10]):

        for i, s in enumerate(sizes):

            # Setup a decision tree regressor so that it learns a tree w
            ith max_depth = depth
            regressor = DecisionTreeRegressor(max_depth = depth)

            # Fit the learner to the training data
            regressor.fit(X_train[:s], y_train[:s])


            # Find the performance on the training set
            train_err[i] = performance_metric(y_train[:s], regressor.pre
            dict(X_train[:s]))

            # Find the performance on the testing set
            test_err[i] = performance_metric(y_test, regressor.predict(X
            _test))

        # Subplot the Learning curve graph
        ax = fig.add_subplot(2, 2, k+1)
        ax.plot(sizes, test_err, lw = 2, label = 'Testing Error')
        ax.plot(sizes, train_err, lw = 2, label = 'Training Error')
        ax.legend()
        ax.set_title('max_depth = %s'%(depth))
        ax.set_xlabel('Number of Data Points in Training Set')
        ax.set_ylabel('Total Error')
        ax.set_xlim([0, len(X_train)])

    # Visual aesthetics
    fig.suptitle('Decision Tree Regressor Learning Performances', fontsi
    ze=18, y=1.03)
    fig.tight_layout()
    fig.show()

```



```

In [15]: def model_complexity(X_train, y_train, X_test, y_test):
        """ Calculates the performance of the model as model complexity increases.

            The learning and testing errors rates are then plotted. """

        print "Creating a model complexity graph. . . "

        # We will vary the max_depth of a decision tree model from 1 to 14
        max_depth = np.arange(1, 14)
        train_err = np.zeros(len(max_depth))
        test_err = np.zeros(len(max_depth))

        for i, d in enumerate(max_depth):
            # Setup a Decision Tree Regressor so that it learns a tree with
            # depth d
            regressor = DecisionTreeRegressor(max_depth = d)

            # Fit the learner to the training data
            regressor.fit(X_train, y_train)

            # Find the performance on the training set
            train_err[i] = performance_metric(y_train, regressor.predict(X_train))

            # Find the performance on the testing set
            test_err[i] = performance_metric(y_test, regressor.predict(X_test))

        total_err = train_err+test_err
        #print total_err
        # Plot the model complexity graph
        plt.figure(figsize=(7, 5))
        plt.title('Decision Tree Regressor Complexity Performance')
        plt.plot(max_depth, test_err, lw=2, label = 'Testing Error')
        plt.plot(max_depth, train_err, lw=2, label = 'Training Error')
        plt.legend()
        plt.xlabel('Maximum Depth')
        plt.ylabel('Total Error')
        plt.show()

        print "Minimum test error = ", test_err.min(), " and minimum train error = ", train_err.min()
        print "Minimum test error occurred at max_depth = ", np.argmin(test_err)+1
        print "Minimum train error occurred at max_depth = ", np.argmin(train_err)+1
        print "Minimum total error = ", total_err.min()
        print "Minimum error occurred for max_depth = ", np.argmin(total_err)+1

```




Analyzing Model Performance

In this third section of the project, you'll take a look at several models' learning and testing error rates on various subsets of training data. Additionally, you'll investigate one particular algorithm with an increasing `max_depth` parameter on the full training set to observe how model complexity affects learning and testing errors. Graphing your model's performance based on varying criteria can be beneficial in the analysis process, such as visualizing behavior that may not have been apparent from the results alone.

```
In [16]: learning_curves(X_train, y_train, X_test, y_test)
```

Creating learning curve graphs for max_depths of 1, 3, 6, and 10. . .



Question 7

Choose one of the learning curve graphs that are created above. What is the max depth for the chosen model? As the size of the training set increases, what happens to the training error? What happens to the testing error?

Answer: The max depth for chosen model is 1.

Training error is very small at the start, and it increases with the number of data points up to 30. A slightly upward trends in training error is also observed for number of data points above 60. Testing error is high in the beginning and testing error drops rapidly upto 50 data points and afterwards it does not change that much. Finally looking into both training and testing curves trend, we can conclude that model with max_depth =1 suffers from high bias.


Question 8

Look at the learning curve graphs for the model with a max depth of 1 and a max depth of 10. When the model is using the full training set, does it suffer from high bias or high variance when the max depth is 1? What about when the max depth is 10?

Answer: For max depth =1, the model suffers from high bias and for max depth = 10, model suffers from high variance. For max depth =1, the tree length/depth is probably too shallow for it to learn the relationships inside the data. For max depth = 10, the predictive model is overfitted i.e. testing error is significantly large while training error is very small.

```
In [17]: model_complexity(X_train, y_train, X_test, y_test)
```

Creating a model complexity graph. . .



Minimum test error = 14.4612725241 and minimum train error= 0.11043976488

Minimum test error occurred at max_depth = 8

Minimum train error occurred at max_depth = 13

Minimum total error = 16.8088655991

Minimum error occurred for max_depth = 8

Question 9

From the model complexity graph above, describe the training and testing errors as the max depth increases. Based on your interpretation of the graph, which max depth results in a model that best generalizes the dataset? Why?

Answer: The training error is maximum for max depth =1 and it decreases as the max depth increases. The testing error is maximum for max depth =1 and it decreases as the max depth increases up to 7. Above max depth 7, the testing error does not change that much. Minimum testing error is observed at max depth =13. Based on model complexity graph, total error is minimum for max depth = 8, therefore max depth=8 generalizes the dataset best.

Model Prediction

In this final section of the project, you will make a prediction on the client's feature set using an optimized model from `fit_model`. *To answer the following questions, it is recommended that you run the code blocks several times and use the median or mean value of the results.*

Question 10

Using grid search on the entire dataset, what is the optimal max_depth parameter for your model? How does this result compare to your initial intuition?

Hint: Run the code block below to see the max depth produced by your optimized model.

```
In [23]: print "Final model optimal parameters:", reg.best_params_  
Final model optimal parameters: {'max_depth': 5}
```

Answer: Final optimal parameter is max_depth =5. However, from model complexity curve, max_depth =8 looks optimized.

Question 11

With your parameter-tuned model, what is the best selling price for your client's home? How does this selling price compare to the basic statistics you calculated on the dataset?

Hint: Run the code block below to have your parameter-tuned model make a prediction on the client's home.

```
In [25]: sale_price = reg.predict(CLIENT_FEATURES)
print "Predicted value of client's home: {0:.3f}".format(sale_price[0])
print "Predicted value is {0:.3f}".format(sale_price[0]/np.mean(housing_prices))
print "Predicted value is {0:.3f}".format(sale_price[0]/np.median(housing_prices))
```

```
Predicted value of client's home: 20.968
Predicted value is 0.931
Predicted value is 0.989
```

```
In [21]: from sklearn.neighbors import NearestNeighbors
def find_nearest_neighbor_indexes(x, X): # x is your vector and X is the data set.
    neigh = NearestNeighbors( n_neighbors = 10 )
    neigh.fit( X )
    distance, indexes = neigh.kneighbors( x )
    return indexes
indexes = find_nearest_neighbor_indexes(CLIENT_FEATURES, housing_features)
sum_prices = []
for i in indexes:
    sum_prices.append(city_data.target[i])
neighbor_avg = np.mean(sum_prices)
print "Nearest Neighbors average: " +str(neighbor_avg)
```

```
Nearest Neighbors average: 21.52
```

Answer: The predicted value for client's home is 20.968, which is 98.9% of median selling price and 93.1% of mean selling price. The predicted value is also within one standard deviation from mean selling price. We also explored housing prices of nearest neighbours. Average nearest neighbours selling is 21.52, slightly higher than predicted value.

Question 12 (Final Question):

In a few sentences, discuss whether you would use this model or not to predict the selling price of future clients' homes in the Greater Boston area.

Answer: I would not use the parameter-tuned model for predicting the selling price of future clients' homes in the Greater Boston Area because the model shows variance. In order to make it useful, we need to introduce more training example and use smaller meaningful features.

References

1. <https://followthedata.wordpress.com/2012/06/02/practical-advice-for-machine-learning-bias-variance/>
2. <http://insidebigdata.com/2014/10/22/ask-data-scientist-bias-vs-variance-tradeoff/>
3. <http://scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/classes.html#module-sklearn.metrics>
4. http://scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/grid_search.html
5. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hyperparameter_optimization
6. <https://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/datasets/Housing>