Experiment 1

HDL CODE TO REALIZE ALL THE LOGIC GATES

Aim: To write VHDL code for all basic gates, simulate and verify functionality, synthesize.

Tools Required:

- 1. FPG Advantage
 - i. Xilinx ISE 9.2

Theory:

AND:

The AND gate is an electronic circuit that gives a **high** output (1) only if **all** its inputs are high. A dot (.) is used to show the AND operation i.e. A.B.

OR:

The OR gate is an electronic circuit that gives a high output (1) if **one or more** of its inputs are high. A plus (+) is used to show the OR operation.

NOT:

The NOT gate is an electronic circuit that produces an inverted version of the input at its output. It is also known as an *inverter*. If the input variable is A, the inverted output is known as NOT A. This is also shown as A', or A with a bar over the top.

NAND:

This is a NOT-AND gate which is equal to an AND gate followed by a NOT gate. The outputs of all NAND gates are high if **any** of the inputs are low. The symbol is an AND gate with a small circle on the output. The small circle represents inversion.

NOR:

This is a NOT-OR gate which is equal to an OR gate followed by a NOT gate. The outputs of all NOR gates are low if **any** of the inputs are high. The symbol is an OR gate with a small circle on the output. The small circle represents inversion.

EX-OR:

The 'Exclusive-OR' gate is a circuit which will give a high output if either, but not both, of its two inputs are high. An encircled plus sign () is used to show the EXOR operation.

Logic gate Truth Tables:

2 Input AND gate					
Α	В	A.B			
0	0	0			
0	1	0			
1	0	0			
1	1	1			

į	2 Input OR gate					
	Α	В	A+B			
	0	0	0			
	0	1	1			
	1	0	1			
ſ	1	1	1			


NOT gate			
Α	Ā		
0	1		
1	0		

2 Input NAND gate				
Α	В	Ā.B		
0	0	1		
0	1	1		
1	0	1		
1	1	0		

2 Input NOR gate					
Α	в	A+B			
0	0	1			
0	1	0			
1	0	0			
1	1	0			


2 Input EXOR gate				
Α	В	A⊕B		
0	0	Ó		
0	1	1		
1	0	1		
1	1	0		

Logic gate symbols:













Procedure:

- 1. Click on FPGA advantage icon on the desktop.
- 2. Click on file menu→new→project.
- 3. Create a new path for the project workspace.
- 4. Then, go to File→new→design content→VHDL file→entity.
- 5. Now, give the name of the entity & click next, then an editor window opens,
- 6. Declare the input, output ports in the entity and save it.
- 7. File→new→design content→VHDL file→architecture.
- 8. Now, give the name of the entity you gave before and a architecture name and click next, then a editor window opens, write the required style of code and save it.
- 9. Click the project file and verify the errors by CHECK button.
- 10. If no errors, click on simulate button, then modelsim gets started, select the ports and give them to "select to wave" option and type the force commands and run command, then the graph is displayed.
- 11. After that, move to design manager window, select the project file and click on synthesize button, then Leonardo Spectrum windows gets opened, in that, click on view RTL schematic button, the required logic diagram is displayed.

VHDL code:

AND gate:

```
c \le a and b;
END ARCHITECTURE dataflow;
OR gate:
LIBRARY ieee;
USE ieee.std_logic_1164.all;
USE ieee.std_logic_arith.all;
ENTITY or 1 IS
 port(a,b:in std_logic;
    c:out std_logic);
END ENTITY or1;
ARCHITECTURE dataflow OF or1 IS
BEGIN
 c \le a \text{ or } b;
END ARCHITECTURE dataflow;
NOT gate:
LIBRARY ieee;
USE ieee.std_logic_1164.all;
USE ieee.std_logic_arith.all;
ENTITY not1 IS
 port(a:in std_logic;
    o : out std_logic);
END ENTITY not1;
ARCHITECTURE dataflow OF not1 IS
BEGIN
 o<=not a;
END ARCHITECTURE dataflow:
NAND gate:
LIBRARY ieee;
USE ieee.std_logic_1164.all;
USE ieee.std_logic_arith.all;
ENTITY nand1 IS
port(a,b:in std_logic;
    c:out std_logic);
END ENTITY nand1;
ARCHITECTURE dataflow OF nand1 IS
BEGIN
 c \le a \text{ nand } b;
```

END ARCHITECTURE dataflow;


NOR gate:

XOR gate:


Simulations:

AND gate:

force a 0 0ns,0 10ns,1 20ns,1 30ns force b 0 0ns,1 10ns,0 20ns,1 30ns run 50ns



OR gate: force a 0 0ns,0 10ns,1 20ns,1 30ns force b 0 0ns,1 10ns,0 20ns,1 30ns run 50ns




NOT gate:

force a 0 0ns,0 10ns,1 20ns,1 30ns force b 0 0ns,1 10ns,0 20ns,1 30ns run 50ns



NAND gate:

force a 0 0ns,0 10ns,1 20ns,1 30ns force b 0 0ns,1 10ns,0 20ns,1 30ns run 50ns




NOR gate:

force a 0 0ns,0 10ns,1 20ns,1 30ns force b 0 0ns,1 10ns,0 20ns,1 30ns run 50ns




XOR gate:

force a 0 0ns,0 10ns,1 20ns,1 30ns force b 0 0ns,1 10ns,0 20ns,1 30ns run 50ns




Synthesis Diagrams:


AND gate:




OR gate:




NOT gate:




NAND gate:



NOR gate:



XOR gate:



Conclusion:

The VHDL code for all basic gates is written, simulated and synthesized.

Experiment 2


DESIGN OF 2-to-4 DECODER

<u>Aim:</u> To write VHDL code for 2-to-4 decoder in Behavioral modeling, Structural Modeling, simulate and synthesize

Tools Required:

- 1. FPG Advantage
 - i. Xilinx ISE 9.2

Theory: A decoder can take the form of a multiple-input, multiple-output logic circuit that converts coded inputs into coded outputs, where the input and output codes are different e.g. n-to-2n, binary-coded decimal decoders. Decoding is necessary in applications such as data multiplexing, 7 segment display and memory address decoding.



Procedure: Refer to page 3

VHDL code (Behavioural Modelling using with-select):

LIBRARY ieee;
USE ieee.std_logic_1164.all;
USE ieee.std_logic_arith.all;
ENTITY decoder24 IS
port(a:in std_logic_vector(1 downto 0);
f:out std_logic_vector(3 downto 0));
END ENTITY decoder24;

ARCHITECTURE behav_with_select OF decoder24 IS BEGIN

with a select

 $f \le 0001$ " when "00",

"0010" when "01",

"0100" when "10",

"1000" when others;


END ARCHITECTURE behav_with_select;

Simulations: Force a 00 0ns,01 10ns, 10 20ns,11 30ns

Run 40ns



Synthesis Diagrams:



VHDL code (Behavioural using case):

LIBRARY ieee;


USE ieee.std_logic_1164.all;

USE ieee.std_logic_arith.all;

```
ENTITY decoder2x4 IS
 port(a:in std_logic_vector(1 downto 0);
   f:out std_logic_vector(3 downto 0));
END ENTITY decoder2x4;
ARCHITECTURE behav_when_case OF decoder2x4 IS
BEGIN
 process(a)
  begin
  case(a) is
    when "00" => f <= "0001";
    when "01" \Rightarrow f <= "0010";
    when "10" => f <= "0100":
   when "11" \Rightarrow f \leq "1000";
    when others => f <= "0000";
    end case;
   end process;
END ARCHITECTURE behav_when_case;
```

Simulations:

Force a 00 0ns,01 10ns, 10 20ns,11 30ns Run 40ns



Synthesis Diagrams:

```
a[1:0] data[1:0] eq[3:0] f[3:0] decoder_2
```

VHDL code(Structural modelling):


```
LIBRARY ieee;
USE ieee.std_logic_1164.all;
USE ieee.std_logic_arith.all;
ENTITY decoder strct IS
 port(a:in std_logic_vector(1 downto 0);
    En:in std_logic;
   f:out std_logic_vector(3 downto 0));
END ENTITY decoder strct;
ARCHITECTURE stuctural OF decoder strct IS
 signal s,t: std_logic;
 component inv1 port(I :in std_logic;o:out std_logic);
 end component;
 component and3 port(I0,I1,I3: in std_logic;o:out std_logic);
 end component;
   begin
    u1:inv1 port map(a(0),s);
    u2:inv1 port map(a(1),t);
    u3:and3 port map(s,t,En,f(0));
    u4:and3 port map(a(0),t,En,f(1));
    u5:and3 port map(s,a(1),En,f(2));
    u6:and3 port map(a(0),a(1),En,f(3));
END ARCHITECTURE stuctural:
```

Internal program and3:


```
LIBRARY ieee;
USE ieee.std_logic_1164.all;
USE ieee.std_logic_arith.all;
ENTITY and3 IS
port(I0,I1,I3:in std_logic;
o: out std_logic);
END ENTITY and3;
ARCHITECTURE dataflow OF and3 IS
BEGIN
o <=I0 and I1 and I3;
END ARCHITECTURE dataflow;
```

Simulations:

force a 00 0ns,01 10ns,10 20ns,11 30ns force en 0 0ns,1 5ns run 50ns



Synthesis Diagrams:



Conclusion:

The VHDL code for 2-to-4 decoder using behavioral (using with-select, when-else), Structural model using is written, simulated and synthesized.

Experiment 3

DESIGN OF 8-to-3 ENCODER

<u>Aim:</u> To write the VHDL code for 8-to-3 Encoder in Dataflow, Behavioral, Structural modeling simulate and synthesize.

Tools Required:

1. FPG Advantage

time.

i. Xilinx ISE 9.2

Theory: The truth table for an 8-3 binary encoder (8 inputs and 3 outputs) is shown in the following table.

It is assumed that only one input has a value of 1 at any given

	inputs						outputs			
A7	A6	A5	A4	A3	A2	Al	A0	Y2	Yl	Y0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1

Procedure: Refer to page 3

VHDL Code (using Dataflow Modelling):

LIBRARY ieee;
USE ieee.std_logic_1164.all;
USE ieee.std_logic_arith.all;
ENTITY encoder8to3_df IS
port(I:in std_logic_vector(7 downto 0);
E0,E1,E2:out std_logic);
END ENTITY encoder8to3_df;

ARCHITECTURE dataflow OF encoder8to3_df IS BEGIN


 $E0 \le I(1)$ or I(3) or I(5) or I(7);

 $E1 \le I(2)$ or I(3) or I(6) or I(7);


 $E2 \le I(4)$ or I(5) or I(6) or I(7);

END ARCHITECTURE dataflow;

Simulation:



Synthesis diagram:



VHDL Code (in Behavioural Modelling using when-else Statement):

LIBRARY ieee;


USE ieee.std_logic_1164.all;

USE ieee.std_logic_arith.all;


```
ENTITY encoder_be IS
 port(I: in std_logic_vector( 7 downto 0);
    En: in std_logic;
    E : out std_logic_vector(2 downto 0));
END ENTITY encoder_be;
ARCHITECTURE behav OF encoder_be IS
BEGIN
 process(I,En)
       begin
       if En = '1' then
       case I is
              when "00000001"=> E <= "000";
              when "00000010"=> E <= "001";
              when "00000100"=> E <= "010";
              when "00001000"=> E \le 0.11";
              when "00010000"=> E <= "100";
              when "00100000"=> E <= "101";
              when "01000000"=> E <= "110";
              when "10000000"=> E <= "111";
              when others \Rightarrow E \iff "UUU";
       end case;
              else E <= "UUU";
    end if;
    end process;
END ARCHITECTURE behav:
```

<u>Simulation</u>: force I 00000001 0ns,00000010 10ns,00000100 20ns,00001000 30ns,00010000 40ns,00100000 50ns,01000000 60ns,10000000 70ns

force en 0 0ns,1 5ns run 80ns



Synthesis diagram:



VHDL Code(in Structural Modelling):

```
LIBRARY ieee;

USE ieee.std_logic_1164.all;

USE ieee.std_logic_arith.all;

ENTITY encoder_st IS

port(I : in std_logic_vector(7 downto 0);

E : out std_logic_vector(2 downto 0));

END ENTITY encoder_st;

ARCHITECTURE struct OF encoder_st IS

component or2 port(A,B,C,D : in std_logic;

M: out std_logic);

end component;

BEGIN

u1 :or2 port map(I(1),I(3),I(5),I(7),E(0));

u2 :or2 port map(I(2),I(3),I(6),I(7),E(1));
```

u3: or2 port map(I(4),I(5),I(6),I(7),E(2));

Internal program or2:

END ARCHITECTURE struct;

```
LIBRARY ieee;
USE ieee.std_logic_1164.all;
USE ieee.std_logic_arith.all;
ENTITY or2 IS
port(A,B,C,D:in std_logic;
M:out std_logic);
END ENTITY or2;
ARCHITECTURE dataflow OF or2 IS
```


BEGIN

 $M \leq A \text{ or } B \text{ or } C \text{ or } D;$


END ARCHITECTURE dataflow;

Simulation:

force I 00000001 0ns,00000010 10ns,00000100 20ns,00001000 30ns,00010000 40ns,00100000 50ns,01000000 60ns,10000000 70ns run 80ns



Synthesis diagram:



Conclusion:

The VHDL code for 8-to-3 encoder using Dataflow, Behavioural (using when-else Statement), Structural modelling is written, simulated and synthesized.

Experiment 4

DESIGN OF 8-To-1 MULTIPLEXER


<u>Aim:</u> To write the VHDL code for 8-to-1 multiplexer, simulate and synthesize.

Tools Required:

- 1. FPG Advantage
 - ii. Xilinx ISE 9.2

Theory:

A **multiplexer** (or MUX) is a device that selects one of several analog or digital input signals and forwards the selected input into a single line. A multiplexer of 2^n inputs has n select lines, which are used to select which input line to send to the output.



Procedure: Refer to page 3


VHDL code:

```
LIBRARY ieee;
USE ieee.std_logic_1164.all;
USE ieee.std_logic_arith.all;
ENTITY mux81 IS
port(EN_L: in std_logic;
    D: in std_logic_vector(7 downto 0);
    S: in std_logic_vector(2 downto 0);
    Z: out std_logic);
END ENTITY mux81;
ARCHITECTURE behav OF mux81 IS
```


```
BEGIN
 process(S,D,EN_L)
  begin
   if EN_L = 0' then
     case(S) is
     when "000" => Z <= D(0);
    when "001" => Z <= D(1);
    when "010" \Rightarrow Z \iff D(2);
    when "011" \Rightarrow Z \iff D(3);
    when "100" => Z <= D(4);
    when "101" => Z <= D(5);
    when "110" \Rightarrow Z \iff D(6);
    when "111" \Rightarrow Z<= D(7);
     when others \Rightarrow Z \Leftarrow 'U';
       end case;
           else Z \leq U';
       end if;
    end process;
END ARCHITECTURE behav;
```

Simulation:

force EN_L 1 0ns,0 5ns force D 10101010 0ns force S 000 0ns,001 10ns,010 20ns,011 30ns,100 40ns,101 50ns,110 60ns,111 70ns



Synthesis diagram:



Conclusion:

The VHDL code for 8-to-1 multiplexer is written, simulated and synthesized.

Experiment 5

DESIGN OF 4 BIT BINARY TO GRAY CODE CONVERSION

<u>Aim:</u> To write the VHDL code for 4 Bit Binary to Gray code conversion, simulate and synthesize.

Tools Required:

- 1. FPG Advantage
 - i. Xilinx ISE 9.2

Theory:

The difference between the Gray Code and the regular binary code is that the Gray Code varies only 1 bit from entry to the next entry. The conversion between Gray Code and binary code are done by using Karnaugh Map. By using this method, the conversion can be done simply with exclusive-OR gates.

Procedure: Refer to page 3


VHDL code:

```
LIBRARY ieee;
USE ieee.std_logic_1164.all;
USE ieee.std_logic_arith.all;


ENTITY binarytograycodeconverter IS
port(b:in std_logic_vector(3 downto 0));
g: out std_logic_vector(3 downto 0));
END ENTITY binarytograycodeconverter;
ARCHITECTURE dataflow OF binarytograycodeconverter IS
BEGIN
g(3)<=b(3);
g(2)<=b(3)xor b(2);
g(1)<=b(2)xor b(1);
g(0)<=b(1) xor b(0);
END ARCHITECTURE dataflow;
```

Simulations:

Force 0000 0ns,0001 5ns,0010 10ns,0011 15ns,0100 20ns,0101 25ns,0110 30ns,0111 35ns,1000 40ns,1001 45ns,1010 50ns,1011 55ns,1100 60ns,1101 65ns,1110 70ns,1111 75ns Run 80ns



Synthesis Diagrams:



Conclusion:

The VHDL code for binary to gray code conversion is written, simulated and synthesized.

Experiment 6(a)


DESIGN OF 4-BIT COMPARATOR

<u>Aim:</u> To write the VHDL code for 4-BIT COMPARATOR, simulate and synthesize using dataflow model.

Tools Required:

- 1. FPG Advantage
 - i. Xilinx ISE 9.2

Theory:



The purpose of a **Digital Comparator** is to compare a set of variables or unknown numbers, for example A (A1, A2, A3, An, etc) against that of a constant or unknown value such as B (B1, B2, B3, Bn, etc) and produce an output condition or flag depending upon the result of the comparison. For example, a magnitude comparator of two 1-bits, (A and B) inputs would produce the following three output conditions when compared to each other.

$$A > B$$
, $A = B$, $A < B$

Procedure: Refer to page 3

VHDL CODE:

LIBRARY ieee;
USE ieee.std_logic_1164.all;
USE ieee.std_logic_arith.all;
ENTITY comparator4bit_df IS
port(a,b: in std_logic_vector(3 downto 0);
 agtb,aeqb,altb: out std_logic);

END ENTITY comparator4bit_df;

ARCHITECTURE dataflow OF comparator4bit_df IS

BEGIN

 $aeqb \le '1' when a=b else '0';$

agtb <= '1' when a>b else '0';

altb <= '1' when a<b else '0';


END ARCHITECTURE dataflow;

Simulation:


force a $0111\ 0ns,0101\ 40ns$

force b 0110 0ns,0101 30ns

run 100ns



Synthesis diagram:



Conclusion:

The VHDL code for 4-bit Comparator using dataflow model is written, simulated and synthesized.

Experiment 6(b)

DESIGN OF 4-BIT COMPARATOR

<u>Aim:</u> To write the VHDL code for 4-BIT COMPARATOR, simulate and synthesize using dataflow model

VHDL CODE(in Behavioural Modelling using if-elsif):


```
LIBRARY ieee;
USE ieee.std logic 1164.all;
USE ieee.std_logic_arith.all;
ENTITY comparator4bit behav IS
 port(a,b: in std_logic_vector(3 downto 0);
      agtb,aeqb,altb : out std_logic);
END ENTITY comparator4bit_behav;
ARCHITECTURE behavioural OF comparator4bit_behav IS
BEGIN
 process(a,b)
        begin
        if(a>b)then
              agtb <='1';
              aeqb \le 0;
              altb <= '0';
       elsif(a<b) then
               agtb <= '0';
               aeqb <= '0';
               altb <= '1';
       elsif(a=b) then
              agtb <='0';
              aeqb <= '1';
              altb <= '0';
       else
              agtb<='0';
              aeqb <='0';
              altb <= '0';
       end if;
     end process;
END ARCHITECTURE behavioural;
```

Simulation:

```
force a 0111 0ns,0101 40ns
force b 0110 0ns,0101 30ns
run 60ns
```



Synthesis diagram:



Conclusion:

The VHDL code for 4-bit comparator using dataflow model is written, simulated and synthesized.

Experiment 7(a)

DESIGN OF HALF ADDER

Aim: To write the VHDL code for Half adder, simulate and synthesize.

Tools Required:

- 1. FPG Advantage
 - i. Xilinx ISE 9.2

Theory:

The **half adder** adds two one-bit binary numbers A and B. It has two outputs, $Sum\ S$ and $Carry\ C$.

Ing	out	Output		
A	В	C	S	
0	0	0	0	
0	1	0	1	
1	0	0	1.	
I.	1	1	0	

Truth table


Procedure: Refer to page 3

VHDL Code (using Dataflow Modelling):


```
LIBRARY ieee;
USE ieee.std_logic_1164.all;
USE ieee.std_logic_arith.all;
ENTITY halfadder1 IS
port(a: in std_logic;
    b:in std_logic;
    carry:out std_logic;
    sum:out std_logic);
END ENTITY halfadder1;
ARCHITECTURE dataflow OF halfadder1 IS
BEGIN
    sum <=a xor b;
    carry <= a and b;
END ARCHITECTURE dataflow;
```

Simulations:

force a 0 0ns, 1 10ns,0 20ns,1 30ns force b 1 0ns,0 10ns,1 20ns run 50ns



Synthesis Diagrams:



Conclusion:

The VHDL code for half adder is written and simulated and synthesized.

Experiment 7(b)

DESIGN OF FULL ADDER

<u>Aim:</u> To write the VHDL code for Full adder, simulate and synthesize using dataflow model.

Tools Required:

- 1. FPG Advantage
 - i. Xilinx ISE 9.2

Theory:

A **full adder** adds binary numbers and accounts for values carried in as well as out. A one-bit full adder adds three one-bit numbers, often written as A, B, and C_{in} ; A and B are the operands, and C_{in} is a bit carried in from the next less significant stage.

	Inputs	Outputs		
A	В	Cin	Cout	S
0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	1
0	1	0	0	1
1	1	0	1	0
0	0	1	0	1
1	0	1	1	0
0	i	1	1	0
1	1	1	1	1

A full adder can be implemented in many different ways such as with a custom transistor-level circuit or composed of other gates. One example implementation is with $S=A\oplus B\oplus C_{in}$ and $C_{out}=(A\cdot B)+(C_{in}\cdot (A\oplus B))$.

Procedure: Refer to page 3

VHDL code (using dataflow Modelling):

LIBRARY ieee;

USE ieee.std_logic_1164.all;

USE ieee.std_logic_arith.all;

ENTITY fulladder_df IS

port(A,B,C : in std_logic;

sum,carry : out std_logic);

END ENTITY fulladder_df;

ARCHITECTURE dataflow OF fulladder_df IS

BEGIN

 $sum \le A xor B xor C;$

carry \leq ((A and B) or (B and C) or (C and A));

END ARCHITECTURE dataflow;


Simulations:

Force a 0 0ns,1 40ns


Force b 0 0ns,1 20ns,0 40ns,1 60ns

Force c 0 0ns,1 10ns,0 20ns,1 30ns,0 40ns,1 50ns,0 60ns,1 70ns

Run 80ns



Synthesis diagrams:



Conclusion:

The VHDL code for full adder using Dataflow modeling is written, simulated and synthesized.

Experiment 7(c)

DESIGN OF FULL ADDER

<u>Aim:</u> To write the VHDL code for Full adder, simulate and synthesize using Structural model.

Tools Required:

- 1. FPG Advantage
 - ii. Xilinx ISE 9.2

Theory:

A **full adder** adds binary numbers and accounts for values carried in as well as out. A one-bit full adder adds three one-bit numbers, often written as A, B, and C_{in} ; A and B are the operands, and C_{in} is a bit carried in from the next less significant stage.

	Inputs	Out	puts	
A	В	Cin	Cout	S
0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	1
0	1	0	0	1
1	1	0	1	0
0	0	1	0	1
1	0	1	1	0
0	1	1	1	0
1	1	1	1	1

A full adder can be implemented in many different ways such as with a custom transistor-level circuit or composed of other gates. One example implementation is with $S=A\oplus B\oplus C_{in}$ and $C_{out}=(A\cdot B)+(C_{in}\cdot (A\oplus B))$.

Procedure: Refer to page 3

VHDL Code(using Structural Modelling):

LIBRARY ieee;

USE ieee.std_logic_1164.all;

USE ieee.std_logic_arith.all;

```
ENTITY fulladder_struct IS

port(Fx,Fy,Fcin: in std_logic;

Fsum,Fcarry: out std_logic);

END ENTITY fulladder_struct;

ARCHITECTURE struct OF fulladder_struct IS

signal s1,c1,c2:std_logic;

component HA port(A,B: in std_logic;

sum,carry: out std_logic)

end component;

BEGIN

u1: HA port map(Fx,Fy,s1,c1);

u2: HA port map(s1,Fcin,Fsum,c2);

Fcarry <= c1 or c2;

END ARCHITECTURE struct;
```

Internal program Halfadder(HA):

```
LIBRARY ieee;
```

USE ieee.std_logic_1164.all;

USE ieee.std_logic_arith.all;

ENTITY HA IS

port(A,B : in std_logic;

sum,carry: out std_logic);

END ENTITY HA;

ARCHITECTURE dataflow OF HA IS

BEGIN

 $sum \le A xor B$;

carry <= A and B;


END ARCHITECTURE dataflow;

Simulation:

force Fx 0 0ns,1 30ns force Fy 0 0ns, 1 20ns,0 40ns, 1 60ns force Fcin 0 0ns, 1 10ns,0 20ns,1 30ns,0 40ns,1 50ns,0 60ns,1 70ns run 80ns



Synthesis diagram:



Conclusion:

The VHDL code for full adder using Structural modeling is written, simulated and synthesized.

Experiment 7(d)

DESIGN OF FULL ADDER

Aim: To write the VHDL code for Full adder, simulate and synthesize using Behavioral model.

Tools Required:

- 1. FPG Advantage
 - i. Xilinx ISE 9.2

Theory:

A **full adder** adds binary numbers and accounts for values carried in as well as out. A one-bit full adder adds three one-bit numbers, often written as A, B, and C_{in} ; A and B are the operands, and C_{in} is a bit carried in from the next less significant stage.

Inputs			Outpu	ıts
A	B Cin		Cout	5
0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	1
0	1	0	0	1
1	1	0	1	0
0	0	1	0	1
1	0	1	1	0
0	1	1	1	0
1	1	1	1	1

A full adder can be implemented in many different ways such as with a custom transistor-level circuit or composed of other gates. One example implementation is with $S=A\oplus B\oplus C_{in}$ and $C_{out}=(A\cdot B)+(C_{in}\cdot (A\oplus B))$.

Procedure: Refer to page 3

VHDL Code(in Behavioural Modelling using if-then-else Statement):

LIBRARY ieee;

USE ieee.std_logic_1164.all;

USE ieee.std_logic_arith.all;

ENTITY fulladder_be IS

port(a: in std_logic;


b: in std_logic;

c: in std_logic;


```
sum : out std_logic;
  carry : out std_logic);
END ENTITY fulladder_be;
ARCHITECTURE behav OF fulladder_be IS
BEGIN
 process(a,b,c)
  begin
            if(a='0' and b='0' and c='0')
                     then sum <= '0';carry <= '0';
    elsif(a='0') and b= '0' and c='1'
            then sum <= '1';carry <= '0';
            elsif(a='0' \text{ and } b= '1' \text{ and } c='0')
                     then sum <= '1';carry <= '0';
            elsif(a='0') and b= '1' and c='1')
                     then sum <= '0';carry <= '1';
         elsif(a='1' \text{ and } b='0' \text{ and } c='0')
                     then sum <= '1';carry <= '0';
         elsif(a='1' \text{ and } b='0' \text{ and } c='1')
                     then sum <= '0';carry <= '1';
         elsif(a='1' \text{ and } b= '1' \text{ and } c='0')
                     then sum <= '0';carry <= '1';
         elsif(a='1' \text{ and } b='1' \text{ and } c='1')
                      then sum <= '1';carry <= '1';
         end if;
         end process;
END ARCHITECTURE behav:
```

Simulation:

force a 0 0ns,1 40ns force b 0 0ns,1 20ns,0 40ns,1 60ns force c 0 0ns,1 10ns,0 20ns,1 30ns,0 40ns,1 50ns,0 60ns,1 70ns run 80ns



Synthesis diagram:




VHDL Code(in Behavioural Modelling style using Case Statement):

```
LIBRARY ieee;
USE ieee.std_logic_1164.all;
USE ieee.std_logic_arith.all;
ENTITY fulladder_behav_usingcase IS
port(a: in std_logic_vector(2 downto 0);
    sum,carry :out std_logic);
END ENTITY fulladder_behav_usingcase;
ARCHITECTURE behav OF fulladder_behav_usingcase IS
BEGIN
process(a)
    begin
    case(a) is
    when "000" =>sum<='0';carry<='0';
    when "001" =>sum<='1';carry<='0';
```


```
when "010" =>sum<='1';carry<='0';
when "011" =>sum<='0';carry<='1';
when "100" =>sum<='1';carry<='0';
when "101" =>sum<='0';carry<='1';
when "110" =>sum<='0';carry<='1';
when "111" =>sum<='1';carry<='1';
when others =>sum<=Z';carry<='Z';
end case;
end process;</pre>
END ARCHITECTURE behav;
```

Simulation:

force a 000 0ns,001 10ns,010 20ns,011 30ns,100 40ns,101 50ns,110 60ns,111 70ns run 80ns



Synthesis Diagram:



Conclusion:

The VHDL code for full adder using Behavioral (if-then-else, case statement) modeling is written, simulated and synthesized.

Experiment 8(a)

DESIGN OF T-FLIPFLOP

<u>Aim:</u> To write the VHDL code for T-FLIPFLOP, simulate and synthesize using structural model.

Tools Required:

- 1. FPG Advantage
 - i. Xilinx ISE 9.2

Theory:


T flip-flop

If the T input is high, the T flip-flop changes state ("toggles") whenever the clock input is strobed. If the T input is low, the flip-flop holds the previous value. This behavior is described by the characteristic equation:

$$Q_{next} = T \oplus Q = T \overline{Q} + \overline{T} Q$$
 (expanding the XOR operator)

and can be described in a truth table:

	T flip-flop operation ^[28]						
	Characteristic table				Excitation table		
T	Q	Q_{next}	Comment	Q	Q_{next}	T	Comment
0	0	0	hold state (no clk)	0	0	0	No change
0	1	1	hold state (no clk)	1	1	0	No change
1	0	1	toggle	0	1	1	Complement
1	1	0	toggle	1	0	1	Complement



Procedure: Refer to page 3

VHDL code(in Behavioural Modelling using if-else statement):

LIBRARY ieee;

USE ieee.std_logic_1164.all;

USE ieee.std_logic_arith.all;


```
ENTITY tflipflop IS
 port(t,clk: in std_logic;
        q:inoutstd_logic:='0');
END ENTITY tflipflop;
ARCHITECTURE behav OF tflipflop IS
BEGIN
 process(clk)
  begin
       q < = '0';
       if(clk'event and clk='1')then
       if(t='1') then
       q \le not(q);
    else
                q <= q;
                end if;
              end if;
       end process;
END ARCHITECTURE behav;
```

Simulation:

force t 1 0ns force clk 1 0ns,0 10ns,1 20ns,0 30ns,1 40ns,0 50ns,1 60ns,0 70ns,1 80ns run 80ns



Synthesis diagram:



Conclusion:

The VHDL code for T-flipflop is written in Behavioural Modelling(using if-else statement), simulated and synthesized.

Experiment 8(b)

DESIGN OF D-FLIPFLOP

<u>Aim:</u> To write the VHDL code for D-FLIPFLOP, simulate and synthesize using behavioural model.

Tools Required:

- 1. FPG Advantage
 - i. Xilinx ISE 9.2


Theory:


D flip-flop

The D flip-flop is widely used. It is also known as a data or delay flip-flop. [citation needed]

The D flip-flop captures the value of the D-input at a definite portion of the clock cycle (such as the rising edge of the clock). That captured value becomes the Q output. At other times, the output Q does not change. [25][26] The D flip-flop can be viewed as a memory cell, a zero-order hold, or a delay line. [citation needed]

Truth table:





Procedure: Refer to page 3

VHDL code (in Behavioural Modelling using if-else Statement):

LIBRARY ieee;

USE ieee.std_logic_1164.all;

USE ieee.std_logic_arith.all;

ENTITY dflipflop IS

port(d,clk :in std_logic;

q:out std_logic);

END ENTITY dflipflop;

ARCHITECTURE behav OF dflipflop IS

```
BEGIN

process(clk)

begin


if (clk'event and clk='1') then

q <= d;
end if;
end process;


END ARCHITECTURE behav;
```

Simulation:

force d 1 0ns,0 20ns force clk 1 0ns,0 10ns,1 20ns,0 30ns,1 40ns,0 50ns,1 60ns run 70ns



Synthesis diagram:



Conclusion:

The VHDL code for T-flipflop is written in Behavioural Modelling(using if-else statement), simulated and synthesized.

Experiment 8(c)

DESIGN OF JK-FLIPFLOP

<u>Aim:</u> To write the VHDL code for JK-FLIPFLOP, simulate and synthesize using behavioral model.

Tools Required:

- 1. FPG Advantage
 - i. Xilinx ISE 9.2

Theory:

JK latch

The JK latch is much less used than the JK flip-flop. The JK latch follows the following state table:

JI	JK latch truth table		
J	K	Q _{next}	Comment
0	0	Q	No change
0	1	0	Reset
1	0	1	Set
1	1	Q	Toggle

Hence, the JK latch is an SR latch that is made to toggle its output when passed the restricted combination of 11.

Procedure: Refer to page 3

VHDL code:

```
elsif(clk='0' and clk'event) then
    q<=(j and (not q)) or ((not k)and q);
    end if;
end process;
    qn <= not q;
END ARCHITECTURE behav;</pre>
```

simulation:

Force clk 1 0ns,0 10ns,1 20ns,0 30ns,1 40ns,0 50ns,1 60ns,0 70ns


Force r 0 0ns,1 50ns

Force s 1 0ns, 0 60ns


Force j 0 0ns,1 40ns

Force k 0 0ns,1 40ns

Run 80ns



Synthesis diagram:



Conclusion:

The VHDL code for JK Fliplop using behavioural modelling(using if-else) is written, simulated and synthesized.

CYCLE - 2

Experiment 1

DESIGN RULES

<u>Aim:</u> To study the design rules of CMOS

Design rules are the communication link between the designer specifying requirements and the fabricator who materializes them. Design rules are used to produce workable mask layouts from which the various layers in silicon will be formed or patterned.

The object of a set of design rules is to allow a ready translation of circuit design concepts, usually in stick diagram are symbolic form into actual geometry in silicon.

The first set of design rules are lambda based. These rules are straight forward and relatively simple to occupy. They are real and chips can be fabricated from mask layout using the lambda based rules set.


All paths in all layers will be dimensioned in lambda ' λ ' and subsequently lambda can be allocated and appropriate value compatible with the feature size of the fabrication process.

N well Design Rules:

r101 Minimum well size: 12 λ

r102 Between wells: 12 λ

r110 Minimum surface: 144 λ^2



Diffusion Design Rules:

r201 Minimum N+ and P+ diffusion width: 4 λ


r202 Between two P+ and N+ diffusions: 4 λ

r203 Extra nwell after P+ diffusion : 6 λ

r204 Between N+ diffusion and nwell: 6 λ

r205 Border of well after N+ polarization 2 λ

r206 Distance between Nwell and P+ polarization 6 λ



r210 Minimum surface : $24 \lambda^2$

Polysilicon Design Rules:

r301 Polysilicon width : 2λ

r302 Polysilicon gate on diffusion: 2 λ

r303 Polysilicon gate on diffusion for high voltage MOS: 4 λ


r304 Between two polysilicon boxes : 3 λ

r305 Polysilicon vs. other diffusion : 2 $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$

r306 Diffusion after polysilicon : 4 λ

r307 Extra gate after polysilicium : 3 λ


r310 Minimum surface : $8 \lambda^2$



2nd Polysilicon Design Rules

r311 Polysilicon2 width : 2 $\lambda\,$

r312 Polysilicon2 gate on diffusion: 2 λ



Contact Design Rules

r401 Contact width : 2λ


r402 Between two contacts : 5λ

r403 Extra diffusion over contact: 2 λ

r404 Extra poly over contact: 2λ

r405 Extra metal over contact: 2λ

r406 Distance between contact and poly gate: 3 λ



Metal & Via Design Rules

r501 Metal width : 4λ

r502 Between two metals : 4λ

r510 Minimum surface : 32 λ2

metal r502 metal

r601 Via width: 2λ


r602 Between two Via: 5 λ

r603 Between Via and contact:-0λ

r604 Extra metal over via: 2 λ

r605 Extra metal2 over via: 2 λ

When r603=0, stacked via over contact is allowed




Metal2 & Via2 Design Rules

r701 Metal width: 4λ

r702 Between two metal2: 4λ

r710 Minimum surface : $32 \lambda^2$



r801 Via2 width: 2λ

r802 Between two Via2: 5 λ

r804 Extra metal2 over via2: 2 λ

r805 Extra metal3 over via2: 2 λ



metal3 r902 metal3

Metal 3 & Via 3 Design Rules

r901 Metal3 width: 4λ

r902 Between two metal3: 4λ


r910 Minimum surface : $32 \lambda^2$

ra01 Via3 width: 2 λ

ra02 Between two Via3: 5 λ

ra04 Extra metal3 over via3: 2 λ


ra05 Extra metal4 over via $3: 2 \lambda$



rb01 Metal4 width: 4 λ

rb02 Between two metal4 : 4λ

rb10Minimum surface : $32 \lambda^2$




rc01 Via4 width: 2 λ

rc02 Between two Via4: 5 λ

rc04 Extra metal4 over via2: 3 λ

rc05Extra metal5 over via2: 3 λ



Metal 5 & Via 5 Design Rules

rd01 Metal5 width: 8λ

rd02 Between two metal5: 8λ

rd10 Minimum surface: 100 λ2

rd01

Metal5

rd02


Metal5

re01 Via5 width: 4λ

re02 Between two Via5: 6λ

re04 Extra metal5 over via5: 3 λ

re05 Extra metal6 over via5: 3 λ



Metal 6 Design Rules

rf01 Metal6 width: 8 λ

rf02 Between two metal6 : 15 λ

rf10 Minimum surface : $300\lambda^2$



Pad Design Rules


rp01 Pad width: 100 μm

rp02 Between two pads $100 \mu m$

rp03 Opening in passivation v.s via : 5μm

rp04 Opening in passivation v.s metals: 5μm

rp05Between pad and unrelated active area : 20 μm



Experiment 2

BASIC LOGIC GATES

<u>Aim:</u> To design the digital schematics and corresponding layouts using **CMOS logic** for an **AND LOGIC** gate, **OR LOGIC** gate, **NOT LOGIC** gate and check the lambda based rules using DRC and verify its functionality.

Apparatus:

- ➤ DSCH2(logic editor & simulator)
- ➤ MICROWIND 3.1(layout editor & simulator)

Theory:


AND GateS: The AND gate is an electronic circuit that gives a **high** output (1) only if **all** its inputs are high. A dot (.) is used to show the AND operation i.e. A.B.

2 Input AND gate			
Α	В	A.B	
0	0	0	
0	1	0	
1	0	0	
1	1	1	


Procedure:

- 1. Open the DSch2 tool and draw the schematic diagram as per the circuit drawn.
- 2. Save the file and verify the functionality.
- 3. After that open Microwind 3.1 tool and draw the layout diagram as per the circuit drawn.
- 4. Save the file and verify the lambda rules by using DRC, then verify the functionality.


Digital Schematic Representation:




Transistor level design (CMOS logic):



Timing Diagram:




Semi-custom Lavout:



Simulation:

Voltage –Time:



Conclusion:

The digital schematics and corresponding layouts using **CMOS logic** for an **AND LOGIC** gate are designed and the lambda based rules using DRC are checked and verified its functionality.

OR LOGIC GATE

OR Gate:


The OR gate is an electronic circuit that gives a high output (1) if **one or more** of its inputs are high. A plus (+) is used to show the OR operation.

2 Input OR gate			
Α	В	A+B	
0	0	0	
0	1	1	
1	0	1	
1	1	1	


Procedure:

- 1. Open the DSch2 tool and draw the schematic diagram as per the circuit drawn.
- 2. Save the file and verify the functionality.
- 3. After that open Microwind 3.1 tool and draw the layout diagram as per the circuit drawn.
- 4. Save the file and verify the lambda rules by using DRC, then verify the functionality.

Digital Schematic Representation:




Transistor level design (CMOS logic):



Timing Diagram:




Semi-custom Layout:



Simulation:

Voltage – Time:



Voltage-voltage:



Conclusion:

The digital schematics and corresponding layouts using **CMOS logic** for an **OR LOGIC** gate are designed and the lambda based rules using DRC are checked and verified its functionality.

NOT LOGIC GATE

NOT Gate:


The NOT gate is an electronic circuit that produces an inverted version of the input at its output. It is also known as an *inverter*. If the input variable is A, the inverted output is known as NOT A. This is also shown as A', or A with a bar over the top.

NOT (gate
Α	Ā
0	1
1	0


Procedure:

- 1. Open the DSch2 tool and draw the schematic diagram as per the circuit drawn.
- 2. Save the file and verify the functionality.
- 3. After that open Microwind 3.1 tool and draw the layout diagram as per the circuit drawn.
- 4. Save the file and verify the lambda rules by using DRC, then verify the functionality.

Digital Schematic Representation:




Transistor level design (CMOS logic):




Timing Diagram:



Semi-custom Layout:




Full-custom Lavout:




Simulation:

Voltage – Time:



Voltage-Voltage:



Conclusion:

The digital schematics and corresponding layouts using **CMOS logic** for an **NOT LOGIC** gate are designed and the lambda based rules using DRC are checked and verified its functionality.

Experiment 2(b)

NAND LOGIC GATE

<u>Aim:</u> To design the digital schematics and corresponding layouts using **CMOS logic** for an **NAND LOGIC** gate and **NOR LOGIC** gate check the lambda based rules using DRC and verify its functionality.

Apparatus:

- ➤ DSCH2(logic editor & simulator)
- ➤ MICROWIND 3.1(layout editor & simulator)

THEORY:


NAND: This is a NOT-AND gate which is equal to an AND gate followed by a NOT gate. The outputs of all NAND gates are high if **any** of the inputs are low. The symbol is an AND gate with a small circle on the output. The small circle represents inversion

	2 Input NAND gate		
1	Α	В	Ā.B
	0	0	1
	0	1	1
	1	0	1
	1	1	0


Procedure:

- 1. Open the DSch2 tool and draw the schematic diagram as per the circuit drawn.
- 2. Save the file and verify the functionality.
- 3. After that open Microwind 3.1 tool and draw the layout diagram as per the circuit drawn.
- 4. Save the file and verify the lambda rules by using DRC, then verify the functionality.


Digital Schematic Representation:




Transistor level design (CMOS logic):




Timing Diagram:



Semi-custom Lavout:




Full-Custom Lavout:



Simulation:

Voltage – Time:



Conclusion:

The digital schematics and corresponding layouts using **CMOS logic** for an **NAND LOGIC** gate are designed and the lambda based rules using DRC are checked and verified its functionality.

NOR LOGIC GATE:

NOR Gate:


This is a NOT-OR gate which is equal to an OR gate followed by a NOT gate. The outputs of all NOR gates are low if **any** of the inputs are high. The symbol is an OR gate with a small circle on the output. The small circle represents inversion

2 Input NOR gate				
Α	В	A+B		
0	0	1		
0	1	0		
1	0	0		
1	1_	0		


Procedure:

- 1. Open the DSch2 tool and draw the schematic diagram as per the circuit drawn.
- 2. Save the file and verify the functionality.
- 3. After that open Microwind 3.1 tool and draw the layout diagram as per the circuit drawn.
- 4. Save the file and verify the lambda rules by using DRC, then verify the functionality.


Digital Schematic Representation:




Transistor level design (CMOS logic):



Timing Diagram:




Semi-custom Layout:




Simulation:

Voltage – Time:



Voltage-voltage:



Conclusion:

The digital schematics and corresponding layouts using **CMOS logic** for an **NOR LOGIC** gate are designed and the lambda based rules using DRC are checked and verified its functionality.

Experiment 2(c)

EX-OR LOGIC GATE

<u>Aim:</u> To design the digital schematics and corresponding layouts using **CMOS logic** for an **EX-OR LOGIC** gate, **EX-NOR LOGIC** gate and check the lambda based rules using DRC and verify its functionality.

Apparatus:

- ➤ DSCH2(logic editor & simulator)
- ➤ MICROWIND 3.1(layout editor & simulator)

THEORY:


EX-OR: The 'Exclusive-OR' gate is a circuit which will give a high output if either, but not both, of its two inputs are high. An encircled plus sign () is used to show the EXOR operation.

	2 Input EXOR gate			
I	Α	В	A⊕B	
I	0	0	0	
1	0	1	1	
	1	0	1	
	1	1	0	


Procedure:

- 1. Open the DSch2 tool and draw the schematic diagram as per the circuit drawn.
- 2. Save the file and verify the functionality.
- 3. After that open Microwind 3.1 tool and draw the layout diagram as per the circuit drawn.
- 4. Save the file and verify the lambda rules by using DRC, then verify the functionality.


Digital Schematic Representation:




Transistor level design (CMOS logic):



Timing Diagram:




Semi-custom Lavout:



Simulation:

Voltage – Time:



Voltage-voltage:



Conclusion:

The digital schematics and corresponding layouts using CMOS logic for an EX-OR LOGIC gate are designed and the lambda based rules using DRC are checked and verified its functionality.

Ex-NOR LOGIC GATE:

Ex-NOR Gate:


The 'Exclusive-NOR' gate is a circuit which will give a high output if **both** of its inputs are high or low. An encircled dot sign (.) is used to show the EXNOR operation.

A	В	~ (a^b)
0	0	1
0	1	0
1	0	0
1	1	1


Procedure:

- 1. Open the DSch2 tool and draw the schematic diagram as per the circuit drawn.
- 2. Save the file and verify the functionality.
- 3. After that open Microwind 3.1 tool and draw the layout diagram as per the circuit drawn.
- 4. Save the file and verify the lambda rules by using DRC, then verify the functionality.


Digital Schematic Representation:




Transistor level design (CMOS logic):



Timing diagram:




Semi-custom Lavout:



Simulation:

Voltage – Time:



Conclusion:

The digital schematics and corresponding layouts using **CMOS logic** for an **EX-NOR LOGIC** gate are designed and the lambda based rules using DRC are checked and verified its functionality.

Experiment 3

HALF ADDER

To design the digital schematics and corresponding layouts using CMOS logic for Aim: **HALF ADDER** and check the lambda based rules using DRC and verify its functionality.

Apparatus:

- > DSCH2(logic editor & simulator)
- ➤ MICROWIND 3.1(layout editor & simulator)

Theory:

The **half adder** adds two one-bit binary numbers A and B. It has two outputs, S and C




Truth table


Procedure:

- 1. Open the DSch2 tool and draw the schematic diagram as per the circuit drawn.
- 2. Save the file and verify the functionality.
- 3. After that open Microwind 3.1 tool and draw the layout diagram as per the circuit drawn.
- 4. Save the file and verify the lambda rules by using DRC, then verify the functionality.


Transistor level design (CMOS logic):



Timing Diagram:




Semi-custom Layout:




Simulation:

Voltage – Time:



Voltage-Voltage:



Conclusion:

The digital schematics and corresponding layouts using **CMOS logic** for an **HALF ADDER** are designed and the lambda based rules using DRC

Experiment 4

SPICE Simulation and a Coding of CMOS Inverter Circuit

Aim: To write SPICE code for CMOS Inverter Circuit, Simulate and verify functionality.

Apparatus:

- 1. PSPICE
 - i. DesignLab Eval8

Theory:

In CMOS, both p and n-channel transistors are used. A schematic circuit representation of the CMOS inverter is shown in figure. The operation of the circuit on an inverter can be explained as follows. All voltages are referenced with respect to V_{SS} , the ground potential. When the input voltage V_I is zero, the gate of the p-channel transistor is at V_{DD} below the source potential, that is, $V_{GS}=V_{DD}$. This turns on the transistor, which is turned off since $V_{GS}=0$ for this transistor. Now if the input voltage is raised to the threshold voltage level of the n-channel transistor raised to V_{DD} , the n-channel transistor will conduct while the p-channel transistor gets turned off, discharging the load capacitance C to ground potential.

Procedure:

- 1. Start → program → Design Lab Eval8 → select Design manager to get Design Manager window
- 2. Click on Run Text Edit window to get microsim text editor
- 3. Type the program, save it with experiment name.
- 4. Then run pspice AD, to get pspice AD window.
- 5. Then go to file, click on open to select the saved file
- 6. The selected file is simulated successfully.
- 7. Go to file, click Run Probe to get microsim probe window.
- 8. Click on Add Trace, Deselect Currents and Aliased names and click on OK to view the frequency response.

CMOS Inverter:




Figure: CMOS Inverter:

PSPICE Code for CMOS Inverter:

```
*Pspice file for CMOS Inverter
```

*Filename="cmos.cir"

VIN 1 0 DC 0V AC 1VOLT

VDD 3 0 DC 2.5VOLT

VSS 4 0 DC -2.5VOLT

M1 2 1 4 4 NMOS1 W=9.6U L=5.4U

M2 2 1 3 3 PMOS1 W=25.8U L=5.4U

.MODEL NMOS1 NMOS VTO=1.0 KP=40U

- + GAMMA=1.0 LAMBDA=0.02 PHI=0.6
- + TOX=0.05U LD=0.5U CJ=5E-4 CJSW=10E-10
- + U0=550 MJ=0.5 MJSW=0.5 CGSO=0.4E-9 CGDO=0.4E-9

.MODEL PMOS1 PMOS VTO=-1.0 KP=15U

- + GAMMA=0.6 LAMBDA=0.02 PHI=0.6
- + TOX=0.05U LD=0.5U CJ=5E-4 CJSW=10E-10
- + U0=200 MJ=0.5 MJSW=0.5 CGSO=0.4E-9 CGDO=0.4E-9

.DC VIN -2.5 2.5 0.05

- .TF V(2) VIN
- .AC DEC 100 1HZ 100GHZ
- .PROBE
- .END

CMOS Inverter Transfer function:




Figure: CMOS inverter transfer function

Conclusion:

The SPICE code for CMOS Inverter Circuit is written, simulated and the functionality is verified.

Experiment 5

SPICE Simulation of Basic Analog Circuit: Differential Amplifier

Aim: To write SPICE code for Differential Amplifier, Simulate and verifyfunctionality.

Apparatus:

- 1. PSPICE
 - i. DesignLab Eval8

Theory:

Differential amplifiers are compatible with the matching properties of IC technology. The differential amplifier has two modes of signal operation:

- i. Differential mode.
- ii. Common mode.

Differential amplifiers are excellent input stages for voltage amplifiers Differential amplifiers can have different loads including:

- Current mirrors
- MOS diodes
- Current sources/sinks
- Resistors

The small signal performance of the differential amplifier is similar to the inverting amplifier in gain, output resistance and bandwidth. The large signal performance includes slew rate and the linearization of the transconductance. The design of CMOS analog circuits uses the relationships of the circuit to design the dc currents and the W/L ratios of each transistor.

A differential amplifier is an amplifier that amplifies the difference between two voltages and rejects the average or common mode value of the two voltages. Differential and common mode voltages: v1 and v2 are called *single-ended* voltages. They are voltages referenced to ac ground. The *differential-mode* input voltage, vID, is the voltage difference between v1 and v2. The *common-mode* input voltage, vIC, is the average value of v1 and v2.

Procedure: refer to page 79

CMOS Differential Amplifier:




Figure: General MOS Differential Amplifier: (a) Schematic Diagram, (b) Input Gate Voltages Implementation.




Figure: The Complete Differential Amplifier Schematic Diagram

PSPICE Code for CMOS Differential Amplifier:

```
* Filename="diffvid.cir"
```

VID 7 0 DC 0V AC 1V

E+ 1 10 7 0 0.5

E-21070-0.5

VIC 10 0 DC 0.65V

VDD 3 0 DC 2.5VOLT

VSS 4 0 DC -2.5VOLT

M1 5 1 8 8 NMOS1 W=9.6U L=5.4U

M2 6 2 8 8 NMOS1 W=9.6U L=5.4U

M3 5 5 3 3 PMOS1 W=25.8U L=5.4U

M4 6 5 3 3 PMOS1 W=25.8U L=5.4U

M5 8 9 4 4 NMOS1 W=21.6U L=1.2U

M6 9 9 4 4 NMOS1 W=21.6U L=1.2U

IB 3 9 220UA

.MODEL NMOS1 NMOS VTO=1 KP=40U


- + GAMMA=1.0 LAMBDA=0.02 PHI=0.6
- + TOX=0.05U LD=0.5U CJ=5E-4 CJSW=10E-10
- + U0=550 MJ=0.5 MJSW=0.5 CGSO=0.4E-9 CGDO=0.4E-9

^{*} MOS Diff Amp with Current Mirror Load

^{*}DC Transfer Characteristics vs VID

- .MODEL PMOS1 PMOS VTO=-1 KP=15U
- + GAMMA=0.6 LAMBDA=0.02 PHI=0.6
- + TOX=0.05U LD=0.5U CJ=5E-4 CJSW=10E-10
- + U0=200 MJ=0.5 MJSW=0.5 CGSO=0.4E-9 CGDO=0.4E-9
- .DC VID -2.5 2.5 0.05V
- .TF V(6) VID
- .PROBE
- .END

CMOS Differential Amplifier Transfer function:



Conclusion:

The SPICE code for CMOS Differential Amplifier is written, simulated and the functionality is verified.

Experiment 6(a)

Analog Circuit Simulation (AC analysis) – Common Source Amplifier

<u>Aim:</u> To write SPICE code for Common Source Amplifier, Simulate and verify the functionality.

Apparatus:

- 1. PSPICE
 - i. DesignLab Eval8


Theory:

A common Source amplifier is one of three basic single-stage MOSFET amplifier topologies, typically used as a voltage or transconductance amplifier. The easiest way to tell if a MOSFET is common source, common drain, or common gate is to examine where the signal enters and leaves. The remaining terminal is what is known as "common". The signal enters the gate, and exits the drain. The only terminal is the source. This is a common-source MOSFET. The analogous bipolar junction transistor circuit is the common-emitter amplifier.

The common-source (CS) amplifier may be viewed as a transconductance amplifier or as a voltage amplifier. As a transconductance amplifier, the input voltage is seen as modulating the current going to the load. As a voltage amplifier, input voltage modulates the amount of current flowing through the mosfet, changing the voltage across the output resistance according to Ohm's law. However, the MOSFET device's output resistance typically not high enough for a reasonable transconductance amplifier (ideally infinite), nor low enough for a decent voltage amplifier (ideally zero). Another major drawback is the amplifier's limited high-frequency response. Therefore, in practice the output often is routed through either a voltage follower (common-drain stage), or a current follower (common-gate stage) to obtainmore output and frequency characteristics. The CS-CG combination is called a cascade amplifier.

Procedure: refer to page 79

Common Source Amplifier:



PSPICE Code for CMOS Common Source Amplifier:

- *PSpice file for NMOS Inverter with PMOS Current Load
- *Filename="Lab3.cir"

VIN 1 0 DC 0VOLT AC 1V

VDD 3 0 DC 2.5VOLT

VSS 4 0 DC -2.5VOLT

VG 5 0 DC 0VOLT

M1 2 1 4 4 MN W=9.6U L=5.4U

M2 2 5 3 3 MP W=25.8U L=5.4U

.MODEL MN NMOS VTO=1 KP=40U

- + GAMMA=1.0 LAMBDA=0.02 PHI=0.6
- + TOX=0.05U LD=0.5U CJ=5E-4 CJSW=10E-10
- + U0=550 MJ=0.5 MJSW=0.5 CGSO=0.4E-9 CGDO=0.4E-9
- .MODEL MP PMOS VTO=-1 KP=15U
- + GAMMA=0.6 LAMBDA=0.02 PHI=0.6
- + TOX=0.05U LD=0.5U CJ=5E-4 CJSW=10E-10
- + U0=200 MJ=0.5 MJSW=0.5 CGSO=0.4E-9 CGDO=0.4E-9
- *Analysis

.DC VIN -2.5 2.5 0.05

.TF V(2) VIN


.PROBE

.END

CMOS Common Source DC analysis:



CMOS Common Source AC analysis:



Conclusion:

The PSPICE code for CMOS Common Source Amplifier is written, simulated (AC analysis) and the functionality is verified.

Experiment 6(b)

Analog Circuit Simulation (AC analysis) – Common Drain Amplifier

<u>Aim:</u> To write SPICE code for Common Drain Amplifier, Simulate and verify the functionality.

Apparatus:

- 1. PSPICE
 - ii. DesignLab Eval8


Theory:


A common-drain amplifier, also known as a source follower, is one of three basic single-stage MOSFET amplifier topologies, typically used as a voltage buffer. In the circuit the gate terminal of the transistor serves as the input, the source is the output, and the drain is common to both (input and output), hence its name. The analogous bipolar junction transistor circuit is the common-collector amplifier.

In addition, this circuit is used to transform impedances. For example, the Thévenin resistance of a combination of a voltage follower driven by a voltage source with high Thévenin resistance is reduced to only the output resistance of the voltage follower, a small resistance. That resistance reduction makes the combination a more ideal voltage source. Conversely, a voltage follower inserted between a driving stage and a high load (i.e. a low resistance) presents an infinite resistance (low load) to the driving stage, an advantage in coupling a voltage signal to a large load.

Procedure: refer to page 79

Common Drain Amplifier:





PSPICE Code for CMOS Differential Amplifier:

*PSpice file for NMOS Inverter with PMOS Current Load

*Filename="Lab3.cir"

VIN 1 0 DC 4.75VOLT AC 1V

VDD 3 0 DC 5VOLT

VSS 4 0 DC 0VOLT

VG2 5 0 DC 2.5VOLT

M1 3 1 2 4 MN W=9.6U L=5.4U

M2 2 5 4 4 MN W=9.6U L=5.4U

.MODEL MN NMOS VTO=1 KP=40U

- + GAMMA=1.0 LAMBDA=0.02 PHI=0.6
- + TOX=0.05U LD=0.5U CJ=5E-4 CJSW=10E-10
- + U0=550 MJ=0.5 MJSW=0.5 CGSO=0.4E-9 CGDO=0.4E-9

.MODEL MP PMOS VTO=-1 KP=15U

- + GAMMA=0.6 LAMBDA=0.02 PHI=0.6
- + TOX=0.05U LD=0.5U CJ=5E-4 CJSW=10E-10
- + U0=200 MJ=0.5 MJSW=0.5 CGSO=0.4E-9 CGDO=0.4E-9
- *Analysis

.DC VIN 0 5 0.05


.TF V(2) VIN

.AC DEC 100 1HZ 100GHZ


.PROBE

.END

Common Drain Amplifier DC analysis:



Common Drain Amplifier AC analysis:



Conclusion:

The PSPICE code for CMOS Common Drain Amplifier is written, simulated (AC analysis) and the functionality is verified.