# 資料科學方法論-HW1

## 魏上傑

### 2023-03-09

- 1. Independently toss a coin n times. Let p = P(head). Model the number of heads by a Binomial distribution.
- (a) What is the probability of obtaining x heads,  $0 \le x \le n$ ?

$$\binom{n}{x}p^x(1-p)^{n-x}$$

(b) Suppose n is even. What is the probability of obtaining n/2 heads?

$$\binom{n}{n/2} p^{n/2} (1-p)^{n/2}$$

(c) Using The Stirling formula to approximate.

$$\begin{pmatrix} n \\ n/2 \end{pmatrix} p^{n/2} (1-p)^{n/2}$$
 
$$\approx \frac{n!}{(\frac{n}{2})!(\frac{n}{2})!} p^{n/2} (1-p)^{n/2}$$
 
$$\approx \frac{\sqrt{2n\pi}(n/e)^n}{\sqrt{n\pi}(n/2e)^{n/2}} \sqrt{n\pi}(n/2e)^{n/2} p^{n/2} (1-p)^{n/2}$$
 
$$\approx \frac{\sqrt{2}(n/e)^n}{\sqrt{n\pi}(n/2e)^n} p^{n/2} (1-p)^{n/2}$$
 
$$\approx \frac{2^{n+\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{n\pi}} p^{n/2} (1-p)^{n/2}$$

(d)

## n= 20 approximate probability= 0.1784124 exact probability= 0.1761971
## n= 100 approximate probability= 0.07978846 exact probability= 0.07958924
## n= 500 approximate probability= 0.03568248 exact probability= 0.03566465
## n= 1000 approximate probability= 0.02523133 exact probability= 0.02522502

n	20	100	500	1000
Approx.	0.1784124	0.07978846	0.03568248	0.02523133
<del></del>				
Exact	0.1761971	0.07958924	0.03566465	0.02522502
<del></del>				

從表格可以看出利用 Stirling formula 逼近的結果會些微高估實際的值。

(e)

```
approxi <- function(n, p){
   return ((2^(n+0.5))*p^(n/2)*(1-p)^(n/2)/sqrt(n*pi))
}

exact <- function(n,p){
   return (choose(n, n/2)*p^(n/2)*(1-p)^(n/2))
}</pre>
```

```
## n= 20 approximate probability= 0.05494141 exact probability= 0.0542592
## n= 100 approximate probability= 0.0002209601 exact probability= 0.0002204084
## n= 500 approximate probability= 5.811985e-15 exact probability= 5.809079e-15
## n= 1000 approximate probability= 6.693894e-28 exact probability= 6.692221e-28
```

n	20	100	500	1000
Approx.	0.05494141	0.0002209601	5.811985e-15	6.693894e-28
<del></del>				
Exact	0.0542592	0.0002204084	5.809079e-15	6.692221e-28
<del></del>				

從表格可以看出利用 Stirling formula 逼近的結果會些微高估實際的值。

#### (f) Conclude from (d) and (e)

可以看出利用 Stirling formula 逼近的結果會些微高估實際的值。另外,p=1/2 的計算結果明顯高於p=1/3 的計算結果。

- 2. Independently toss a coin 100 times.
- (a) If 50 heads appear, is this coin fair?

```
n <- 100 #large sample
p <- 0.5
p_hat <- 50/n
zstat <- (p_hat - p) / sqrt(p*(1-p)/n)
p_value <- 2*pnorm(-abs(zstat)) # pnorm calculate the cdf of normal
p_value</pre>
```

$$H_0: p = \frac{1}{2}$$

The null hypothesis is that the coin is fair, since the p-value is large, we do not reject H0, and thus this coin is fair.

(b) If 5 heads appear, is this coin fair? Hint: use CLT to conduct hypothesis testings

```
n <- 100
p <- 0.5
p_hat <- 5/n
zstat <- (p_hat - p) / sqrt(p*(1-p)/n)
p_value <- 2*pnorm(-abs(zstat))
p_value</pre>
```

```
## [1] 2.257177e-19
```

The null hypothesis is that the coin is fair, since the p-value is small, we reject H0, and thus this coin is not fair.

3.

Find a sequence of  $p_i$  such that  $\sum p_i(1-p_i) \to \infty$  Hint: check  $p_i=i^{-1}, p_i=i^{-2}$ 

```
# 定義兩個序列
p1 <- (1:(10^5)) ^ -1
p2 <- (1:(10^5)) ^ -2

# 計算 p_i(1-p_i)
s1 <- sum(p1 * (1 - p1))
s2 <- sum(p2 * (1 - p2))

# 印出結果
cat("For p_i = i^-1, the sum p_i(1-p_i) is:", s1, "\n")

## For p_i = i^-1, the sum p_i(1-p_i) is: 10.44522
```

```
cat("For p_i = i^-2, the sum p_i(1-p_i) is:", s2, "\n")
```

```
## For p_i = i^-2, the sum p_i(1-p_i) is: 0.5626008
```

According to the results above, it seems that  $p_i=i^{-1}$  will have  $\sum p_i(1-p_i)\to\infty$ , but not for  $p_i=i^{-2}$ 

4.

樣本平均值的分佈趨近於常態分佈的條件是:

- 母體分佈是有限期望值和有限變異數的分佈。
- 樣本數目足夠大,通常是樣本數目大於30。

然而,standard Cauchy 的期望值和變異數不存在,inverse chi-square 的期望值 ( $\nu$ >2) 和變異數 ( $\nu$ >4) 則有其定義範圍,另外,inverse chi-square 變異數只與自由度有關,不會隨著樣本數目的增加而變小,因此它們違反了 CLT 的假設。

5.

```
data(cars)
str(cars)
```

```
## 'data.frame': 50 obs. of 2 variables:
## $ speed: num 4 4 7 7 8 9 10 10 10 11 ...
## $ dist : num 2 10 4 22 16 10 18 26 34 17 ...
```

## head(cars)

```
##
     speed dist
         4
## 1
              2
## 2
        4
             10
## 3
        7 4
## 4
        7
             22
## 5
        8
             16
## 6
         9
             10
```

#### tail(cars)

```
##
      speed dist
## 45
         23
               54
## 46
         24
              70
## 47
         24
               92
## 48
         24
              93
         24 120
## 49
## 50
         25
               85
```

#### library(dplyr)

```
##
## Attaching package: 'dplyr'
## The following objects are masked from 'package:stats':
##
##
       filter, lag
## The following objects are masked from 'package:base':
##
##
       intersect, setdiff, setequal, union
lm(dist~speed, data=cars) %>% summary()
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = dist ~ speed, data = cars)
##
## Residuals:
##
      Min
               1Q Median
                               3Q
                                      Max
## -29.069 -9.525 -2.272 9.215 43.201
##
## Coefficients:
##
              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) -17.5791
                           6.7584 -2.601
                                            0.0123 *
## speed
                3.9324
                           0.4155
                                    9.464 1.49e-12 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 15.38 on 48 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.6511, Adjusted R-squared: 0.6438
## F-statistic: 89.57 on 1 and 48 DF, p-value: 1.49e-12
```

由上面結果可以看出, speed of cars 對 distances taken to stop the cars 有正相關與統計顯著性, 速度每增加 1 單位會造成距離增加 3.93 單位, 兩者之間的正向關係符合我們的預期。

```
data("chickwts")
str(chickwts)
## 'data.frame':
                  71 obs. of 2 variables:
   $ weight: num 179 160 136 227 217 168 108 124 143 140 ...
   $ feed : Factor w/ 6 levels "casein", "horsebean", ...: 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 ...
##
head(chickwts)
##
    weight
                feed
## 1
       179 horsebean
## 2
      160 horsebean
     136 horsebean
## 3
## 4
      227 horsebean
     217 horsebean
## 5
     168 horsebean
## 6
tail(chickwts)
##
     weight
             feed
        352 casein
## 66
## 67
        359 casein
      216 casein
## 68
       222 casein
## 69
## 70
      283 casein
## 71
        332 casein
lm(weight~feed, data=chickwts) %>% summary()
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = weight ~ feed, data = chickwts)
##
## Residuals:
       Min
                 1Q
                    Median
                                   3Q
                                           Max
## -123.909 -34.413 1.571 38.170 103.091
##
## Coefficients:
##
                Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
                             15.834 20.436 < 2e-16 ***
                 323.583
## (Intercept)
```

```
23.485 -6.957 2.07e-09 ***
## feedhorsebean -163.383
## feedlinseed -104.833
                            22.393 -4.682 1.49e-05 ***
## feedmeatmeal -46.674
                            22.896 -2.039 0.045567 *
## feedsoybean -77.155
                            21.578 -3.576 0.000665 ***
## feedsunflower
                   5.333
                            22.393 0.238 0.812495
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 54.85 on 65 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.5417, Adjusted R-squared: 0.5064
## F-statistic: 15.36 on 5 and 65 DF, p-value: 5.936e-10
```

由上面結果可以看出,以 casein 為基準組,horsebean, linseed, meatmeal soybean 對小雞體重的效果都不如 casein,而且結果具統計顯著性,例如用 horsebean 餵食的小雞,平均而言體重比用 casein 餵食的小雞少 163.383 單位。

使用 sunflower 餵食的小雞雖然似乎比使用 casein 餵食的小雞好點,但不具統計顯著性,換言之用 sunflower 或用 casein 餵食小雞在統計上並無顯著差異。