

1. (20%)

Ans.

(a) Yes, ε_t is weak-stationary.

$$E(\varepsilon_t) = 0$$

$$Var(\varepsilon_t) = \sigma_\varepsilon^2 < \infty$$

$$Cov(\varepsilon_t, \varepsilon_{t-k}) = \gamma(k) = \begin{cases} \sigma_\varepsilon^2 & \text{if } k = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } k > 0 \end{cases}$$

□

(b) No, Y_t is not weak-stationary.

$$E(Y_t) = \beta_1 + \beta_2 t \text{ (change over } t)$$

□

(c) Yes, $\Delta Y_t = Y_t - Y_{t-1}$ is weak-stationary.

$$\Delta Y_t = Y_t - Y_{t-1} = \beta_2 + \varepsilon_t - \varepsilon_{t-1}$$

$$\Rightarrow E(\Delta Y_t) = \beta_2$$

$$Var(\Delta Y_t) = 2\sigma_\varepsilon^2 < \infty$$

$$Cov(\Delta Y_t, \Delta Y_{t-k}) = \gamma(k) = \begin{cases} 2\sigma_\varepsilon^2 & \text{if } k = 0 \\ -\sigma_\varepsilon^2 & \text{if } k = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } k > 1 \end{cases}$$

□

$$(d) \ u_t = \frac{1}{2q+1} \sum_{j=-q}^q Y_{t-j} = \frac{1}{2q+1} \sum_{j=-q}^q [\beta_1 + \beta_2(t-j) + \varepsilon_{t-j}] = \beta_1 + \beta_2 t + \frac{1}{2q+1} \sum_{j=-q}^q \varepsilon_{t-j}$$

$$\Rightarrow E(u_t) = \beta_1 + \beta_2 t + \frac{1}{2q+1} \sum_{j=-q}^q E(\varepsilon_{t-j}) = \beta_1 + \beta_2 t$$

□

2. (20%)

Ans.

(a) \because stationary \therefore all roots of $\beta(z)$ lie outside the unit root circle, so 1 is not a root of $\beta(z)$

$$\Rightarrow \beta(1) \neq 0$$

□

(b) \because stationary $\therefore E(Y_t) = E(Y_{t-p}) \ \forall p$

$$E(Y_t) = \alpha + \beta_1 E(Y_{t-1}) + \beta_2 E(Y_{t-2}) + \cdots + \beta_p E(Y_{t-p}) + E(e_t)$$

$$\Rightarrow E(Y_t) = \frac{\alpha}{1 - \beta_1 - \beta_2 - \cdots - \beta_p}$$

□

(c)

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{Y}_t &= Y_t - E(Y_t) \Rightarrow E(\tilde{Y}_t) = \beta(L)^{-1}E(e_t) = 0 \\
\gamma(0) &= \text{Var}(Y_t) = E(\tilde{Y}_t^2) = E(\tilde{Y}_t[\beta_1\tilde{Y}_{t-1} + \beta_2\tilde{Y}_{t-2} + \cdots + \beta_p\tilde{Y}_{t-p} + e_t]) \\
&= \beta_1\gamma(1) + \cdots + \beta_p\gamma(p) + \sigma^2 \\
\gamma(1) &= E(\tilde{Y}_t\tilde{Y}_{t-1}) = E([\beta_1\tilde{Y}_{t-1} + \beta_2\tilde{Y}_{t-2} + \cdots + \beta_p\tilde{Y}_{t-p} + e_t]\tilde{Y}_{t-1}) \\
&= \beta_1\gamma(0) + \beta_2\gamma(1) + \cdots + \beta_p\gamma(p-1) \\
\gamma(2) &= E(\tilde{Y}_t\tilde{Y}_{t-2}) = E([\beta_1\tilde{Y}_{t-1} + \beta_2\tilde{Y}_{t-2} + \cdots + \beta_p\tilde{Y}_{t-p} + e_t]\tilde{Y}_{t-2}) \\
&= \beta_1\gamma(1) + \beta_2\gamma(0) + \beta_3\gamma(1) + \cdots + \beta_p\gamma(p-2) \\
\gamma(p) &= E(\tilde{Y}_t\tilde{Y}_{t-p}) = E([\beta_1\tilde{Y}_{t-1} + \beta_2\tilde{Y}_{t-2} + \cdots + \beta_p\tilde{Y}_{t-p} + e_t]\tilde{Y}_{t-p}) \\
&= \beta_1\gamma(p-1) + \cdots + \beta_p\gamma(0) \\
\Rightarrow 1 &= \beta_1\rho(1) + \cdots + \beta_p\rho(p) + \frac{\sigma^2}{\gamma(0)} \\
\text{Var}(Y_t) = \gamma(0) &= \frac{\sigma^2}{1 - \beta_1\rho(1) - \beta_2\rho(2) - \cdots - \beta_p\rho(p)}
\end{aligned}$$

□

(d)

$$\begin{aligned}
Y_t &= \alpha + \beta_1Y_{t-1} + \beta_2Y_{t-2} + \cdots + \beta_pY_{t-p} + e_t \\
E(Y_t) &= \alpha + \beta_1E(Y_{t-1}) + \beta_2E(Y_{t-2}) + \cdots + \beta_pE(Y_{t-p}) + E(e_t) \\
\Rightarrow Y_t - E(Y_t) &= \beta_1[Y_{t-1} - E(Y_{t-1})] + \beta_2[Y_{t-2} - E(Y_{t-2})] + \cdots + \beta_p[Y_{t-p} - E(Y_{t-p})] + e_t \\
\tilde{Y}_t &= \beta_1\tilde{Y}_{t-1} + \beta_2\tilde{Y}_{t-2} + \cdots + \beta_p\tilde{Y}_{t-p} + e_t \\
\Rightarrow \beta(L)\tilde{Y}_t &= e_t
\end{aligned}$$

□

3. (10%)

Ans.

(a)

$$\begin{aligned}
E(e_t|\varepsilon_{t-1}, \varepsilon_{t-2}, \cdots) &= E(\varepsilon_t + \varepsilon_{t-1}\varepsilon_{t-2}|\varepsilon_{t-1}, \varepsilon_{t-2}, \cdots) \\
&= E(\varepsilon_t|\varepsilon_{t-1}, \varepsilon_{t-2}, \cdots) + \varepsilon_{t-1}\varepsilon_{t-2} \\
&= \varepsilon_{t-1}\varepsilon_{t-2} \neq 0 \\
\Rightarrow e_t &\text{ is not a MDS}
\end{aligned}$$

□

(b)

$$\begin{aligned}
E(e_t) &= E(\varepsilon_t) + E(\varepsilon_{t-1})E(\varepsilon_{t-2}) = 0 \neq \varepsilon_{t-1}\varepsilon_{t-2} = E(e_t|\varepsilon_{t-1}, \varepsilon_{t-2}, \cdots) \\
\Rightarrow e_t &\text{ is not an i.i.d. process}
\end{aligned}$$

□

4. (15%)

Ans.

(a) Y_t is an infinite order MA(∞) process,

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\theta^j| = \frac{1}{1-|\theta|} < \infty \text{ (absolutely summable)}$$

$$\Rightarrow Y_t \text{ is weak-stationary}$$

□

(b)

$$Var(Y_t) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (\theta^j)^2 Var(e_{t-j}) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \theta^{2j} \sigma^2 = \frac{\sigma^2}{1-\theta^2}$$

□

(c)

$$\Psi(k) = \frac{\partial Y_{t+k}}{\partial e_t} = \theta^k = 0.5$$

$$\Rightarrow k = \frac{\log(0.5)}{\log(\theta)} \text{ is the half-life of the impulse responses}$$

□

5. (20%)

Ans.

(a)

$$E(Y_t) = E(X_t) + E(e_t) = \mu$$

$$Var(Y_t) = \sigma_X^2 + \sigma_e^2 < \infty$$

$$Cov(Y_t, Y_{t-k}) = Cov(X_t + e_t, X_{t-k} + e_{t-k}) = \gamma(k) = \begin{cases} \sigma_X^2 + \sigma_e^2 & \text{if } k = 0 \\ \sigma_X^2 \rho_X(k) & \text{if } k > 0 \end{cases}$$

$\Rightarrow Y_t$ is also weakly stationary

□

(b)

$$Corr(Y_t, Y_{t-k}) = \frac{Cov(Y_t, Y_{t-k})}{Var(Y_t)} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k = 0 \\ \frac{\sigma_X^2 \rho_X(k)}{\sigma_X^2 + \sigma_e^2} & \text{if } k > 0 \end{cases}$$

□

(c) $\eta = \frac{\sigma_X^2}{\sigma_e^2},$

$$\rho_Y(k) = \frac{\sigma_X^2 \rho_X(k)}{\sigma_X^2 + \sigma_e^2} = \frac{\rho_X(k)}{1 + \frac{\sigma_e^2}{\sigma_X^2}} = \frac{\rho_X(k)}{1 + \frac{1}{\eta}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \rho_Y(k) \longrightarrow \rho_X(k) \text{ as } \eta \longrightarrow \infty$$

□

- (d) When the signal variation (σ_X^2) is sufficiently larger than the measurement noise variation (σ_e^2), the $\{Y_t\}$ process approaches the $\{X_t\}$ process.

□

6. (10%, 5%)

Ans.

(a) $\theta = \frac{1}{\phi}, \sigma_u^2 = \phi^2 \sigma_\varepsilon^2,$

$$Cov(Y_t, Y_{t-k}) = \gamma(k) = \begin{cases} (1 + \phi^2) \sigma_\varepsilon^2 & \text{if } k = 0 \\ \phi \sigma_\varepsilon^2 & \text{if } k = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } k > 1 \end{cases}$$

$$Cov(X_t, X_{t-k}) = \gamma(k) = \begin{cases} (1 + \theta^2) \sigma_u^2 & \text{if } k = 0 \\ \theta \sigma_u^2 & \text{if } k = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } k > 1 \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow \phi \sigma_\varepsilon^2 = \frac{1}{\phi} \sigma_u^2 = \theta \sigma_u^2$$

$$(1 + \phi^2) \sigma_\varepsilon^2 = (1 + \phi^2) \frac{1}{\phi^2} \sigma_u^2 = (1 + \frac{1}{\phi^2}) \sigma_u^2 = (1 + \theta^2) \sigma_u^2$$

□

- (b) Any invertible MA process has a non-invertible MA counterpart.

□