## Overview

JavaScript Object Notation. Misleading name!! Inductive definition for JSON values:

Primitives null, true, false, numbers and "-quoted strings.

Minimal set of escape sequences in strings.

Sequences Comma-separated JSON values within [ ].

Maps Comma-separated key-value pairs within { }. Keys must be JSON strings and values are JSON values.

JSON is largely a subset of JavaScript literal notation with differences in some edge cases.

## JSON Example

```
In simpsons.json
```

```
{ "id": "bart",
  "firstName": "Bart",
  "lastName": "Simpson",
  "birthdate": "1979-04-01"
{ "id": "marge",
  "firstName": "Marge",
  "lastName": "Simpson"
{ "id": "lisa",
  "firstName": "Lisa",
  "lastName": "Simpson",
  "birthDate": "1982-05-09",
  "email": "smartgirl63_\\@yahoo.com"
```

## JSON Evaluation

- Widely popular for transferring structured data between heterogeneous systems.
- Preferred over XML for structured data (XML is good for structured documents).
- In JavaScript, built-in JSON object provides stringify() and parse() methods to convert JavaScript objects to / from a string.
  - To pretty-print JavaScript object obj as JSON with an indent of 2, use JSON.stringify(obj, null, 2).
- Not suitable as a configuration format as no comments allowed. (TOML, YAML are better formats). Unfortunately, chosen for package configuration by npm and yarn (as package.json).
- Some JSON libraries allow comments (and other features like trailing commas) as syntax extensions, but this is not as per JSON standard.

## JavaScript Object Literals vs JSON

- Easier to write JavaScript object literals than JSON (keys need not be quoted, allows trailing commas, allows comments).
- JavaScript object literals allow anonymous functions; JSON does not.
- JSON works across multiple languages; JavaScript object literals do not.
- JSON is more efficient as it is easier to parse than JavaScript object literals.

Object Literal Example: simpsons.js:

