

The complete census of orientable cusped hyperbolic 3-manifolds, up to 10 tetrahedra

Shana Y. Li

University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign

October 2025

Table of Contents

1 Introduction

2 Extending the census to 10 tetrahedra

3 Applications

Table of Contents

1 Introduction

2 Extending the census to 10 tetrahedra

3 Applications

Crossings	Knots		
3	3_1		
4	4_1		
5	5_1	5_2	
6	6_1	6_2	6_3

Table: The census of knots up to 6 crossings

Crossings	Knots		
3	3 ₁		
4	4 ₁		
5	5 ₁	5 ₂	
6	6 ₁	6 ₂	6 ₃

Table: The census of knots up to 6 crossings

The left column:

minimal number of crossings in planar diagrams of knots

Crossings	Knots
3	3_1
4	4_1
5	5_1 5_2
6	6_1 6_2 6_3

Table: The census of knots up to 6 crossings

The left column:

minimal number of crossings in planar diagrams of knots



minimal number of tetrahedra in triangulations of manifolds

Tetrahedra	Orientable cusped hyperbolic 3-manifolds				
2	m003	m004			
3	m006	m007	m009	m010	m011
	m015	m016	m017	m019	

Table: The census of orientable cusped hyperbolic manifolds up to 3 tetrahedra

The left column:

minimal number of crossings in planar diagrams of knots



minimal number of tetrahedra in triangulations of manifolds

Table: Timeline of census of orientable cusped hyperbolic 3-manifolds

Tetrahedra	Name convention	Year	Contributer(s)
2 - 5	m003 ~ m412	1989	Hildebrand & Weeks

Table: Timeline of census of orientable cusped hyperbolic 3-manifolds

Tetrahedra	Name convention	Year	Contributer(s)
2 - 5	m003 ~ m412	1989	Hildebrand & Weeks
6	s000 ~ s961	1999	Callahan,
7	v0000 ~ v3551		Hildebrand & Weeks

Table: Timeline of census of orientable cusped hyperbolic 3-manifolds

Tetrahedra	Name convention	Year	Contributer(s)
2 - 5	m003 ~ m412	1989	Hildebrand & Weeks
6	s000 ~ s961	1999	Callahan,
7	v0000 ~ v3551		Hildebrand & Weeks
8	t00000 ~ t12845	2010	Thistlethwaite

Table: Timeline of census of orientable cusped hyperbolic 3-manifolds

Tetrahedra	Name convention	Year	Contributer(s)
2 - 5	m003 ~ m412	1989	Hildebrand & Weeks
6	s000 ~ s961	1999	Callahan, Hildebrand & Weeks
7	v0000 ~ v3551		
8	t00000 ~ t12845	2010	Thistlethwaite
9	o9_00000 ~ o9_44249	2014	Burton

Table: Timeline of census of orientable cusped hyperbolic 3-manifolds

Tetrahedra	Name convention	Year	Contributer(s)
2 - 5	m003 ~ m412	1989	Hildebrand & Weeks
6	s000 ~ s961	1999	Callahan, Hildebrand & Weeks
7	v0000 ~ v3551		
8	t00000 ~ t12845	2010	Thistlethwaite
9	o9_00000 ~ o9_44249	2014	Burton
10	o10_000000 ~ o10_150729	2025	L.

Table: Timeline of census of orientable cusped hyperbolic 3-manifolds

Tetrahedra	Name convention	Year	Contributer(s)
2 - 5	m003 ~ m412	1989	Hildebrand & Weeks
6	s000 ~ s961	1999	Callahan, Hildebrand & Weeks
7	v0000 ~ v3551		
8	t00000 ~ t12845	2010	Thistlethwaite
9	o9_00000 ~ o9_44249	2014	Burton
10	o10_000000 ~ o10_150729	2025	L.

The completeness of the census was not confirmed until Burton's work in 2014.

Table: Timeline of census of orientable cusped hyperbolic 3-manifolds

Tetrahedra	Name convention	Year	Contributer(s)
2 - 5	m003 ~ m412	1989	Hildebrand & Weeks
6	s000 ~ s961	1999	Callahan, Hildebrand & Weeks
7	v0000 ~ v3551		
8	t00000 ~ t12845	2010	Thistlethwaite
9	o9_00000 ~ o9_44249	2014	Burton
10	o10_000000 ~ o10_150729	2025	L.

The completeness of the census was not confirmed until Burton's work in 2014.

Burton, 2014

There are precisely 75,956 cusped hyperbolic 3-manifolds triangulable by no more than 9 tetrahedra.

Table of Contents

1 Introduction

2 Extending the census to 10 tetrahedra

3 Applications

3-step outline of creating a complete census:

3-step outline of creating a complete census:

- 1 Generate all candidates

3-step outline of creating a complete census:

- 1 Generate all candidates
 - for hyperbolic 3-manifolds: triangulations of 3-manifolds

3-step outline of creating a complete census:

- 1 Generate all candidates
 - for hyperbolic 3-manifolds: triangulations of 3-manifolds
- 2 Decide the eligibility of each candidate

3-step outline of creating a complete census:

- 1 Generate all candidates
 - for hyperbolic 3-manifolds: triangulations of 3-manifolds
- 2 Decide the eligibility of each candidate
 - for hyperbolic 3-manifolds: minimality and hyperbolicity of the triangulations

3-step outline of creating a complete census:

- 1 Generate all candidates
 - for hyperbolic 3-manifolds: triangulations of 3-manifolds
- 2 Decide the eligibility of each candidate
 - for hyperbolic 3-manifolds: minimality and hyperbolicity of the triangulations
- 3 Deduplicate the eligible candidates

Step	Candidates	Discarded	Eligible
Candidate generation	8,373,308	0	0

Step	Candidates	Discarded	Eligible
Candidate generation	8,373,308	0	0
Greedy nonminimality	1,946,782	6,426,526	0

Step	Candidates	Discarded	Eligible
Candidate generation	8,373,308	0	0
Greedy nonminimality	1,946,782	6,426,526	0
Certify hyperbolicity ($r = 1$)	1,072,874	0	873,908

Step	Candidates	Discarded	Eligible
Candidate generation	8,373,308	0	0
Greedy nonminimality	1,946,782	6,426,526	0
Certify hyperbolicity ($r = 1$)	1,072,874	0	873,908
Exhaustive nonminimality ($h = 2$)	698,650	374,224	0

Step	Candidates	Discarded	Eligible
Candidate generation	8,373,308	0	0
Greedy nonminimality	1,946,782	6,426,526	0
Certify hyperbolicity ($r = 1$)	1,072,874	0	873,908
Exhaustive nonminimality ($h = 2$)	698,650	374,224	0
Special planes (non-hyperbolicity)	33,807	664,843	0

Step	Candidates	Discarded	Eligible
Candidate generation	8,373,308	0	0
Greedy nonminimality	1,946,782	6,426,526	0
Certify hyperbolicity ($r = 1$)	1,072,874	0	873,908
Exhaustive nonminimality ($h = 2$)	698,650	374,224	0
Special planes (non-hyperbolicity)	33,807	664,843	0
Certify hyperbolicity ($r = 60$)	3269	0	30,538

Step	Candidates	Discarded	Eligible
Candidate generation	8,373,308	0	0
Greedy nonminimality	1,946,782	6,426,526	0
Certify hyperbolicity ($r = 1$)	1,072,874	0	873,908
Exhaustive nonminimality ($h = 2$)	698,650	374,224	0
Special planes (non-hyperbolicity)	33,807	664,843	0
Certify hyperbolicity ($r = 60$)	3269	0	30,538
Exhaustive nonminimality ($h = 5$ and 6)	6	3263	0

Step	Candidates	Discarded	Eligible
Candidate generation	8,373,308	0	0
Greedy nonminimality	1,946,782	6,426,526	0
Certify hyperbolicity ($r = 1$)	1,072,874	0	873,908
Exhaustive nonminimality ($h = 2$)	698,650	374,224	0
Special planes (non-hyperbolicity)	33,807	664,843	0
Certify hyperbolicity ($r = 60$)	3269	0	30,538
Exhaustive nonminimality ($h = 5$ and 6)	6	3263	0
Certify hyperbolicity ($r = 1000$)	0	0	6

Step	Candidates	Discarded	Eligible
Candidate generation	8,373,308	0	0
Greedy nonminimality	1,946,782	6,426,526	0
Certify hyperbolicity ($r = 1$)	1,072,874	0	873,908
Exhaustive nonminimality ($h = 2$)	698,650	374,224	0
Special planes (non-hyperbolicity)	33,807	664,843	0
Certify hyperbolicity ($r = 60$)	3269	0	30,538
Exhaustive nonminimality ($h = 5$ and 6)	6	3263	0
Certify hyperbolicity ($r = 1000$)	0	0	6
Total	8,373,308	7,468,856	904,452

Deduplication: Group by canonical triangulations computed with SnapPea/SnapPy

Deduplication: Group by canonical triangulations computed with SnapPea/SnapPy (float accuracy issue might occur)

Deduplication: Group by canonical triangulations computed with SnapPea/SnapPy (float accuracy issue might occur)

Burton's method of separation

Deduplication: Group by canonical triangulations computed with SnapPea/SnapPy (float accuracy issue might occur)

Burton's method of separation

- By algebraic invariants

Deduplication: Group by canonical triangulations computed with SnapPea/SnapPy (float accuracy issue might occur)

Burton's method of separation

- By algebraic invariants
 - $\{\text{orientability}, H_1 = \pi_1^{\text{ab}}, S_2^{\text{ab}}(\pi_1), \dots, S_{11}^{\text{ab}}(\pi_1)\}$

Deduplication: Group by canonical triangulations computed with SnapPea/SnapPy (float accuracy issue might occur)

Burton's method of separation

- By algebraic invariants
 - $\{\text{orientability}, H_1 = \pi_1^{\text{ab}}, S_2^{\text{ab}}(\pi_1), \dots, S_{11}^{\text{ab}}(\pi_1)\}$

Deduplication: Group by canonical triangulations computed with SnapPea/SnapPy (float accuracy issue might occur)

Burton's method of separation

- By algebraic invariants
 - $\{\text{orientability}, H_1 = \pi_1^{\text{ab}}, S_2^{\text{ab}}(\pi_1), \dots, S_{11}^{\text{ab}}(\pi_1)\}$

$S_i^{\text{ab}}(G)$: the multi-set of abelianisations of index i subgroups of G , one subgroup for each conjugacy class.

Deduplication: Group by canonical triangulations computed with SnapPea/SnapPy (float accuracy issue might occur)

Burton's method of separation

- By algebraic invariants
 - $\{\text{orientability}, H_1 = \pi_1^{\text{ab}}, S_2^{\text{ab}}(\pi_1), \dots, S_{11}^{\text{ab}}(\pi_1)\}$

$S_i^{\text{ab}}(G)$: the multi-set of abelianisations of index i subgroups of G , one subgroup for each conjugacy class.

Our method of separation

Deduplication: Group by canonical triangulations computed with SnapPea/SnapPy (float accuracy issue might occur)

Burton's method of separation

- By algebraic invariants
 - $\{\text{orientability}, H_1 = \pi_1^{\text{ab}}, S_2^{\text{ab}}(\pi_1), \dots, S_{11}^{\text{ab}}(\pi_1)\}$

$S_i^{\text{ab}}(G)$: the multi-set of abelianisations of index i subgroups of G , one subgroup for each conjugacy class.

Our method of separation

- By verified computations (implemented in SnapPy by M. Goerner)

Deduplication: Group by canonical triangulations computed with SnapPea/SnapPy (float accuracy issue might occur)

Burton's method of separation

- By algebraic invariants
 - $\{\text{orientability}, H_1 = \pi_1^{\text{ab}}, S_2^{\text{ab}}(\pi_1), \dots, S_{11}^{\text{ab}}(\pi_1)\}$

$S_i^{\text{ab}}(G)$: the multi-set of abelianisations of index i subgroups of G , one subgroup for each conjugacy class.

Our method of separation

- By verified computations (implemented in SnapPy by M. Goerner)

Deduplication: Group by canonical triangulations computed with SnapPea/SnapPy (float accuracy issue might occur)

Burton's method of separation

- By algebraic invariants
 - $\{\text{orientability}, H_1 = \pi_1^{\text{ab}}, S_2^{\text{ab}}(\pi_1), \dots, S_{11}^{\text{ab}}(\pi_1)\}$

$S_i^{\text{ab}}(G)$: the multi-set of abelianisations of index i subgroups of G , one subgroup for each conjugacy class.

Our method of separation

- By verified computations (implemented in SnapPy by M. Goerner)

With verified computation, the canonical triangulation produced is a complete invariant of cusped hyperbolic 3-manifolds.

The price of verified computation:

The price of verified computation:

- Need to do algebra on the number field of shapes.

The price of verified computation:

- Need to do algebra on the number field of shapes.

BoGwang Jeon, 2014

$$\deg\{\text{shape field}\} \propto \frac{1}{\min\{\text{length of geodesics}\}}$$

The price of verified computation:

- Need to do algebra on the number field of shapes.

BoGwang Jeon, 2014

$$\deg\{\text{shape field}\} \propto \frac{1}{\min\{\text{length of geodesics}\}}$$

The verified computation of 16/608,918 of orientable eligible triangulations ended up OOM (with 60GB RAM).

The price of verified computation:

- Need to do algebra on the number field of shapes.

BoGwang Jeon, 2014

$$\deg\{\text{shape field}\} \propto \frac{1}{\min\{\text{length of geodesics}\}}$$

The verified computation of 16/608,918 of orientable eligible triangulations ended up OOM (with 60GB RAM).

- Using (volume[:60], H_1), the 16 triangulations were separated from other triangulations (≤ 10 tet.) and into 6 groups;

The price of verified computation:

- Need to do algebra on the number field of shapes.

BoGwang Jeon, 2014

$$\deg\{\text{shape field}\} \propto \frac{1}{\min\{\text{length of geodesics}\}}$$

The verified computation of 16/608,918 of orientable eligible triangulations ended up OOM (with 60GB RAM).

- Using (volume[:60], H_1), the 16 triangulations were separated from other triangulations (≤ 10 tet.) and into 6 groups;
- Within each group: all represent the same manifold via unverified canonical triangulations.

The price of verified computation:

- Need to do algebra on the number field of shapes.

BoGwang Jeon, 2014

$$\deg\{\text{shape field}\} \propto \frac{1}{\min\{\text{length of geodesics}\}}$$

The verified computation of 16/608,918 of orientable eligible triangulations ended up OOM (with 60GB RAM).

- Using (volume[:60], H_1), the 16 triangulations were separated from other triangulations (≤ 10 tet.) and into 6 groups;
- Within each group: all represent the same manifold via unverified canonical triangulations.

The price of verified computation:

- Need to do algebra on the number field of shapes.

BoGwang Jeon, 2014

$$\deg\{\text{shape field}\} \propto \frac{1}{\min\{\text{length of geodesics}\}}$$

The verified computation of 16/608,918 of orientable eligible triangulations ended up OOM (with 60GB RAM).

- Using (volume[:60], H_1), the 16 triangulations were separated from other triangulations (≤ 10 tet.) and into 6 groups;
- Within each group: all represent the same manifold via unverified canonical triangulations.

L. 2025

There are precisely 150,730 orientable cusped hyperbolic 3-manifolds triangulable by a minimal of 10 tetrahedra.

Table of Contents

1 Introduction

2 Extending the census to 10 tetrahedra

3 Applications

Census of exceptional Dehn fillings

Exceptional Dehn filling

A Dehn filling on a cusped hyperbolic 3-manifold is *exceptional* if the resulting manifold is not hyperbolic.

Census of exceptional Dehn fillings

Exceptional Dehn filling

A Dehn filling on a cusped hyperbolic 3-manifold is *exceptional* if the resulting manifold is not hyperbolic.

6-Theorem (Agol, 2000)

Let M be a 1-cusped hyperbolic 3-manifold. If a slope (p, q) has length larger than 6, then $M(p, q)$ is hyperbolic.

Census of exceptional Dehn fillings

Exceptional Dehn filling

A Dehn filling on a cusped hyperbolic 3-manifold is *exceptional* if the resulting manifold is not hyperbolic.

6-Theorem (Agol, 2000)

Let M be a 1-cusped hyperbolic 3-manifold. If a slope (p, q) has length larger than 6, then $M(p, q)$ is hyperbolic.

Corollary

There are only finitely many exceptional Dehn fillings on a 1-cusped hyperbolic 3-manifold.

Dunfield, 2019

There are precisely 205,822 exceptional Dehn fillings on 1-cusped hyperbolic 3-manifolds triangulable by no more than 9 tetrahedra.

Dunfield, 2019

There are precisely 205,822 exceptional Dehn fillings on 1-cusped hyperbolic 3-manifolds triangulable by no more than 9 tetrahedra.

L., 2025

There are precisely 439,898 exceptional Dehn fillings on 1-cusped hyperbolic 3-manifolds triangulable by a minimal of 10 tetrahedra.

L., 2025

There are precisely 439,898 exceptional Dehn fillings on 1-cusped hyperbolic 3-manifolds triangulable by a minimal of 10 tetrahedra.

Step	Candidates	Discarded	Confirmed
Candidate generation	800,447	0	0

L., 2025

There are precisely 439,898 exceptional Dehn fillings on 1-cusped hyperbolic 3-manifolds triangulable by a minimal of 10 tetrahedra.

Step	Candidates	Discarded	Confirmed
Candidate generation	800,447	0	0
Certify hyperbolicity	444,549	355,898	0

L., 2025

There are precisely 439,898 exceptional Dehn fillings on 1-cusped hyperbolic 3-manifolds triangulable by a minimal of 10 tetrahedra.

Step	Candidates	Discarded	Confirmed
Candidate generation	800,447	0	0
Certify hyperbolicity	444,549	355,898	0
Combinatorial recognition	12,280	4647	427,622

L., 2025

There are precisely 439,898 exceptional Dehn fillings on 1-cusped hyperbolic 3-manifolds triangulable by a minimal of 10 tetrahedra.

Step	Candidates	Discarded	Confirmed
Candidate generation	800,447	0	0
Certify hyperbolicity	444,549	355,898	0
Combinatorial recognition	12,280	4647	427,622
Essential S^2 or torus	4	0	12,276

L., 2025

There are precisely 439,898 exceptional Dehn fillings on 1-cusped hyperbolic 3-manifolds triangulable by a minimal of 10 tetrahedra.

Step	Candidates	Discarded	Confirmed
Candidate generation	800,447	0	0
Certify hyperbolicity	444,549	355,898	0
Combinatorial recognition	12,280	4647	427,622
Essential S^2 or torus	4	0	12,276
Identified to be $m135(1,3)$	0	4	0

L., 2025

There are precisely 439,898 exceptional Dehn fillings on 1-cusped hyperbolic 3-manifolds triangulable by a minimal of 10 tetrahedra.

Step	Candidates	Discarded	Confirmed
Candidate generation	800,447	0	0
Certify hyperbolicity	444,549	355,898	0
Combinatorial recognition	12,280	4647	427,622
Essential S^2 or torus	4	0	12,276
Identified to be $m135(1,3)$	0	4	0
Total	800,447	360,549	439,898

L., 2025

There are precisely 439,898 exceptional Dehn fillings on 1-cusped hyperbolic 3-manifolds triangulable by a minimal of 10 tetrahedra.

Step	Candidates	Discarded	Confirmed
Candidate generation	800,447	0	0
Certify hyperbolicity	444,549	355,898	0
Combinatorial recognition	12,280	4647	427,622
Essential S^2 or torus	4	0	12,276
Identified to be m135(1,3)	0	4	0
Total	800,447	360,549	439,898

(In progress) Classification of each filling & Verify conjectures

A conjecture on Thurston norm:

A conjecture on Thurston norm:

Conjecture (Dunfield-Friedl-Jackson, 2024)

For any cusped hyperbolic 3-manifold M with $b_1(M) = 1$,

$$x(M) = \frac{1}{2} \deg \tau_{2,\rho}(M)$$

A conjecture on Thurston norm:

Conjecture (Dunfield-Friedl-Jackson, 2024)

For any cusped hyperbolic 3-manifold M with $b_1(M) = 1$,

$$x(M) = \frac{1}{2} \deg \tau_{2,\rho}(M)$$

- In the 10-tet census: 143,919 manifolds with $b_1(M) = 1$

A conjecture on Thurston norm:

Conjecture (Dunfield-Friedl-Jackson, 2024)

For any cusped hyperbolic 3-manifold M with $b_1(M) = 1$,

$$x(M) = \frac{1}{2} \deg \tau_{2,\rho}(M)$$

- In the 10-tet census: 143,919 manifolds with $b_1(M) = 1$
- Successfully computed $x(M)$ for all but 21 of them

A conjecture on Thurston norm:

Conjecture (Dunfield-Friedl-Jackson, 2024)

For any cusped hyperbolic 3-manifold M with $b_1(M) = 1$,

$$x(M) = \frac{1}{2} \deg \tau_{2,\rho}(M)$$

- In the 10-tet census: 143,919 manifolds with $b_1(M) = 1$
- Successfully computed $x(M)$ for all but 21 of them
- Equality holds for all the computed ones

Other applications:

Other applications:

Homological spheres

Other applications:

Homological spheres

By Dehn fillings on 1-cusped manifolds in the 10-tetrahedra census

Other applications:

Homological spheres

By Dehn fillings on 1-cusped manifolds in the 10-tetrahedra census

- Integral: 10,729

Other applications:

Homological spheres

By Dehn fillings on 1-cusped manifolds in the 10-tetrahedra census

- Integral: 10,729
- Rational: 1,899,926

Other applications:

Homological spheres

By Dehn fillings on 1-cusped manifolds in the 10-tetrahedra census

- Integral: 10,729
- Rational: 1,899,926
- The above include *almost all* homological spheres with systole ≥ 0.163 obtainable in this way (did not deduplicate)

Other applications:

Homological spheres

By Dehn fillings on 1-cusped manifolds in the 10-tetrahedra census

- Integral: 10,729
- Rational: 1,899,926
- The above include *almost all* homological spheres with systole ≥ 0.163 obtainable in this way (did not deduplicate)

Other applications:

Homological spheres

By Dehn fillings on 1-cusped manifolds in the 10-tetrahedra census

- Integral: 10,729
- Rational: 1,899,926
- The above include *almost all* homological spheres with systole ≥ 0.163 obtainable in this way (did not deduplicate)

They can be used to test the L -space conjecture

Other applications:

Homological spheres

By Dehn fillings on 1-cusped manifolds in the 10-tetrahedra census

- Integral: 10,729
- Rational: 1,899,926
- The above include *almost all* homological spheres with systole ≥ 0.163 obtainable in this way (did not deduplicate)

They can be used to test the L -space conjecture

Other applications:

Homological spheres

By Dehn fillings on 1-cusped manifolds in the 10-tetrahedra census

- Integral: 10,729
- Rational: 1,899,926
- The above include *almost all* homological spheres with systole ≥ 0.163 obtainable in this way (did not deduplicate)

They can be used to test the L -space conjecture

The one cusped manifold with totally geodesic surfaces

Other applications:

Homological spheres

By Dehn fillings on 1-cusped manifolds in the 10-tetrahedra census

- Integral: 10,729
- Rational: 1,899,926
- The above include *almost all* homological spheres with systole ≥ 0.163 obtainable in this way (did not deduplicate)

They can be used to test the L -space conjecture

The one cusped manifold with totally geodesic surfaces

o10_14425: kLwLLAQkccgghihijjdegwtpIldjo_aBBaaBBa

Other applications:

Homological spheres

By Dehn fillings on 1-cusped manifolds in the 10-tetrahedra census

- Integral: 10,729
- Rational: 1,899,926
- The above include *almost all* homological spheres with systole ≥ 0.163 obtainable in this way (did not deduplicate)

They can be used to test the L -space conjecture

The one cusped manifold with totally geodesic surfaces

o10_14425: kLwLLAQkccgghihijjdegwtpIldjo_aBBaaBBa

The only one in the orientable cusped census up to 10-tet.

Other applications:

Homological spheres

By Dehn fillings on 1-cusped manifolds in the 10-tetrahedra census

- Integral: 10,729
- Rational: 1,899,926
- The above include *almost all* homological spheres with systole ≥ 0.163 obtainable in this way (did not deduplicate)

They can be used to test the L -space conjecture

The one cusped manifold with totally geodesic surfaces

o10_14425: kLwLLAQkccgghihijjdegwtpIldjo_aBBaaBBa

The only one in the orientable cusped census up to 10-tet.
In particular, it is the smallest among all.

The 10-tetrahedra census is available for installation on GitHub.



Figure: QR code to the GitHub repository

Alternatively:

shana-y-li.github.io → Research → Projects → snappy_10_tets