

# The complete census of orientable cusped hyperbolic 3-manifolds, up to 10 tetrahedra

Shana Y. Li

University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign

October 2025

# Table of Contents

**1** Introduction

**2** Extending the census to 10 tetrahedra

**3** Applications

# Table of Contents

## 1 Introduction

## 2 Extending the census to 10 tetrahedra

## 3 Applications

Crossings	Knots		
3	$3_1$		
4	$4_1$		
5	$5_1$	$5_2$	
6	$6_1$	$6_2$	$6_3$

Table: The census of knots up to 6 crossings

Crossings	Knots		
3	3 <sub>1</sub>		
4	4 <sub>1</sub>		
5	5 <sub>1</sub>	5 <sub>2</sub>	
6	6 <sub>1</sub>	6 <sub>2</sub>	6 <sub>3</sub>

Table: The census of knots up to 6 crossings

The left column:

minimal number of crossings in planar diagrams of knots

Crossings	Knots
3	$3_1$
4	$4_1$
5	$5_1$ $5_2$
6	$6_1$ $6_2$ $6_3$

Table: The census of knots up to 6 crossings

The left column:

minimal number of crossings in planar diagrams of knots



minimal number of tetrahedra in triangulations of manifolds

Tetrahedra	Orientable cusped hyperbolic 3-manifolds				
2	m003	m004			
3	m006	m007	m009	m010	m011
	m015	m016	m017	m019	

**Table:** The census of orientable cusped hyperbolic manifolds up to 3 tetrahedra

The left column:

minimal number of crossings in planar diagrams of knots



minimal number of tetrahedra in triangulations of manifolds

**Table:** Timeline of census of orientable cusped hyperbolic 3-manifolds

Tetrahedra	Name convention	Year	Contributer(s)
2 - 5	m003 ~ m412	1989	Hildebrand & Weeks



**Table:** Timeline of census of orientable cusped hyperbolic 3-manifolds

Tetrahedra	Name convention	Year	Contributer(s)
2 - 5	m003 ~ m412	1989	Hildebrand & Weeks
6	s000 ~ s961	1999	Callahan,
7	v0000 ~ v3551		Hildebrand & Weeks

Table: Timeline of census of orientable cusped hyperbolic 3-manifolds

Tetrahedra	Name convention	Year	Contributer(s)
2 - 5	m003 ~ m412	1989	Hildebrand & Weeks
6	s000 ~ s961	1999	Callahan,
7	v0000 ~ v3551		Hildebrand & Weeks
8	t00000 ~ t12845	2010	Thistlethwaite

**Table:** Timeline of census of orientable cusped hyperbolic 3-manifolds

Tetrahedra	Name convention	Year	Contributer(s)
2 - 5	m003 ~ m412	1989	Hildebrand & Weeks
6	s000 ~ s961	1999	Callahan, Hildebrand & Weeks
7	v0000 ~ v3551		
8	t00000 ~ t12845	2010	Thistlethwaite
9	o9_00000 ~ o9_44249	2014	Burton

**Table:** Timeline of census of orientable cusped hyperbolic 3-manifolds

Tetrahedra	Name convention	Year	Contributer(s)
2 - 5	m003 ~ m412	1989	Hildebrand & Weeks
6	s000 ~ s961	1999	Callahan, Hildebrand & Weeks
7	v0000 ~ v3551		
8	t00000 ~ t12845	2010	Thistlethwaite
9	o9_00000 ~ o9_44249	2014	Burton
10	o10_000000 ~ o10_150729	2025	L.

**Table:** Timeline of census of orientable cusped hyperbolic 3-manifolds

Tetrahedra	Name convention	Year	Contributer(s)
2 - 5	m003 ~ m412	1989	Hildebrand & Weeks
6	s000 ~ s961	1999	Callahan, Hildebrand & Weeks
7	v0000 ~ v3551		
8	t00000 ~ t12845	2010	Thistlethwaite
9	o9_00000 ~ o9_44249	2014	Burton
10	o10_000000 ~ o10_150729	2025	L.

The completeness of the census was not confirmed until Burton's work in 2014.

**Table:** Timeline of census of orientable cusped hyperbolic 3-manifolds

Tetrahedra	Name convention	Year	Contributer(s)
2 - 5	m003 ~ m412	1989	Hildebrand & Weeks
6	s000 ~ s961	1999	Callahan, Hildebrand & Weeks
7	v0000 ~ v3551		
8	t00000 ~ t12845	2010	Thistlethwaite
9	o9_00000 ~ o9_44249	2014	Burton
10	o10_000000 ~ o10_150729	2025	L.

The completeness of the census was not confirmed until Burton's work in 2014.

### Burton, 2014

There are precisely 75,956 cusped hyperbolic 3-manifolds triangulable by no more than 9 tetrahedra.

# Table of Contents

1 Introduction

2 Extending the census to 10 tetrahedra

3 Applications

3-step outline of creating a complete census:



3-step outline of creating a complete census:

- 1 Generate all candidates

3-step outline of creating a complete census:

- 1 Generate all candidates
  - for hyperbolic 3-manifolds: triangulations of 3-manifolds

3-step outline of creating a complete census:

- 1 Generate all candidates
  - for hyperbolic 3-manifolds: triangulations of 3-manifolds
- 2 Decide the eligibility of each candidate

### 3-step outline of creating a complete census:

- 1 Generate all candidates
  - for hyperbolic 3-manifolds: triangulations of 3-manifolds
- 2 Decide the eligibility of each candidate
  - for hyperbolic 3-manifolds: minimality and hyperbolicity of the triangulations

### 3-step outline of creating a complete census:

- 1 Generate all candidates
  - for hyperbolic 3-manifolds: triangulations of 3-manifolds
- 2 Decide the eligibility of each candidate
  - for hyperbolic 3-manifolds: minimality and hyperbolicity of the triangulations
- 3 Deduplicate the eligible candidates

Step	Candidates	Discarded	Eligible
Candidate generation	8,373,308	0	0

Step	Candidates	Discarded	Eligible
Candidate generation	8,373,308	0	0
Greedy nonminimality	1,946,782	6,426,526	0

Step	Candidates	Discarded	Eligible
Candidate generation	8,373,308	0	0
Greedy nonminimality	1,946,782	6,426,526	0
Certify hyperbolicity ( $r = 1$ )	1,072,874	0	873,908



Step	Candidates	Discarded	Eligible
Candidate generation	8,373,308	0	0
Greedy nonminimality	1,946,782	6,426,526	0
Certify hyperbolicity ( $r = 1$ )	1,072,874	0	873,908
Exhaustive nonminimality ( $h = 2$ )	698,650	374,224	0

Step	Candidates	Discarded	Eligible
Candidate generation	8,373,308	0	0
Greedy nonminimality	1,946,782	6,426,526	0
Certify hyperbolicity ( $r = 1$ )	1,072,874	0	873,908
Exhaustive nonminimality ( $h = 2$ )	698,650	374,224	0
Special planes (non-hyperbolicity)	33,807	664,843	0

Step	Candidates	Discarded	Eligible
Candidate generation	8,373,308	0	0
Greedy nonminimality	1,946,782	6,426,526	0
Certify hyperbolicity ( $r = 1$ )	1,072,874	0	873,908
Exhaustive nonminimality ( $h = 2$ )	698,650	374,224	0
Special planes (non-hyperbolicity)	33,807	664,843	0
Certify hyperbolicity ( $r = 60$ )	3269	0	30,538

Step	Candidates	Discarded	Eligible
Candidate generation	8,373,308	0	0
Greedy nonminimality	1,946,782	6,426,526	0
Certify hyperbolicity ( $r = 1$ )	1,072,874	0	873,908
Exhaustive nonminimality ( $h = 2$ )	698,650	374,224	0
Special planes (non-hyperbolicity)	33,807	664,843	0
Certify hyperbolicity ( $r = 60$ )	3269	0	30,538
Exhaustive nonminimality ( $h = 5$ and $6$ )	6	3263	0

Step	Candidates	Discarded	Eligible
Candidate generation	8,373,308	0	0
Greedy nonminimality	1,946,782	6,426,526	0
Certify hyperbolicity ( $r = 1$ )	1,072,874	0	873,908
Exhaustive nonminimality ( $h = 2$ )	698,650	374,224	0
Special planes (non-hyperbolicity)	33,807	664,843	0
Certify hyperbolicity ( $r = 60$ )	3269	0	30,538
Exhaustive nonminimality ( $h = 5$ and $6$ )	6	3263	0
Certify hyperbolicity ( $r = 1000$ )	0	0	6

Step	Candidates	Discarded	Eligible
Candidate generation	8,373,308	0	0
Greedy nonminimality	1,946,782	6,426,526	0
Certify hyperbolicity ( $r = 1$ )	1,072,874	0	873,908
Exhaustive nonminimality ( $h = 2$ )	698,650	374,224	0
Special planes (non-hyperbolicity)	33,807	664,843	0
Certify hyperbolicity ( $r = 60$ )	3269	0	30,538
Exhaustive nonminimality ( $h = 5$ and $6$ )	6	3263	0
Certify hyperbolicity ( $r = 1000$ )	0	0	6
Total	8,373,308	7,468,856	904,452

# Deduplication: Group by canonical triangulations computed with SnapPea/SnapPy

Deduplication: Group by canonical triangulations computed with SnapPea/SnapPy (float accuracy issue might occur)



Deduplication: Group by canonical triangulations computed with SnapPea/SnapPy (float accuracy issue might occur)

Burton's method of separation

Deduplication: Group by canonical triangulations computed with SnapPea/SnapPy (float accuracy issue might occur)

## Burton's method of separation

- By algebraic invariants

Deduplication: Group by canonical triangulations computed with SnapPea/SnapPy (float accuracy issue might occur)

## Burton's method of separation

- By algebraic invariants
  - $\{\text{orientability}, H_1 = \pi_1^{\text{ab}}, S_2^{\text{ab}}(\pi_1), \dots, S_{11}^{\text{ab}}(\pi_1)\}$

Deduplication: Group by canonical triangulations computed with SnapPea/SnapPy (float accuracy issue might occur)

## Burton's method of separation

- By algebraic invariants
  - $\{\text{orientability}, H_1 = \pi_1^{\text{ab}}, S_2^{\text{ab}}(\pi_1), \dots, S_{11}^{\text{ab}}(\pi_1)\}$

Deduplication: Group by canonical triangulations computed with SnapPea/SnapPy (float accuracy issue might occur)

## Burton's method of separation

- By algebraic invariants
  - $\{\text{orientability}, H_1 = \pi_1^{\text{ab}}, S_2^{\text{ab}}(\pi_1), \dots, S_{11}^{\text{ab}}(\pi_1)\}$

$S_i^{\text{ab}}(G)$ : the multi-set of abelianisations of index  $i$  subgroups of  $G$ , one subgroup for each conjugacy class.

Deduplication: Group by canonical triangulations computed with SnapPea/SnapPy (float accuracy issue might occur)

## Burton's method of separation

- By algebraic invariants
  - $\{\text{orientability}, H_1 = \pi_1^{\text{ab}}, S_2^{\text{ab}}(\pi_1), \dots, S_{11}^{\text{ab}}(\pi_1)\}$

$S_i^{\text{ab}}(G)$ : the multi-set of abelianisations of index  $i$  subgroups of  $G$ , one subgroup for each conjugacy class.

## Our method of separation

Deduplication: Group by canonical triangulations computed with SnapPea/SnapPy (float accuracy issue might occur)

## Burton's method of separation

- By algebraic invariants
  - $\{\text{orientability}, H_1 = \pi_1^{\text{ab}}, S_2^{\text{ab}}(\pi_1), \dots, S_{11}^{\text{ab}}(\pi_1)\}$

$S_i^{\text{ab}}(G)$ : the multi-set of abelianisations of index  $i$  subgroups of  $G$ , one subgroup for each conjugacy class.

## Our method of separation

- By verified computations (implemented in SnapPy by M. Goerner)

Deduplication: Group by canonical triangulations computed with SnapPea/SnapPy (float accuracy issue might occur)

## Burton's method of separation

- By algebraic invariants
  - $\{\text{orientability}, H_1 = \pi_1^{\text{ab}}, S_2^{\text{ab}}(\pi_1), \dots, S_{11}^{\text{ab}}(\pi_1)\}$

$S_i^{\text{ab}}(G)$ : the multi-set of abelianisations of index  $i$  subgroups of  $G$ , one subgroup for each conjugacy class.

## Our method of separation

- By verified computations (implemented in SnapPy by M. Goerner)



Deduplication: Group by canonical triangulations computed with SnapPea/SnapPy (float accuracy issue might occur)

## Burton's method of separation

- By algebraic invariants
  - $\{\text{orientability}, H_1 = \pi_1^{\text{ab}}, S_2^{\text{ab}}(\pi_1), \dots, S_{11}^{\text{ab}}(\pi_1)\}$

$S_i^{\text{ab}}(G)$ : the multi-set of abelianisations of index  $i$  subgroups of  $G$ , one subgroup for each conjugacy class.

## Our method of separation

- By verified computations (implemented in SnapPy by M. Goerner)

With verified computation, the canonical triangulation produced is a complete invariant of cusped hyperbolic 3-manifolds.

# The price of verified computation:

The price of verified computation:

- Need to do algebra on the number field of shapes.

The price of verified computation:

- Need to do algebra on the number field of shapes.

BoGwang Jeon, 2014

$$\deg\{\text{shape field}\} \propto \frac{1}{\min\{\text{length of geodesics}\}}$$

The price of verified computation:

- Need to do algebra on the number field of shapes.

BoGwang Jeon, 2014

$$\deg\{\text{shape field}\} \propto \frac{1}{\min\{\text{length of geodesics}\}}$$

The verified computation of 16/608,918 of orientable eligible triangulations ended up OOM (with 60GB RAM).

The price of verified computation:

- Need to do algebra on the number field of shapes.

BoGwang Jeon, 2014

$$\deg\{\text{shape field}\} \propto \frac{1}{\min\{\text{length of geodesics}\}}$$

The verified computation of 16/608,918 of orientable eligible triangulations ended up OOM (with 60GB RAM).

- Using (volume[:60],  $H_1$ ), the 16 triangulations were separated from other triangulations ( $\leq 10$  tet.) and into 6 groups;

The price of verified computation:

- Need to do algebra on the number field of shapes.

BoGwang Jeon, 2014

$$\deg\{\text{shape field}\} \propto \frac{1}{\min\{\text{length of geodesics}\}}$$

The verified computation of 16/608,918 of orientable eligible triangulations ended up OOM (with 60GB RAM).

- Using (volume[:60],  $H_1$ ), the 16 triangulations were separated from other triangulations ( $\leq 10$  tet.) and into 6 groups;
- Within each group: all represent the same manifold via unverified canonical triangulations (which do preserve topology).

The price of verified computation:

- Need to do algebra on the number field of shapes.

BoGwang Jeon, 2014

$$\deg\{\text{shape field}\} \propto \frac{1}{\min\{\text{length of geodesics}\}}$$

The verified computation of 16/608,918 of orientable eligible triangulations ended up OOM (with 60GB RAM).

- Using (volume[:60],  $H_1$ ), the 16 triangulations were separated from other triangulations ( $\leq 10$  tet.) and into 6 groups;
- Within each group: all represent the same manifold via unverified canonical triangulations (which do preserve topology).



The price of verified computation:

- Need to do algebra on the number field of shapes.

BoGwang Jeon, 2014

$$\deg\{\text{shape field}\} \propto \frac{1}{\min\{\text{length of geodesics}\}}$$

The verified computation of 16/608,918 of orientable eligible triangulations ended up OOM (with 60GB RAM).

- Using (volume[:60],  $H_1$ ), the 16 triangulations were separated from other triangulations ( $\leq 10$  tet.) and into 6 groups;
- Within each group: all represent the same manifold via unverified canonical triangulations (which do preserve topology).

L. 2025

There are precisely 150,730 orientable cusped hyperbolic 3-manifolds triangulable by a minimal of 10 tetrahedra.

# Table of Contents

1 Introduction

2 Extending the census to 10 tetrahedra

3 Applications

## Census of exceptional Dehn fillings

### Exceptional Dehn filling

A Dehn filling on a cusped hyperbolic 3-manifold is *exceptional* if the resulting manifold is not hyperbolic.

## Census of exceptional Dehn fillings

### Exceptional Dehn filling

A Dehn filling on a cusped hyperbolic 3-manifold is *exceptional* if the resulting manifold is not hyperbolic.

### 6-Theorem (Agol, 2000)

Let  $M$  be a 1-cusped hyperbolic 3-manifold. If a slope  $(p, q)$  has length larger than 6, then  $M(p, q)$  is hyperbolic.

## Census of exceptional Dehn fillings

### Exceptional Dehn filling

A Dehn filling on a cusped hyperbolic 3-manifold is *exceptional* if the resulting manifold is not hyperbolic.

### 6-Theorem (Agol, 2000)

Let  $M$  be a 1-cusped hyperbolic 3-manifold. If a slope  $(p, q)$  has length larger than 6, then  $M(p, q)$  is hyperbolic.

### Corollary

There are only finitely many exceptional Dehn fillings on a 1-cusped hyperbolic 3-manifold.

## Dunfield, 2019

There are precisely 205,822 exceptional Dehn fillings on 1-cusped hyperbolic 3-manifolds triangulable by no more than 9 tetrahedra.

## Dunfield, 2019

There are precisely 205,822 exceptional Dehn fillings on 1-cusped hyperbolic 3-manifolds triangulable by no more than 9 tetrahedra.

## L., 2025

There are precisely 439,898 exceptional Dehn fillings on 1-cusped hyperbolic 3-manifolds triangulable by a minimal of 10 tetrahedra.

L., 2025

There are precisely 439,898 exceptional Dehn fillings on 1-cusped hyperbolic 3-manifolds triangulable by a minimal of 10 tetrahedra.

Step	Candidates	Discarded	Confirmed
Candidate generation	800,447	0	0



L., 2025

There are precisely 439,898 exceptional Dehn fillings on 1-cusped hyperbolic 3-manifolds triangulable by a minimal of 10 tetrahedra.

Step	Candidates	Discarded	Confirmed
Candidate generation	800,447	0	0
Certify hyperbolicity	444,549	355,898	0

L., 2025

There are precisely 439,898 exceptional Dehn fillings on 1-cusped hyperbolic 3-manifolds triangulable by a minimal of 10 tetrahedra.

Step	Candidates	Discarded	Confirmed
Candidate generation	800,447	0	0
Certify hyperbolicity	444,549	355,898	0
Combinatorial recognition	12,280	4647	427,622

## L., 2025

There are precisely 439,898 exceptional Dehn fillings on 1-cusped hyperbolic 3-manifolds triangulable by a minimal of 10 tetrahedra.

Step	Candidates	Discarded	Confirmed
Candidate generation	800,447	0	0
Certify hyperbolicity	444,549	355,898	0
Combinatorial recognition	12,280	4647	427,622
Essential $S^2$ or torus	4	0	12,276

## L., 2025

There are precisely 439,898 exceptional Dehn fillings on 1-cusped hyperbolic 3-manifolds triangulable by a minimal of 10 tetrahedra.

Step	Candidates	Discarded	Confirmed
Candidate generation	800,447	0	0
Certify hyperbolicity	444,549	355,898	0
Combinatorial recognition	12,280	4647	427,622
Essential $S^2$ or torus	4	0	12,276
Identified to be $m135(1,3)$	0	4	0

## L., 2025

There are precisely 439,898 exceptional Dehn fillings on 1-cusped hyperbolic 3-manifolds triangulable by a minimal of 10 tetrahedra.

Step	Candidates	Discarded	Confirmed
Candidate generation	800,447	0	0
Certify hyperbolicity	444,549	355,898	0
Combinatorial recognition	12,280	4647	427,622
Essential $S^2$ or torus	4	0	12,276
Identified to be $m135(1,3)$	0	4	0
Total	800,447	360,549	439,898

## L., 2025

There are precisely 439,898 exceptional Dehn fillings on 1-cusped hyperbolic 3-manifolds triangulable by a minimal of 10 tetrahedra.

Step	Candidates	Discarded	Confirmed
Candidate generation	800,447	0	0
Certify hyperbolicity	444,549	355,898	0
Combinatorial recognition	12,280	4647	427,622
Essential $S^2$ or torus	4	0	12,276
Identified to be m135(1,3)	0	4	0
Total	800,447	360,549	439,898

(In progress) Classification of each filling & Verify conjectures

A conjecture on Thurston norm:

A conjecture on Thurston norm:

Conjecture (Dunfield-Friedl-Jackson, 2024)

For any cusped hyperbolic 3-manifold  $M$  with  $b_1(M) = 1$ ,

$$x(M) = \frac{1}{2} \deg \tau_{2,\rho}(M)$$



A conjecture on Thurston norm:

Conjecture (Dunfield-Friedl-Jackson, 2024)

For any cusped hyperbolic 3-manifold  $M$  with  $b_1(M) = 1$ ,

$$x(M) = \frac{1}{2} \deg \tau_{2,\rho}(M)$$

- In the 10-tet census: 143,919 manifolds with  $b_1(M) = 1$

A conjecture on Thurston norm:

Conjecture (Dunfield-Friedl-Jackson, 2024)

For any cusped hyperbolic 3-manifold  $M$  with  $b_1(M) = 1$ ,

$$x(M) = \frac{1}{2} \deg \tau_{2,\rho}(M)$$

- In the 10-tet census: 143,919 manifolds with  $b_1(M) = 1$
- Successfully computed  $x(M)$  for all but 21 of them

A conjecture on Thurston norm:

Conjecture (Dunfield-Friedl-Jackson, 2024)

For any cusped hyperbolic 3-manifold  $M$  with  $b_1(M) = 1$ ,

$$x(M) = \frac{1}{2} \deg \tau_{2,\rho}(M)$$

- In the 10-tet census: 143,919 manifolds with  $b_1(M) = 1$
- Successfully computed  $x(M)$  for all but 21 of them
- Equality holds for all the computed ones

## Other applications:

Other applications:

## Hyperbolic homology 3-spheres

Other applications:

## Hyperbolic homology 3-spheres

By Dehn fillings on 1-cusped manifolds in the 10-tetrahedra census

Other applications:

## Hyperbolic homology 3-spheres

By Dehn fillings on 1-cusped manifolds in the 10-tetrahedra census

- Integral: 10,729

Other applications:

## Hyperbolic homology 3-spheres

By Dehn fillings on 1-cusped manifolds in the 10-tetrahedra census

- Integral: 10,729
- Rational: 1,899,926



Other applications:

## Hyperbolic homology 3-spheres

By Dehn fillings on 1-cusped manifolds in the 10-tetrahedra census

- Integral: 10,729
- Rational: 1,899,926
- The above include *almost all* hyperbolic homology 3-spheres with systole  $\geq 0.163$  obtainable in this way (did not deduplicate)

Other applications:

## Hyperbolic homology 3-spheres

By Dehn fillings on 1-cusped manifolds in the 10-tetrahedra census

- Integral: 10,729
- Rational: 1,899,926
- The above include *almost all* hyperbolic homology 3-spheres with systole  $\geq 0.163$  obtainable in this way (did not deduplicate)

Other applications:

## Hyperbolic homology 3-spheres

By Dehn fillings on 1-cusped manifolds in the 10-tetrahedra census

- Integral: 10,729
- Rational: 1,899,926
- The above include *almost all* hyperbolic homology 3-spheres with systole  $\geq 0.163$  obtainable in this way (did not deduplicate)

They can be used to test the  $L$ -space conjecture

Other applications:

## Hyperbolic homology 3-spheres

By Dehn fillings on 1-cusped manifolds in the 10-tetrahedra census

- Integral: 10,729
- Rational: 1,899,926
- The above include *almost all* hyperbolic homology 3-spheres with systole  $\geq 0.163$  obtainable in this way (did not deduplicate)

They can be used to test the  $L$ -space conjecture

Other applications:

## Hyperbolic homology 3-spheres

By Dehn fillings on 1-cusped manifolds in the 10-tetrahedra census

- Integral: 10,729
- Rational: 1,899,926
- The above include *almost all* hyperbolic homology 3-spheres with systole  $\geq 0.163$  obtainable in this way (did not deduplicate)

They can be used to test the  $L$ -space conjecture

## The one cusped manifold with closed totally geodesic surfaces

Other applications:

## Hyperbolic homology 3-spheres

By Dehn fillings on 1-cusped manifolds in the 10-tetrahedra census

- Integral: 10,729
- Rational: 1,899,926
- The above include *almost all* hyperbolic homology 3-spheres with systole  $\geq 0.163$  obtainable in this way (did not deduplicate)

They can be used to test the  $L$ -space conjecture

## The one cusped manifold with closed totally geodesic surfaces

o10\_14425: kLwLLAQkccgghihijjdegwtpIldjo\_aBBaaBBa

Other applications:

## Hyperbolic homology 3-spheres

By Dehn fillings on 1-cusped manifolds in the 10-tetrahedra census

- Integral: 10,729
- Rational: 1,899,926
- The above include *almost all* hyperbolic homology 3-spheres with systole  $\geq 0.163$  obtainable in this way (did not deduplicate)

They can be used to test the  $L$ -space conjecture

## The one cusped manifold with closed totally geodesic surfaces

o10\_14425: kLwLLAQkccgghihijjdegwtpIldjo\_aBBaaBBa

The only one in the orientable cusped census up to 10-tet.

Other applications:

## Hyperbolic homology 3-spheres

By Dehn fillings on 1-cusped manifolds in the 10-tetrahedra census

- Integral: 10,729
- Rational: 1,899,926
- The above include *almost all* hyperbolic homology 3-spheres with systole  $\geq 0.163$  obtainable in this way (did not deduplicate)

They can be used to test the  $L$ -space conjecture

## The one cusped manifold with closed totally geodesic surfaces

o10\_14425: kLwLLAQkccgghihijjdegwtplldjo\_aBBaaBBa

The only one in the orientable cusped census up to 10-tet.  
In particular, it is the smallest among all.



The 10-tetrahedra census is available for installation on GitHub.



Figure: QR code to the GitHub repository

Alternatively:

shana-y-li.github.io → Research → Projects → snappy\_10\_tets