

# A Summary of A General Framework for Uncertainty Estimation in Deep Learning

Sharan Yalburgi

July 17, 2019

URL: <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1907.06890.pdf>

## 1 Aim

Uncertainty Estimation in DNN without changing the neural network. Their framework can be applied to any existing neural network and task, without the need to change the network's architecture or loss, or to train the network.

## 2 Problem of interest

Steering wheel predictions. We want prediction on position of steering wheel along with the uncertainty estimation.

## 3 Basic Idea

Forward propagating the uncertainties in inputs and model(weight) uncertainties.

## 4 Related Work

### 4.1 Dropout to Estimate Model Uncertainty

**Monte Carlo Dropout** : Gal and Ghahramani, 2016 proposed capturing model uncertainty by applying dropout at test time.

**Limitations**: Assumes  $\sigma$  constant for all inputs. Does not accommodate adversarial inputs.

### 4.2 Learning Model and Data Uncertainty Together

Kendall and Gal, 2017 proposed to jointly train to predict the model prediction and uncertainty. This technique can accommodate non-uniform label noise.

The model is trained under **Heteroscedastic Loss**.

**Heteroscedastic Loss** is defined as :

$$L_{NN}(\theta) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{2\sigma^2(x_i)} \|y_i - f(x_i)\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \log \sigma^2(x_i) \quad (1)$$

Here, we have input dependent noise  $\sigma^2(x_i)$ .

**Limitations**

- This technique requires us to change the existing architecture of the NN, into a "two-head" system.
- Heteroscedastic loss often results in performance drop.

### 4.3 Data Uncertainty Propagation with Assumed Density Filtering

Gast and Roth, 2018 introduced a lightweight approach to recover **data uncertainty** while maintaining the same network architecture, with minor changes to propagate both mean and variance of the input distribution.

#### Limitations

Disregards model uncertainty, assuming large amount of data will nullify its effect which is often not true in applications like self driving.

## 5 Recovering Total Uncertainty

### 5.1 Fusing MC Dropout and Assumed Density Filtering

The key idea is to train a regular NN and convert it into its ADF counter part. ADF can be seen as a probabilistic model  $p(y|z, \omega)p(z|x)$ , where  $p(z|x) = N(z;x,\sigma_n^2)$  is the input perturbed by white gaussian noise.

We have two kinds of uncertainty here,

- Model Uncertainty: We recover the model uncertainty by collecting stochastic samples from the ADF.
- Data Uncertainty: This would be retrieved directly from the one of the data outputs, aka data variance  $\hat{\sigma}_{data}^2$ .

We have a proof confirming that the total uncertainty  $\text{Var}(y) \approx \text{Model Uncertainty} + \text{Data Uncertainty}$

**TODO** What is Assumed Density Filtering(ADF)?

## 6 L

## References

- Gal, Yarin and Zoubin Ghahramani (2016). “Dropout as a bayesian approximation: Representing model uncertainty in deep learning”. In: *international conference on machine learning*, pp. 1050–1059 (cit. on p. 1).
- Gast, Jochen and Stefan Roth (2018). “Lightweight probabilistic deep networks”. In: *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 3369–3378 (cit. on p. 2).
- Kendall, Alex and Yarin Gal (2017). “What uncertainties do we need in bayesian deep learning for computer vision?” In: *Advances in neural information processing systems*, pp. 5574–5584 (cit. on p. 1).