An Efficient Randomized Algorithm for Rumor Blocking in Online Social Networks

Shao-Heng Ko

Theory Study Group, August 2, 2017







An Efficient Randomized Algorithm for Rumor Blocking in Online Social Networks

Shao-Heng Ko

Theory Study Group, August 2, 2017





Info Diffusion in Social Networks

- SIR (Susceptible-Infected-Recovered) model
 - biology, physics
- Tipping model (deterministic LT) 1978
 - economics, sociology
- IC (Independent Cascade) & LT (Linear Threshold) models Kempe, 2003
 - computer science, data mining









The IC and LT models Kempe, 2003

- Directed Social Graph G = (V, E)
- Nodes are either inactive or active (model the spread of an innovation/idea)
- Progressive: nodes do not switch from active to inactive
- Seeds: some nodes are active at the beginning
- Edge Weights (model the influence)
- Activation Rules









IC model

- Edge Weights: the probability of information diffusion
- Activation Rules: each node, once activated, has exactly one chance to activate others (by all its out-edge)
- History-ignorant









LT model

- Edge Weights: represents the amount of influence
- Activation Rules: each node is activated once enough portion of its neighbors are active
- Deterministic / Random Threshold









Influence Maximization Problem

- Denote A_0 to be the set of seed nodes
- Denote $\sigma(A_0) = E[\# \text{ of active nodes at the end, given } A_0]$
- 1. Given A_0 , find $\sigma(A_0)$: #P-hard for IC Chen, 2010
- 2. Find A_0 to maximize $\sigma(A_0)$ (Influence Maximization Problem):
 - NP-hard for both IC and LT (and all extensions)
 - $(1 \frac{1}{e})$ -approx. greedy algorithm (by submodularity) (for most extensions)









Caveat

• The $(1-\frac{1}{e})$ -approx. greedy algorithm is polynomial in queries of prob.1!

- Exact computation is infeasible → sampling
- Q: How to sample?









Randomized Greedy Algorithms (1)

- Naïve Monte Carlo simulations: Chen, 2009; Chen, 2010 $\Omega(k \cdot m \cdot n \cdot poly(\epsilon^{-1}))$ to achieve $(1 \frac{1}{e} \epsilon)$ -approx. with const. prob.
- Reverse Sampling Borgs, 2014 $O(k(m+n)\log(n)\epsilon^{-3})$ to achieve $(1-\frac{1}{e}-\epsilon)$ -approx. with const. prob. $\frac{3}{5}$









Randomized Greedy Algorithms (2)

- Reverse Sampling Borgs, 2014 $O(k(m+n)\log(n)\epsilon^{-3})$ to achieve $(1-\frac{1}{e}-\epsilon)$ -approx. with const. prob. $\frac{3}{5}$ With amplifying
 - $O(kl^2(m+n)\log^2(n)\epsilon^{-3})$ to achieve $(1-\frac{1}{e}-\epsilon)$ -approx. w. p. $1-\frac{1}{n^l}$
- TIM (Two-Phase Influence Maximization) Tang, 2014; Tang, 2015 $O((k+l)(m+n)\log(n)\epsilon^{-2}) \text{ to achieve } (1-\frac{1}{e}-\epsilon)\text{-approx. w. p. } 1-\frac{1}{n^l}$









Extensions of IC/LT model

- Weighted and Competitive Scenario (To name a few)
 - Many influence parties on IC Carnes, 2007, on LT Borodin, 2010
 - Sequential Competitive Bharathi, 2007, PoA = 2
- Rumor Blocking
 - Care about # of nodes not activated by rumor sources
 - Formulated on IC model Budak, 2011, on LT model He, 2012









Rumor Blocking

- The particular paper Tong, 2017 is utilizing Reverse Sampling method on Rumor Blocking
- Main Result:

A $O\left(\frac{km\ln(n)}{\epsilon^2}\right)$ -randomized algorithm to achieve $(1-\frac{1}{e}-\epsilon)$ -approx. with high probability.

• Monte-Carlo-based: $O\left(\frac{k^3mn\ln(n)}{\epsilon^2}\right)$









Reverse Sampling Borgs, 2014

- Build a sparse, undirected hypergraph H by repeated simulation
 - Start from a random node u
 - Stochastic-DFS backward to find all vertices that "influences" u
 - All these vertices become one edge in H
 - Repeat for R steps (not rounds!), return H
- Greedily choose nodes with highest degree in H









Intuitive Idea

- Influence of a node set $S = n \cdot \Pr[DFS \text{ from random } u \text{ reaches } S]$
- In H, $deg(u) \approx influence$ of u if H big enough to estimate the influence
- Greedy on *H* is a good approximate to the original greedy
- achieve $(1 \frac{1}{e} \epsilon)$ -approx. with prob. $\frac{3}{5}$
- Simulate many times, take the largest generated hypergraph: success prob. amplified









Runtime-Quality Tradeoff

- We can obtain $(1 \frac{1}{e} \epsilon)$ approx. in time $O((m + n) \log(n) \epsilon^{-3})$
- Want to obtain $O(\beta)$ approx. in time $O(\beta(m+n)\log(n))$
- Simply modify the hypergraph size: sometimes fail
 - Too less data to guess which node has maximum influence
 - Large edges in the hypergraph
 - Randomly select nodes with prob. proportional to its hypergraph degree









Dynamic Runtime

- β need not to be given
- Run the greedy algorithm when the hypergraph construction reaches 2^i steps
- When the algorithm is terminated without warning, return the most recent solution









Q&A







0

Kempe, 2003

• Kempe, D., Kleinberg, J., & Tardos, É. (2003, August). Maximizing the spread of influence through a social network. In *Proceedings of the ninth ACM SIGKDD international conference on Knowledge discovery and data mining* (pp. 137-146). ACM.







0

Carnes, 2007

• Carnes, T., Nagarajan, C., Wild, S. M., & Van Zuylen, A. (2007, August). Maximizing influence in a competitive social network: a follower's perspective. In *Proceedings of the ninth international conference on Electronic commerce*(pp. 351-360). ACM.









Bharathi, 2007

• Bharathi, S., Kempe, D., & Salek, M. (2007). Competitive influence maximization in social networks. *Internet and Network Economics*, 306-311.









Chen, 2009; Chen, 2010

- Chen, W., Wang, Y., & Yang, S. (2009, June). Efficient influence maximization in social networks. In *Proceedings of the 15th ACM SIGKDD international conference on Knowledge discovery and data mining* (pp. 199-208). ACM.
- Chen, Wei, Chi Wang, and Yajun Wang. "Scalable influence maximization for prevalent viral marketing in large-scale social networks." *Proceedings of the 16th ACM SIGKDD international conference on Knowledge discovery and data mining.* ACM, 2010.









Borodin, 2010

• Borodin, A., Filmus, Y., & Oren, J. (2010, October). Threshold Models for Competitive Influence in Social Networks. In *WINE* (Vol. 6484, pp. 539-550).









Budak, 2011

• Budak, C., Agrawal, D., & El Abbadi, A. (2011, March). Limiting the spread of misinformation in social networks. In *Proceedings of the 20th international conference on World wide web* (pp. 665-674). ACM.







He, 2012

• He, X., Song, G., Chen, W., & Jiang, Q. (2012, April). Influence blocking maximization in social networks under the competitive linear threshold model. In *Proceedings of the 2012 SLAM International Conference on Data Mining* (pp. 463-474). Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics.







0

Borgs, 2014

• Borgs, C., Brautbar, M., Chayes, J., & Lucier, B. (2014, January). Maximizing social influence in nearly optimal time. In *Proceedings of the Twenty-Fifth Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms* (pp. 946-957). Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics.









Tang, 2014

• Tang, Y., Xiao, X., & Shi, Y. (2014, June). Influence maximization: Near-optimal time complexity meets practical efficiency. In *Proceedings of the 2014 ACM SIGMOD international conference on Management of data* (pp. 75-86). ACM.









Shakarian, 2015 (A survey book)

• Shakarian, P., Bhatnagar, A., Aleali, A., Shaabani, E., & Guo, R. (2015). *Diffusion in social networks*. Springer International Publishing.









Tong, 2017

• Tong, G., Wu, W., Guo, L., Li, D., Liu, C., Liu, B., & Du, D. Z. (2017). An Efficient Randomized Algorithm for Rumor Blocking in Online Social Networks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1701.02368*.



