


# INFERENCEAL STATISTICS

Sharique Nawaz




# Normal Distribution

Mean = Median = Mode



# Normal Distribution

68-95-99.7 empirical rule




# Standard Normal Distribution

Move the mean

This gives a new distribution

$X-71 \sim N(0,20.25)$



$Z = \frac{X - \mu}{\sigma}$  is called the  
Standard Score or  
the z-score.

# Central Limit Theorem

The Central Limit Theorem is the sampling distribution of the sampling means approaches a normal distribution as the sample size gets larger, no matter what the shape of the data distribution

## Key Points

1. Mean of sample is same as mean of the population.  $\mu_{\bar{x}} = \mu$

2. Standard deviation of the sample is equal to standard deviation of the population divided by square root of sample size.

$$\sigma_{\bar{x}} = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$$

Where,

$\mu$  = Population mean

$\sigma$  = Population standard deviation

$\mu_{\bar{x}}$  = Sample mean

$\sigma_{\bar{x}}$  = Sample standard deviation

$n$  = Sample size

# Calculating Probability using Z table

Julie wants to marry a person taller than her and is going on blind dates. The mean height of the 'available' guys is 71" and the variance is 20.25 inch<sup>2</sup>

# Calculating probability using Z table

Julie wants to marry a person taller than her and is going on blind dates. The mean height of the 'available' guys is 71" and the variance is 20.25 inch<sup>2</sup>

By the way, Julie is 64" tall.

# Solution

$Z = \frac{64-71}{4.5} = -1.56$  in  
the case of our  
problem.

z	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.07	0.08	0.09
0.0	.5000	.5040	.5080	.5120	.5160	.5199	.5239	.5279	.5319	.5359
0.1	.5398	.5438	.5478	.5517	.5557	.5596	.5636	.5675	.5714	.5753
0.2	.5793	.5832	.5871	.5910	.5948	.5987	.6026	.6064	.6103	.6141
0.3	.6179	.6217	.6255	.6293	.6331	.6368	.6406	.6443	.6480	.6517
0.4	.6554	.6591	.6628	.6664	.6700	.6736	.6772	.6808	.6844	.6879
0.5	.6915	.6950	.6985	.7019	.7054	.7088	.7123	.7157	.7190	.7224
0.6	.7257	.7291	.7324	.7357	.7389	.7422	.7454	.7486	.7517	.7549
0.7	.7580	.7611	.7642	.7673	.7704	.7734	.7764	.7794	.7823	.7852
0.8	.7881	.7910	.7939	.7967	.7995	.8023	.8051	.8078	.8106	.8133
0.9	.8159	.8186	.8212	.8238	.8264	.8289	.8315	.8340	.8365	.8389
1.0	.8413	.8438	.8461	.8485	.8508	.8531	.8554	.8577	.8599	.8621
1.1	.8643	.8665	.8686	.8708	.8729	.8749	.8770	.8790	.8810	.8830
1.2	.8849	.8869	.8888	.8907	.8925	.8944	.8962	.8980	.8997	.9015
1.3	.9032	.9049	.9066	.9082	.9099	.9115	.9131	.9147	.9162	.9177
1.4	.9192	.9207	.9222	.9236	.9251	.9265	.9279	.9292	.9306	.9319
1.5	.9332	.9345	.9357	.9370	.9382	.9394	.9406	.9418	.9429	.9441
1.6	.9452	.9463	.9474	.9484	.9495	.9505	.9515	.9525	.9535	.9545
1.7	.9554	.9564	.9573	.9582	.9591	.9599	.9608	.9616	.9625	.9633
1.8	.9641	.9649	.9656	.9664	.9671	.9678	.9686	.9693	.9699	.9706
1.9	.9713	.9719	.9726	.9732	.9738	.9744	.9750	.9756	.9761	.9767
2.0	.9772	.9778	.9783	.9788	.9793	.9798	.9803	.9808	.9812	.9817
2.1	.9821	.9826	.9830	.9834	.9838	.9842	.9846	.9850	.9854	.9857
2.2	.9861	.9864	.9868	.9871	.9875	.9878	.9881	.9884	.9887	.9890
2.3	.9893	.9896	.9898	.9901	.9904	.9906	.9909	.9911	.9913	.9916



Note the tables give  $P(Z < z)$ .

$Z = \frac{64-71}{4.5} = -1.56$  in the case of our problem.

$P(Z > -1.56) = 1 - P(Z < -1.56) = 1 - 0.0594 = 0.9406$

Deviate z	.00	.01	.02	.03	.04	.05	.06	.07	.08	.09
-4.0	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000
-3.9	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000
-3.8	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000
-3.7	.0001	.0001	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000	.0000
-3.6	.0002	.0002	.0001	.0001	.0001	.0001	.0001	.0001	.0001	.0001
-3.5	.0002	.0002	.0002	.0002	.0002	.0002	.0002	.0002	.0002	.0002
-3.4	.0003	.0003	.0003	.0003	.0003	.0003	.0003	.0003	.0003	.0002
-3.3	.0005	.0005	.0005	.0004	.0004	.0004	.0004	.0004	.0004	.0003
-3.2	.0007	.0007	.0006	.0006	.0006	.0006	.0006	.0005	.0005	.0005
-3.1	.0010	.0009	.0009	.0009	.0008	.0008	.0008	.0008	.0007	.0007
-3.0	.0013	.0013	.0013	.0012	.0012	.0011	.0011	.0011	.0010	.0010
-2.9	.0019	.0018	.0018	.0017	.0016	.0016	.0015	.0015	.0014	.0014
-2.8	.0026	.0025	.0024	.0023	.0023	.0022	.0021	.0021	.0020	.0019
-2.7	.0035	.0034	.0033	.0032	.0031	.0030	.0029	.0028	.0027	.0026
-2.6	.0047	.0045	.0044	.0043	.0041	.0040	.0039	.0038	.0037	.0036
-2.5	.0062	.0060	.0059	.0057	.0055	.0054	.0052	.0051	.0049	.0048
-2.4	.0082	.0080	.0078	.0075	.0073	.0071	.0069	.0068	.0066	.0064
-2.3	.0107	.0104	.0102	.0099	.0096	.0094	.0091	.0089	.0087	.0084
-2.2	.0139	.0136	.0132	.0129	.0125	.0122	.0119	.0116	.0113	.0110
-2.1	.0179	.0174	.0170	.0166	.0162	.0158	.0154	.0150	.0146	.0143
-2.0	.0228	.0222	.0217	.0212	.0207	.0202	.0197	.0192	.0188	.0183
-1.9	.0287	.0281	.0274	.0268	.0262	.0256	.0250	.0244	.0239	.0233
-1.8	.0359	.0351	.0344	.0336	.0329	.0322	.0314	.0307	.0301	.0294
-1.7	.0446	.0438	.0427	.0418	.0409	.0401	.0392	.0384	.0375	.0367
-1.6	.0548	.0539	.0528	.0516	.0505	.0495	.0485	.0475	.0465	.0455
-1.5	.0668	.0655	.0643	.0630	.0618	.0606	.0594	.0582	.0571	.0559
-1.4	.0808	.0798	.0778	.0764	.0749	.0735	.0721	.0708	.0694	.0681
-1.3	.0968	.0951	.0934	.0918	.0901	.0885	.0869	.0853	.0838	.0823
-1.2	.1151	.1131	.1112	.1093	.1075	.1056	.1038	.1020	.1003	.0985
-1.1	.1357	.1335	.1314	.1292	.1271	.1251	.1230	.1210	.1190	.1170
-1.0	.1587	.1562	.1539	.1515	.1492	.1469	.1446	.1423	.1401	.1379

**Note:**

Z table gives probability less than Z value and to find the probability more than the Z value, subtract 1 from the probability found in the Z table.

# Continuation..

Q. Julie just realized that she wants her date to be taller when she is wearing her heels, which are 5" high. Find the new probability that her date will be taller.

**Will this impact on the existing probability ?**

# New Probability

Q. Julie just realized that she wants her date to be taller when she is wearing her heels, which are 5" high. Find the new probability that her date will be taller.

$$Z = \frac{69-71}{4.5} = -0.44; P(Z < -0.44) = 0.33, \therefore P(Z > -0.44) = 0.67 \text{ or } 67\%$$

- Inferential Tests

# Hypothesis


# Hypothesis Testing

Hypothesis testing is the explanation of the phenomenon - scientific proof of concept about the event

1. Null Hypothesis ( $H_0$ )
2. Alternate Hypothesis ( $H_a$ )

# Confidence Intervals and Hypothesis Testing

## – Two Ways of Inferring the Same



**95% CI:** Implies that the true population parameter (e.g., mean) will lie within this range ( $\pm 2SE$ ) for 95% of the samples. If the sample is in the 5% zone (2.5% in each tail shown in gray), then the true population parameter will not lie in the range  $\bar{x} \pm 2SE$ .



# Critical Region & Significance level

## **Critical region:**

The region in the tail of the distribution which corresponds to the rejection of the null hypothesis at some chosen significance level.

## **Z Critical Value:**

The Z value which separates the critical region from the rest of the region in the distribution. Any Z value higher than Z critical value means that the value is in the critical region.

## **Significance Level:**

The probability level of that is chosen to test the hypothesis testing in statistics. They are 3 levels - 10%, 5%, 1% and normally if this is not provided during testing then **5% is what chosen as a standard.**




# One tailed & two tailed test

The statistical tests used will be **one tailed** or **two tailed** depending on the nature of the null hypothesis and the alternative hypothesis

## 1. Two Tail test

$$H_0: \mu = \mu_0$$


$$H_1: \mu \neq \mu_0;$$



## 2. One Tail tests


$$H_0: \mu = \mu_0$$

$$H_1: \mu < \mu_0;$$



$$H_0: \mu = \mu_0$$

$$H_1: \mu > \mu_0;$$



# One tail test – Z critical values

1.  $\alpha$  (Significance level) = 10 %

$Z = 1.28$


2.  $\alpha$  (Significance level) = 5 %

$Z = 1.64$

3.  $\alpha$  (Significance level) = 1 %

$Z = 2.29$

z	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.07	0.08	0.09
0.0	.5000	.5040	.5080	.5120	.5160	.5199	.5239	.5279	.5319	.5359
0.1	.5398	.5438	.5478	.5517	.5557	.5596	.5636	.5675	.5714	.5753
0.2	.5793	.5832	.5871	.5910	.5948	.5987	.6026	.6064	.6103	.6141
0.3	.6179	.6217	.6255	.6293	.6331	.6368	.6406	.6443	.6480	.6517
0.4	.6554	.6591	.6628	.6664	.6700	.6736	.6772	.6808	.6844	.6879
0.5	.6915	.6950	.6985	.7019	.7054	.7088	.7123	.7157	.7190	.7224
0.6	.7257	.7291	.7324	.7357	.7389	.7422	.7454	.7486	.7517	.7549
0.7	.7580	.7611	.7642	.7673	.7704	.7734	.7764	.7794	.7823	.7852
0.8	.7881	.7910	.7939	.7967	.7995	.8023	.8051	.8078	.8106	.8133
0.9	.8159	.8186	.8212	.8238	.8264	.8289	.8315	.8340	.8365	.8389
1.0	.8413	.8438	.8461	.8485	.8508	.8531	.8554	.8577	.8599	.8621
1.1	.8643	.8665	.8686	.8708	.8729	.8749	.8770	.8790	.8810	.8830
1.2	.8849	.8869	.8888	.8907	.8925	.8944	.8962	.8980	.8997	.9015
1.3	.9032	.9049	.9066	.9082	.9099	.9115	.9131	.9147	.9162	.9177



# Two Tail Test

**$\alpha$  level**                      **Z critical values**

0.10                                      1.645


---

0.05                                      1.960

---

0.010                                    2.576


---



# Hypothesis Testing Steps

1. State null ( $H_0$ ) and alternative ( $H_1$ ) hypothesis
2. Choose level of significance ( $\alpha$ )
3. Find critical values
4. Find test statistic
5. Draw your conclusion

# Steps - Flowchart



# Identify Null & Alternate Hypothesis

It is believed that a candy machine makes chocolate bars that are 5g on average. A worker claims that after maintenance it no longer makes 5g bar.

Write Null and alternate hypothesis ?

## **NOTE:**

Both are mathematical opposites

# Identify Null & Alternate Hypothesis

It is believed that a candy machine makes chocolate bars that are 5g on average. A worker claims that after maintenance it no longer makes 5g bar.

Write Null and alternate hypothesis ?

$$H_0 = 5$$

$$H_a \neq 5$$

## **NOTE:**



Both are mathematical opposites



# Hypothesis Errors


- Type I: We reject the NULL hypothesis incorrectly
- Type II: We “accept” it incorrectly

# Type 1 & Type 2 Errors



# Common Test Statistics for Inferential Techniques

Inferential techniques (Confidence Intervals and Hypothesis Testing) most commonly use 4 test statistics:

- $z$
  - $t$
  - $\chi^2$  (Chi-squared)
  - $F$
- 
- Closely related to Sampling Distribution of **Means**
  - Closely related to Sampling Distribution of **Variances**
  - Derived from Normal Distribution

# Z Test

In a survey conducted for the psychological test about the students attitudes towards studying with the range of score between 0-200. The mean score is 115 and SD is 30. John suspects that older students have better attitudes and selects 35 students more than 30 years to test and the mean score is 118.6

Carry out the significance test at 0.05 ?

# Solution

## 1. State hypothesis

$$H_0 \leq 115$$

$$H_a > \mu (115)$$

2. Significance level  $\alpha = 0.05$

3. Z critical value  $Z = 1.64$

## Given parameters

$\mu = 115$ ,  $\sigma = 30$ ,  $n = 35$ , sample mean = 118.6

Find Z ?

# Z - Test Statistic

$$Z = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu_0}{\sigma / \sqrt{n}}$$

**Substituting the parameters**

$$Z=0.74$$

**Using Z table – find probability**

$$P(Z < 0.74) = 0.77$$

**To find probability of Z > than 0.74**

$$P(Z > 0.74) = 1 - 0.77 = 0.23$$

**NOTE:**

P value denotes – Probability of getting the result we expect if the Null hypothesis is true

# Conclusion

1. The Z value is not in the critical region
2. There is no strong evidence to reject the Null hypothesis and therefore the mean score older students is same as everyone  
i.e 115

# Case Study

School	Mean	Standard deviation (pop.)	N
Private	110	15	60
Public	104	15	60

Do students from private school obtain significantly higher scores at exams than students from public schools ?

**- Assignment**



# T - Test

# Z Test Vs T Test

## 1. Sample size

Z test when  $n > 30$

T test when  $n < 30$

## 2. Z test when population standard deviations are Known

# T Test

The average IQ of the adult population is 100. A researcher believes that the average IQ of adults is lower. He takes a random sample of 5 adults score and tests it (69,79,89,99,109) with sample SD 15.81

Is there enough evidence to suggest that the average IQ in adults is lower ?

# Solution


## 1. State hypothesis

$$H_0 \geq 100$$

$$H_a < \mu (100)$$

2. Significance level  $\alpha = 0.05$

3. T critical value  $T = -2.132$



## Given parameters

$\mu = 100$ ,  $S = 15.81$ ,  $n = 5$ , sample mean = 89

Find T ?

# T Table - How to use T table to find critical value ?

1. Check for the degrees of freedom on Y axis
2. Check for the Alpha ( $\alpha$ ) level in X axis

Degrees of Freedom =  $n - 1$

For  $< \mu$  use

Negative sign


	cum. prob one-tail two-tails	$t_{.50}$ 0.50	$t_{.75}$ 0.25	$t_{.80}$ 0.20	$t_{.85}$ 0.15	$t_{.90}$ 0.10	$t_{.95}$ 0.05	$t_{.975}$ 0.025	$t_{.99}$ 0.01	$t_{.995}$ 0.005	$t_{.999}$ 0.001	$t_{.9995}$ 0.0005
df		1.00	0.50	0.40	0.30	0.20	0.10	0.05	0.02	0.01	0.002	0.001
1	0.000	1.000	1.376	1.963	3.078	6.314	12.71	31.82	63.66	318.31	636.62	
2	0.000	0.816	1.061	1.386	1.886	2.920	4.303	6.965	9.925	22.327	31.599	
3	0.000	0.765	0.978	1.250	1.638	2.353	3.182	4.541	5.841	10.215	12.924	
4	0.000	0.741	0.941	1.190	1.533	2.132	2.776	3.747	4.604	7.173	8.610	
5	0.000	0.727	0.920	1.156	1.476	2.015	2.571	3.365	4.032	5.893	6.869	
6	0.000	0.718	0.906	1.134	1.440	1.943	2.447	3.143	3.707	5.208	5.959	
7	0.000	0.711	0.896	1.119	1.415	1.895	2.365	2.998	3.499	4.785	5.408	
8	0.000	0.706	0.889	1.108	1.397	1.860	2.306	2.896	3.355	4.501	5.041	
9	0.000	0.703	0.883	1.100	1.383	1.833	2.262	2.821	3.250	4.297	4.781	
10	0.000	0.700	0.879	1.093	1.372	1.812	2.228	2.764	3.169	4.144	4.587	
11	0.000	0.697	0.876	1.088	1.363	1.796	2.201	2.718	3.106	4.025	4.437	
12	0.000	0.695	0.873	1.083	1.356	1.782	2.179	2.681	3.055	3.930	4.318	
13	0.000	0.694	0.870	1.079	1.350	1.771	2.160	2.650	3.012	3.852	4.221	
14	0.000	0.692	0.868	1.076	1.345	1.761	2.145	2.624	2.977	3.787	4.140	
15	0.000	0.691	0.866	1.074	1.341	1.753	2.131	2.602	2.947	3.733	4.073	
16	0.000	0.690	0.865	1.071	1.337	1.746	2.120	2.583	2.921	3.686	4.015	
17	0.000	0.689	0.863	1.069	1.333	1.740	2.110	2.567	2.898	3.646	3.965	
18	0.000	0.688	0.862	1.067	1.330	1.734	2.101	2.552	2.878	3.610	3.922	
19	0.000	0.688	0.861	1.066	1.328	1.729	2.093	2.539	2.861	3.579	3.883	
20	0.000	0.687	0.860	1.064	1.325	1.725	2.086	2.528	2.845	3.552	3.850	
21	0.000	0.686	0.859	1.063	1.323	1.721	2.080	2.518	2.831	3.527	3.819	
22	0.000	0.686	0.858	1.061	1.321	1.717	2.074	2.508	2.819	3.505	3.792	
23	0.000	0.685	0.858	1.060	1.319	1.714	2.069	2.500	2.807	3.485	3.768	
24	0.000	0.685	0.857	1.059	1.318	1.711	2.064	2.492	2.797	3.467	3.745	
25	0.000	0.684	0.856	1.058	1.316	1.708	2.060	2.485	2.787	3.450	3.725	
26	0.000	0.684	0.856	1.058	1.315	1.706	2.056	2.479	2.779	3.435	3.707	
27	0.000	0.684	0.855	1.057	1.314	1.703	2.052	2.473	2.771	3.421	3.690	
28	0.000	0.683	0.855	1.056	1.313	1.701	2.048	2.467	2.763	3.408	3.674	
29	0.000	0.683	0.854	1.055	1.311	1.699	2.045	2.462	2.756	3.396	3.659	
30	0.000	0.683	0.854	1.055	1.310	1.697	2.042	2.457	2.750	3.385	3.646	
40	0.000	0.681	0.851	1.050	1.303	1.684	2.021	2.423	2.704	3.307	3.551	
60	0.000	0.679	0.848	1.045	1.296	1.671	2.000	2.390	2.660	3.232	3.460	
80	0.000	0.678	0.846	1.043	1.292	1.664	1.990	2.374	2.639	3.195	3.416	
100	0.000	0.677	0.845	1.042	1.290	1.660	1.984	2.364	2.626	3.174	3.390	
1000	0.000	0.675	0.842	1.037	1.282	1.646	1.962	2.330	2.581	3.098	3.300	
<b>Z</b>	0.000	0.674	0.842	1.036	1.282	1.645	1.960	2.326	2.576	3.090	3.291	

# T - Test Statistic

$$t \text{ statistic (or } t \text{ score), } t = \frac{(\bar{x} - \mu)}{\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}}$$

Substituting the parameters

T=-1.56



# Conclusion

1. The calculated T value is not in the critical region
2. We do not have enough evidence to reject the NULL hypothesis and therefore the average IQ for adults is same as everyone i.e 100

# Linear/Logistic Regression output

	<i>Coefficient s</i>	<i>Standard Error</i>	<i>t Stat</i>	<i>P-value</i>	<i>Lower 95%</i>	<i>Upper 95%</i>
Intercept	98.24833	58.03348	1.69296	0.1289 2	-35.57720	232.07386
Square Feet	0.10977	0.03297	3.32938	0.0103 9	0.03374	0.18580

	Estimate	Std. Error	z value	Pr(> z )
(Intercept)	1.6331	1.1102	1.47	0.1413
Age	-0.0782	0.0373	-2.10	0.0359
SexFemale	1.5973	0.7555	2.11	0.0345



# $\chi^2$ DISTRIBUTION

# $\chi^2$ DISTRIBUTION

So you modeled a situation using a probability distribution and got a good idea of how things will shape up in the long run. But what if what you expected and what you observed are not the same? How would you know if the difference is due to normal fluctuations or if your model was incorrect?

Let us say you are running a casino and the slot machines are causing you headaches. You had designed them with the following expected probability distribution, with  $X$  being the net gain from each game played.

<b>x</b>	-2	23	48	73	98
<b>P(X=x)</b>	0.977	0.008	0.008	0.006	0.001

You collected some statistics and found the following frequency of peoples' winnings.

<b>x</b>	-2	23	48	73	98
<b>Frequency</b>	965	10	9	9	7

You want to compare the actual frequency with the expected frequency.

<b>x</b>	-2	23	48	73	98
<b>P(X=x)</b>	0.977	0.008	0.008	0.006	0.001

<b>x</b>	<b>Observed Frequency</b>	<b>Expected Frequency</b>
-2	965	977
23	10	8
48	9	8
73	9	6
98	7	1

Are these differences significant and if they are, is it just pure chance?

## $\chi^2$ test to the rescue

$\chi^2$  distribution uses a test statistic to look at the difference between the expected and the actual, and then returns a probability of getting observed frequencies as extreme.

$\chi^2 = \sum \frac{(O-E)^2}{E}$ , where O is the observed frequency and E the expected frequency.

<b>x</b>	<b>Observed Frequency</b>	<b>Expected Frequency</b>
-2	965	977
23	10	8
48	9	8
73	9	6
98	7	1

$$\chi^2 = 38.272$$


Is this high?

To find this, we need to look at the  $\chi^2$  distribution.

# $\chi^2$ distribution

$X^2 \sim \chi^2_{(\nu)}$ , where  $\nu$  represents the degrees of freedom.

When  $\nu$  is greater than 2, the shape of the distribution is skewed positively gradually becoming approximately normal for large  $\nu$ .




# How do we know the Significance of the difference?

One-tailed test using the upper tail of the distribution as the critical region.

A test at significance level  $\alpha$  is written as  $\chi^2_{\alpha}(\nu)$ . The critical region is to its right.

Higher the value of the test statistic, the bigger the difference between observed and expected frequencies.





## Uses of $\chi^2$ distribution

- To test **goodness of fit**.
- To test **independence** of two variables.
- To test hypothesis about **variance** of a population.

## Steps to test Goodness-of-fit

You want to see if there is sufficient evidence at the 5% significance level to say the slot machines have been rigged.

What are the null and alternate hypotheses?

$H_0$ : The slot machine winnings per game follow the described probability distribution, i.e., they are not rigged.

$H_1$ : The slot machine winnings per game do not follow this distribution.


What are the expected frequencies and degrees of freedom?

<b>x</b>	<b>Observed Frequency</b>	<b>Expected Frequency</b>
-2	965	977
23	10	8
48	9	8
73	9	6
98	7	1

$$v = 4$$

What is the critical region?

TABLE OF CHI-SQUARE DISTRIBUTION



$\alpha$	0.995	0.99	0.98	0.975	0.95	0.90	0.80	0.70	0.60	0.50	0.40	0.30	0.20	0.10	0.05	0.025	0.02	0.01	0.005	0.001
$\nu$																				
1	0.00393	0.00446	0.00493	0.00541	0.00591	0.00641	0.00691	0.00741	0.00791	0.00841	0.00891	0.00941	0.00991	0.01041	0.01091	0.01141	0.01191	0.01241	0.01291	0.01341
2	0.01000	0.02000	0.03000	0.04000	0.05000	0.06000	0.07000	0.08000	0.09000	0.10000	0.11000	0.12000	0.13000	0.14000	0.15000	0.16000	0.17000	0.18000	0.19000	0.20000
3	0.0717	0.115	0.185	0.216	0.352	0.584	1.005	1.642	2.706	3.841	4.605	5.405	6.251	7.378	8.535	9.348	10.296	11.833	13.838	16.268
4	0.207	0.297	0.429	0.484	0.711	1.064	1.649	2.479	3.779	5.988	7.779	9.488	11.143	12.838	14.860	16.750	18.465	20.090	22.478	26.191
5	0.412	0.554	0.752	0.831	1.145	1.610	2.343	3.357	4.753	6.958	8.750	10.675	12.833	14.449	16.750	18.548	20.517	22.557	25.013	28.756
6	0.676	0.872	1.134	1.237	1.635	2.204	3.070	4.101	5.591	7.879	9.733	11.578	13.816	15.708	18.151	20.296	22.454	24.996	27.154	30.578
7	0.989	1.239	1.564	1.690	2.167	2.833	3.822	4.973	6.345	8.451	10.216	11.978	14.067	15.708	18.475	20.278	22.621	25.188	27.154	31.526
8	1.344	1.646	2.032	2.180	2.733	3.490	4.594	5.994	7.344	9.488	11.030	12.733	14.664	16.151	19.023	20.090	22.618	25.188	27.154	32.000
9	1.735	2.088	2.532	2.700	3.325	4.168	5.380	6.896	8.344	10.557	12.242	14.021	15.987	17.522	20.483	21.666	24.423	26.893	28.599	33.924
10	2.156	2.558	3.059	3.247	3.940	4.865	6.179	7.779	9.348	11.578	13.443	15.379	17.338	18.907	22.027	23.209	26.186	28.588	30.578	35.578
11	2.603	3.053	3.609	3.816	4.575	5.578	6.989	8.601	10.357	12.796	14.698	16.750	18.708	20.578	23.589	24.725	27.707	30.191	32.187	37.156
12	3.074	3.571	4.178	4.406	5.226	6.304	7.879	9.591	11.400	13.816	15.987	18.151	20.216	22.216	25.219	26.217	29.220	31.576	33.409	38.582

$\chi^2_{5\%}(4) = 9.488$ . This means the critical region is  $X^2 > 9.488$ .

Is the test statistic inside or outside the critical region?

Since  $X^2 = 38.27$  and the critical region is  $X^2 > 9.488$ , this means  $X^2$  is inside the critical region.

Will you accept or reject the null hypothesis?

Reject. There is sufficient evidence to reject the hypothesis

This sort of hypothesis test is called a **goodness of fit** test. This test is used whenever you have a set of values that should fit a distribution, and you want to test whether the data actually does

# Testing Hypotheses about a Variance

A manufacturing company produces bearings of 2.65 cm in diameter. A major customer requires that the variance in diameter be no more than  $0.001 \text{ cm}^2$ . The manufacturer tests 20 bearings using a precise instrument and gets the below values. Assuming the diameters are normally distributed, can the population of these bearings be rejected due to high variance at 1% significance level?

**Data:** 2.69, 2.66, 2.64, 2.59, 2.62, 2.63, 2.69, 2.66, 2.63, 2.65, 2.57, 2.63, 2.70, 2.71, 2.64, 2.65, 2.59, 2.66, 2.62, 2.57

# Testing Hypotheses about a Variance

What are null and alternate hypotheses?

$$H_0: \sigma^2 \leq 0.001; H_1: \sigma^2 > 0.001$$

How many degrees of freedom?


Since  $n=20$ ,  $df=19$ .



# Testing Hypotheses about a Variance

What is the critical region?

TABLE OF CHI-SQUARE DISTRIBUTION



$\alpha$	0.995	0.99	0.98	0.975	0.95	0.90	0.80	0.70	0.60	0.50	0.40	0.30	0.20	0.10	0.05	0.025	0.01	0.005	0.001
$\nu$																			
1	0.00393	0.00446	0.00493	0.00541	0.00591	0.00643	0.00700	0.00761	0.00826	0.00895	0.00968	0.01045	0.01126	0.01211	0.01300	0.01393	0.01491	0.01593	0.01700
2	0.01000	0.01060	0.01121	0.01183	0.01246	0.01311	0.01378	0.01447	0.01518	0.01591	0.01666	0.01743	0.01822	0.01903	0.01986	0.02071	0.02158	0.02247	0.02338
3	0.07171	0.07423	0.07676	0.07930	0.08186	0.08443	0.08701	0.08960	0.09220	0.09481	0.09743	0.10006	0.10270	0.10535	0.10801	0.11068	0.11336	0.11604	0.11872
4	0.20797	0.21367	0.21938	0.22510	0.23083	0.23657	0.24232	0.24808	0.25384	0.25961	0.26539	0.27118	0.27698	0.28279	0.28861	0.29444	0.30028	0.30613	0.31199
5	0.41142	0.41819	0.42497	0.43176	0.43856	0.44537	0.45219	0.45902	0.46586	0.47271	0.47957	0.48644	0.49332	0.50021	0.50711	0.51402	0.52094	0.52787	0.53481
6	0.67567	0.68359	0.69152	0.69946	0.70741	0.71537	0.72334	0.73132	0.73931	0.74731	0.75532	0.76334	0.77137	0.77941	0.78746	0.79552	0.80359	0.81167	0.81976
7	0.98906	1.00791	1.02676	1.04562	1.06448	1.08334	1.10221	1.12108	1.13995	1.15882	1.17770	1.19658	1.21546	1.23434	1.25322	1.27210	1.29098	1.30986	1.32874
8	1.34429	1.36337	1.38245	1.40153	1.42061	1.43969	1.45877	1.47785	1.49693	1.51601	1.53509	1.55417	1.57325	1.59233	1.61141	1.63049	1.64957	1.66865	1.68773
9	1.73555	1.75473	1.77391	1.79309	1.81227	1.83145	1.85063	1.86981	1.88899	1.90817	1.92735	1.94653	1.96571	1.98489	2.00407	2.02325	2.04243	2.06161	2.08079
10	2.15607	2.17535	2.19463	2.21391	2.23319	2.25247	2.27175	2.29103	2.31031	2.32959	2.34887	2.36815	2.38743	2.40671	2.42599	2.44527	2.46455	2.48383	2.50311
11	2.60321	2.62259	2.64197	2.66135	2.68073	2.70011	2.71949	2.73887	2.75825	2.77763	2.79701	2.81639	2.83577	2.85515	2.87453	2.89391	2.91329	2.93267	2.95205
12	3.07643	3.09591	3.11539	3.13487	3.15435	3.17383	3.19331	3.21279	3.23227	3.25175	3.27123	3.29071	3.31019	3.32967	3.34915	3.36863	3.38811	3.40759	3.42707
13	3.56519	3.58477	3.60435	3.62393	3.64351	3.66309	3.68267	3.70225	3.72183	3.74141	3.76099	3.78057	3.80015	3.81973	3.83931	3.85889	3.87847	3.89805	3.91763
14	4.07553	4.09521	4.11489	4.13457	4.15425	4.17393	4.19361	4.21329	4.23297	4.25265	4.27233	4.29201	4.31169	4.33137	4.35105	4.37073	4.39041	4.41009	4.42977
15	4.60130	4.62107	4.64084	4.66061	4.68038	4.70015	4.71992	4.73969	4.75946	4.77923	4.79900	4.81877	4.83854	4.85831	4.87808	4.89785	4.91762	4.93739	4.95716
16	5.14205	5.16192	5.18179	5.20166	5.22153	5.24140	5.26127	5.28114	5.30101	5.32088	5.34075	5.36062	5.38049	5.40036	5.42023	5.44010	5.45997	5.47984	5.49971
17	5.69706	5.71703	5.73699	5.75696	5.77693	5.79690	5.81687	5.83684	5.85681	5.87678	5.89675	5.91672	5.93669	5.95666	5.97663	5.99660	6.01657	6.03654	6.05651
18	6.26515	6.28522	6.30529	6.32536	6.34543	6.36550	6.38557	6.40564	6.42571	6.44578	6.46585	6.48592	6.50599	6.52606	6.54613	6.56620	6.58627	6.60634	6.62641
19	6.84407	6.86424	6.88441	6.90458	6.92475	6.94492	6.96509	6.98526	7.00543	7.02560	7.04577	7.06594	7.08611	7.10628	7.12645	7.14662	7.16679	7.18696	7.20713
20	7.43343	7.45370	7.47397	7.49424	7.51451	7.53478	7.55505	7.57532	7.59559	7.61586	7.63613	7.65640	7.67667	7.69694	7.71721	7.73748	7.75775	7.77802	7.79829

$$\chi^2_{0.01,19} = 36.191$$




# Testing Hypotheses about a Variance

What is the observed  $\chi^2$  value?

$$\chi^2 = \frac{(n-1)s^2}{\sigma^2} = \frac{19 * 0.001621}{0.001} = 30.8$$

Is it in critical region?  $\chi^2_{0.01,19} = 36.191$

No.



Will you reject or fail to reject the null hypothesis?

Fail to reject.

# Business decision

The population variance is within specification limits required by the customer and hence the bearings can be shipped.

# F distribution

- $\chi^2$  was useful in testing hypotheses about a single population variance.
- Sometimes we want to test hypotheses about difference in variances of two populations:
  - Is the variance of 2 stocks the same?
  - Do parts manufactured in 2 shifts or on 2 different machines or in 2 batches have the same variance or not?
  - Is the powder mix for tablet granulations homogeneous?
  - Is there variability in assayed drug blood levels in a bioavailability study?

# F distribution

- Ratio of 2 variance estimates:  $F = \frac{s_1^2}{s_2^2} = \frac{est.\sigma_1^2}{est.\sigma_2^2}$
- Ideally, this ratio should be about 1 if 2 samples come from the same population or from 2 populations with same variance, but sampling errors cause variation.
- *Recall*  $\chi^2 = \frac{(n-1)s^2}{\sigma^2}$ . So, F is also a ratio of 2 chi-squares, each divided by its degrees of freedom, i.e.,

$$F = \frac{\frac{\chi_{v_1}^2}{v_1}}{\frac{\chi_{v_2}^2}{v_2}}$$

# Hypothesis test for 2 sample variances

A machine produces metal sheets with 22mm thickness. There is variability in thickness due to machines, operators, manufacturing environment, raw material, etc. The company wants to know the consistency of two machines and randomly samples 10 sheets from machine 1 and 12 sheets from machine 2. Thickness measurements are taken. Assume sheet thickness is normally distributed in the population.

The company wants to know if the variance from each sample comes from the same population variance (population variances are equal) or from different population variances (population variances are unequal).

How do you test this?

# Hypothesis test for 2 sample variances

Data

Machine 1		Machine 2	
22.3	21.9	22.0	21.7
21.8	22.4	22.1	21.9
22.3	22.5	21.8	22.0
21.6	22.2	21.9	22.1
21.8	21.6	22.2	21.9
		22.0	22.1
$s_1^2 = 0.11378$	$n = 10$	$s_2^2 = 0.02023$	$n = 12$

Ratio of sample variances,  $F = \frac{s_1^2}{s_2^2} = \frac{0.11378}{0.02023} = 5.62$

# Hypothesis test for 2 sample variances

What are null and alternate hypotheses?

$$H_0: \sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2; H_1: \sigma_1^2 \neq \sigma_2^2$$

Is it a one-tailed test or a two-tailed test?

Two-tailed.


What are numerator and denominator degrees of freedom?

$$v_1 = 10 - 1 = 9; v_2 = 12 - 1 = 11$$

# Hypothesis test for 2 sample variances

Reading an F-table.

F Table for  $\alpha = 0.025$



/	df <sub>1</sub> =1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	12	15	20	24	30	40	60	120	$\infty$
df <sub>2</sub> =1	647.7890	799.5000	864.1630	899.5833	921.8479	937.1111	948.2169	956.6562	963.2846	968.6274	976.7079	984.8668	993.1028	997.2492	1001.414	1005.598	1009.800	1014.020	1018.258
2	38.5063	39.0000	39.1655	39.2484	39.2982	39.3315	39.3552	39.3730	39.3869	39.3980	39.4146	39.4313	39.4479	39.4562	39.465	39.473	39.481	39.490	39.498
3	17.4434	16.0441	15.4392	15.1010	14.8848	14.7347	14.6244	14.5399	14.4731	14.4189	14.3366	14.2527	14.1674	14.1241	14.081	14.037	13.992	13.947	13.902
4	12.2179	10.6491	9.9792	9.6045	9.3645	9.1973	9.0741	8.9796	8.9047	8.8439	8.7512	8.6565	8.5599	8.5109	8.461	8.411	8.360	8.309	8.257
5	10.0070	8.4336	7.7636	7.3879	7.1464	6.9777	6.8531	6.7572	6.6811	6.6192	6.5245	6.4277	6.3286	6.2780	6.227	6.175	6.123	6.069	6.015
6	8.8131	7.2599	6.5988	6.2272	5.9876	5.8198	5.6955	5.5996	5.5234	5.4613	5.3662	5.2687	5.1684	5.1172	5.065	5.012	4.959	4.904	4.849
7	8.0727	6.5415	5.8898	5.5226	5.2852	5.1186	4.9949	4.8993	4.8232	4.7611	4.6658	4.5678	4.4667	4.4150	4.362	4.309	4.254	4.199	4.142
8	7.5709	6.0595	5.4160	5.0526	4.8173	4.6517	4.5286	4.4333	4.3572	4.2951	4.1997	4.1012	3.9995	3.9472	3.894	3.840	3.784	3.728	3.670
9	7.2093	5.7147	5.0781	4.7181	4.4844	4.3197	4.1970	4.1020	4.0260	3.9639	3.8682	3.7694	3.6669	3.6142	3.560	3.505	3.449	3.392	3.333
10	6.9367	5.4564	4.8256	4.4683	4.2361	4.0721	3.9498	3.8549	3.7790	3.7168	3.6209	3.5217	3.4185	3.3654	3.311	3.255	3.198	3.140	3.080
11	6.7241	5.2559	4.6300	4.2751	4.0440	3.8807	3.7586	3.6638	3.5879	3.5257	3.4296	3.3299	3.2261	3.1725	3.118	3.061	3.004	2.944	2.883
12	6.5538	5.0959	4.4742	4.1212	3.8911	3.7283	3.6065	3.5118	3.4358	3.3736	3.2773	3.1772	3.0728	3.0187	2.963	2.906	2.848	2.787	2.725

$$F_{0.025,9,11} = 3.5879$$




# Hypothesis test for 2 sample variances

$$F_{0.025,9,11} = 3.5879$$

$$F_{\text{observed}} = 5.62$$

Will you reject the null hypothesis or not?



Reject. Population variances are not equal.

# Business decision

Variance in machine 1 is higher than in machine 2. Machine 1 needs to be inspected for any issues.

# Applications of F Distribution

- Test for equality of variances.
- Test for differences of means in ANOVA.
- Test for regression models (slopes relating one continuous variable to another, e.g., Entrance exam scores and GPA)

# ANOVA

# ANOVA(Analysis Of Variance)

- ANOVA is used for comparing means of more than 2 samples
- **Null Hypothesis** = Means of all the samples are equal
- **Alternative hypothesis** = Mean of atleast one of the samples is different
- Variance of all samples is assumed to be similar

A pharmaceutical company tested 3 formulations of a migraine relief drug. 27 volunteers were randomly grouped in 3 groups. Each group was given a different drug formulation. The participants took the drug when they had the next migraine attack and recorded the pain on a scale of 1 to 10, 1 being no pain and 10 being extreme pain 30 minutes after taking the medicine.

We want to understand if the differences are due to within group differences or between group differences.

Group 1			Group 2			Group 3		
3	4	3	3	5	7	5	5	5
2	5	5	6	7	6	6	5	7
4	3	3	4	4	8	7	6	6

$$\bar{X}_1 = 3.56$$

$$\bar{X}_2 = 5.56$$

$$\bar{X}_3 = 5.78$$

$$\bar{\bar{X}} = \frac{134}{27} = 4.96$$

*Total Sum of Squares, SST*

$$= (2 - 4.96)^2 + 5 * (3 - 4.96)^2 + 4 * (4 - 4.96)^2 + 7 * (5 - 4.96)^2 + 5 * (6 - 4.96)^2 + 4 * (7 - 4.96)^2 + (8 - 4.96)^2 = \mathbf{62.96}$$

When there are  $m$  groups and  $n$  members in each group, the degrees of freedom are  $mn - 1$ , since we can calculate one member knowing the overall mean.

How much of this variation is coming from within the groups and how much from between the groups?

Group 1			Group 2			Group 3		
3	4	3	3	5	7	5	5	5
2	5	5	6	7	6	6	5	7
4	3	3	4	4	8	7	6	6

$$\bar{X}_1 = 3.56 \quad \bar{X}_2 = 5.56 \quad \bar{X}_3 = 5.78 \quad \bar{\bar{X}} = \frac{134}{27} = 4.96$$

*Total Sum of Squares Within, SSW*

$$= (2 - 3.56)^2 + 4 * (3 - 3.56)^2 + 2 * (4 - 3.56)^2 + 2 * (5 - 3.56)^2 + (3 - 5.56)^2 + 2 * (4 - 5.56)^2 + (5 - 5.56)^2 + 2 * (6 - 5.56)^2 + 2 * (7 - 5.56)^2 + (8 - 5.56)^2 + 4 * (5 - 5.78)^2 + 3 * (6 - 5.78)^2 + 2 * (7 - 5.78)^2 = \mathbf{36.00}$$

When there are  $m$  groups and  $n$  members in each group, the degrees of freedom are  $\mathbf{m(n - 1)}$ , since we can calculate one member knowing the group mean.

*Total Sum of Squares Between, SSB*

$$= 9 * (3.56 - 4.96)^2 + 9 * (5.56 - 4.96)^2 + 9 * (5.78 - 4.96)^2 = \mathbf{26.96}$$

When there are  $m$  groups, the degrees of freedom are  $\mathbf{m - 1}$ .

**SST = SSW + SSB**

Also, for degrees of freedom,  $\mathbf{mn - 1 = m(n - 1) + (m - 1)}$



What is the null hypothesis?

The population means of the 3 groups from which the samples were taken have the same mean, i.e., the drug formulations do not have an impact on relieving migraine headache.  $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu_3$ . Let us also have a significance level,  $\alpha = 0.10$ .

What is the alternate hypothesis?

The drug formulations have an impact on migraine pain relief.

$$F - statistic = \frac{\frac{SSB}{df_{SSB}}}{\frac{SSW}{df_{SSW}}} = \frac{\frac{26.96}{2}}{\frac{36}{24}} = 8.9876$$

If numerator is much bigger than the denominator, it means variation **between** means has bigger impact than variation **within**, thus rejecting the null hypothesis.

F Table for  $\alpha = 0.10$ 

\	df <sub>1</sub> =1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	12	
df <sub>2</sub> =1	39.86346	49.50000	53.59324	55.83296	57.24008	58.20442	58.90595	59.43898	59.85759	60.19498	60.70521	61
2	8.52032	9.60000	9.16179	9.24342	9.29263	9.32553	9.34908	9.36677	9.38054	9.39157	9.40813	9
3	5.53832	5.46338	5.30077	5.34264	5.30916	5.28473	5.26619	5.25167	5.24000	5.23041	5.21562	5
4	4.54477	4.32456	4.19085	4.10725	4.05058	4.00975	3.97897	3.95494	3.93567	3.91988	3.89553	3
5	4.06042	3.77972	3.61948	3.52020	3.45298	3.40451	3.36790	3.33928	3.31628	3.29740	3.26824	3
6	3.77585	3.46330	3.28876	3.18076	3.10751	3.05455	3.01446	2.98304	2.95774	2.93693	2.90472	2
7	3.58943	3.25744	3.07407	2.96053	2.88334	2.82739	2.78493	2.75158	2.72468	2.70251	2.66811	2
8	3.45792	3.11312	2.92380	2.80643	2.72645	2.66833	2.62413	2.58935	2.56124	2.53804	2.50196	2
9	3.36030	3.00645	2.81285	2.69268	2.60061	2.55086	2.50531	2.46941	2.44034	2.41632	2.37888	2
10	3.28502	2.92447	2.72707	2.60334	2.52164	2.46058	2.41397	2.37715	2.34731	2.32260	2.28405	2
11	3.22520	2.85951	2.66023	2.53619	2.45118	2.38907	2.34157	2.30400	2.27330	2.24823	2.20873	2
12	3.17655	2.80680	2.60532	2.48010	2.39402	2.33102	2.28278	2.24457	2.21352	2.18776	2.14744	2
13	3.13621	2.76317	2.56027	2.43371	2.34672	2.28298	2.23410	2.19535	2.16382	2.13763	2.09659	2
14	3.10221	2.72647	2.52222	2.39469	2.30694	2.24256	2.19313	2.15390	2.12195	2.09540	2.05371	2
15	3.07319	2.69517	2.48979	2.36143	2.27302	2.20808	2.15818	2.11853	2.08621	2.05932	2.01707	1
16	3.04811	2.66817	2.46181	2.33274	2.24376	2.17833	2.12800	2.08798	2.05533	2.02815	1.98539	1
17	3.02623	2.64464	2.43743	2.30775	2.21825	2.15239	2.10169	2.06134	2.02839	2.00094	1.95772	1
18	3.00698	2.62305	2.41601	2.28577	2.19583	2.12958	2.07854	2.03789	2.00467	1.97698	1.93334	1
19	2.98990	2.60561	2.39702	2.26630	2.17596	2.10936	2.05802	2.01710	1.98364	1.95573	1.91170	1
20	2.97465	2.58925	2.38009	2.24893	2.15823	2.09132	2.03970	1.99853	1.96485	1.93674	1.89236	1
21	2.96096	2.57457	2.36489	2.23334	2.14231	2.07512	2.02325	1.98186	1.94797	1.91967	1.87497	1
22	2.94838	2.56131	2.35117	2.21927	2.12794	2.06050	2.00840	1.96680	1.93273	1.90425	1.85925	1
23	2.93736	2.54920	2.33873	2.20651	2.11491	2.04723	1.99492	1.95312	1.91888	1.89025	1.84497	1
24	2.92712	2.53833	2.32739	2.19485	2.10303	2.03513	1.98263	1.94066	1.90525	1.87748	1.83194	1
25	2.91754	2.52831	2.31702	2.18424	2.09216	2.02406	1.97138	1.92925	1.89469	1.86578	1.82000	1

The  $df$  are 2 for numerator and 24 for denominator.

$F_{\alpha}$ , the critical F-statistic, therefore, is 2.53833. 8.9876 is way higher than this and hence we reject the null hypothesis. That means the drug formulations do have an impact on migraine pain relief.