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## Introduction

The objective of this lab is to analyze a dataset *Zip\_Zhvi\_SingleFamilyResidence.csv* related to property prices across states in USA and make recommendations about THREE zip-codes where Syracuse Real Estate Investment Trust could possibly invest.

Here are some sought out objectives in achieving that goal:

* Data cleanup and EDA
* Data analysis to develop time series plots for Arkansas metro areas:
  + Hot Springs, Little Rock, Fayetteville, Searcy
  + Present all values from 1997 to present
  + Average at the metro area level
* Develop model(s) for forecasting average median housing value by zip code for 2020
* Use the historical data from 1996 through 2019 as training data

## Exploratory Data Analysis

Initial EDA included data-cleanup, exploring dimensions/shape of data etc. Cleaning up or removing NaN rows showed over 60% reduction in data:

Original DF shape: (30464, 300)

Trimmed DF shape: (12033, 300)

Reduction/Loss percent: 60.50091911764706

For the purpose of experiments presented here, NaN values as smaller dataframes were derived and worked on.

### Initial Analysis

Data analysis involved generating a time-series plot for Ankansas metro areas as seen below:
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Fayetteville seems to have the maximum growth over this time:

Overall growth % from 1996-2020

-------------------------------

(1) Hot Springs (Blue): 89.27933752000985

(2) Little Rock (Orange): 71.1098515591654

(3) Feyetteville (Green): 103.73990650233746

(4) Searcy: (Red): 70.54230049765292

**NOTE**

Variations or volatilities are important with investments. It also helps set exit/entry criteria. Couple of observations here:

* While Fayetteville has the highest growth, we should pay particular attention to the period between 2006-2011
* Compare that with Searcy that steadily delivery 70% ROI during this timeframe

### ARIMA model on dataset

To run and generate an ARIMA model, data was split into train and test set as follows:

* data from 01-31-1996 to 02-28-2019 was used as train and
* data from 03-01-2019 – 2020 was used as test

Below is the summary of the ARIMA model:
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Residual plots and kernel density estimation plots were drawn using the train dataset:
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And summary of descriptive stats:

count 276.000000

mean 5.899403

std 1158.685485

min -5589.099356

25% -519.020501

50% 16.428709

75% 581.065741

max 4141.559927

Finally, using the *Rolling Forecast* *ARIMA Model* function we make predictions and check for its accuracy on the train-data.

The code would help to:

* Explore means to perform a one-step rolling forecast and re-create the ARIMA model after each new observation
* Manually keep track of all observations in a list that is seeded with the training data and to which new observations are appended in each iteration
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A simple line plot shows the prediction (in red) eventually close in with the actual value (Test RMSE was 2902.906):
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### The bigger question

Initial analysis with ARIMA model seems encouraging to extend and answer the questions regarding zip-code recommendations. We start with grouping the data-frame by ‘RegionName’ (seems to accurately indicate the state, county and can be safely assumed as the zip-code):
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The plot shows similar trends as the Arkansas county plot but would need to be handled differently since we are now grouping it by ‘RegionName’. Here’s an excerpt of the data-frame:
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Finally, to be able to split this into train/test data we transpose it, split it by similar dates as before and transpose it back as highlighted in the code:

for column in df\_zipcode\_clean.T[train\_columns].T:

history = [i for i in df\_zipcode\_clean[column]]

predictions = []

# Walk forward validation

for t in range(df\_zipcode\_clean.T[test\_columns].T.shape[0]):

model = ARIMA(history, order=(5,1,0))

model\_fit = model.fit(trend='nc', disp=0)

output = model\_fit.forecast()

yhat = output[0][0]

# Evaluate forecasts

predictions.append(yhat)

obs = df\_zipcode\_clean.T[test\_columns].T[column][t]

history.append(float(obs))

# Print for first & last iteration only

if (column == 1001 or column == 99508) and t == 0:

print('predicted=%f, expected=%f' % (yhat, obs))

We sort the dictionary *sorted\_rmse\_by\_zipcode* using sorted() and show the zip-codes with the least RMSE. These likely are the best models and we can further detail analysis like drawing up the ARIMA summary, residual and kde plots if desired.

## Conclusions

Based on the analysis presented thus far, the top FOUR zip-codes were identified as 77459, 60016, 77494, 21117. These correspondingly map to:

138 Houston-The Woodlands-Sugar Land

Name: Metro, dtype: object

228 Chicago-Naperville-Elgin

Name: Metro, dtype: object

3 Houston-The Woodlands-Sugar Land

Name: Metro, dtype: object

246 Baltimore-Columbia-Towson

Name: Metro, dtype: object

Plotting their investment returns between 1996-2020 shows returns in the range 67-78%:
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### Future Work

The model can further be strengthened by adding data from the Bureau of Labor Statistics and Census data which was not considered here.