# **Analyzing Performance of TCP during Handoff in Real Mobile Networks**

Progress Report 2

by

**Lovejeet Singh** 

Entry No. 2010CS50285

**Shantanu Chaudhary** 

Entry No. 2010CS50295

Siddharth Batra

Entry No. 2010CS50297

Under the guidance of

**Prof. Vinay Ribeiro** 



DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI

# Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Work done till now	2
3	Configuring Pluggable Linux modules	3
4	Problems with current testing approach	3
5	Solution to above problem	5
6	Data gathered to verify functioning of tools	6
7	Timeline	9
8	Conclusion	10

#### 1 Introduction

In this report, we describe the progress made so far in the phase 2 of the project. We begin by addressing the work done till now. Next we cover the specific application stack we used for data gathering. During this phase of the project when we started data gathering on the field, we encountered certain problems with our testing approach and a lot of time was spent to address this. We shall discuss these problems and how we plan to solve them.

#### 2 Work done till now

- Configured pluggable modules in Linux
- Identified problems with testing approach
- Worked on overcoming problems encountered in the data gathering phase
- Selected specific tools for data gathering and analysis

These points are further described in the sections below.

## 3 Configuring Pluggable Linux modules

In the previous report, we mentioned that we would need to add more modules to the linux build we are using for our analysis so as to compare at least 3 implementations of TCP. After our research we have found that it is possible to add more TCP congestion control algorithms to the kernel as pluggable modules. For our analysis we will be using Cubic (default in linux), Westwood+ (modified for wireless links), Veno (modified for wireless links). we can determine the available modules for the kernel using the following command:

\$ ls /lib/modules/'uname -r'/kernel/net/ipv4/

```
🛑 📵 Terminal
[shantanu@Ashanti ~] $ ls /lib/modules/`uname -r`/kernel/net/ipv4/
ah4.ko
              ip_vti.ko
                                 tcp_lp.ko
                                                   udp_diag.ko
esp4.ko
                                 tcp_probe.ko
                                                   xfrm4_mode_beet.ko
gre.ko
              tcp_bic.ko
                                 tcp scalable.ko
                                                   xfrm4_mode_transport.ko
inet_diag.ko
              tcp_diag.ko
                                 tcp_vegas.ko
                                                   xfrm4_mode_tunnel.ko
              tcp_highspeed.ko
                                 tcp_veno.ko
ipcomp.ko
                                                   xfrm4_tunnel.ko
ip_gre.ko
              tcp_htcp.ko
                                 tcp_westwood.ko
ipip.ko
              tcp_hybla.ko
                                 tcp yeah.ko
              tcp_illinois.ko
ip_tunnel.ko
                                 tunnel4.ko
 shantanu@Ashanti ~1 $
```

Now the modules can be simply loaded using the following command:

 $\$  echo  $X > /proc/sys/net/ipv4/tcp_congestion_control$  where X is the congestion control algorithm listed for the linux kernel.

#### 4 Problems with current testing approach

The approach for our analysis can be referred to in the previous project report. According to that scheme we were using iperf, a linux utility for analysing and tuning tcp parameters of the kernel. In order to use this tool, one needs a server and a client on the machine on which one wishes to capture tcp parameters. So we set up an Amazon EC2 Instance with the iperf server. When we started gathering data in the field using mobile data, we noticed that the wireless link of mobile network might not be the bottleneck link in

this case for our analysis to work. We investigated this matter and present the following screenshots as evidence:

```
[shantanu@Ashanti ~] $ ping 52.74.68.109
PING 52.74.68.109 (52.74.68.109) 56(84) bytes of data.
64 bytes from 52.74.68.109: icmp_seq=1 ttl=42 time=1849 ms
64 bytes from 52.74.68.109: icmp_seq=2 ttl=42 time=982 ms
64 bytes from 52.74.68.109: icmp_seq=3 ttl=42 time=122 ms
64 bytes from 52.74.68.109: icmp_seq=4 ttl=42 time=142 ms
64 bytes from 52.74.68.109: icmp_seq=5 ttl=42 time=1657 ms
64 bytes from 52.74.68.109: icmp_seq=6 ttl=42 time=1152 ms
64 bytes from 52.74.68.109: icmp_seq=7 ttl=42 time=222 ms
64 bytes from 52.74.68.109: icmp_seq=8 ttl=42 time=119 ms
64 bytes from 52.74.68.109: icmp_seq=9 ttl=42 time=137 ms
64 bytes from 52.74.68.109: icmp_seq=10 ttl=42 time=1648 ms
64 bytes from 52.74.68.109: icmp_seq=11 ttl=42 time=1021 ms
64 bytes from 52.74.68.109: icmp_seq=12 ttl=42 time=451 ms
64 bytes from 52.74.68.109: icmp_seq=13 ttl=42 time=341 ms
64 bytes from 52.74.68.109: icmp_seq=14 ttl=42 time=361 ms
64 bytes from 52.74.68.109: icmp_seq=15 ttl=42 time=421 ms
64 bytes from 52.74.68.109: icmp_seq=16 ttl=42 time=301 ms
64 bytes from 52.74.68.109: icmp_seq=17 ttl=42
                                                                 time=420 ms
64 bytes from 52.74.68.109: icmp_seq=18 ttl=42 time=331 ms
64 bytes from 52.74.68.109: icmp_seq=19 ttl=42 time=361 ms
64 bytes from 52.74.68.109: icmp_seq=20 ttl=42 time=351 ms
64 bytes from 52.74.68.109: icmp_seq=21 ttl=42 time=331 ms
64 bytes from 52.74.68.109: icmp_seq=22 ttl=42 time=361 ms
64 bytes from 52.74.68.109: icmp_seq=23 ttl=42 time=431 ms
64 bytes from 52.74.68.109: icmp_seq=24 ttl=42 time=471 ms
64 bytes from 52.74.68.109: icmp_seq=25 ttl=42 time=331 ms
64 bytes from 52.74.68.109: icmp_seq=26 ttl=42 time=351 ms
64 bytes from 52.74.68.109: icmp seq=27 ttl=42 time=381 ms
64 bytes from 52.74.68.109: icmp_seq=28 ttl=42 time=659 ms
64 bytes from 52.74.68.109: icmp_seq=29 ttl=42 time=116 ms
64 bytes from 52.74.68.109: icmp_seq=30 ttl=42 time=121 ms
64 bytes from 52.74.68.109: icmp_seq=31 ttl=42 time=122 ms
64 bytes from 52.74.68.109: icmp_seq=32 ttl=42 time=136 ms
64 bytes from 52.74.68.109: icmp_seq=33 ttl=42 time=140 ms
64 bytes from 52.74.68.109: icmp seq=34 ttl=42 time=133 ms
64 bytes from 52.74.68.109: icmp_seq=35 ttl=42 time=120 ms
64 bytes from 52.74.68.109: icmp_seq=36 ttl=42 time=119 ms
64 bytes from 52.74.68.109: icmp_seq=37 ttl=42 time=119 ms
64 bytes from 52.74.68.109: icmp_seq=38 ttl=42 time=126 ms
64 bytes from 52.74.68.109: icmp_seq=39 ttl=42 time=140 ms
64 bytes from 52.74.68.109: icmp_seq=40 ttl=42 time=137 ms
64 bytes from 52.74.68.109: icmp seq=41 ttl=42 time=1569 ms
64 bytes from 52.74.68.109: icmp_seq=42 ttl=42 time=702 ms
64 bytes from 52.74.68.109: icmp_seq=43 ttl=42 time=132 ms
64 bytes from 52.74.68.109: icmp_seq=44 ttl=42
                                                                 time=122 ms
64 bytes from 52.74.68.109: icmp_seq=45 ttl=42 time=121 ms
64 bytes from 52.74.68.109:
                                       icmp_seq=46 ttl=42 time=121 ms
64 bytes from 52.74.68.109: icmp_seq=47 ttl=42 time=140 ms
64 bytes from 52.74.68.109: icmp_seq=48 ttl=42 time=1507 ms
64 bytes from 52.74.68.109: icmp_seq=49 ttl=42 time=1060 m:
64 bytes from 52.74.68.109: icmp_seq=50 ttl=42 time=120 ms
                                                                  time=1060 ms
   bytes from 52.74.68.109: icmp seq=51 ttl=42 time=149 ms
64 bytes from 52.74.68.109: icmp_seq=52 ttl=42 time=151 ms
```

In the above screenshot, there is a variable consistency in the pings to our EC2 instance.

Even normal pings to google india servers were consistent.

```
shantanu@Ashanti ~] $ ping www.google.com
4PING www.google.com (173.194.38.148) 56(84) bytes of data.
64 bytes from sin04s01-in-f20.le100.net (173.194.38.148): icmp_seq=1 ttl=49 time=389 ms
  bytes from sin04s01-in-f20.lel00.net (173.194.38.148): icmp_seq=2 ttl=49
64 bytes from sin04s01-in-f20.1e100.net (173.194.38.148): icmp_seq=3 ttl=49 time=298 ms
64 bytes from sin04s01-in-f20.1e100.net (173.194.38.148): icmp_seq=4 ttl=49 time=376 ms
  bytes from sin04s01-in-f20.1e100.net (173.194.38.148): icmp_seq=5 ttl=49
                                                                            time=294 ms
  bytes from sin04s01-in-f20.1e100.net (173.194.38.148): icmp_seq=6 ttl=49 time=370 ms
  bytes from sin04s01-in-f20.le100.net (173.194.38.148): icmp seq=7 ttl=49 time=301 ms
64 bytes from sin04s01-in-f20.le100.net (173.194.38.148): icmp_seq=8 ttl=49 time=318 ms
  bytes from sin04s01-in-f20.lel00.net (173.194.38.148): icmp_seq=9 ttl=49 time=319
64 bytes from sin04s01-in-f20.lel00.net (173.194.38.148): icmp seq=10 ttl=49 time=508 ms
64 bytes from sin04s01-in-f20.1e100.net (173.194.38.148): icmp_seq=11 ttl=49 time=307
  bytes from sin04s01-in-f20.1e100.net (173.194.38.148): icmp_seq=12 ttl=49
                                                                             time=313
  bytes from sin04s01-in-f20.le100.net (173.194.38.148): icmp_seq=13 ttl=49 time=314 ms
64 bytes from sin04s01-in-f20.le100.net (173.194.38.148): icmp_seq=14 ttl=49 time=331 ms
64 bytes from sin04s01-in-f20.1e100.net (173.194.38.148): icmp_seq=15 ttl=49 time=331 ms
64 bytes from sin04s01-in-f20.le100.net (173.194.38.148): icmp_seq=16 ttl=49
                                                                             time=320 ms
  bytes from sin04s01-in-f20.lel00.net (173.194.38.148): icmp seq=17 ttl=49 time=369 ms
  bytes from sin04s01-in-f20.1e100.net (173.194.38.148): icmp_seq=18 ttl=49 time=337 ms
```

Hence, we cannot be sure that if we gather data using this approach, the wireless link will act as the bottlenecked link. Hence we need to rethink our approach.

# 5 Solution to above problem

A viable solution which we figured would be to arrange a machine with public IP which is local to us and we can then connect to it using our mobile data connection and carry forward with our analysis. For this approach, we thought of using the ownCloud servers of IIT. We uploaded some big files on the server. We thought that downloading the files from server using tools such as wget and curl would help us in this regard. We used topprobe tool for sniffing packets on a particular port. wget doesnt allow to specify client side port so we used curl. On inspecting the log from the probe, we saw that the window was fixed at 10 with each packet of 1400 bytes. This doesnt give much information to us regarding the performance of the congestion algorithm.

Finally, we have decided to use a linux based machine assigned to us by the instructor. Now, we can use iperf and wireshark tools to capture packet data and do our analysis.

## 6 Data gathered to verify functioning of tools

We present the following screenshots that verify the functioning of iperf for analysis.

```
Server listening on TCP port 54545
TCP window size: 85.3 KByte (default)
  4] local 172.31.20.139 port 54545 connected with 1.39.35.152 port 18000
      Interval
                      Transfer
                                    Bandwidth
                                     143 KBytes/sec
       0.0- 1.0 sec
                       143 KBytes
   4]
       1.0- 2.0 sec
                       154 KBytes
                                     154 KBytes/sec
                       154 KBytes
148 KBytes
   4]
       2.0- 3.0 sec
                                     154 KBytes/sec
       3.0- 4.0 sec
                                     148 KBytes/sec
       4.0- 5.0 sec
                       142 KBytes
                                     142 KBytes/sec
                       157 KBytes
   4]
                                     157 KBytes/sec
       5.0- 6.0 sec
       6.0- 7.0 sec
                       159 KBytes
                                     159 KBytes/sec
       7.0- 8.0 sec
                       156 KBytes
                                     156 KBytes/sec
                       153 KBytes
   4]
                                     153 KBytes/sec
       8.0- 9.0 sec
                       129 KBytes
139 KBytes
       9.0-10.0 sec
                                     129 KBytes/sec
      10.0-11.0 sec
                                     139 KBytes/sec
      11.0-12.0 sec
                       156 KBytes
                                     156 KBytes/sec
                       159 KBytes
159 KBytes
   4] 12.0-13.0 sec
                                     159 KBytes/sec
      13.0-14.0 sec
                                     159 KBytes/sec
   4] 14.0-15.0 sec
                       158 KBytes
                                     158 KBytes/sec
                       148 KBytes
   4] 15.0-16.0 sec
                                     148 KBytes/sec
                      150 KBytes
96.9 KBytes
      16.0-17.0 sec
                                     150 KBytes/sec
   41 17.0-18.0 sec
                                    96.9 KBytes/sec
   4] 18.0-19.0 sec
                      90.6 KBytes
                                    90.6 KBytes/sec
                       144 KBytes
159 KBytes
      19.0-20.0 sec
                                     144 KBytes/sec
                                     159 KBytes/sec
      20.0-21.0 sec
                       140 KBytes
   4] 21.0-22.0 sec
                                     140 KBytes/sec
                       150 KBytes
   4] 22.0-23.0 sec
                                     150 KBytes/sec
      23.0-24.0 sec
                       150 KBytes
                                     150 KBytes/sec
                       159 KBytes
   4] 24.0-25.0 sec
                                     159 KBytes/sec
   4] 25.0-26.0 sec
                       158 KBytes
                                     158 KBytes/sec
                       159 KBytes
152 KBytes
      26.0-27.0 sec
                                     159 KBytes/sec
   4] 27.0-28.0 sec
                                     152 KBytes/sec
   4] 28.0-29.0 sec
                       134 KBytes
                                     134 KBytes/sec
                       139 KBytes
   4] 29.0-30.0 sec
                                     139 KBytes/sec
      30.0-31.0 sec
                      98.2 KBytes
                                    98.2 KBytes/sec
                       138 KBytes
   4] 31.0-32.0 sec
                                     138 KBytes/sec
   4] 32.0-33.0 sec
                       134 KBytes
                                     134 KBytes/sec
      33.0-34.0 sec
                       145 KBytes
                                     145 KBytes/sec
   4] 34.0-35.0 sec
                       143 KBytes
                                     143 KBytes/sec
   4]
     35.0-36.0 sec
                       150 KBytes
                                     150 KBytes/sec
                       157 KBytes
130 KBytes
                                     157 KBytes/sec
      36.0-37.0 sec
      37.0-38.0 sec
                                     130 KBytes/sec
   4] 38.0-39.0 sec
                       159 KBytes
                                     159 KBytes/sec
                       158 KBytes
   4] 39.0-40.0 sec
                                     158 KBytes/sec
      40.0-41.0 sec
                       158 KBytes
                                     158 KBytes/sec
                       152 KBytes
   4] 41.0-42.0 sec
                                     152 KBytes/sec
```

	TD1	ID] Interval Transfer				Bandwidth		
į	ID]	Interval						
į	4]	0.0- 1.0	sec	143	KBytes	143	KBytes/sec	
Ī	4]	1.0- 2.0	sec	154	KBytes	154	KBytes/sec	
Ī	4]	2.0- 3.0	sec	154	KBytes	154	KBytes/sec	
Ī	4]	3.0- 4.0	sec	148	KBytes	148	KBytes/sec	
Į	4]	4.0- 5.0	sec	142	<b>KBytes</b>	142	KBytes/sec	
Ī	4]	5.0- 6.0	sec	157	KBytes	157	KBytes/sec	
Ī	4]	6.0- 7.0	sec	159	<b>KBytes</b>	159	KBytes/sec	
Ī	4]	7.0- 8.0	sec	156	KBytes	156	KBytes/sec	
Ī	4]	8.0- 9.0	sec	153	KBytes	153	KBytes/sec	
Ī	4]	9.0-10.0	sec	129	KBytes	129	KBytes/sec	
į	4]	10.0-11.0	sec	139	KBytes	139	KBytes/sec	
Ī	4]	11.0-12.0	sec	156	KBytes	156	KBytes/sec	
į	4]	12.0-13.0	sec	159	KBytes	159	KBytes/sec	
Ĺ	4]	13.0-14.0	sec	159	KBytes	159	KBytes/sec	
Ĺ	4]	14.0-15.0	sec	158	KBytes	158	KBytes/sec	
į	4]	15.0-16.0	sec	148	KBytes	148	KBytes/sec	
Į	4]	16.0-17.0	sec	150	KBytes	150	KBytes/sec	
į	4]	17.0-18.0	sec	96.9	KBytes	96.9	KBytes/sec	
į	4]	18.0-19.0	sec	90.6	KBytes	90.6	KBytes/sec	
į	4]	19.0-20.0	sec	144	KBytes	144	KBytes/sec	
Ĺ	4]	20.0-21.0	sec	159	KBytes	159	KBytes/sec	
Ĺ	4]	21.0-22.0	sec	140	KBytes	140	KBytes/sec	
Ĺ	4]	22.0-23.0	sec	150	KBytes	150	KBytes/sec	
Ī	4]	23.0-24.0	sec	150	KBytes	150	KBytes/sec	
Ī	4]	24.0-25.0	sec	159	KBytes	159	KBytes/sec	
Ī	4]	25.0-26.0	sec	158	KBytes	158	KBytes/sec	
Į	4]	26.0-27.0	sec	159	KBytes	159	KBytes/sec	
Į	4]	27.0-28.0	sec	152	KBytes	152	KBytes/sec	
Į	4]	28.0-29.0	sec	134	KBytes	134	KBytes/sec	
[ [	4]	29.0-30.0	sec	139	KBytes	139	KBytes/sec	
ו [	4]	30.0-31.0	sec	98.2	KBytes	98.2	KBytes/sec	
ו [	4] 4]	31.0-32.0 32.0-33.0	sec	138	KBytes	138 134	KBytes/sec	
Ĺ	4]	33.0-34.0	sec	134 145	KBytes KBytes	145	KBytes/sec	
Ĺ	4]	34.0-35.0	sec sec	143	KBytes	143	KBytes/sec KBytes/sec	
Ĺ	4]	35.0-36.0	sec	143	KBytes	150	KBytes/sec	
į	4]	36.0-37.0	sec	157	KBytes	157	KBytes/sec	
į	4]	37.0-38.0	sec	130	KBytes	130	KBytes/sec	
į	4]	38.0-39.0	sec	159	KBytes	159	KBytes/sec	
ì	4]	39.0-40.0	sec	158	KBytes	158	KBytes/sec	
į	4]	40.0-41.0	sec	158	KBytes	158	KBytes/sec	
į	4]	41.0-42.0	sec	152	KBytes	152	KBytes/sec	
į	4]	42.0-43.0	sec	77.8	KBytes	77.8	KBytes/sec	
į	4]	43.0-44.0	sec	0.00	KBytes	0.00	KBytes/sec	
į	4]	44.0-45.0	sec	0.00	KBytes	0.00	KBytes/sec	
į	4]	45.0-46.0	sec	0.00	KBytes	0.00	KBytes/sec	
į	4]	46.0-47.0	sec	0.00	KBytes	0.00	KBytes/sec	
į	4]	47.0-48.0		0.00		0.00		
į	4]	48.0-49.0	sec	0.00	KBytes	0.00	KBytes/sec	
į	4]	49.0-50.0	sec	0.00	KBytes	0.00	KBytes/sec	
į	4]	50.0-51.0	sec	0.00	KBytes	0.00	KBytes/sec	
į	4]	51.0-52.0	sec	0.00	KBytes	0.00	KBytes/sec	
į	4]	52.0-53.0	sec	0.00	KBytes	0.00	KBytes/sec	
į	4]	53.0-54.0	sec	1613	KBytes	1613	KBytes/sec	
į	4]	0.0-54.8	sec	7936	KBytes	145	KBytes/sec	
Ť					,		, , , , , ,	
•								

In the above screenshot, one can see the response of the iperf server on the Amazon EC2 instance. The server reports bandwidth achieved for each

interval.

```
[shantanu@Ashanti ~] $ iperf -c 52.74.68.109 -f KB -p 54545 -o iperflog.log -t 50

Client connecting to 52.74.68.109, TCP port 54545

TCP window size: 45.0 KByte (default)

[ 3] local 192.168.42.164 port 55281 connected with 52.74.68.109 port 54545

[ ID] Interval Transfer Bandwidth

[ 3] 0.0-53.9 sec 7936 KBytes 147 KBytes/sec
```

The above screenshot shows how successfully iperf client is connected to the iperf server and has achieved certain bandwidth. We also probed the port 54545 for packet data analysis. The output was piped to a log file which can be seen below:

```
401.680058533 192.168.42.164:55281 52.74.68.109:54545 32 0x31600a96 0x315f15b6 48 2147483647 123520 47 29312 401.690875200 192.168.42.164:55281 52.74.68.109:54545 32 0x316014ca 0x315f1ad0 49 2147483647 126208 48 29312 401.704847761 192.168.42.164:55281 52.74.68.109:54545 32 0x31601efe 0x315f1fea 50 2147483647 128768 49 29312 401.714851735 192.168.42.164:55281 52.74.68.109:54545 32 0x31602932 0x315f2504 51 2147483647 131328 50 29312 401.726850793 192.168.42.164:55281 52.74.68.109:54545 32 0x31603880 0x315f2f38 52 51 136576 51 29312 401.732831633 192.168.42.164:55281 52.74.68.109:54545 32 0x31603d9a 0x315f3452 52 51 139264 52 29312 401.744852877 192.168.42.164:55281 52.74.68.109:54545 32 0x316042b4 0x315f396c 52 51 14452 53 29312 401.745219975 192.168.42.164:55281 52.74.68.109:54545 32 0x316047ce 0x315f3866 52 51 144512 55 29312 401.745219975 192.168.42.164:55281 52.74.68.109:54545 32 0x316047ce 0x315f3866 52 51 144512 55 29312 401.745219975 192.168.42.164:55281 52.74.68.109:54545 32 0x316047ce 0x315f3866 52 51 144512 55 29312 401.745219975 192.168.42.164:55281 52.74.68.109:54545 32 0x316047ce 0x315f3866 52 51 144512 55 29312 401.745219975 192.168.42.164:55281 52.74.68.109:54545 32 0x316047ce 0x315f3866 52 51 144512 55 29312 401.745219975 192.168.42.164:55281 52.74.68.109:54545 32 0x316047ce 0x315f3866 52 51 144512 55 29312
```

Each line of the log presents details of the packet such as time, senders ip address, receivers IP address, bytes in packet, etc. These details will then be parsed by us to plot how congestion window varies in each TCP algorithm along with other parameters.

#### 7 Timeline

	Feb 1-15	Feb 15-28	March 1-15	Mar 16-31	April 1-15
Milestone 1					
Milestone 2					
Milestone 3					
Milestone 4					

Milestone 1: Understanding implementations of different variants of TCP on Ubuntu 14.04 and changing congestion control algorithm in Ubuntu 14.04

Milestone 2: Development of Android Application. Determine tool setup and data gathering tools and understand their working.

Milestone 3: Data gathering by using the android device on the field and exposing it to handoffs on the mobile carrier's network.

Milestone 4: Performance comparison and data analysis.

#### 8 Conclusion

The above mentioned problems took a significant time of our project so we are behind schedule. But, in our opinion, it was necessary to address these problems as it could have generated incorrect data for our analysis and could have posed a serious problem for the project. We aim to cover up this delay by utilizing the holidays and the extended weekend. We will now use the tools defined above for various versions of TCP on the Linux kernels and gather various data about throughput, delays and other performance parameters.