

2016

# Real Estate Market Analyzer

## *Process Book*

University of Utah  
CS 6630 - Visualization  
Fall 2016

Shane Brown, shanejbrown@gmail.com, u0852900  
Sigmund Chow, sigmundccs@gmail.com, u0597938  
My Huynh, my.huynh@utah.edu, u0729654

### **Project GIT repository:**

<https://github.com/shanejb/dataviscourse-pr-RealEstateMarketAnalyzer>

### **Website:**

<https://shanejb.github.io/dataviscourse-pr-RealEstateMarketAnalyzer/src/index.html>

12/2/2016

## Table of Contents

<b>1. BACKGROUND MOTIVATION AND OVERVIEW .....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2. RELATED WORKS.....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>3. QUESTIONS .....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>4. DATA .....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>5. EXPLORATORY DATA ANALYSIS.....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>6. DESIGN EVOLUTION.....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>7. FINAL IMPLEMENTATION.....</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>8. EVALUATION .....</b>	<b>15</b>

## 1. Background Motivation and Overview

Recently, we have been seeing many new constructions for condominiums and apartments around the city. Are millennials moving towards renting? Renting has long since been an acceptable way to pay for housing throughout the civilized world for many, many generations, but does renting make financial sense? Part of the American dream is to own your own home, but is the rising property prices pushing our young generation away from buying houses?

In a recent article in New York Times, it states “Today’s young adults have not become homeowners at the same rate that earlier generations did. That probably reflects a mix of a weak economy — and thus poor job prospects during the initial aftermath of the recession — and the lack of affordable housing supply in many of the hottest markets combined with perhaps some cultural shift toward buying homes later or even not buying at all.”<sup>1</sup> However, based on one of the census reports, there were more new homes sold in July than in nearly a decade. Buyers are purchasing single-family houses at annual rate of 654,000. This has motivated us to find out more about our current housing market and where we stand right now compared to the housing market crisis in 2008.

## 2. Related Works

There are several websites which show comparisons between rent and house costs but they don’t visualize how fast house price increase in historical time as well as reveal the housing market crisis in 2008. Some of website allows readers to calculate the costs of renting or buying a home, they aim to answer which is better? Here is an example of rent/house cost calculator: <http://www.nytimes.com/interactive/business/buy-rent-calculator.php>




Figure 1: Screen shot of The York Times website

<sup>1</sup> Irwin, Neil. The New York Times. “The Housing Market Is Finally Starting to Look Healthy.” Aug. 23, 2016. <http://www.nytimes.com/2016/08/24/upshot/the-housing-market-is-finally-starting-to-look-healthy.html>

In addition, many visualizations use Zillow data to compare rent and house prices between big cities, but not all states are represented.

<http://www.economist.com/blogs/graphicdetail/2016/08/daily-chart-20> is an example:




Figure 2: Screen shot of [www.economist.com](http://www.economist.com)

Besides, we've realized that most of websites about the housing market is very heavy in text and lack of visualizations.

### 3. Questions

This project aims to answer the following questions. Have we recovered from the 2008 housing market crash? Are housing overpriced now? When does it make sense to buy? When does it make sense to rent? What areas in the United States are the best rental markets? What areas in the United States are the best real estate markets with the highest appreciation forecast?

The goal of this project is to see how the housing market has been affected by the 2008 housing market crash and the current state of recovery. We would like to be able to display areas, which are the best rental markets in the United States. We would also like to display which areas are the most affordable home prices.

A benefit of this project is to educate others on where the best rental markets are in comparison to other states. Another benefit will be to educate the user on the differences of the rental and home prices in different states by comparing the data of the states.

## 4. Data

### a. Source Links

Our data sets are derived from below sources:

- 1996-2015 populations estimates - <https://www.census.gov/>
- 2016 population estimate - <http://worldpopulationreview.com/states/>
- ZHVI (Zillow Home Value Index) - <http://www.zillow.com/research/data/#median-home-value>
- ZRI (Zillow Rent Index) - <http://www.zillow.com/research/data/#rental-data>
- Map boundaries data - <http://bl.ocks.org/mbostock/raw/2206489/7110de3d8412433d3222c9b7e3ac6593593162b2/us-states.json>
- State Statistics - [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List\\_of\\_states\\_and\\_territories\\_of\\_the\\_United\\_States](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_states_and_territories_of_the_United_States)

### b. Processing

We planned using sold house price dataset in 20 years from 1996 to 2016. Unfortunately, Zillow doesn't provide enough data for all regions and there are 15 states missing data. Therefore, we consulted Zillow data research team about this issue.

They're very helpful but we are not able to get data for all regions in US.

to my huynh

Hi My  
Currently all the data we have available is found at [www.zillow.com/data](http://www.zillow.com/data), though While we strive to have as complete of coverage as possible, at times we are not able to provide data for all regions. What is listed on that page is currently what were are able to provide. We're always looking to increase our coverage though- this is an active area of research. One of our goals is to keep increasing our data footprint and make more regions freely available  
Matthew

Name *	My Huynh
Email Address *	<a href="mailto:my.huynh@utah.edu">my.huynh@utah.edu</a>
Company/Organization *	University of Utah
What is your inquiry regarding?	Other
Message	<p>Dear Data Researchers!</p> <p>I'm a graduate student at University of Utah, and taking Visualization class. My team is looking for renthouse costs data sets in order to implement our final visualization project. We need median house price data set of all states in US from 1996 to 2016. Unfortunately, there are just 35 states in State_MedianSoldPrice_AllHomes.csv file downloaded from your website.</p> <p>I'm writing to ask if we can get data for missing states.</p> <p>Thank you so much for your time!</p> <p>My Huynh</p>

*Figure 3: Screen shot of Zillow data research team's email*

However, Zillow has come up with their own methodology for real estate data - Zillow Home Value Index (ZHVI). Each ZHVI is a time series tracking the monthly median home value in a particular geographical region. The ZHVI are available for seven geographical levels: neighborhood, ZIP code, city, congressional district, metropolitan area, county, state and the

nation. Similar to ZHVI, Zillow Rent Index (ZRI) tracks the monthly median rent in particular regions<sup>2</sup>. We've used their ZHVI and ZRI of states.

In addition, missing states data is a main dilemma which faced us. In our proposal, we wanted to display how the housing market looks like since the 2008 financial crisis. We planned to have charts to show the home prices and rental rates for years from 1996 to 2016 for US States. Since that is the dates made available to us in some of the home prices data sets we found. However, since we submitted the proposal we haven't been able to find rental data prior to 2010.

There were two options for our team. In the first option, we had to miss our project goal and only show house price changes since 2010. On the other hand, we would show home prices from 1996 to 2016 and rental cost from 2010 to 2016 in second option. We would like to say "THANK YOU" to our TA Vinitha Yaski. She has suggested to us to implement our project with the second option, which is to show home prices from 1996 to 2016 and rental cost from 2010 to 2016. At least we will be able to show part of the original story we were trying to tell with our visualizations.

Zillow offers huge data sets. In order to obtain data sets, which are suitable for what we'd like to implement in our proposal, we pick house price data in 1-year intervals from 1996 to 2016 and rental cost data in 4-month interval from Dec. 2010 to Aug. 2016.

RegionName	abbr	SizeRank	2010-12	2011-04	2011-08	2011-12	2012-04
California	CA	1	1956	1940	1933	1932	1925
Texas	TX	2	1218	1215	1210	1207	1210
New York	NY	3	1720	1749	1737	1742	1771
Florida	FL	4	1257	1247	1242	1241	1247
Illinois	IL	5	1462	1443	1414	1391	1387
Pennsylvania	PA	6	1201	1195	1186	1169	1176
Ohio	OH	7	982	978	983	983	999
Michigan	MI	8	1100	1079	1071	943	913
Georgia	GA	9	1110	1103	1089	1082	1077

Data sets provided by Zillow and Census actually come in CSV format. Hence, we use R for cleaning, aggregating and reformatting the data appropriated for working with in d3.

*Figure 4: Screen shot of house price dataset*

<sup>2</sup> Bun, Yengon. Zillow. Zillow Rent Index: Methodology. Mar. 12, 2012. <http://www.zillow.com/research/zillow-rent-index-methodology-2393/>

RegionName	abbr	SizeRank	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
California	CA	1	157500	165000	179000	198700	230000	258600	303200	359000	452000
Texas	TX	2		84400	87700	94200	97300	100300	105100	108400	119000
New York	NY	3									
Florida	FL	4	86900	90500	94400	100600	109600	120600	133900	150800	184500
Illinois	IL	5	114000	118400	121800	128800	137500	148500	158100	170400	181600
Pennsylvania	PA	6	83800	86100	88900	90900	95400	101400	109000	119600	130500
Ohio	OH	7	89700	94000	97300	102500	107900	111700	115400	120500	123800
Michigan	MI	8	87700	93100	100000	111600	119700	126500	131900	136400	140500

*Figure 5: Screen shot of rent cost dataset*


Since Mike Bostock's website provides raw U.S. map boundaries data set, in order to implement US map, we merely need to add states abbreviations to JSON file to look up quickly the data we need for those other files based on the states selected.

```
{
  "type": "FeatureCollection",
  "features": [
    {
      "type": "Feature",
      "id": "01",
      "properties": {
        "name": "Alabama",
        "abbr": "AL"
      },
      "geometry": {
        "type": "Polygon",
        "coordinates": [
          [
            [-85.184951, 32.859696],
            [-85.069935, 32.580372],
            [-84.960397, 32.421541],
            [-85.042551, 31.539753],
            [-85.113751, 31.27686],
            [-85.004212, 31.003013],
            [-85.427201, 30.457722],
            [-87.37025, 30.427934],
            [-87.518128, 30.280057],
            [-87.655051, 30.247195],
            [-87.906474, 30.213408],
            [-88.394438, 30.367688],
            [-88.471115, 31.895754],
            [-88.241084, 33.796253],
            [-88.025278, 34.697334],
            [-85.184951, 32.859696]
          ]
        ]
      }
    },
    {
      "type": "Feature",
      "id": "02",
      "properties": {
        "name": "Alaska",
        "abbr": "AK"
      },
      "geometry": {
        "type": "Polygon",
        "coordinates": [
          [
            [-131.355558, 55.183705],
            [-131.38842, 55.01392],
            [-131.645836, 55.035827],
            [-131.832052, 55.183705],
            [-131.832052, 55.4246911],
            [-132.976733, 56.437924],
            [-131.355558, 55.183705]
          ]
        ]
      }
    }
  ]
}
```

*Figure 6: Screen shot of map boundaries dataset*

## 5. Exploratory Data Analysis

We have many reasons to use Tableau in order to explore our data sets. Tableau is one of easy ways people represent data fast, beautiful and useful.



*Figure 7: Initial bubble chart and map using Tableau*



After getting the initial map, we tried to color our map but Tableau showed a message notified there is not any related attribute between map and rent value datasets. The important thing we gain here is that we have to add states abbreviations in datasets. We've learnt that exploratory data analysis is significant step that helps to detect mistakes, figure out necessary attributes, and discover relationships between features in order to prepare for a successful visualization.

## 6. Design Evolution

### a. Final design in proposal




Figure 8: Our final sketch in proposal



## **b. Description**

**Slider View:** This will be a time slider, as in design 2, for the years 1996 to 2016, in one year increments. This will be interactive by the user to update the rest of the views.

**Map View:** The map section, as in design 1, will display the entire United States of America with the states outlines and names shown. The map will be interactive where the user is able to click on and select one or more states. Selected states data will be shown in the line charts view and detail view. The map will also have a color scale, which will represent the rent statistics for the year selected by the slider view.

**Bubble Chart View:** This will be as in design 2. Chart view has a function that shows how fast housing price increases over time. As the map, bubble chart will be interactive with time slider in order to show average house price of all states have changed in 20 years. One circle represents for one state. Size and color of each circle shows US state size rank by population. The larger the state's population is, the larger the size of circle is.

**Line Charts View:** This will represent historical rent and home price data for each of the selected states in either the map view or bubble chart view.

**Detail View:** This will represent more specific details of each of the selected states. Some details it may include, but not limited to, are population, average home price, average rent rate and so forth.

### **Must-Have Features:**

- A map that displays all states with boundaries
- Rent throughout the years
- Median home price throughout the years
- Bubble chart shows appropriate data
- Bubble chart and map interact with time slider
- Detailed view of the selected states

### **Optional Features:**

- Include visualizations for crime rate per capita of locations
- Include visualizations for school ratings of locations
- Include data on the cost of living of locations
- Include interest rates since 2011
- Add pan and zoom to the map

### c. Design changes

We slightly deviated from our proposal. Namely, we changed the bubble chart and line charts position. This was done since the map and line charts interact with each other and it made more sense for them to be able to be viewed at the same time. We also simplified the details in the states details cards (State Insights) since home and rental values would be redundant there. We also added more optional features as we had time to do so. Since we've use two different time scales for map and bubble chart, we will add one more slider into our visualization.

## 7. Final implementation

### a. Project structure


We organize our working prototype follow HW5 layout.

```
index.html
data/
    State_Zhvi_AllHomes.csv
    State_Zri_AllHomes.csv
    State_Zri_AllHomes_Simple.csv
    states_facts.csv
    Us_states.json
public/
    css/
        style.css
    js/
        bubbleChart.js    visualizes bubble chart
        detailCards.js    displays detailed view
        houseChart.js     shows house-price line chart
        main.js            handles script files
        mapView.js         displays U.S map
        rentChart.js       shows rent-cost line chart
```

### b. Implementation


#### ❖ Map

In milestone 1, we implemented initial U.S map allows users to select multiple states by changing the color when you click on them. The map also changes color on hover over. Initial U.S map looks like:




*Figure 9: Initial U.S map in milestone 1*

In proposal, we planned to have the map visualization to show rent value changed over years from 2010 to 2016. After meeting with TA, we've decide to use both data sets of median home value index (ZHVI) and rent index (ZRI) on map. We'd like to send many thanks to Vinitha for the great suggestions. In order to work with both datasets, we've added two tabs provide users select which data set they'd like to explore. Besides, users are able to interact with map by time slider.



*Figure 10: ZHVI and ZRI dataset tabs*

Our final map visualization as below:




*Figure 11: Final map implementation*

As we see in Figure 11, US map displays all states outlines, names and house/rent values shown in tooltips. Map is interactive and allows users to click on as well as select one or many states. Selected states data is shown in lines chart view and states detail views as we are going to explain in two next parts. The map also has a color scale, which represents the house/rent statistics for the year selected by the slider view. The min and max of color scale domain changes depending on selected year of slider.


## ❖ Line charts

In milestone 1, we displayed initial line charts in order figure out they would look like in the final implement. We didn't implement interaction between map and line charts and initial line chart as follow:




**Figure 12: Initial home-value line chart milestone 1**

Final line charts view includes two charts. One represents home value index while another chart displays rent cost data. Our final charts look like:



**Figure 13: Home-value line chart in final implementation**


In home value chart, x-axis shows a period time from 1996 to 2016 in 1-year increment.



This is an example of map and home value chart views when user clicks on Florida, Texas, Nebraska and Ohio states in order to compare house prices


Likewise, our rent cost chart as below:

## Rent Chart




**Figure 14: Rent line chart in final implementation**

In rent chart, x-axis shows a period time from 2010 to 2016 in 4-month increment.



An example of rent chart responses on states click events. In this case, user clicks on 5 states Arizona, New Mexico, Utah, Iowa and Arkansas in order to compare rent costs

In addition, we used tooltips to show state names, time and rent/house cost in each line to make our line more detail.



**Figure 15: Example of tooltip and highlight implementation in final visualization**

### ❖ Detail View

As we mentioned in map view part, detail view is also able to interact with US map when user clicks on one or more states. Figure 16 is an example of state information that we'd like to show on a card for each state. There are capital, largest city, statehood, population, area, land area, water area and how many representatives. In our opinion, they are important information because house and rent prices somewhat depend on land area and population.

## States Insights

### Arizona

- Capital: Phoenix
- Largest City: Phoenix
- Statehood: Feb. 14, 1912
- Population (2015): 6,828,065
- Area: 113,990 Square Miles
- Land Area: 113,594 Square Miles
- Water Area: 396 Square Miles
- Representatives: 9

*Figure 16: Arizona detail view card*

## States Insights

### California


- Capital: Sacramento
- Largest City: Los Angeles
- Statehood: Sep. 9, 1850
- Population (2015): 39,144,818
- Area: 163,695 Square Miles
- Land Area: 155,779 Square Miles
- Water Area: 7,916 Square Miles
- Representatives: 53

### Utah

- Capital: Salt Lake City
- Largest City: Salt Lake City
- Statehood: Jan. 4, 1896
- Population (2015): 2,995,919
- Area: 84,897 Square Miles
- Land Area: 82,170 Square Miles
- Water Area: 2,727 Square Miles
- Representatives: 4

### Idaho


- Capital: Boise
- Largest City: Boise
- Statehood: Jul. 3, 1890
- Population (2015): 1,654,930
- Area: 83,569 Square Miles
- Land Area: 82,643 Square Miles
- Water Area: 926 Square Miles
- Representatives: 2



An example of detail view responses on states click events. In this case, user clicks on 3 states California, Utah and Idaho in order to view info

*Figure 17: Example for detail view card responses on states click even*


## ❖ Bubble chart



*Figure 18: Initial bubble chart in milestone 1*


In milestone 1, we had initial bubble chart and slider with time scale from 1996 to 2016 in 1-year increment. In the chart, X-axis represents state size rank by population while Y-axis represents housing cost. We were worry that bubbles would be overlapped so that we set it interactive with slider in order to see what happens and think of a better way.

In final implement, we've kept bubble chart as the way it was. However, instead of setting color range based on state size rank, we fill bubbles with the same color since Vinitha suggested that it didn't make sense for her. We believe it's a great comment. Our final bubble looks like:



**Figure 19: Final bubble chart implementation**

As we can easy see in figure 19, the chart interacts with slider with time range of 20 years from 1996 to 2016 in 1-year increment. X-axis represents ZVHI house price. The bubble chart also answers the question how the 2008 financial crisis affected the housing market.



**Figure 20: Bubble chart reveals 2008 financial crisis affected the housing market**

After exploration, we can tell 2008 financial crisis affected house cost in all states and the cost tended to rise since 2012. California, Hawaii and District of Columbia are always the priciest states in US.




## 8. Evaluation

Our team is new in D3 but we feel that our final visualization quite successful. The most important thing is that we had minimal deviations from our proposal, made improvements and followed all of the TA's suggestions. We greatly appreciated the TA's and peers feedback. They're great and helped improve our final project.

Our visualization shows correct data sets and interacts well between the different views. We think that our favorite part is the interaction between map and line chart view. They both visualize the project goal effectively and detail. We believe that the map is better than it is in proposal when we've added tabs to let user select house or rent dataset.

Moreover, teamwork is very important and vital to success the final project. Our teamwork is great and we work well together.

It is interesting to note that the 2008 financial crisis affected many states differently. Some States saw significant decreases in home value while others saw very little, if any discernible, decreases in value (See Fig 21). Rental rates across States seem to be relatively stable through the years, unfortunately we are lacking the data to determine how rent was affected by the crisis in 2008.



**Figure 21: How 2008 Crisis Affected States Differently**