Gap Analysis

ID	Current State: AS-IS (Business Problems)	Future State: TO-BE (Business Objectives)	Gap Description	Factors Responsible for Gap	Solutions
G1		Automated tax calculations through integrated external rules engine	Tax analysts spend significant time compiling and reconciling data manually	Lack of automated calculation tools; reliance on SMEs and spreadsheets	Implement external low-code tax rules engine to automate calculations and reporting
G2	errors caught late in	Real-time validation of trade, logistics, and invoice data at point of entry	Inaccuracies and delays in error detection increase compliance risk	ETRM not designed for tax logic; no validation checkpoints	Integrate rules engine to validate transactions at key events
G3	=	Standardized and validated master data mappings enforced by rules engine	Misaligned product codes, jurisdictions, and counterparties create reporting inconsistencies	ETRM modules siloed; no centralized governance of tax data elements	Centralize master data mapping and embed validation in tax engine
	Rule updates managed by SMEs, dependent on IT for changes		Delays in applying jurisdiction- specific tax updates; scalability issues	Regulatory volatility; lack of flexible tax logic tools	Deploy configurable, jurisdiction-aware rules engine enabling rapid updates
G5	Limited transparency; audit logs are manual and incomplete	_ =	Audit and compliance processes are time-consuming and error-prone	Manual documentation; lack of system traceability	Generate automated logs in tax engine to satisfy audit/compliance needs
G6	knowledge; limited	processes reduce reliance on	Current manual approach does not scale to new markets or increased volume	Dependence on SME expertise; fragmented workflows	Automate workflows, embed tax rules in engine, and reduce SME dependency