

## Problem set 2

The R script `model.R` defines a function `bob()` that is a model observer for a 2AFC depth discrimination task with stimuli defined by binocular disparity and touch. The first line of the function's definition is

```
bob <- function( depthD1=NaN, depthT1=NaN, depthD2=NaN, depthT2=NaN )
```

To simulate showing depth stimuli to `bob()`, you pass input arguments that have the depths you want to show. `depthD1` is the depth from disparity in the first stimulus interval, and `depthT1` is the depth from touch in the first stimulus interval. `depthD2` and `depthT2` are the corresponding depths for the second interval. Depths are measured in cm. If one of these input arguments is set to `NaN` (which is the default value), then `bob()` ignores that cue.

When you call `bob()` this way, it returns a value of 1 or 2, to indicate which stimulus it sees as having greater depth. As with human observers, there is a random component in `bob()`'s decision rule, so if you call `bob()` with the same input arguments twice, you do not necessarily get the same answer twice.

For example, to get `bob()`'s response to a stereo depth of 10 cm in the first stimulus interval and 11 cm in the second stimulus interval, you could call

```
response <- bob( depthD1=10, depthD2=11 )
```

`bob()` will return `response=1` if the first stimulus seems deeper, and `response=2` if the second seems deeper. To show a cue conflict stimulus in the first interval and a consistent stimulus in the second interval, you could call

```
response <- bob( depthD1=10, depthT1=11, depthD2=12, depthT2=12 )
```

1. Is `bob()` better at depth discrimination using stereo or touch? Measure `bob()`'s  $d'$  for discriminating between depths of 10 cm and 12 cm over 200 trials, using only stereo cues. Then measure  $d'$  for discriminating between the same two depths using only touch cues.
2. Does `bob()` combine stereo and touch cues optimally? Measure a point of subjective equality (PSE) function where `bob()` judges whether stimuli at a range of depths have more or less depth than a 10 cm reference stimulus. Do this for stereo stimuli, touch stimuli, and combined stereo-and-touch stimuli. Fit normal cdf's to these three PSE functions and use the slopes (i.e., the  $\sigma$  parameters) of the fitted functions to test for maximum likelihood cue combination.
3. Optional bonus question. Do another test of whether `bob()` combines cues optimally. Measure another PSE function to find the depth that `bob()` perceives in a cue conflict stimulus where the stereo cue indicates a depth of 10 cm and the touch cue indicates a depth

of 14 cm. Compare this perceived depth to what you would expect if `bob()` combines cues optimally, given the  $\sigma$ 's you measured in question 2.

In a real experiment to answer the questions posed here (e.g., is  $d'$  higher for stereo or touch?), you would of course use statistical tests. You don't need to use statistical tests for this problem set. I've written `bob()` so that the answers are clear enough without statistics.

*Due date: April 2*