Instructions:

Evaluate the project against the outlined criteria in the below rubric, rating to each criterion. Add points earned across all criteria and convert the total points to a letter grade, assigning a "+" or "-" letter grade designation at your discretion.

assigning a									
	A (+/-)	60-55	C (+/-)	39-25	F (+/-)	< 10			
	B (+/-)	54-40	D (+/-)	24-10					

Note: This applies for all projects throughout the course. Instructional staff are authorized to assign individual grades dependent on individual participation and contribution.

Rubric for Projects:

	Mastery 20 points	Approaching Mastery 15 points	Progressing 10 points	Emerging 0 - 5 points	Incomplete
Group Presentation	√ All Group members spoke during presentation √ Group was well prepared √ Presentation is relevant to material √ Presentation maintains audience interest	√ All group members spoke, but didn't split time equally √ Group was mostly prepared, with minor hiccups √ Presentation was almost entirely relevant	√ Some group members barely spoke, others spoke for much longer √ Group is fairly well prepared, but encounter some major hiccups √ Presentation is mostly relevant	√ Not all group members spoke during presentation √ Group seemed unprepared, presentation is scattered or confusing √ Presentation is not relevant to material	No project was presented nor submitted
Slide Deck	√ Slides look clean and professional √ Slides are relevant to material √ Slides effectively demonstrate project √ Slides are clear and maintain audience interest	√ Slides look good with some minor problems √ Slides are almost entirely relevant to material √ Slides are mostly effective at demonstrating project	√ Slides look decent, but design could be improved √ Slides are somewhat relevant to material √ Slides are somewhat effective at demonstrating project	√ Major issues with slide designs √ Slides are not relevant to material √ Slides do not effectively demonstrate project	-OR- Submitted project was empty or blank -OR-
Deployed and Functional Project	√ Group was able to display their project running locally √ Met all project requirements ■ BONUS (+10 pts., 60 pts. max) √ Successfully deployed project to Heroku with public URL	√ Group was able to display their project running locally √ Met most of the project requirements	√ Group was able to display their project running locally √ Met some of the project requirements	√ Group was unable to get their project to work locally	Project contains evidence of academic dishonesty