


International Economics: Theory and Policy

Twelfth Edition



Chapter 4

Specific Factors and
Income Distribution

Learning Objectives

- 4.1 Understand how a mobile factor will respond to price changes by moving across sectors.
- 4.2 Explain why trade will generate both winners and losers in the short run.
- 4.3 Understand the meaning of gains from trade when there are losers.
- 4.4 Discuss the reasons why trade is a politically contentious issue.
- 4.5 Explain the arguments in favor of free trade despite the existence of losers.

Preview

- Introduction
- The Specific Factors Model
- International Trade in the Specific Factors Model
- Income Distribution and the Gains from Trade
- Politics of Trade Protection
- International Labor Mobility
- Summary

Introduction

- If trade is so good for the economy, why is there such opposition?
- Two main reasons why international trade has strong effects on the distribution of income within a country:
 - Resources cannot move immediately or costlessly from one industry to another.
 - Industries differ in the factors of production they demand.

The Specific Factors Model (1 of 4)

- The **specific factors model** allows trade to affect income distribution.
- Assumptions of the model:
 - Two goods, cloth and food.
 - Three factors of production: labor (L), capital (K), and land (T for terrain).
 - Perfect competition prevails in all markets.

The Specific Factors Model (2 of 4)

- Cloth produced using capital and labor (but not land).
- Food produced using land and labor (but not capital).
- Labor is a mobile factor that can move between sectors.
- Land and capital are both specific factors used only in the production of one good.

The Specific Factors Model (3 of 4)

- How much of each good does the economy produce?
- The production function for cloth gives the quantity of cloth that can be produced given any input of capital and labor:

$$Q_C = Q_C(K, L_C)$$

- Q_C is the output of cloth
- K is the capital stock
- L_C is the labor force employed in cloth

The Specific Factors Model (4 of 4)

- The production function for food gives the quantity of food that can be produced given any input of land and labor:


$$Q_F = Q_F(T, L_F)$$

- Q_F is the output of food
- T is the supply of land
- L_F is the labor force employed in food

Production Possibilities (1 of 6)

- How does the economy's mix of output change as labor is shifted from one sector to the other?
- When labor moves from food to cloth, food production falls while output of cloth rises.
- Figure 4.1 illustrates the production function for cloth.

Figure 4.1 The Production Function for Cloth




The more labor employed in the production of cloth, the larger the output. As a result of diminishing returns, however, each successive person-hour increases output by less than the previous one; this is shown by the fact that the curve relating labor input to output gets flatter at higher levels of employment.

Production Possibilities (2 of 6)

- The shape of the production function reflects the law of **diminishing marginal returns**.
 - Adding one worker to the production process (without increasing the amount of capital) means that each worker has less capital to work with.
 - Therefore, each additional unit of labor adds less output than the last.
- Figure 4.2 shows the **marginal product of labor**, which is the increase in output that corresponds to an extra unit of labor.

Figure 4.2 The Marginal Product of Labor



The marginal product of labor in the cloth sector, equal to the slope of the production function shown in Figure 4.1, is lower the more labor the sector employs.

Production Possibilities (3 of 6)

- For the economy as a whole, the total labor employed in cloth and food must equal the total labor supply:


$$L_C + L_F = L$$

- Use these equations to derive the **production possibilities frontier** of the economy.

Production Possibilities (4 of 6)

- Use a four-quadrant diagram to construct production possibilities frontier in Figure 4.3.
 - Lower left quadrant indicates the allocation of labor.
 - Lower right quadrant shows the production function for cloth from Figure 4.1.
 - Upper left quadrant shows the corresponding production function for food.
 - Upper right quadrant indicates the combinations of cloth and food that can be produced.

Figure 4.3 The Production Possibility Frontier in the Specific Factors Model



PP in the upper-right quadrant shows the economy's production possibilities for given supplies of land, labor, and capital. Due to diminishing returns, PP is a bowed-out curve instead of a straight line.

Production Possibilities (5 of 6)

- Why is the production possibilities frontier curved?
 - Diminishing returns to labor in each sector cause the opportunity cost to rise when an economy produces more of a good.
 - Opportunity cost of cloth in terms of food is the slope of the production possibilities frontier—the slope becomes steeper as an economy produces more cloth.

Production Possibilities (6 of 6)

- Opportunity cost of producing one more yard of cloth is $\frac{MPL_F}{MPL_C}$ pounds of food.
 - To produce one more yard of cloth, you need $\frac{1}{MPL_C}$ hours of labor.
 - To free up one hour of labor, you must reduce output of food by MPL_F pounds.
 - To produce less food and more cloth, employ less in food and more in cloth.
 - The marginal product of labor in food rises and the marginal product of labor in cloth falls, so $\frac{MPL_F}{MPL_C}$ rises.

Prices, Wages, and Labor Allocation (1 of 10)

- How much labor is employed in each sector?
 - Need to look at supply and demand in the labor market.
- Demand for labor:
 - In each sector, employers will maximize profits by demanding labor up to the point where the value produced by an additional hour equals the marginal cost of employing a worker for that hour.

Prices, Wages, and Labor Allocation (2 of 10)

- The demand curve for labor in the cloth sector:

$$MPL_C \times P_C = W$$

- The wage equals the value of the marginal product of labor in manufacturing.

- The demand curve for labor in the food sector:

$$MPL_F \times P_F = W$$

- The wage equals the value of the marginal product of labor in food.


Prices, Wages, and Labor Allocation (3 of 10)

- Figure 4.4 represents labor demand in the two sectors.
- The demand for labor in the cloth sector is MPL_C from Figure 4.2 multiplied by P_C
- The demand for labor in the food sector is measured from the right.
- The horizontal axis represents the total labor supply L .

Prices, Wages, and Labor Allocation (4 of 10)

- The two sectors must pay the same wage because labor can move between sectors.
- If the wage were higher in the cloth sector, workers would move from making food to making cloth until the wages become equal.
 - Or if the wage were higher in the food sector, workers would move in the other direction.
- Where the labor demand curves intersect gives the equilibrium wage and allocation of labor between the two sectors.

Figure 4.4 The Allocation of Labor




Labor is allocated so that the value of its marginal product ($P \times MPL$) is the same in the cloth and food sectors. In equilibrium, the wage rate is equal to the value of labor's marginal product.

Prices, Wages, and Labor Allocation (5 of 10)

- At the production point, the production possibility frontier must be tangent to a line whose slope is minus the price of cloth divided by that of food.
- Relationship between relative prices and output:

$$-\frac{MPL_F}{MPL_C} = -\frac{P_C}{P_F}$$

Figure 4.5 Production in the Specific Factors Model



The economy produces at the point on its production possibility frontier (PP) where the slope of that frontier equals minus the relative price of cloth.


Prices, Wages, and Labor Allocation (6 of 10)

- What happens to the allocation of labor and the distribution of income when the prices of food and cloth change?
- Two cases:
 1. An equal proportional change in prices
 2. A change in relative prices

Prices, Wages, and Labor Allocation (7 of 10)

- When both prices change in the same proportion, no real changes occur.
 - The wage rate (w) rises in the same proportion as the prices, so real wages (i.e., the ratios of the wage rate to the prices of goods) are unaffected.
 - The real incomes of capital owners and landowners also remain the same.

Figure 4.6 An Equal-Proportional Increase in the Prices of Cloth and Food




The labor demand curves in cloth and food both shift up in proportion to the rise in P_C from P_C^1 to P_C^2 and the rise in P_F from P_F^1 to P_F^2 .

The wage rate rises in the same proportion, from w^1 to w^2 but the allocation of labor between the two sectors does not change.

Prices, Wages, and Labor Allocation (8 of 10)

- When only P_C rises, labor shifts from the food sector to the cloth sector and the output of cloth rises while that of food falls.
- The wage rate (w) does not rise as much as P_C since cloth employment increases and thus the marginal product of labor in that sector falls.


Figure 4.7 A Rise in the Price of Cloth



The cloth labor demand curve rises in proportion to the 7 percent increase in P_C , but the wage rate rises less than proportionately.


Labor moves from the food sector to the cloth sector. Output of cloth rises; output of food falls.

Figure 4.8 The Response of Output to a Change in the Relative Price of Cloth



The economy always produces at the point on its production possibility frontier (PP) where the slope of PP equals minus the relative price of cloth. Thus, an increase in $P_C > P_F$ causes production to move down and to the right along the production possibility frontier corresponding to higher output of cloth and lower output of food.

Figure 4.9 Determination of Relative Prices



In the specific factors model, a higher relative price of cloth will lead to an increase in the output of cloth relative to that of food. Thus, the relative supply curve RS is upward sloping. Equilibrium relative quantities and prices are determined by the intersection of RS with the relative demand curve RD .

Prices, Wages, and Labor Allocation (9 of 10)

- Relative Prices and the Distribution of Income
 - Suppose that P_C increases by 10%. Then, the wage would rise by less than 10%.
- What is the economic effect of this price increase on the incomes of the following three groups?
 - Workers, owners of capital, and owners of land


Prices, Wages, and Labor Allocation (10 of 10)

- Owners of capital are definitely better off.
- Landowners are definitely worse off.
- Workers: cannot say whether workers are better or worse off:
 - Depends on the relative importance of cloth and food in workers' consumption.

International Trade in the Specific Factors Model (1 of 3)

- Trade and Relative Prices
 - The relative price of cloth prior to trade is determined by the intersection of the economy's relative supply of cloth and its relative demand.
 - Free trade relative price of cloth is determined by the intersection of world relative supply of cloth and world relative demand.
 - Opening up to trade increases the relative price of cloth in an economy whose relative supply of cloth is larger than for the world as a whole.

Figure 4.10 Trade and Relative Prices



The figure shows the relative supply curve for the specific factors economy along with the world relative supply curve. The differences between the two relative supply curves can be due to either technology or resource differences across countries. There are no differences in relative demand across countries. Opening up to trade induces an increase in the relative price from $(P_C / P_F)^1$ to $(P_C / P_F)^2$.

International Trade in the Specific Factors Model (2 of 3)

- Gains from trade
 - Without trade, the economy's output of a good must equal its consumption.
 - International trade allows the mix of cloth and food consumed to differ from the mix produced.
 - The country cannot spend more than it earns:

$$P_C D_C + P_F D_F = P_C Q_C + P_F Q_F$$

International Trade in the Specific Factors Model (3 of 3)


- The economy as a whole gains from trade.
 - It imports an amount of food equal to the relative price of cloth times the amount of cloth exported:

$$D_F - Q_F = \left(\frac{P_C}{P_F} \right) \times (Q_C - D_C)$$

- It is able to afford amounts of cloth and food that the country is not able to produce itself.
- The budget constraint with trade lies above the production possibilities frontier in Figure 4.11.

Figure 4.11 Budget Constraint for a Trading Economy and Gains from Trade

Point 2 represents the economy's production. The economy can choose its consumption point along its budget constraint (a line that passes through point 2 and has a slope equal to minus the relative price of cloth). Before trade, the economy must consume what it produces, such as point 1 on the production possibility frontier (PP). The portion of the budget constraint in the colored region consists of feasible post-trade consumption choices, with consumption of both goods higher than at pretrade point 1.



Politics of Trade Protection (1 of 4)

- International trade shifts the relative price of cloth to food, so factor prices change.
- Trade benefits the factor that is specific to the export sector of each country, but hurts the factor that is specific to the import-competing sectors.
- Trade has ambiguous effects on mobile factors.

Politics of Trade Protection (2 of 4)

- Trade benefits a country by expanding choices.
 - Possible to redistribute income so that everyone gains from trade.
 - Those who gain from trade could compensate those who lose and still be better off themselves.
 - That everyone could gain from trade does not mean that they actually do—redistribution usually hard to implement.

Politics of Trade Protection (3 of 4)

- Trade often produces losers as well as winners.
- Optimal trade policy must weigh one group's gain against another's loss.
 - Some groups may need special treatment because they are already relatively poor (e.g., shoe and garment workers in the United States).
- Most economists strongly favor free trade.

Politics of Trade Protection (4 of 4)

- Typically, those who gain from trade are a much less concentrated, informed, and organized group than those who lose.
 - Example: Consumers and producers in the U.S. sugar industry, respectively
- Governments usually provide a “safety net” of income support to cushion the losses to groups hurt by trade (or other changes).

Trade and Unemployment (1 of 3)

- Trade shifts jobs from import-competing to export sector.
 - Process not instantaneous—some workers will be unemployed as they look for new jobs.
- How much unemployment can be traced back to trade?
 - From 2001 to 2010, only about 2% of involuntary displacements stemmed from import competition or plants moved overseas.


Trade and Unemployment (2 of 3)

- **U.S. Trade Adjustment Assistance program** provides extended unemployment coverage (for an additional year) and tuition reimbursement (for new job skill acquisitions) to workers who are displaced by a plant closure.
 - To qualify, the plant closure must be due to import competition or an overseas relocation to a country receiving preferential access to the United States.

Trade and Unemployment (3 of 3)

- Figure 4.12 shows that there is no evidence of a positive correlation between unemployment and imports (relative to U.S. GDP) for the United States.
 - After 2012, both imports and unemployment do drop significantly; However, the drop in imports was driven by falling oil prices. Non-oil imports as a share of U.S. GDP remained stable in those years.
- Unemployment is primarily a macroeconomic problem that rises during recessions.
 - The best way to reduce unemployment is by adopting macroeconomic policies to help the economy recover, not by adopting trade protection.

Figure 4.12 Unemployment and Import Penetration in the United States



The highlighted years are recession years, as determined by the National Bureau of Economic Research.

Source: U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis for imports and U.S. Bureau of Labor Studies for unemployment.


Manufacturing Employment and Chinese Import Competition (1 of 2)

- Is import competition from developing countries—especially from China—at fault for declines in manufacturing employment in the United States?
 - Would closing off the United States from trade with China increase the share of employment in U.S. manufacturing?
- Some workers in sectors that compete with Chinese imports may lose their jobs and take time to find new jobs.
 - Meanwhile, jobs are opening up in the export sectors of the economy.
 - What is the net effect of increase import competition on manufacturing employment?

Manufacturing Employment and Chinese Import Competition (2 of 2)

- Figure 4.13 shows that this manufacturing employment share has been steadily decreasing over the last half-century.
- U.S. manufacturing was still producing the same quantity of goods, but was using fewer and fewer workers (due to increase productivity).
- Estimate share of manufacturing employment using data from before China became a major source of U.S. imports.
 - Accurate fit to manufacturing employment now.
 - China appears to have little, if any, effect.

Figure 4.13 U.S. Manufacturing Employment and Imports from China



Manufacturing employment is measured as a percent of total U.S. non-farm employment. Imports from China are measured as a percent of the U.S. manufacturing production.

The Trump Trade War

- Substantial political pressure to protect import-competing sectors, even if leads to aggregate losses.
 - Trade protection usually limited to a few hard-hit sectors.
- The Trump administration enacted a vast set of tariffs on solar panels, washing machines, steel, aluminium, and an expanding list of manufactured good produced in China.
 - Many of the protected sectors contained a high proportion of intermediate goods imported by U.S. producers, harming jobs in these downstream sectors.
 - Retaliation by trading partners had a negative impact on employment by U.S. exporters.

International Labor Mobility (1 of 6)

- Movements in factors of production include
 - Labor migration
 - The transfer of financial assets through international borrowing and lending
 - Transactions of multinational corporations involving direct ownership of foreign firms
- Like movements of goods and services (trade), movements of factors of production are politically sensitive and are often restricted.

International Labor Mobility (2 of 6)

- Why does labor migrate and what effects does labor migration cause?
- Workers migrate to wherever wages are highest.
- Consider movement of labor across countries instead of across sectors.
- Suppose two countries produce one non-traded good (food) using two factors of production:
 - Land cannot move across countries but labor can.

International Labor Mobility (3 of 6)


- Figure 4.13 finds the equilibrium wage and labor allocation with migration across countries.
 - Similar to how Figure 4.4 determined the equilibrium allocation of labor between sectors.
- Start with OL^1 workers in Home earning a lower real wage (point *C*) than the L^1O^* workers in Foreign (point *B*).
 - Lower wage due to less land per worker (lower productivity).
- Workers in the home country want to migrate to the foreign country where they can earn more.

International Labor Mobility (4 of 6)

- If no obstacles to labor migration, workers move from Home to Foreign until the purchasing power of wages is equal across countries (point A), with OL^2 workers in Home and L^2O^* workers in Foreign.
 - Emigration from Home decreases the supply of labor and raises real wage of the workers who remain there.
 - Workers who start in the Home country earn more due to emigration regardless if they are among those who leave.
 - Immigration into Foreign increases the supply of labor and decreases the real wage there.
- Wages do not actually equalize, due to barriers to migration such as policies restricting immigration and natural reluctance to move.

Figure 4.14 Causes and Effects of International Labor Mobility

Initially, OL^1 workers are employed in Home, while L^1O^* workers are employed in Foreign. Labor migrates from Home to Foreign until OL^2 workers are employed in Home, L^2O^* in Foreign, and wages are equalized.




International Labor Mobility (5 of 6)

- Workers initially in Home benefit while workers in Foreign are hurt by inflows of other workers.
 - Landowners in Foreign gain from the inflow of workers decreasing real wages and increasing output.
 - Landowners in Home are hurt by the outflow of workers increasing real wages and decreasing output.

International Labor Mobility (6 of 6)

- Due to higher wages in Western Europe relative to its new EU partners in Eastern Europe, there have been substantial east-to-west migration flows.
 - Has this process been associated with wage convergence as predicted by our model of labor mobility? Yes, it has.
- Figure 4.15 plots the relative wage of manufacturing workers from the new 2004 member countries relative to Western Europe.
 - Large compensation differentials still persist, but the trend is toward convergence.

Figure 4.15 Eastern–Western Europe Relative Compensation 1997–2015




Western Europe includes: Austria, Belgium, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Ireland, Italy, Netherlands, Norway, Portugal, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, and the United Kingdom. Eastern Europe includes: the Czech Republic, Estonia, Hungary, Poland, Slovakia.

Source: The Conference Board International Labor Comparisons, 2016; and Orley Ashenfelter and Stepan Jurajda, "Comparing Real Wage Rates using McWages", mimeo, 2020.

Immigration and the U.S. Economy (1 of 2)

- In the early 20th century, share of immigrants in the United States increased dramatically.
 - Vast immigration from Eastern and Southern Europe.
- Tight restrictions on immigration imposed in the 1920s.
 - Immigrants were a minor force in the United States by the 1960s.
- New wave of immigration began around 1970.
 - Mostly from Latin America and Asia.
- As of 2014, 16.7% of the U.S. labor force is foreign-born.

Figure 4.16 Foreign-Born Population as a Percentage of the U.S. Population




Restrictions on immigration in the 1920s led to a sharp decline in the foreign-born population in the mid-20th century, but immigration has risen sharply again in recent decades.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau.

Immigration and the U.S. Economy (2 of 2)

- The largest increase in recent immigration occurred among workers with the lowest education levels, making less educated workers more abundant.
 - Possibly reduced wages for native-born workers with low education levels while raising wages for the more educated
 - Widening wage gap between less educated workers and highly educated workers.

Figure 4.17 Foreign-Born and Total U.S. Population Over 25 Years Old by Educational Attainment



Relative to native-born workers, foreign-born workers are concentrated in both the highest and lowest educational groups.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau.

Summary (1 of 4)

1. International trade often has strong effects on the distribution of income within countries—produces losers as well as winners.
2. Income distribution effects arise for two reasons:
 - Factors of production cannot move costlessly and quickly from one industry to another.
 - Changes in an economy's output mix have differential effects on the demand for different factors of production.

Summary (2 of 4)

3. International trade affects the distribution of income in the specific factors model.
 - Factors specific to export sectors in each country gain from trade, while factors specific to import-competing sectors lose.
 - Mobile factors that can work in either sector may either gain or lose.


Summary (3 of 4)

4. Trade nonetheless produces overall gains in the sense that those who gain could in principle compensate those who lose while still remaining better off than before.
5. Most economists would prefer to address the problem of income distribution directly, rather than by restricting trade.
6. Those hurt by trade are often better organized than those who gain, causing trade restrictions to be adopted.

Summary (4 of 4)


7. Labor migrates to countries with higher labor productivity and higher real wages, where labor is scarce.
 - Real wages fall due to immigration and rise due to emigration.
 - World output increases.
8. Real wages across countries are far from equal due to differences in technology and due to immigration barriers.

Figure 4A.1 Showing That Output Is Equal to the Area under the Marginal Product Curve




By approximating the marginal product curve with a series of thin rectangles, one can show that the total output of cloth is equal to the area under the curve.

Figure 4A.2 The Distribution of Income within the Cloth Sector




Labor income is equal to the real wage times employment. The rest of output accrues as income to the owners of capital.

Figure 4A.3 A Rise in P_C Benefits the Owners of Capital



The real wage in terms of cloth falls, leading to a rise in the income of capital owners.

Figure 4A.4 A Rise in P_C Hurts Landowners



The real wage in terms of food rises, reducing the income of land.

Copyright



This work is protected by United States copyright laws and is provided solely for the use of instructors in teaching their courses and assessing student learning. Dissemination or sale of any part of this work (including on the World Wide Web) will destroy the integrity of the work and is not permitted. The work and materials from it should never be made available to students except by instructors using the accompanying text in their classes. All recipients of this work are expected to abide by these restrictions and to honor the intended pedagogical purposes and the needs of other instructors who rely on these materials.