

## Section 4

We compute the linear representation of  $SO(2)$  on  $\mathcal{T}_2$

```
In[1]:= Clear[r];
n = 2;
vars = Table[x[i], {i, 1, n}];
rlist = Flatten[Table[r[i, j, k], {i, 1, n}, {j, 1, n}, {k, 1, n}]];
rename = Table[rlist[[m]] -> Subscript[a, 4 - m], {m, 1, 4}];
f =
  (Sum[r@@Sort[{i, j, k}] x[i] x[j] x[k], {i, 1, n}, {j, 1, n}, {k, 1, n}] /. rename)
Out[6]= a3 x[1]^3 + 3 a2 x[1]^2 x[2] + 3 a1 x[1] x[2]^2 + a0 x[2]^3
```

The last line is the expression for the cubic polynomial associate to a tensor  $\Gamma$ . Note that the coordinates are  $x[1]$  and  $x[2]$  where the indices are arguments and not subscripts.

```
In[7]:= Clear[sigma];
sigma[theta_] = {{Cos[theta], -Sin[theta]}, {Sin[theta], Cos[theta]}};
MatrixForm[sigma[theta]]
Out[9]//MatrixForm=
  ( Cos[theta]  -Sin[theta] )
  ( Sin[theta]   Cos[theta] )
```

Multiplying by this rotation matrix on the left gives the action of  $SO(2)$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  where the elements are thought of a column vectors. The action corresponds to rotating 'counter-clockwise' by an angle  $\theta$ .

The action on Tensors (or equivalently on polynomials) is given by  $\sigma \circ f(z) = f(\sigma^{-1} \cdot z)$  for  $z \in \mathbb{R}^2$ .

```
In[10]:= Substitution = Table[x[i] -> (sigma[-theta].{z[1], z[2]})[[i]], {i, 1, n}]
Out[10]=
  {x[1] -> Cos[theta] z[1] + Sin[theta] z[2], x[2] -> -Sin[theta] z[1] + Cos[theta] z[2]}
```

```
In[11]:= Transformedf = f //. Substitution
Out[11]=
  a0 (-Sin[theta] z[1] + Cos[theta] z[2])^3 +
  3 a1 (-Sin[theta] z[1] + Cos[theta] z[2])^2 (Cos[theta] z[1] + Sin[theta] z[2]) +
  3 a2 (-Sin[theta] z[1] + Cos[theta] z[2]) (Cos[theta] z[1] + Sin[theta] z[2])^2 +
  a3 (Cos[theta] z[1] + Sin[theta] z[2])^3
```

This is a cubic polynomial in  $z[1], z[2]$ . We can now read off the transformations of the coefficients from  $\sigma \circ f(z) = b_3 z[1]^3 + 3 b_2 z[1]^2 z[2] + 3 b_1 z[1] z[2]^2 + b_0 z[2]^3$ . We account for the factors of 3 in the coefficients  $b[1]$  and  $b[2]$  and order the coefficients as a column vector from  $b_0$  to  $b_3$ .

```
In[12]:= newcoeffs = Simplify[DiagonalMatrix[{1, 1/3, 1/3, 1}].
      CoefficientList[Transformedf /. {z[2] → 1}, z[1]]]
```

```
Out[12]= {Cos[θ]3 a0 + Sin[θ] (3 Cos[θ]2 a1 + Sin[θ] (3 Cos[θ] a2 + Sin[θ] a3)),
      1/4 (-4 Cos[θ]2 Sin[θ] a0 + (Cos[θ] + 3 Cos[3 θ]) a1 +
      2 Sin[θ] (a2 + 3 Cos[2 θ] a2 + Sin[2 θ] a3), Cos[θ] Sin[θ]2 a0 +
      1/4 ((Sin[θ] - 3 Sin[3 θ]) a1 + 2 Cos[θ] ((-1 + 3 Cos[2 θ]) a2 + Sin[2 θ] a3)),
      -Sin[θ]3 a0 + Cos[θ] (3 Sin[θ]2 a1 + Cos[θ] (-3 Sin[θ] a2 + Cos[θ] a3))}
```

```
In[13]:= Lσ = Grad[newcoeffs, Table[ai-1, {i, 1, 4}]]
```

```
Out[13]= {{Cos[θ]3, 3 Cos[θ]2 Sin[θ], 3 Cos[θ] Sin[θ]2, Sin[θ]3},
      {-Cos[θ]2 Sin[θ], 1/4 (Cos[θ] + 3 Cos[3 θ]), 1/2 (1 + 3 Cos[2 θ]) Sin[θ], 1/2 Sin[θ] Sin[2 θ]},
      {Cos[θ] Sin[θ]2, 1/4 (Sin[θ] - 3 Sin[3 θ]), 1/2 Cos[θ] (-1 + 3 Cos[2 θ]), 1/2 Cos[θ] Sin[2 θ]},
      {-Sin[θ]3, 3 Cos[θ] Sin[θ]2, -3 Cos[θ]2 Sin[θ], Cos[θ]3}}
```

```
In[14]:= MatrixForm[Lσ]
```

```
Out[14]//MatrixForm=
      Cos[θ]3      3 Cos[θ]2 Sin[θ]      3 Cos[θ] Sin[θ]2      Sin[θ]3
      -Cos[θ]2 Sin[θ]  1/4 (Cos[θ] + 3 Cos[3 θ])  1/2 (1 + 3 Cos[2 θ]) Sin[θ]  1/2 Sin[θ] Sin[2 θ]
      Cos[θ] Sin[θ]2  1/4 (Sin[θ] - 3 Sin[3 θ])  1/2 Cos[θ] (-1 + 3 Cos[2 θ])  1/2 Cos[θ] Sin[2 θ]
      -Sin[θ]3      3 Cos[θ] Sin[θ]2      -3 Cos[θ]2 Sin[θ]      Cos[θ]3
```

This is the representation of SO(2) on the space of  $2 \times 2 \times 2$  symmetric tensors. This representation is used in Sec. 4 (Pg. 15) of the paper. We can also determine the generator for this action.

## Section 2.2

```
In[15]:= L = D[Lσ, θ] /. {θ → 0}
```

```
Out[15]= {{0, 3, 0, 0}, {-1, 0, 2, 0}, {0, -2, 0, 1}, {0, 0, -3, 0}}
```

```
In[16]:= MatrixForm[L]
```

```
Out[16]//MatrixForm=
      0  3  0  0
      -1 0  2  0
      0 -2  0  1
      0  0 -3  0
```

This is the matrix L in Sec. 2.1 (Pg. 11)

```
In[17]:= {vals, vecs} = Eigensystem[L]
Out[17]= {{3 i, -3 i, i, -i}, {{i, -1, -i, 1}, {-i, -1, i, 1}, {-3 i, 1, -i, 3}, {3 i, 1, i, 3}}}
```

```
In[18]:= Δ = DiagonalMatrix[vals]
Out[18]= {{3 i, 0, 0, 0}, {0, -3 i, 0, 0}, {0, 0, i, 0}, {0, 0, 0, -i}}
```

If we treat vecs as a matrix instead of a list of vectors, each eigenvector will be treated as a row. To make them columns, as appropriate for a right eigenvector, we need to take a transpose.

```
In[19]:= L.Transpose[vecs] - Transpose[vecs].Δ
Out[19]= {{0, 0, 0, 0}, {0, 0, 0, 0}, {0, 0, 0, 0}, {0, 0, 0, 0}}
```

Transpose[vecs] gives the right eigenvectors of  $\mathcal{L}$ . To get the Left eigenvectors as rows, we need to invert Transpose[vecs]. Below, we include an additional normalization to clear denominators.

```
In[20]:= Lvecs = Sqrt[Det[vecs]] Inverse[Transpose[vecs]]
Out[20]= {{1, -3 i, -3, i}, {-1, -3 i, 3, i}, {-1, i, -1, i}, {1, i, 1, i}}
```

These are the left Eigenvectors of  $\mathcal{L}$ . Lets Check

```
In[21]:= Lvecs.L - Δ.Lvecs
Out[21]= {{0, 0, 0, 0}, {0, 0, 0, 0}, {0, 0, 0, 0}, {0, 0, 0, 0}}
```

```
In[22]:= MatrixForm[Lvecs]
```

```
Out[22]//MatrixForm=

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -3 i & -3 & i \\ -1 & -3 i & 3 & i \\ -1 & i & -1 & i \\ 1 & i & 1 & i \end{pmatrix}$$

```

This is the matrix E in Sec. 2.1 of the paper and the corresponding eigenvalues are  $\lambda_1=3i$ ,  $\lambda_2=-3i$ ,  $\lambda_3=i$ ,  $\lambda_4=-i$ .

For a diagonal tensor  $(\beta_1, 0, 0, \beta_2)$  we get  $v_i = \beta_1 + i \beta_2 = v_4$ . This gives  $\text{Exp}[4 i \theta] = v_4(0) / v_1(0) = \frac{(a_0+a_2)+i(a_1+a_3)}{(a_0-3 a_2)+i(-3 a_1+a_3)}$ . Subject to the necessary condition  $(a_0 + a_2)^2 + (a_1 + a_3)^2 = (a_0 - 3 a_2)^2 + (-3 a_1 + a_3)^2$ , we get 4 solutions for  $\theta$ , say  $\varphi$ ,  $\varphi+\pi/2$ ,  $\varphi+\pi$  and  $\varphi+3\pi/2$ .

These solutions define  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$  by  $\beta_1 + i \beta_2 = \text{Exp}[-i\varphi] ((a_0 + a_2) + i(a_1 + a_3))$ . The rotations  $\varphi+\pi/2$ ,  $\varphi+\pi$  and  $\varphi+3\pi/2$  then correspond, respectively, to the diagonal tensors  $(\beta_2, 0, 0, -\beta_1)$ ,  $(-\beta_1, 0, 0, -\beta_2)$  and  $(-\beta_2, 0, 0, \beta_1)$  respectively.

## Hilbert series for the SO(2) invariants.

In[23]:= **Simplify**[1 / (2  $\pi$ ) **Integrate**[1 / **Simplify**[**Det**[**IdentityMatrix**[4] -  $\lambda$  **L** $\sigma$ ]], { $\theta$ , 0, 2  $\pi$ }]  
 Out[23]=

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \frac{1+\lambda^4}{(-1+\lambda^2)^3 (1+\lambda^2)} & \text{Abs}[\lambda] > 1 \\ \frac{2+\lambda^{2/3} (-1-\lambda^{2/3}+\lambda^{4/3}) (1+\lambda^{2/3}+\lambda^{4/3}+2\lambda^2)}{3 (-1+\lambda^2)^3 (1+\lambda^2)} & \frac{1}{\text{Abs}[\lambda]^{1/3}} < 1 \text{ if } \text{Abs}[\lambda]^{1/3} \neq 1 \\ -\frac{1+\lambda^4}{(-1+\lambda^2)^3 (1+\lambda^2)} & \text{True} \end{array} \right.$$

We need the result for  $\text{Abs}[\lambda] < 1$ , so this is the last line in the piecewise defined integral.

In[24]:=  **$\Phi$ SO<sub>2</sub>** = **Simplify**  $\left[ -\frac{1+\lambda^4}{(-1+\lambda^2)^3 (1+\lambda^2)} \right]$

Out[24]=

$$-\frac{1+\lambda^4}{(-1+\lambda^2)^3 (1+\lambda^2)}$$

## Hilbert series for the O(2) invariants.

We now compute the action of O(2) by adding a reflection operator corresponding to  $x[1] \rightarrow x[1]$ ,  $x[2] \rightarrow -x[2]$ . In terms of the tensor coefficients, this action is given by the matrix

In[25]:=  $\mathcal{N} = \{\{\theta, \theta, \theta, 1\}, \{\theta, \theta, 1, \theta\}, \{\theta, 1, \theta, \theta\}, \{1, \theta, \theta, \theta\}\};$

In[26]:= **Simplify**[**Det**[**IdentityMatrix**[4] -  $\lambda$   $\mathcal{N}$ .**L** $\sigma$ ]]  
 Out[26]=

$$(-1+\lambda^2)^2$$

This determinant does not depend explicitly on  $\theta$ , so it is easy to integrate the reciprocal.

In[27]:=  **$\Phi$ O<sub>2</sub>** = **Simplify**[(1 / **Det**[**IdentityMatrix**[4] -  $\lambda$   $\mathcal{N}$ .**L** $\sigma$ ] +  **$\Phi$ SO<sub>2</sub>**) / 2]  
 Out[27]=

$$-\frac{1}{(-1+\lambda^2)^3 (1+\lambda^2)}$$

For O(2) covariants corresponding to linear forms, the generating function is 1/2 the generating function for SO(2). Does this make sense?

If we take  $u$  and  $w$  as the fundamental vector covariants, then other covariants are obtained by taking linear combinations of  $u$  and  $w$  with coefficients given by O(2) invariants. Consequently, the generating function is

In[28]:= **Simplify**[( $\lambda + \lambda^3$ )  **$\Phi$ O<sub>2</sub>**]

Out[28]=

$$-\frac{\lambda}{(-1+\lambda^2)^3}$$

## Computation of the invariants

In[29]:= **u = Simplify[ Grad[Laplacian[f, vars], vars] / 6]**

Out[29]=  
 $\{a_1 + a_3, a_0 + a_2\}$

This is the trace vector. We ‘lift’ this vector to form the cubic polynomial  $f_1$

In[30]:= **f<sub>1</sub> = 3 u.vars (vars.vars) / (n + 2)**

Out[30]=  

$$\frac{3}{4} ((a_1 + a_3) x[1] + (a_0 + a_2) x[2]) (x[1]^2 + x[2]^2)$$

We can form an additional SO(2) covariant vector by rotating u counterclockwise by  $\pi/2$ .

In[31]:= **uperp =  $\sigma[\pi/2]$ .u**

Out[31]=  
 $\{-a_0 - a_2, a_1 + a_3\}$

$f_1$  corresponds to a  $2 \times 2 \times 2$  symmetric tensor  $\mathcal{B}$

In[32]:=  **$\mathcal{B} = \text{Table}[D[f_1/6, x[i], x[j], x[k]], \{i, 1, n\}, \{j, 1, n\}, \{k, 1, n\}];$**

In[33]:= **Table[MatrixForm[ $\mathcal{B}[[i]]$ ], {i, 1, n}]**

Out[33]=  

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \frac{3}{4} (a_1 + a_3) & \frac{1}{4} (a_0 + a_2) \\ \frac{1}{4} (a_0 + a_2) & \frac{1}{4} (a_1 + a_3) \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{4} (a_0 + a_2) & \frac{1}{4} (a_1 + a_3) \\ \frac{1}{4} (a_1 + a_3) & \frac{3}{4} (a_0 + a_2) \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

With our normalization, the trace-free part is given by  $f_3 = (n+2)f - f_1$

In[34]:= **f<sub>3</sub> = Collect[Expand[(n + 2) (f - f<sub>1</sub>)], vars]**

Out[34]=  

$$(-3 a_1 + a_3) x[1]^3 + (-3 a_0 + 9 a_2) x[1]^2 x[2] + (9 a_1 - 3 a_3) x[1] x[2]^2 + (a_0 - 3 a_2) x[2]^3$$

$f_3$  corresponds to a trace-free  $2 \times 2 \times 2$  symmetric tensor  $\mathcal{D}$ . To eliminate denominators, we multiply by a factor of (n+2), which equals 4 in the case n=2.

In[35]:=  **$\mathcal{D} = \text{Table}[\text{Simplify}[D[f_3/6, x[i], x[j], x[k]]], \{i, 1, n\}, \{j, 1, n\}, \{k, 1, n\}];$**

In[36]:= **Table[MatrixForm[ $\mathcal{D}[[i]]$ ], {i, 1, n}]**

Out[36]=  

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} -3 a_1 + a_3 & -a_0 + 3 a_2 \\ -a_0 + 3 a_2 & 3 a_1 - a_3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -a_0 + 3 a_2 & 3 a_1 - a_3 \\ 3 a_1 - a_3 & a_0 - 3 a_2 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

In[37]:= **Dstarsqrd = Simplify[TensorContract[ $\mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D}$ , {{1, 4}, {2, 5}}]]**

Out[37]=  

$$\left\{ \left\{ 2 ((a_0 - 3 a_2)^2 + (-3 a_1 + a_3)^2), 0 \right\}, \left\{ 0, 2 ((a_0 - 3 a_2)^2 + (-3 a_1 + a_3)^2) \right\} \right\}$$

In[38]:= **v = Simplify[TensorContract[( $\mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D}$ )  $\otimes \mathcal{D}$ , {{1, 4}, {2, 5}, {3, 7}, {6, 8}}]]**

Out[38]=  
 $\{0, 0\}$

```
In[39]:= Simplify[Dstarsqrd.D]
```

```
Out[39]=
```

$$\left\{ \left\{ \left\{ 2(-3a_1 + a_3) \left( (a_0 - 3a_2)^2 + (-3a_1 + a_3)^2 \right), 2(-a_0 + 3a_2) \left( (a_0 - 3a_2)^2 + (-3a_1 + a_3)^2 \right) \right\}, \right. \right. \\ \left. \left\{ 2(-a_0 + 3a_2) \left( (a_0 - 3a_2)^2 + (-3a_1 + a_3)^2 \right), 2(3a_1 - a_3) \left( (a_0 - 3a_2)^2 + (-3a_1 + a_3)^2 \right) \right\} \right\}, \\ \left\{ \left\{ 2(-a_0 + 3a_2) \left( (a_0 - 3a_2)^2 + (-3a_1 + a_3)^2 \right), 2(3a_1 - a_3) \left( (a_0 - 3a_2)^2 + (-3a_1 + a_3)^2 \right) \right\}, \right. \\ \left. \left\{ 2(3a_1 - a_3) \left( (a_0 - 3a_2)^2 + (-3a_1 + a_3)^2 \right), 2(a_0 - 3a_2) \left( (a_0 - 3a_2)^2 + (-3a_1 + a_3)^2 \right) \right\} \right\} \right\}$$

```
In[40]:= Simplify[Table[Tr[Simplify[Dstarsqrd.D][[i]]], {i, 1, n}]]
```

```
Out[40]=
```

$$\{0, 0\}$$

```
In[41]:= w = Expand[D.u.u]
```

```
Out[41]=
```

$$\{a_0^2 a_1 - 3a_1^3 + 10a_0 a_1 a_2 + 9a_1 a_2^2 - 3a_0^2 a_3 - 5a_1^2 a_3 + 2a_0 a_2 a_3 + 5a_2^2 a_3 - a_1 a_3^2 + a_3^3, \\ a_0^3 + 5a_0 a_1^2 - a_0^2 a_2 + 9a_1^2 a_2 - 5a_0 a_2^2 - 3a_2^3 + 2a_0 a_1 a_3 + 10a_1 a_2 a_3 - 3a_0 a_3^2 + a_2 a_3^2\}$$

```
In[42]:= TeXForm[w]
```

```
Out[42]//TeXForm=
```

$$\left\{ -3a_1^3 - 5a_3a_1^2 + a_0^2a_1 + 9a_2^2a_1 - a_3^2a_1 + 10a_0a_2a_1 + a_3^3 - 3a_0^2a_3 + 5a_2^2a_3 + 2a_0a_2a_3, a_0^3 - a_2a_0^2 + 5a_1^2a_0 - 5a_2^2a_0 - 3a_2^3 + a_0a_1^2 + 9a_1^2a_2 - 5a_0a_2^2 + 2a_0a_1a_3 + 10a_1a_2a_3 - 3a_0a_3^2 + a_2a_3^2 \right\}$$

```
In[43]:= Simplify[u.w]
```

```
Out[43]=
```

$$a_0^4 - 3a_1^4 - 3a_2^4 - 8a_1^3 a_3 + 24a_1 a_2^2 a_3 + 6a_2^2 a_3^2 + a_3^4 - \\ 8a_0 a_2 (-3a_1^2 + a_2^2 - 3a_1 a_3) + 6a_0^2 (a_1^2 - a_2^2 - a_3^2) + 6a_1^2 (3a_2^2 - a_3^2)$$

```
In[44]:= Simplify[Det[{u, w}]]
```

```
Out[44]=
```

$$4(-3a_0^2 a_1 a_2 + a_0^3 a_3 + \\ a_2(3a_1^3 + 6a_1^2 a_3 - 2a_2^2 a_3 - 3a_1(a_2^2 - a_3^2)) + a_0(2a_1^3 - 6a_1 a_2^2 + 3a_1^2 a_3 - a_3(3a_2^2 + a_3^2)))$$

We can now compute SO(2) invariants from u, w and  $\mathcal{D}$ .

```
In[45]:= Clear[j, h, l, m]
```

```
Invariants =
```

$$\{j_2 - u.u, h_2 - \text{Tr}[\text{TensorContract}[\mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D}, \{\{1, 4\}, \{2, 5\}\}]], l_4 - w.u, m_4 - \text{Det}[\{u, w\}]\}$$

```
Out[46]=
```

$$\left\{ -(a_0 + a_2)^2 - (a_1 + a_3)^2 + j_2, -(a_0 - 3a_2)^2 - 3(-a_0 + 3a_2)^2 - 3(3a_1 - a_3)^2 - (-3a_1 + a_3)^2 + h_2, \right. \\ \left. -((a_0 + a_2)(a_0^3 + 5a_0 a_1^2 - a_0^2 a_2 + 9a_1^2 a_2 - 5a_0 a_2^2 - 3a_2^3 + 2a_0 a_1 a_3 + 10a_1 a_2 a_3 - 3a_0 a_3^2 + a_2 a_3^2)) - \right. \\ \left. (a_1 + a_3)(a_0^2 a_1 - 3a_1^3 + 10a_0 a_1 a_2 + 9a_1 a_2^2 - 3a_0^2 a_3 - 5a_1^2 a_3 + 2a_0 a_2 a_3 + 5a_2^2 a_3 - a_1 a_3^2 + a_3^3) + \right. \\ l_4, -8a_0 a_1^3 + 12a_0^2 a_1 a_2 - 12a_1^3 a_2 + 24a_0 a_1 a_2^2 + 12a_1 a_2^3 - 4a_0^3 a_3 - \\ \left. 12a_0 a_1^2 a_3 - 24a_1^2 a_2 a_3 + 12a_0 a_2^2 a_3 + 8a_2^3 a_3 - 12a_1 a_2 a_3^2 + 4a_0 a_3^3 + m_4 \right\}$$

This is the basis for the ideal of polynomials on  $\mathbb{R}^8$  (corresponding to the 4 coefficients  $a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3$  and the 4 invariants  $j_2, h_2, l_4, m_4$

We seek potential relations among the invariants by finding a basis for the ideal generated by the

definitions of the invariants intersected with the polynomials in  $j_2, h_2, l_4, m_4$  that do not depend on  $a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3$ , i.e. we are eliminating the coefficients between the relations defining the invariants.

```
In[47]:= GroebnerBasis[Invariants, {m4, l4, h2, j2},
  {a0, a1, a2, a3}, MonomialOrder -> EliminationOrder]
```

```
Out[47]= {h2 j2^3 - 4 l4^2 - 4 m4^2}
```

We see that there is one identity that allows us to replace  $m_4^2$  by an expression in the other invariants. There are no further relations, so this implies  $l_4$ ,  $h_2$  and  $j_2$  are algebraically independent.

Alternate choices for the fundamental invariants are the trace and determinant of  $\Gamma^{*2}$ , which are themselves  $O(2)$  invariants, so they can be expressed in terms of the fundamental invariants  $j_2, h_2$  and  $l_4$

```
In[48]:= r = Table[D[f/6, x[i], x[j], x[k]], {i, 1, n}, {j, 1, n}, {k, 1, n}];
Q = Simplify[TensorContract[rTensor, {{1, 4}, {2, 5}}]];
MatrixForm[Q]
```

```
Out[49]//MatrixForm=
( a1^2 + 2 a2^2 + a3^2      a0 a1 + a2 (2 a1 + a3) )
( a0 a1 + a2 (2 a1 + a3)    a0^2 + 2 a1^2 + a2^2 )
```

This is the matrix  $\Gamma^{*2}$ .

```
In[50]:= NewInvariants = {tau - Tr[Q], delta - Det[Q]}
```

```
Out[50]= {tau - a0^2 - 3 a1^2 - 3 a2^2 - a3^2,
  delta - 2 a1^4 + 4 a0 a1^2 a2 - 2 a0^2 a2^2 - a1^2 a2^2 - 2 a2^4 + 2 a0 a1 a2 a3 + 4 a1 a2^2 a3 - a0^2 a3^2 - 2 a1^2 a3^2}
```

```
In[51]:= GroebnerBasis[Join[NewInvariants, Invariants],
  {tau, delta, l4, h2, j2}, {m4, a0, a1, a2, a3}, MonomialOrder -> EliminationOrder]
```

```
Out[51]= {16 tau - h2 - 12 j2, -1024 delta + h2^2 + 8 h2 j2 + 80 j2^2 - 128 l4}
```

This shows that  $\text{Tr}[\Gamma^{*2}] = \frac{h_2 + 12 j_2}{16}$ ,  $\text{Det}[\Gamma^{*2}] = \frac{h_2^2 + 8 h_2 j_2 + 80 j_2^2 - 128 l_4}{1024}$

```
In[52]:= DiagSetting = {a3 -> alpha, a2 -> 0, a1 -> 0, a0 -> beta}
```

```
Out[52]= {a3 -> alpha, a2 -> 0, a1 -> 0, a0 -> beta}
```

```
In[53]:= Simplify[Invariants /. DiagSetting]
```

```
Out[53]= {-alpha^2 - beta^2 + j2, -4 (alpha^2 + beta^2) + h2, -alpha^4 + 6 alpha^2 beta^2 - beta^4 + l4, 4 alpha^3 beta - 4 alpha beta^3 + m4}
```

```
In[54]:= GroebnerBasis[{-alpha^2 - beta^2 + j2, -4 (alpha^2 + beta^2) + h2, -alpha^4 + 6 alpha^2 beta^2 - beta^4 + l4, 4 alpha^3 beta - 4 alpha beta^3 + m4},
  {alpha, h2, j2, l4, m4}, {beta}]
```

```
Out[54]= {j2^4 - l4^2 - m4^2, h2 - 4 j2, 8 alpha^4 - 8 alpha^2 j2 + j2^2 - l4}
```

```

In[55]:= u /. DiagSetting
Out[55]=
 $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ 

In[56]:= w /. DiagSetting
Out[56]=
 $\{\alpha^3 - 3 \alpha \beta^2, -3 \alpha^2 \beta + \beta^3\}$ 

In[57]:= Simplify[(Invariants /. DiagSetting) /. {\beta \to \alpha}]
Out[57]=
 $\{-2 \alpha^2 + j_2, -8 \alpha^2 + h_2, 4 \alpha^4 + l_4, m_4\}$ 

In[58]:= GroebnerBasis[{-2 \alpha^2 + j_2, -8 \alpha^2 + h_2, 4 \alpha^4 + l_4, m_4}, {\alpha, h_2, j_2, l_4, m_4}]
Out[58]=
 $\{m_4, j_2^2 + l_4, h_2 - 4 j_2, 2 \alpha^2 - j_2\}$ 

In[59]:= Simplify[(Invariants /. DiagSetting) /. {\beta \to 0}]
Out[59]=
 $\{-\alpha^2 + j_2, -4 \alpha^2 + h_2, -\alpha^4 + l_4, m_4\}$ 

In[60]:= GroebnerBasis[{-\alpha^2 + j_2, -4 \alpha^2 + h_2, -\alpha^4 + l_4, m_4}, {\alpha, h_2, j_2, l_4, m_4}]
Out[60]=
 $\{m_4, j_2^2 - l_4, h_2 - 4 j_2, \alpha^2 - j_2\}$ 

```

## Section 7.2

### Fully decoupleable $3 \times 3 \times 3$ tensors

```

In[61]:= n = 3; vars = Table[x[i], {i, 1, n}]; f = Sum[\beta_i x[i]^3, {i, 1, n}]
Out[61]=
 $\beta_1 x[1]^3 + \beta_2 x[2]^3 + \beta_3 x[3]^3$ 

```

This is the cubic polynomial corresponding to a fully decoupleable tensor.

```

In[62]:= r = Simplify[Table[D[f, x[i], x[j], x[k]], {k, 1, n}, {j, 1, n}, {i, 1, n}] / 6];
u = Simplify[Table[D[Laplacian[f, vars], x[i]], {i, 1, n}] / 6];
f3 = (n + 2) f - 3 (u.vars) (vars.vars)
Out[64]=
 $-3 (\beta_1 x[1] + \beta_2 x[2] + \beta_3 x[3]) (x[1]^2 + x[2]^2 + x[3]^2) + 5 (\beta_1 x[1]^3 + \beta_2 x[2]^3 + \beta_3 x[3]^3)$ 

```

This is the trace-free part

```

In[65]:= d = Simplify[Table[D[f3, x[i], x[j], x[k]], {k, 1, n}, {j, 1, n}, {i, 1, n}] / 6];
v = Simplify[TensorContract[(d \otimes d) \otimes d, {{1, 4}, {2, 5}, {3, 7}, {6, 8}}]];
w = Simplify[d.u.u];

```

We have now computed the vectors  $u, v$  and  $w$  and the trace-free tensor  $\mathcal{D}$ , which are the ingredients needed to compute the Integrty basis given by Olive and Auffray.



```

In[68]:= Clear[H, J, K, L, M, Q];
Q = Simplify[TensorContract[D⊗D, {{1, 4}, {2, 5}}]];
γuu = w;
Coeffs = Table[βi, {i, 1, n}];
Trivialize = Table[Coeffs[[j]] → 0, {j, 1, Length[Coeffs]}];
IntegrityPolys =
  {H[2] - Simplify[Tr[Q]], H[4] - Simplify[Tr[Q.Q]], J[2] - Simplify[u.u],
    L[4] - Simplify[γuu.u], H[6] - Simplify[v.v], H[10] - Simplify[D.v.v.v],
    J[4] - Simplify[u.Q.u], K[4] - Simplify[Tr[Q.(D.u)]],
    J[6] - Simplify[(u.Q).γuu], K[6] - Simplify[v.w], L[6] - Simplify[(u.Q).v],
    M[6] - Simplify[γuu.γuu], H[8] - Simplify[(u.Q).(Q.v)]};
OAINvariants = IntegrityPolys /. Trivialize;

```

The Ideal IntegrityPolys is generated by the polynomials defining the Integrity basis elements in terms of the coefficients of a fully decoupled tensor, with the labels of the Integrity invariants as the slack variables.

```

In[74]:= IntegrityPolys
Out[74]=
{H[2] - 10 (β12 + β22 + β32), H[4] - 44 β14 - 44 β24 - 58 β22 β32 - 44 β34 - 58 β12 (β22 + β32),
  J[2] - β12 - β22 - β32, L[4] - 2 (β14 + β24 - 3 β22 β32 + β34 - 3 β12 (β22 + β32)),
  H[6] - 4 (β32 (β12 + β22 - 4 β32)2 + β22 (β12 - 4 β22 + β32)2 + β12 (-4 β12 + β22 + β32)2),
  H[10] - 8 (128 β110 + 128 β210 - 60 β28 β32 - 95 β26 β34 - 95 β24 β36 -
    60 β22 β38 + 128 β310 - 60 β18 (β22 + β32) + β16 (-95 β24 + 60 β22 β32 - 95 β34) +
    β14 (-95 β26 + 90 β24 β32 + 90 β22 β34 - 95 β36) - 30 β12 (2 β28 - 2 β26 β32 - 3 β24 β34 - 2 β22 β36 + 2 β38)),
  J[4] - 2 (3 β14 + 3 β24 + β22 β32 + 3 β34 + β12 (β22 + β32)), K[4] - 8 β14 - 8 β24 + 4 β22 β32 - 8 β34 + 4 β12 (β22 + β32),
  J[6] - 12 β16 - 12 β26 + 19 β24 β32 + 19 β22 β34 - 12 β36 + 19 β14 (β22 + β32) + β12 (19 β24 + 18 β22 β32 + 19 β34),
  K[6] - 2 (8 β16 + 8 β26 - 11 β24 β32 - 11 β22 β34 + 8 β36 - 11 β14 (β22 + β32) + β12 (-11 β24 + 18 β22 β32 - 11 β34)),
  L[6] - 6 (8 β16 + 8 β26 - β24 β32 - β22 β34 + 8 β36 - β14 (β22 + β32) - β12 (β22 + β32)2),
  M[6] - β32 (3 β12 + 3 β22 - 2 β32)2 - β22 (3 β12 - 2 β22 + 3 β32)2 - (2 β13 - 3 β1 (β22 + β32))2,
  H[8] - 4 (72 β18 + 18 β16 (β22 + β32) - 11 β14 (3 β24 + β22 β32 + 3 β34) +
    β12 (18 β26 - 11 β24 β32 - 11 β22 β34 + 18 β36) + 3 (24 β28 + 6 β26 β32 - 11 β24 β34 + 6 β22 β36 + 24 β38))}

```

## Characteristic Polynomial coefficients

```

In[75]:= rstarsqrd = Simplify[TensorContract[Γ⊗Γ, {{1, 4}, {2, 5}}]];
In[76]:= Clear[q, ξ];
ξ = Rest[Reverse[CoefficientList[Simplify[Det[λ IdentityMatrix[3] + rstarsqrd]], λ]], λ]]
Out[76]=
{β12 + β22 + β32, β12 β22 + β12 β32 + β22 β32, β12 β22 β32}

```

As expected, these are the elementary symmetric polynomials of the quantities  $\beta_i^2$ .

```
In[77]:= DiagInvars = Table[qi -  $\xi$ [[i]], {i, 1, 3}]
```

```
Out[77]= {q1 -  $\beta_1^2$  -  $\beta_2^2$  -  $\beta_3^2$ , q2 -  $\beta_1^2 \beta_2^2$  -  $\beta_1^2 \beta_3^2$  -  $\beta_2^2 \beta_3^2$ , q3 -  $\beta_1^2 \beta_2^2 \beta_3^2$ }
```

This is the basis of invariants for the group  $G_R = S_3 \times (\mathbb{Z}_2)^3$ . Since all the Olive and Auffray invariants, when restricted to fully decoupled tensors, are also  $G_R$  invariants, we can express them in terms of the quantities  $q_i$

```
In[78]:= Table[GroebnerBasis[Join[{IntegrityPolys[[i]]}, DiagInvars],  
Join[{OAIInvariants[[i]]}, {q1, q2, q3}], { $\beta_1$ ,  $\beta_2$ ,  $\beta_3$ },  
MonomialOrder  $\rightarrow$  EliminationOrder] [[1]], {i, 1, Length[OAIInvariants]}]
```

```
Out[78]= {H[2] - 10 q1, -H[4] + 44 q12 - 30 q2, J[2] - q1,  
-L[4] + 2 q12 - 10 q2, -H[6] + 64 q13 - 220 q1 q2 + 300 q3,  
-H[10] + 1024 q15 - 5600 q13 q2 + 5800 q1 q22 + 7600 q12 q3 - 7000 q2 q3,  
-J[4] + 6 q12 - 10 q2, -K[4] + 8 q12 - 20 q2, -J[6] + 12 q13 - 55 q1 q2 + 75 q3,  
-K[6] + 16 q13 - 70 q1 q2 + 150 q3, -L[6] + 48 q13 - 150 q1 q2 + 150 q3,  
-M[6] + 4 q13 - 15 q1 q2 + 75 q3, -H[8] + 288 q14 - 1080 q12 q2 + 300 q22 + 1300 q1 q3}
```

This is the ideal corresponding to the relations in Eq. (7.1).

## Section 7.3

### Partially decoupleable $3 \times 3 \times 3$ tensors

```
In[79]:= n = 3;  
vars = Table[x[[i]], {i, 1, n}];  
f = 3  $\alpha$  x[[1]] (x[[1]]2 + x[[2]]2) +  
 $\gamma_1$  (3 x[[2]]2  $\times$  x[[1]] - x[[1]]3) +  $\gamma_2$  (3 x[[1]]2  $\times$  x[[2]] - x[[2]]3) +  $\beta_3$  x[[3]]3
```

```
Out[79]= 3  $\alpha$  x[[1]] (x[[1]]2 + x[[2]]2) +  $\gamma_1$  (-x[[1]]3 + 3 x[[1]] x[[2]]2) +  $\gamma_2$  (3 x[[1]]2 x[[2]] - x[[2]]3) +  $\beta_3$  x[[3]]3
```

This is the canonical form corresponding to a partially decoupleable tensor.

```
In[80]:= Coeffs = { $\alpha$ ,  $\gamma_1$ ,  $\gamma_2$ ,  $\beta_3$ };
```

This is the list of tensor coefficients in the canonical form.

```
In[81]:=  $\Gamma$  = Simplify[Table[D[f, x[[i]], x[[j]], x[[k]]], {k, 1, n}, {j, 1, n}, {i, 1, n}] / 6]
```

```
Out[81]= {{ {3  $\alpha$  -  $\gamma_1$ ,  $\gamma_2$ , 0}, { $\gamma_2$ ,  $\alpha$  +  $\gamma_1$ , 0}, {0, 0, 0}},  
{ { $\gamma_2$ ,  $\alpha$  +  $\gamma_1$ , 0}, { $\alpha$  +  $\gamma_1$ , - $\gamma_2$ , 0}, {0, 0, 0}}, {{0, 0, 0}, {0, 0, 0}, {0, 0,  $\beta_3$ }}}
```

```
In[82]:= Table[MatrixForm[ $\Gamma$ [[i]]], {i, 1, n}]
```

```
Out[82]= { {  $\begin{pmatrix} 3\alpha - \gamma_1 & \gamma_2 & 0 \\ \gamma_2 & \alpha + \gamma_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\begin{pmatrix} \gamma_2 & \alpha + \gamma_1 & 0 \\ \alpha + \gamma_1 & -\gamma_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \beta_3 \end{pmatrix}$  }
```

```
In[83]:= u = Simplify[Table[D[Laplacian[f, vars], x[i]], {i, 1, n}]/6];
f3 = (n + 2) f - 3 (u.vars) (vars.vars)
```

```
Out[84]= -3 (4 α x[1] + β3 x[3]) (x[1]^2 + x[2]^2 + x[3]^2) +
5 (3 α x[1] (x[1]^2 + x[2]^2) + γ1 (-x[1]^3 + 3 x[1] x[2]^2) + γ2 (3 x[1]^2 x[2] - x[2]^3) + β3 x[3]^3)
```

This is the trace-free part

```
In[85]:= D = Simplify[Table[D[f3, x[i], x[j], x[k]], {k, 1, n}, {j, 1, n}, {i, 1, n}]/6];
v = Simplify[TensorContract[(D⊗D)⊗D, {{1, 4}, {2, 5}, {3, 7}, {6, 8}}]];
w = Simplify[D.u.u];
```

The calculations follow the same steps as in the fully decoupled case.

```
In[88]:= Clear[H, J, K, L, M, Q];
v = Simplify[TensorContract[(D⊗D)⊗D, {{1, 4}, {2, 5}, {3, 7}, {6, 8}}]];
w = Simplify[D.u.u];
Q = Simplify[TensorContract[D⊗D, {{1, 4}, {2, 5}}]];
γuu = w;
Trivialize = Table[Coeffs[j] → 0, {j, 1, Length[Coeffs]}];
```

In what follows, we use the decomposition  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}^+ \cup \mathcal{I}'$ , corresponding to the ‘Fundamental’ and ‘Secondary’ invariants. This is not standard terminology!!

```
In[94]:= FundamentalRelations = {H[2] - Simplify[Tr[Q]],
H[4] - Simplify[Tr[Q.Q]], J[2] - Simplify[u.u], L[4] - Simplify[γuu.u]};
FundamentalInvariants = FundamentalRelations /. Trivialize;
SecondaryRelations = {H[6] - Simplify[v.v], H[10] - Simplify[D.v.v.v],
J[4] - Simplify[u.Q.u], K[4] - Simplify[Tr[Q.(D.u)]],
J[6] - Simplify[(u.Q).γuu], K[6] - Simplify[v.w], L[6] - Simplify[(u.Q).v],
M[6] - Simplify[γuu.γuu], H[8] - Simplify[(u.Q).(Q.v)]};
SecondaryInvariants = SecondaryRelations /. Trivialize;
PartialDecoupleRelations = Join[FundamentalRelations, SecondaryRelations];
```

```
In[99]:= G = Table[Γ[i, j, k], {i, 1, 2}, {j, 1, 2}, {k, 1, 2}]
```

```
Out[99]= {{ {3 α - γ1, γ2}, {γ2, α + γ1}}, {{γ2, α + γ1}, {α + γ1, -γ2}}}
```

This is the  $2 \times 2 \times 2$  block of  $\Gamma$

```
In[100]:= GStarSqrD = Expand[TensorContract[G⊗G, {{1, 4}, {2, 5}}]]
```

```
Out[100]= {{ {10 α^2 - 4 α γ1 + 2 γ1^2 + 2 γ2^2, 4 α γ2}, {4 α γ2, 2 α^2 + 4 α γ1 + 2 γ1^2 + 2 γ2^2}}}
```

```
In[101]:= MatrixForm[GStarSqrD]
```

```
Out[101]//MatrixForm=
( 10 α^2 - 4 α γ1 + 2 γ1^2 + 2 γ2^2      4 α γ2
   4 α γ2      2 α^2 + 4 α γ1 + 2 γ1^2 + 2 γ2^2 )
```

```
In[102]:=
ured = TensorContract[G, {1, 2}]
```

```
Out[102]=
{4 α, 0}
```

This is the trace of the  $2 \times 2 \times 2$  block

```
In[103]:=
Clear[q];
defns = {q1 - β3^2, q2 - ured.ured, q4 - Tr[GStarSqr], q3 - Det[GStarSqr]}
```

```
Out[104]=
{q1 - β3^2, -16 α^2 + q2, -12 α^2 + q4 - 4 γ1^2 - 4 γ2^2,
-20 α^4 + q3 - 32 α^3 γ1 - 8 α^2 γ1^2 - 4 γ1^4 - 8 α^2 γ2^2 - 8 γ1^2 γ2^2 - 4 γ2^4}
```

These are the expressions of the  $O(2) \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  invariants (the quantities  $q_i$ ) in terms of the parameters defining the Canonical form.

```
In[105]:=
EliminateCoeffs = Table[
  GroebnerBasis[Join[{FundamentalRelations[[i]]}, defns], Join[FundamentalInvariants,
    {q3, q4, q2, q1}], Coeffs, MonomialOrder → EliminationOrder][[1]], {i, 1, 4}]
```

```
Out[105]=
{H[2] - 10 q1 + 15 q2 - 25 q4,
-H[4] + 44 q1^2 - 42 q1 q2 + 144 q2^2 - 30 q3 + 100 q1 q4 - 420 q2 q4 + 320 q4^2,
J[2] - q1 - q2, -2 L[4] + 4 q1^2 - 12 q1 q2 + 4 q2^2 - 20 q3 - 5 q2 q4 + 5 q4^2}
```

These are the relations right after (7.2) expressing the elements in  $\mathcal{I}^+$  in terms of the  $O(2) \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  invariants  $q_i$ . We can invert these relations and solve for the quantities  $q_i$ .

```
In[106]:=
TriangularSystem =
  GroebnerBasis[EliminateCoeffs, {q4, q3, q2, q1, H[2], H[4], J[2], L[4]}]
```

```
Out[106]=
{-H[2]^2 + 2 H[4] + 3 H[2] × J[2] - 6 J[2]^2 - 6 L[4] + 9 H[2] q1 - 90 J[2] q1,
-J[2] + q1 + q2, 8 H[2]^2 - 25 H[4] - 60 H[2] × J[2] - 1500 J[2]^2 + 1200 L[4] +
11 250 J[2] q1 - 11 250 q1^2 + 11 250 q3, -H[2] - 15 J[2] + 25 q1 + 25 q4}
```

```
In[107]:=
Substitutions = Table[Solve[TriangularSystem[[i]] == 0, qi][[1, 1]], {i, 1, 4}]
```

```
Out[107]=
{q1 →  $\frac{H[2]^2 - 2 H[4] - 3 H[2] \times J[2] + 6 J[2]^2 + 6 L[4]}{9 (H[2] - 10 J[2])}$ , q2 → J[2] - q1,
q3 →  $\frac{-8 H[2]^2 + 25 H[4] + 60 H[2] \times J[2] + 1500 J[2]^2 - 1200 L[4] - 11 250 J[2] q1 + 11 250 q1^2}{11 250}$ ,
q4 →  $\frac{1}{25} (H[2] + 15 J[2] - 25 q1)$ }
```

These are the substitutions implied by Eq. (7.3).

**Lemma 7.3.** Finding real solutions for the parameters in terms of the  $q$ tildes and

## the associated inequalities that are needed for solvability

In[108]:=

```
GroebnerBasis[defs, Join[{β3}, Table[qi, {i, 1, 4}]], {α, γ1, γ2}]
```

Out[108]=

$$\{-q_1 + \beta_3^2\}$$

We need  $q_1 \geq 0$  to solve for a real  $\beta_3$ .

In[109]:=

```
GroebnerBasis[defs, Join[{γ2}, Table[qi, {i, 1, 4}]], {α, γ1, β3}]
```

Out[109]=

$$\{q_2^4 - 4 q_2^2 q_3 + 16 q_3^2 - 2 q_2^3 q_4 + 8 q_2 q_3 q_4 + 2 q_2^2 q_4^2 - 8 q_3 q_4^2 - 2 q_2 q_4^3 + q_4^4 + 4 q_2^3 \gamma_2^2\}$$

In[110]:=

```
Collect[%[[1]], γ2]
```

Out[110]=

$$q_2^4 - 4 q_2^2 q_3 + 16 q_3^2 - 2 q_2^3 q_4 + 8 q_2 q_3 q_4 + 2 q_2^2 q_4^2 - 8 q_3 q_4^2 - 2 q_2 q_4^3 + q_4^4 + 4 q_2^3 \gamma_2^2$$

We get a linear equation for  $\gamma_2^2$ .

In[111]:=

```
Eqnγ2 = (% /. {γ22 → γ2sqr}) == 0
```

Out[111]=

$$4 \gamma_2 \text{sqr} q_2^3 + q_2^4 - 4 q_2^2 q_3 + 16 q_3^2 - 2 q_2^3 q_4 + 8 q_2 q_3 q_4 + 2 q_2^2 q_4^2 - 8 q_3 q_4^2 - 2 q_2 q_4^3 + q_4^4 == 0$$

In[112]:=

```
Solve[Eqnγ2, γ2sqr][[1]]
```

Out[112]=

$$\left\{ \gamma_2 \text{sqr} \rightarrow \frac{-q_2^4 + 4 q_2^2 q_3 - 16 q_3^2 + 2 q_2^3 q_4 - 8 q_2 q_3 q_4 - 2 q_2^2 q_4^2 + 8 q_3 q_4^2 + 2 q_2 q_4^3 - q_4^4}{4 q_2^3} \right\}$$

To get a real solution, we therefore need  $q_2 \neq 0$  and the fraction (or equivalently the product of the numerator and the denominator in the above expression) is non-negative. We see below that  $q_2 > 0$  is necessary, and along with this condition, we will need that the numerator be greater than or equal to zero.

In[113]:=

```
Eqnsαγ = GroebnerBasis[defs, Join[{α, γ1}, Table[qi, {i, 1, 4}]], {γ2, β3}]
```

Out[113]=

$$\begin{aligned} &\{-q_2^4 + 16 q_2^2 q_3 - 64 q_3^2 + 4 q_2^3 q_4 - 32 q_2 q_3 q_4 - 8 q_2^2 q_4^2 + 32 q_3 q_4^2 + 8 q_2 q_4^3 - 4 q_4^4 + 16 q_2^3 \gamma_1^2, \\ &\quad \alpha q_2^2 - 8 \alpha q_3 - 2 \alpha q_2 q_4 + 2 \alpha q_4^2 + q_2^2 \gamma_1, \\ &\quad q_2^3 - 8 q_2 q_3 - 4 q_2^2 q_4 + 16 q_3 q_4 + 6 q_2 q_4^2 - 4 q_4^3 + 128 \alpha q_3 \gamma_1 - 32 \alpha q_4^2 \gamma_1 - 16 q_2^2 \gamma_1^2, \\ &\quad q_2^2 - 8 q_3 - 2 q_2 q_4 + 2 q_4^2 + 16 \alpha q_2 \gamma_1, 16 \alpha^2 - q_2\} \end{aligned}$$

In[114]:=

```
Eqnsαγ[[5]] == 0
```

Out[114]=

$$16 \alpha^2 - q_2 == 0$$

To find a real solution, we need  $q_2 \geq 0$ . This, along with the earlier requirement  $q_2 \neq 0$  implies that  $q_2 > 0$ .

In[115]:=

**Eqnsaα[[2]] == 0**

Out[115]=

$$\alpha q_2^2 - 8 \alpha q_3 - 2 \alpha q_2 q_4 + 2 \alpha q_4^2 + q_2^2 \gamma_1 == 0$$

In[116]:=

**Simplify[Solve[Eqnsaα[[2]] == 0, γ<sub>1</sub>][[1]]]**

Out[116]=

$$\left\{ \gamma_1 \rightarrow -\frac{\alpha (q_2^2 - 8 q_3 - 2 q_2 q_4 + 2 q_4^2)}{q_2^2} \right\}$$

We get no further conditions from the solvability for  $\gamma_1$

## Example 7.4

In[117]:=

```
n = 3;
vars = Table[x[i], {i, 1, n}];
f = Sum[2 i x[i]^3, {i, 1, n}] + (3 x[1]^2 x[2] - x[2]^3) - 12 x[1] x[2] x[3]
```

Out[117]=

$$2 x[1]^3 + 3 x[1]^2 x[2] + 3 x[2]^3 - 12 x[1] x[2] x[3] + 6 x[3]^3$$

This is an explicit numerical example.

In[118]:=

```
r = Simplify[Table[D[f, x[i], x[j], x[k]], {k, 1, n}, {j, 1, n}, {i, 1, n}]/6];
u = Simplify[Table[D[Laplacian[f, vars], x[i]], {i, 1, n}]/6];
f3 = (n + 2) f - 3 (u.vars) (vars.vars);
d = Simplify[Table[D[f3, x[i], x[j], x[k]], {k, 1, n}, {j, 1, n}, {i, 1, n}]/6];
Clear[H, J, K, L, M, Q];
v = Simplify[TensorContract[(d⊗d)⊗d, {{1, 4}, {2, 5}, {3, 7}, {6, 8}}]]];
w = Simplify[d.u.u];
Q = Simplify[TensorContract[d⊗d, {{1, 4}, {2, 5}}]]];
γuu = w;
FundamentalValues = {H[2] → Simplify[Tr[Q]],
                    H[4] → Simplify[Tr[Q.Q]], J[2] → Simplify[u.u], L[4] → Simplify[γuu.u]};
SecondaryValues =
{ H[6] → Simplify[v.v], H[10] → Simplify[d.v.v.v], J[4] → Simplify[u.Q.u],
  K[4] → Simplify[Tr[Q.(d.u)]], J[6] → Simplify[(u.Q).γuu], K[6] → Simplify[v.w],
  L[6] → Simplify[(u.Q).v], M[6] → Simplify[γuu.γuu], H[8] → Simplify[(u.Q).(Q.v)]};
```

In[129]:=

**FundamentalValues**

Out[129]=

$$\{H[2] \rightarrow 1060, H[4] \rightarrow 518384, J[2] \rightarrow 56, L[4] \rightarrow -4528\}$$

In[130]:=

**Specialization = FundamentalRelations /. FundamentalValues**

Out[130]=

$$\left\{ 1060 - 10 \left( 6 \alpha^2 + \beta_3^2 + 10 \gamma_1^2 + 10 \gamma_2^2 \right), 518384 - 32 \alpha^2 \beta_3^2 - \left( 32 \alpha^2 + 6 \beta_3^2 \right)^2 - \right. \\ \left. 800 \alpha^2 \gamma_2^2 - 4 \left( 13 \alpha^2 + \beta_3^2 - 10 \alpha \gamma_1 + 25 \gamma_1^2 + 25 \gamma_2^2 \right)^2 - 4 \left( \beta_3^2 + (\alpha + 5 \gamma_1)^2 + 25 \gamma_2^2 \right)^2, \right. \\ \left. 56 - 16 \alpha^2 - \beta_3^2, -4528 - 2 \left( -48 \alpha^2 \beta_3^2 + \beta_3^4 + 32 \alpha^3 (3 \alpha - 5 \gamma_1) \right) \right\}$$

In[131]:=

**GroebnerBasis[Specialization, Coeffs]**

Out[131]=

$$\left\{ 332 + 15 \beta_3^2, 3173103609 + 125768785 \gamma_2^2, \right. \\ \left. -52993421209 + 1509225420 \gamma_1^2, 230203 \alpha - 85849 \gamma_1 \right\}$$

## Section 7.5

### Expressing the invariants in $\mathcal{I}'$ in terms of $\mathcal{I}^+$

In[132]:=

```
n = 3; Clear[x];
vars = Table[x[i], {i, 1, n}];
f = 3 α x[1] (x[1]^2 + x[2]^2) +
γ1 (3 x[2]^2 x[1] - x[1]^3) + γ2 (3 x[1]^2 x[2] - x[2]^3) + β3 x[3]^3
```

Out[132]=

$$3 \alpha x[1] \left( x[1]^2 + x[2]^2 \right) + \gamma_1 \left( -x[1]^3 + 3 x[1] x[2]^2 \right) + \gamma_2 \left( 3 x[1]^2 x[2] - x[2]^3 \right) + \beta_3 x[3]^3$$

In[133]:=

**r = Simplify[Table[D[f, x[i], x[j], x[k]], {k, 1, n}, {j, 1, n}, {i, 1, n}] / 6]**

Out[133]=

$$\left\{ \left\{ \left\{ 3 \alpha - \gamma_1, \gamma_2, 0 \right\}, \left\{ \gamma_2, \alpha + \gamma_1, 0 \right\}, \left\{ 0, 0, 0 \right\} \right\}, \right. \\ \left. \left\{ \left\{ \gamma_2, \alpha + \gamma_1, 0 \right\}, \left\{ \alpha + \gamma_1, -\gamma_2, 0 \right\}, \left\{ 0, 0, 0 \right\} \right\}, \left\{ \left\{ 0, 0, 0 \right\}, \left\{ 0, 0, 0 \right\}, \left\{ 0, 0, \beta_3 \right\} \right\} \right\}$$

In[134]:=

```

u = Simplify[Table[D[Laplacian[f, vars], x[i]], {i, 1, n}]/6];
f3 = f - 3 (u.vars) (vars.vars) / 5;
D = Simplify[5 Table[D[f3, x[i], x[j], x[k]], {k, 1, n}, {j, 1, n}, {i, 1, n}]/6];
Clear[H, J, K, L, M, Q];
v = Simplify[TensorContract[(D⊗D)⊗D, {{1, 4}, {2, 5}, {3, 7}, {6, 8}}]];
w = Simplify[D.u.u];
Q = Simplify[TensorContract[D⊗D, {{1, 4}, {2, 5}}]];
γuu = w;
Coeffs = {α, γ1, γ2, β3};
Eliminated = {α, γ1, γ2};
Trivialize = Table[Coeffs[[j]] → 0, {j, 1, Length[Coeffs]}];
FundamentalRelations =
  {H[2] - Simplify[Tr[Q]], J[2] - Simplify[u.u], L[4] - Simplify[γuu.u]};

FundamentalRelations = {H[2] - Simplify[Tr[Q]],
  J[2] - Simplify[u.u], H[4] - Simplify[Tr[Q.Q]], L[4] - Simplify[γuu.u]};
FundamentalInvariants = Join[{β3}, (FundamentalRelations /. Trivialize)];
SecondaryRelations = {J[4] - Simplify[u.Q.u],
  K[4] - Simplify[Tr[Q.(D.u)]], H[6] - Simplify[v.v], J[6] - Simplify[(u.Q).γuu],
  K[6] - Simplify[v.w], L[6] - Simplify[(u.Q).v], M[6] - Simplify[γuu.γuu],
  H[8] - Simplify[(u.Q).(Q.v)], H[10] - Simplify[D.v.v.v]};
SecondaryInvariants = SecondaryRelations /. Trivialize;
PartialDecoupleRelations = Join[FundamentalRelations, SecondaryRelations];
OAIInvariantsList = Join[FundamentalInvariants, SecondaryInvariants];

```

In[152]:=

```
GroebnerBasis[FundamentalRelations, FundamentalInvariants, Eliminated]
```

Out[152]=

$$\{-H[2]^2 + 2 H[4] + 3 H[2] \times J[2] - 6 J[2]^2 - 6 L[4] + 9 H[2] \beta_3^2 - 90 J[2] \beta_3^2\}$$



In[153]:=

```

NeccSuffRelations =
Join[GroebnerBasis[FundamentalRelations, FundamentalInvariants, Eliminated],
Table[GroebnerBasis[Join[{SecondaryRelations[[i]]}, FundamentalRelations], Join[
{SecondaryInvariants[[i]]}, FundamentalInvariants], Eliminated][[2]], {i, 1, 9}]]

```

Out[153]=

$$\begin{aligned}
& \{-H[2]^2 + 2H[4] + 3H[2] \times J[2] - 6J[2]^2 - 6L[4] + 9H[2] \beta_3^2 - 90J[2] \beta_3^2, \\
& H[2]^2 - 2H[4] - 12H[2] \times J[2] + 24J[2]^2 + 18J[4] - 12L[4], \\
& 2H[2]^2 - 4H[4] - 15H[2] \times J[2] + 66J[2]^2 + 9K[4] - 6L[4], 13H[2]^3 - 26H[2] \times H[4] + \\
& 27H[6] + 7H[2]^2 J[2] - 146H[4] \times J[2] + 156H[2] J[2]^2 - 372J[2]^3 - 30H[2] \times L[4] + \\
& 924J[2] \times L[4] - 234H[4] \beta_3^2 + 7272J[2]^2 \beta_3^2 + 1512L[4] \beta_3^2 + 8100J[2] \beta_3^4 - 8100\beta_3^6, \\
& H[2]^3 - 2H[2] \times H[4] - 6H[4] \times J[2] - 12H[2] J[2]^2 + 72J[2]^3 + 36J[6] - \\
& 12H[2] \times L[4] - 18H[4] \beta_3^2 + 144J[2]^2 \beta_3^2 + 324L[4] \beta_3^2 + 2700J[2] \beta_3^4 - 2700\beta_3^6, \\
& 19H[2]^3 - 38H[2] \times H[4] - 14H[2]^2 J[2] - 86H[4] \times J[2] - 96H[2] J[2]^2 + \\
& 744J[2]^3 + 162K[6] - 48H[2] \times L[4] + 744J[2] \times L[4] - 342H[4] \beta_3^2 + \\
& 8136J[2]^2 \beta_3^2 + 3456L[4] \beta_3^2 + 24300J[2] \beta_3^4 - 24300\beta_3^6, \\
& 19H[2]^3 - 38H[2] \times H[4] - 23H[2]^2 J[2] - 149H[4] \times J[2] + 174H[2] J[2]^2 + \\
& 204J[2]^3 - 48H[2] \times L[4] + 852J[2] \times L[4] + 81L[6] - 261H[4] \beta_3^2 + 7488J[2]^2 \beta_3^2 + \\
& 1998L[4] \beta_3^2 + 12150J[2] \beta_3^4 - 12150\beta_3^6, -H[2]^3 + 2H[2] \times H[4] + 14H[2]^2 J[2] - \\
& 22H[4] \times J[2] - 120H[2] J[2]^2 + 552J[2]^3 - 6H[2] \times L[4] - 420J[2] \times L[4] + \\
& 324M[6] + 18H[4] \beta_3^2 - 5544J[2]^2 \beta_3^2 + 2376L[4] \beta_3^2 + 24300J[2] \beta_3^4 - 24300\beta_3^6, \\
& -70H[2]^4 + 361H[2]^2 H[4] - 442H[4]^2 + 1458H[8] - 149H[2]^3 J[2] - \\
& 674H[2] \times H[4] \times J[2] - 830H[2]^2 J[2]^2 + 7216H[4] J[2]^2 + 8868H[2] J[2]^3 - \\
& 30792J[2]^4 - 30H[2]^2 L[4] - 3828H[4] \times L[4] - 408H[2] \times J[2] \times L[4] + 3624J[2]^2 L[4] + \\
& 11088L[4]^2 - 5796H[4] \times J[2] \beta_3^2 - 158832J[2]^3 \beta_3^2 + 206928J[2] \times L[4] \beta_3^2 + \\
& 40500H[4] \beta_3^4 + 356400J[2]^2 \beta_3^4 - 121500L[4] \beta_3^4 - 1895400J[2] \beta_3^6, \\
& -70H[2]^5 + 879H[2]^3 H[4] - 1478H[2] H[4]^2 + 2187H[10] + 1161H[2]^4 J[2] - \\
& 2866H[2]^2 H[4] \times J[2] - 2506H[4]^2 J[2] - 110H[2]^3 J[2]^2 - 12212H[2] \times H[4] J[2]^2 + \\
& 159160H[2]^2 J[2]^3 - 230888H[4] J[2]^3 - 607632H[2] J[2]^4 + 1226976J[2]^5 + \\
& 630H[2]^3 L[4] - 2040H[2] \times H[4] \times L[4] - 204H[2]^2 J[2] \times L[4] + \\
& 26160H[4] \times J[2] \times L[4] + 125412H[2] J[2]^2 L[4] + 423096J[2]^3 L[4] - 2448H[2] L[4]^2 + \\
& 35928J[2] L[4]^2 - 10782H[4]^2 \beta_3^2 + 95256H[4] J[2]^2 \beta_3^2 + 9237600J[2]^4 \beta_3^2 + \\
& 71496H[4] \times L[4] \beta_3^2 + 505440J[2]^2 L[4] \beta_3^2 + 35640L[4]^2 \beta_3^2 + 251100H[4] \times J[2] \beta_3^4 + \\
& 4017600J[2]^3 \beta_3^4 + 777600J[2] \times L[4] \beta_3^4 - 510300H[4] \beta_3^6 + 5832000J[2]^2 \beta_3^6\}
\end{aligned}$$

In[154]:=

```
Map[Length, NeccSuffRelations, {1}]
```

Out[154]=

```
{7, 6, 6, 14, 12, 14, 14, 14, 22, 32}
```

In[155]:=

```
SecondaryInvariants = Join[{H[4]}, SecondaryInvariants]
```

Out[155]=

```
{H[4], J[4], K[4], H[6], J[6], K[6], L[6], M[6], H[8], H[10]}
```

Redefine Secondary invariants to include  $H_4$

In[156]:=

```
Normalizations = Table[D[NeccSuffRelations[[i]], SecondaryInvariants[[i]], {i, 1, 10}]
```

Out[156]=

```
{2, 18, 9, 27, 36, 162, 81, 324, 1458, 2187}
```

In[157]:=

```
SolveNeccSuff =
```

```
Table[Solve[NeccSuffRelations[[i]] == 0, SecondaryInvariants[[i]][[1, 1]], {i, 1, 10}] /.  
  { $\beta_3^{k_-} \rightarrow q_1^{k/2}$ }
```

Out[157]=

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\{ H[4] \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \left( H[2]^2 - 3 H[2] \times J[2] + 6 J[2]^2 + 6 L[4] - 9 H[2] q_1 + 90 J[2] q_1 \right), \right. \\
& J[4] \rightarrow \frac{1}{18} \left( -H[2]^2 + 2 H[4] + 12 H[2] \times J[2] - 24 J[2]^2 + 12 L[4] \right), \\
& K[4] \rightarrow \frac{1}{9} \left( -2 H[2]^2 + 4 H[4] + 15 H[2] \times J[2] - 66 J[2]^2 + 6 L[4] \right), \\
& H[6] \rightarrow \frac{1}{27} \left( -13 H[2]^3 + 26 H[2] \times H[4] - 7 H[2]^2 J[2] + 146 H[4] \times J[2] - \right. \\
& \quad 156 H[2] J[2]^2 + 372 J[2]^3 + 30 H[2] \times L[4] - 924 J[2] \times L[4] + \\
& \quad 234 H[4] q_1 - 7272 J[2]^2 q_1 - 1512 L[4] q_1 - 8100 J[2] q_1^2 + 8100 q_1^3 \Big), \\
& J[6] \rightarrow \frac{1}{36} \left( -H[2]^3 + 2 H[2] \times H[4] + 6 H[4] \times J[2] + 12 H[2] J[2]^2 - 72 J[2]^3 + \right. \\
& \quad 12 H[2] \times L[4] + 18 H[4] q_1 - 144 J[2]^2 q_1 - 324 L[4] q_1 - 2700 J[2] q_1^2 + 2700 q_1^3 \Big), \\
& K[6] \rightarrow \frac{1}{162} \left( -19 H[2]^3 + 38 H[2] \times H[4] + 14 H[2]^2 J[2] + 86 H[4] \times J[2] + \right. \\
& \quad 96 H[2] J[2]^2 - 744 J[2]^3 + 48 H[2] \times L[4] - 744 J[2] \times L[4] + \\
& \quad 342 H[4] q_1 - 8136 J[2]^2 q_1 - 3456 L[4] q_1 - 24300 J[2] q_1^2 + 24300 q_1^3 \Big), \\
& L[6] \rightarrow \frac{1}{81} \left( -19 H[2]^3 + 38 H[2] \times H[4] + 23 H[2]^2 J[2] + 149 H[4] \times J[2] - \right. \\
& \quad 174 H[2] J[2]^2 - 204 J[2]^3 + 48 H[2] \times L[4] - 852 J[2] \times L[4] + \\
& \quad 261 H[4] q_1 - 7488 J[2]^2 q_1 - 1998 L[4] q_1 - 12150 J[2] q_1^2 + 12150 q_1^3 \Big), \\
& M[6] \rightarrow \frac{1}{324} \left( H[2]^3 - 2 H[2] \times H[4] - 14 H[2]^2 J[2] + 22 H[4] \times J[2] + \right. \\
& \quad 120 H[2] J[2]^2 - 552 J[2]^3 + 6 H[2] \times L[4] + 420 J[2] \times L[4] - \\
& \quad 18 H[4] q_1 + 5544 J[2]^2 q_1 - 2376 L[4] q_1 - 24300 J[2] q_1^2 + 24300 q_1^3 \Big), \\
& H[8] \rightarrow \frac{1}{1458} \left( 70 H[2]^4 - 361 H[2]^2 H[4] + 442 H[4]^2 + 149 H[2]^3 J[2] + 674 H[2] \times H[4] \times J[2] + \right. \\
& \quad 830 H[2]^2 J[2]^2 - 7216 H[4] J[2]^2 - 8868 H[2] J[2]^3 + 30792 J[2]^4 + \\
& \quad 30 H[2]^2 L[4] + 3828 H[4] \times L[4] + 408 H[2] \times J[2] \times L[4] - 3624 J[2]^2 L[4] - \\
& \quad 11088 L[4]^2 + 5796 H[4] \times J[2] q_1 + 158832 J[2]^3 q_1 - 206928 J[2] \times L[4] q_1 - \\
& \quad 40500 H[4] q_1^2 - 356400 J[2]^2 q_1^2 + 121500 L[4] q_1^2 + 1895400 J[2] q_1^3 \Big), \\
& H[10] \rightarrow \frac{1}{2187} \left( 70 H[2]^5 - 879 H[2]^3 H[4] + 1478 H[2] H[4]^2 - 1161 H[2]^4 J[2] + \right. \\
& \quad 2866 H[2]^2 H[4] \times J[2] + 2506 H[4]^2 J[2] + 110 H[2]^3 J[2]^2 + 12212 H[2] \times H[4] J[2]^2 - \\
& \quad 159160 H[2]^2 J[2]^3 + 230888 H[4] J[2]^3 + 607632 H[2] J[2]^4 - 1226976 J[2]^5 - \\
& \quad 630 H[2]^3 L[4] + 2040 H[2] \times H[4] \times L[4] + 204 H[2]^2 J[2] \times L[4] - \\
& \quad 26160 H[4] \times J[2] \times L[4] - 125412 H[2] J[2]^2 L[4] - 423096 J[2]^3 L[4] + 2448 H[2] L[4]^2 - \\
& \quad 35928 J[2] L[4]^2 + 10782 H[4]^2 q_1 - 95256 H[4] J[2]^2 q_1 - 9237600 J[2]^4 q_1 - \\
& \quad 71496 H[4] \times L[4] q_1 - 505440 J[2]^2 L[4] q_1 - 35640 L[4]^2 q_1 - 251100 H[4] \times J[2] q_1^2 - \\
& \quad \left. 4017600 J[2]^3 q_1^2 - 777600 J[2] \times L[4] q_1^2 + 510300 H[4] q_1^3 - 5832000 J[2]^2 q_1^3 \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

In[159]:=

```
FormatAsEquations = Table[Normalizations[[i]] × SecondaryInvariants[[i]] → Collect[
  (Normalizations[[i]] × SecondaryInvariants[[i]] /. SolveNeccSuff), β3], {i, 1, 10}]
```

Out[159]=

```
{2 H[4] → H[2]2 - 3 H[2] × J[2] + 6 J[2]2 + 6 L[4] - 9 H[2] q1 + 90 J[2] q1,
 18 J[4] → -H[2]2 + 2 H[4] + 12 H[2] × J[2] - 24 J[2]2 + 12 L[4],
 9 K[4] → -2 H[2]2 + 4 H[4] + 15 H[2] × J[2] - 66 J[2]2 + 6 L[4],
 27 H[6] → -13 H[2]3 + 26 H[2] × H[4] - 7 H[2]2 J[2] + 146 H[4] × J[2] -
 156 H[2] J[2]2 + 372 J[2]3 + 30 H[2] × L[4] - 924 J[2] × L[4] +
 234 H[4] q1 - 7272 J[2]2 q1 - 1512 L[4] q1 - 8100 J[2] q12 + 8100 q13,
 36 J[6] → -H[2]3 + 2 H[2] × H[4] + 6 H[4] × J[2] + 12 H[2] J[2]2 - 72 J[2]3 +
 12 H[2] × L[4] + 18 H[4] q1 - 144 J[2]2 q1 - 324 L[4] q1 - 2700 J[2] q12 + 2700 q13,
 162 K[6] → -19 H[2]3 + 38 H[2] × H[4] + 14 H[2]2 J[2] + 86 H[4] × J[2] +
 96 H[2] J[2]2 - 744 J[2]3 + 48 H[2] × L[4] - 744 J[2] × L[4] +
 342 H[4] q1 - 8136 J[2]2 q1 - 3456 L[4] q1 - 24 300 J[2] q12 + 24 300 q13,
 81 L[6] → -19 H[2]3 + 38 H[2] × H[4] + 23 H[2]2 J[2] + 149 H[4] × J[2] -
 174 H[2] J[2]2 - 204 J[2]3 + 48 H[2] × L[4] - 852 J[2] × L[4] +
 261 H[4] q1 - 7488 J[2]2 q1 - 1998 L[4] q1 - 12 150 J[2] q12 + 12 150 q13,
 324 M[6] → H[2]3 - 2 H[2] × H[4] - 14 H[2]2 J[2] + 22 H[4] × J[2] +
 120 H[2] J[2]2 - 552 J[2]3 + 6 H[2] × L[4] + 420 J[2] × L[4] -
 18 H[4] q1 + 5544 J[2]2 q1 - 2376 L[4] q1 - 24 300 J[2] q12 + 24 300 q13,
 1458 H[8] → 70 H[2]4 - 361 H[2]2 H[4] + 442 H[4]2 + 149 H[2]3 J[2] + 674 H[2] × H[4] × J[2] +
 830 H[2]2 J[2]2 - 7216 H[4] J[2]2 - 8868 H[2] J[2]3 + 30 792 J[2]4 +
 30 H[2]2 L[4] + 3828 H[4] × L[4] + 408 H[2] × J[2] × L[4] - 3624 J[2]2 L[4] -
 11 088 L[4]2 + 5796 H[4] × J[2] q1 + 158 832 J[2]3 q1 - 206 928 J[2] × L[4] q1 -
 40 500 H[4] q12 - 356 400 J[2]2 q12 + 121 500 L[4] q12 + 1 895 400 J[2] q13,
 2187 H[10] → 70 H[2]5 - 879 H[2]3 H[4] + 1478 H[2] H[4]2 - 1161 H[2]4 J[2] +
 2866 H[2]2 H[4] × J[2] + 2506 H[4]2 J[2] + 110 H[2]3 J[2]2 + 12 212 H[2] × H[4] J[2]2 -
 159 160 H[2]2 J[2]3 + 230 888 H[4] J[2]3 + 607 632 H[2] J[2]4 - 1 226 976 J[2]5 -
 630 H[2]3 L[4] + 2040 H[2] × H[4] × L[4] + 204 H[2]2 J[2] × L[4] -
 26 160 H[4] × J[2] × L[4] - 125 412 H[2] J[2]2 L[4] - 423 096 J[2]3 L[4] + 2448 H[2] L[4]2 -
 35 928 J[2] L[4]2 + 10 782 H[4]2 q1 - 95 256 H[4] J[2]2 q1 - 9 237 600 J[2]4 q1 -
 71 496 H[4] × L[4] q1 - 505 440 J[2]2 L[4] q1 - 35 640 L[4]2 q1 - 251 100 H[4] × J[2] q12 -
 4 017 600 J[2]3 q12 - 777 600 J[2] × L[4] q12 + 510 300 H[4] q13 - 5 832 000 J[2]2 q13}
```