

Cox Regression Models: Inference for β and HR

Shannon Pileggi

STAT 417

OUTLINE

Inference for β and HR

Discussion

Recall the one predictor CR model:

$$h(t|X) = h_0(t)e^{\beta X}$$

Suppose that the true ratio of hazards for two values of X is $e^{\beta c} = 1$.

1. What does this imply about the true of β ?

2. What does this imply about the variable X ?

Hypotheses

What hypotheses should we use to determine if a single predictor X is associated with the hazard of event occurrence?

H_0 :

H_a :

Wald test

The Wald test statistic is given by:

NELS college graduation data

R Output

	coef	exp(coef)	se(coef)	z	Pr(> z)
as.factor(Gender)1	0.20862	1.23197	0.08141	2.563	0.0104 *

R Output

- ▶ State the hypotheses.
- ▶ Show the computation of the test statistic.
- ▶ State a conclusion about the relationship between gender and the hazard of graduating at $\alpha = 0.05$ level of significance.

CI for β

► The $100(1 - \alpha)\%$ CI for β is given by:

► Interpretation:

NELS college graduation data

R Output

	coef	exp(coef)	se(coef)	z	Pr(> z)
as.factor(Gender)1	0.20862	1.23197	0.08141	2.563	0.0104 *

R Output

Construct and interpret a 95% CI for β .

CI for HR

- ▶ Categorical predictor ($X=0/1$): the hazard ratio for subjects with $X = 1$ to subjects with $X = 0$ is:
- ▶ Quantitative predictor: the hazard ratio corresponding to a c unit change in X is:
- ▶ Generally, a $100(1 - \alpha)\%$ confidence interval for HR is:

Discussion

What value should we see if a confidence interval for the hazard ratio contains in order to determine if X is associated with hazard?

- A. 0
- B. 0.5
- C. 1
- D. none of these

NELS college graduation data

R Output

	coef	exp(coef)	se(coef)	z	Pr(> z)
as.factor(Gender)1	0.20862	1.23197	0.08141	2.563	0.0104 *

R Output

Construct and interpret a 95% confidence interval for the hazard ratio of female to male students.

NELS college graduation data

R Output

Call:

coxph(formula = Surv(Years, Censor) ~ as.factor(Gender), data = grad

n= 1000, number of events= 614

	coef	exp(coef)	se(coef)	z	Pr(> z)
as.factor(Gender)1	0.20862	1.23197	0.08141	2.563	0.0104 *

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

	exp(coef)	exp(-coef)	lower .95	upper .95
as.factor(Gender)1	1.232	0.8117	1.05	1.445

Concordance= 0.53 (se = 0.012)

Rsquare= 0.007 (max possible= 0.999)

Likelihood ratio test= 6.61 on 1 df, p=0.01016

Wald test = 6.57 on 1 df, p=0.01039

Score (logrank) test = 6.59 on 1 df, p=0.01025

VALCG lung cancer study

R Output

	coef	exp(coef)	se(coef)	z	Pr(> z)
karno	-0.033424	0.967129	0.005075	-6.586	4.51e-11 ***

R Output

Construct and interpret a 95% confidence interval for the hazard ratio for a 10 unit increase in Karnofsky score.

VALCG lung cancer study

R Output

```
Call:
coxph(formula = Surv(time, status) ~ karno, data = veteran)

n= 137, number of events= 128

              coef exp(coef)  se(coef)      z Pr(>|z|)
karno -0.033424   0.967129   0.005075 -6.586 4.51e-11 ***
---
Signif. codes:  0 *** 0.001 ** 0.01 * 0.05 . 0.1 1

              exp(coef) exp(-coef) lower .95 upper .95
karno      0.9671      1.034      0.9576      0.9768

Concordance= 0.709  (se = 0.03 )
Rsquare= 0.264  (max possible= 0.999 )
Likelihood ratio test= 42.03  on 1 df,  p=8.983e-11
Wald test              = 43.38  on 1 df,  p=4.513e-11
Score (logrank) test = 45.32  on 1 df,  p=1.674e-11
```

VALCG lung cancer study

1. Provide the 95% confidence interval for both β and HR corresponding to a 1 point increase in Karnofsky score.
2. Construct a 95% confidence interval for both β and HR corresponding to a 10 point increase in Karnofsky score.

VALCG lung cancer study

3. How would you respond to a claim that the risk of dying from lung cancer decreases by more than 25% for a 10 point increase in Karnofsky score?