

3. Linear congruences

3.1 Congruences

Definition 3.1 Let m be a positive integer. Let a and b be integers. We say that a is congruent to b modulo m if

$$m \mid (a - b).$$

We write

$$a \equiv b \pmod{m}.$$

If $m \nmid (a - b)$, we write

$$a \not\equiv b \pmod{m}.$$

Theorem 3.1 Let m be a positive integer.

- (i) $a \equiv a \pmod{m}$;
- (ii) If $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$, then $b \equiv a \pmod{m}$;
- (iii) If $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ and $b \equiv c \pmod{m}$, then $a \equiv c \pmod{m}$.

Proof. (i). We have $a - a = 0$ and $m \mid 0$.

(ii). Since $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$, we have $m \mid (a - b)$, and thus $m \mid -(a - b) = (b - a)$, thereby implying that $b \equiv a \pmod{m}$.

(iii). Since $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ and $b \equiv c \pmod{m}$, we have $m \mid (a - b)$ and $m \mid (b - c)$, and thus $m \mid ((a - b) + (b - c)) = (a - c)$, thereby implying that $a \equiv c \pmod{m}$. ■



A relation “ \sim ” between the elements of a set M is an *equivalence* if

- (i) $a \sim a$ (*reflexivity*);
- (ii) If $a \sim b$, then $b \sim a$ (*symmetry*);
- (iii) If $a \sim b$ and $b \sim c$, then $a \sim c$ (*transitivity*).

Congruence modulo a fixed m is an equivalence relation.

Theorem 3.2 We have

- (i) $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ if and only if $a - b \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$;

(ii) If $a_1 \equiv b_1 \pmod{m}$ and $a_2 \equiv b_2 \pmod{m}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 + a_2 &\equiv b_1 + b_2 \pmod{m}, \\ a_1 a_2 &\equiv b_1 b_2 \pmod{m}; \end{aligned}$$

(iii) If $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$, then for any positive integer k ,

$$a^k \equiv b^k \pmod{m};$$

(iv) If $f(x_1, x_2, \dots)$ is a multivariate polynomial with integer coefficients, and $a_1 \equiv b_1 \pmod{m}$, $a_2 \equiv b_2 \pmod{m}$, ..., then

$$f(a_1, a_2, \dots) \equiv f(b_1, b_2, \dots) \pmod{m}.$$

Proof. Exercise. ■

Theorem 3.3 If $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ and $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$, then

$$a \equiv b \pmod{[m, n]}.$$

R If $(m, n) = 1$, then by Theorem 2.10, we have $[m, n] = \frac{mn}{(m, n)} = mn$. Thus in this case $a \equiv b \pmod{mn}$.

Proof. Since $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ and $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$, we have $m \mid (a - b)$ and $n \mid (a - b)$. Thus, $a - b$ is a common multiple of m and n , and thus a multiple of $[m, n]$. ■

Note that if $ka \equiv ka' \pmod{m}$, it is *not* always true that $a \equiv a' \pmod{m}$.

■ **Example 3.1** We have $10 \times 1 \equiv 10 \times 4 \pmod{15}$, but $1 \not\equiv 4 \pmod{15}$. However, it is true that $1 \equiv 4 \pmod{3}$ where $3 = \frac{15}{(10, 15)} = \frac{15}{5}$. ■

Theorem 3.4 If $(k, m) = d$, then $ka \equiv ka' \pmod{m}$ if and only if $a \equiv a' \pmod{\frac{m}{d}}$.

Proof. We write $k = k_1 d$ and $m = m_1 d$ so that $(k_1, m_1) = 1$. Thus,

$$\frac{ka - ka'}{m} = \frac{k(a - a')}{m} = \frac{k_1(a - a')}{m_1}.$$

Since $(k_1, m_1) = 1$, the left-hand side is an integer if and only if $m_1 \mid (a - a')$, namely, $a \equiv a' \pmod{m_1}$ while we also note that $m_1 = \frac{m}{d}$. ■

Now, we can determine in which case one may apply “division” to congruences.

Corollary 3.5 If $(k, m) = 1$, then $ka \equiv ka' \pmod{m}$ if and only if $a \equiv a' \pmod{m}$.

3.2 Residue classes

Definition 3.2 A set $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m\}$ is called a *complete residue system modulo m* , or a *complete system modulo m* , if

- (i) $a_i \not\equiv a_j \pmod{m}$ for any $i \neq j$;
- (ii) For any integer a , there exists an index i such that $a \equiv a_i \pmod{m}$.

■ **Example 3.2** (i). $\{0, 7, 2, -3, -8, 5\}$ is a complete system modulo 6; (ii). $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ is a complete system modulo n . ■



Given a set of m integers, to verify whether it forms a complete system modulo m , it suffices to check if the m integers are pairwise distinct modulo m .

Theorem 3.6 Let $\{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$ be a complete system modulo m and let k be an integer with $(k, m) = 1$. Then $\{ka_1, \dots, ka_m\}$ is also a complete system modulo m .

Proof. (i). Show $ka_i \not\equiv ka_j \pmod{m}$ for $i \neq j$. Otherwise, if $ka_i \equiv ka_j \pmod{m}$, then since $(k, m) = 1$, we have $a_i \equiv a_j \pmod{m}$ by Corollary 3.5, yielding to a contradiction to the assumption that $\{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$ is a complete system modulo m .

(ii). Show $a \equiv ka_i \pmod{m}$ for some i . Since $(k, m) = 1$, we may find integers k' and m' such that $kk' + mm' = 1$ by Theorem 2.5, and thus $kk' \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$. Choose i such that $a_i \equiv ak' \pmod{m}$. Then $ka_i \equiv k(ak') = a(kk') \equiv a \pmod{m}$. ■

Theorem 3.7 Let m and m' be such that $(m, m') = 1$. Suppose that a runs through a complete system modulo m and a' runs through a complete system modulo m' . Then $a'm + am'$ runs through a complete system modulo mm' .

Proof. There are mm' numbers $a'm + am'$. Thus, it suffices to verify that they are pairwise distinct modulo mm' . Note that if

$$a'_1m + a_1m' \equiv a'_2m + a_2m' \pmod{mm'},$$

then since $(m, m') = 1$, it follows from Corollary 3.5 that

$$a'_1m' \equiv a'_2m' \pmod{m} \quad \Rightarrow \quad a'_1 \equiv a'_2 \pmod{m}$$

and

$$a'_1m \equiv a'_2m \pmod{m'} \quad \Rightarrow \quad a'_1 \equiv a'_2 \pmod{m'}.$$

leading to the same choice of $a'm + am'$ as a runs through a complete system modulo m and a' runs through a complete system modulo m' . ■

3.3 Linear congruences

Theorem 3.8 The linear congruence

$$ax \equiv b \pmod{m} \tag{3.1}$$

is solvable if and only if $(a, m) \mid b$. In this case, there is a unique solution modulo $\frac{m}{(a, m)}$.

Proof. The congruence $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$ is equivalent to $b - ax = my$ for some y . That is

$$ax + my = b. \tag{3.2}$$

By Theorem 2.5, it has integer solutions (x, y) if and only if b is a multiple of (a, m) .

For the second part, assume that (x_0, y_0) is a solution to (3.2). Then we parametrize its solutions as follows. First, note that

$$ax + my = b = ax_0 + my_0.$$

Thus, $a(x - x_0) = m(y_0 - y)$, or if we put $d = (a, m)$,

$$\frac{a}{d}(x - x_0) = \frac{m}{d}(y_0 - y).$$

Since $(\frac{a}{d}, \frac{m}{d}) = 1$, we have that for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\begin{cases} x - x_0 = k \cdot \frac{m}{d}, \\ y_0 - y = k \cdot \frac{a}{d}, \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} x = x_0 + k \cdot \frac{m}{d}, \\ y = y_0 - k \cdot \frac{a}{d}. \end{cases}$$

Thus, modulo $\frac{m}{d}$, x has only one possibility. ■

Now, our question is how to construct an explicit expression of the solution to $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$.

Definition 3.3 Let a and m be such that $(a, m) = 1$. We say that \bar{a} is a *modular inverse of a modulo m* if

$$a\bar{a} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}.$$

Theorem 3.9 Let a , b and m be such that $d \mid b$ where $d = (a, m)$. Then the solution to $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$ is given by

$$x \equiv a' \cdot \frac{b}{d} \pmod{\frac{m}{d}},$$

where a' is the modular inverse of $\frac{a}{d}$ modulo $\frac{m}{d}$.

Proof. Note that we may rewrite $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$ as

$$d \cdot \frac{a}{d}x \equiv d \cdot \frac{b}{d} \pmod{m},$$

which is equivalent to

$$\frac{a}{d}x \equiv \frac{b}{d} \pmod{\frac{m}{d}}.$$

by Theorem 3.4 as $(d, m) = d$. Note also that $a' \cdot \frac{a}{d} \equiv 1 \pmod{\frac{m}{d}}$. Thus,

$$x \equiv a' \cdot \frac{b}{d} \pmod{\frac{m}{d}},$$

which is our desired result. ■

■ **Example 3.3** Solve $10x \equiv 15 \pmod{35}$: We have $d = (10, 35) = 5$. Also, $\frac{10}{5} \times 4 \equiv 1 \pmod{\frac{35}{5}}$. Thus, $x \equiv 4 \times \frac{15}{5} = 12 \pmod{\frac{35}{5}}$, that is $x \equiv 5 \pmod{7}$. ■

3.4 Chinese remainder theorem

We have seen that linear congruences are essentially equivalent to $x \equiv c \pmod{m}$.

Theorem 3.10 The system

$$x \equiv c_1 \pmod{m_1}, \tag{3.3a}$$

$$x \equiv c_2 \pmod{m_2}, \tag{3.3b}$$

has a solution if and only if $(m_1, m_2) \mid (c_2 - c_1)$. The solution, if it exists, is unique modulo $[m_1, m_2]$.

Proof. From (3.3a), we may write $x = m_1y + c_1$ for some indeterminate y . Substituting it into (3.3b), we have

$$m_1y + c_1 \equiv c_2 \pmod{m_2},$$

or

$$m_1y \equiv c_2 - c_1 \pmod{m_2}.$$

By Theorem 3.8, it is solvable if and only if $(m_1, m_2) \mid (c_2 - c_1)$. Further, the solution y is unique modulo $\frac{m_2}{(m_1, m_2)}$, and thus the solution x is unique modulo $m_1 \cdot \frac{m_2}{(m_1, m_2)} = [m_1, m_2]$ by Theorem 2.10. ■

Corollary 3.11 Let m_1 and m_2 be such that $(m_1, m_2) = 1$. Then the system in Theorem 3.10 is solvable, and its solution is unique modulo m_1m_2 .

In general, we may consider an analogous system with multiple linear congruences. Along this line, we have the *Chinese Remainder Theorem*, which first appears in the writings of Sun Tzu (孙武: 孙子兵法), and was further developed by Qin Jiushao (秦九韶).

Theorem 3.12 (Chinese Remainder Theorem). Let m_1, \dots, m_r be such that $(m_i, m_j) = 1$ for $i \neq j$. Then the system $x \equiv c_i \pmod{m_i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$ has a unique solution modulo $m_1 \cdots m_r$.

Proof. This result follows by an iterative application of Corollary 3.11. ■