

ASSIGNMENT 1
CS210
Dr. Daniel Page

Maksim Sharoika
200446763

Due: Feb. 4th 2022

Pages: 5

Page 1:

1. $A = \{1, 2, 3, 4 \dots x\}$ $\downarrow 0$ $\downarrow n-1$ Size of n integers. * Assumption: Array has at least 3 elements. \hookrightarrow if less needs to be changed.

Problem: Determine if all the elements in the array are unique.

1. if all unique, return true.
2. if not unique, return false.

a) Algorithm: Element Distinctness (L, n)

Input: Array A of size n .

Output: "true" if all elements are distinct.
"false" if there are duplicate elements.

```
1  for i ← 0 to n-2
2    for j ← i+1 to n-1
3      if  $A[i] == A[j]$   $\left[ C_1 \right]$   $C_1 \times \# \text{ of iterations } (j)$   $\left[ C_1 \times \# \text{ of iterations } (i \times j) \right]$ 
4        return false
5  $C_2$   $\left[ \right]$  return true
```

b) finite time proof:
 \rightarrow of finite time.

To prove the claim we see at the beginning of the algorithm we have an outer loop starting at i at 0 and an inner loop starting at j at 0. The inner loop is bounded by the array size (to the last index, $n-1$) therefore it will end in finite time because it increments each iteration. The outer loop is bounded by the array size (to the second last index, $n-2$) therefore it will end in finite time because it increments each iteration. In conclusion because both loops will end in finite time and all other steps are constant we can say the algorithm will end in finite time.

b) Correct output proof:

Before we begin with the proof ^{of correct output} I want to establish in a "complete" algorithm run, (the code does not return till final statement) all elements are compared to one another.

Element:	6	9	4	16	
			⁽ⁿ⁻²⁾	⁽ⁿ⁻¹⁾	
Index :	0	1	2	3	

$6 \begin{matrix} \nearrow 9 \\ \searrow 16 \end{matrix}, \quad 9 \begin{matrix} \nearrow 4 \\ \searrow 16 \end{matrix}, \quad 4 \begin{matrix} \nearrow 16 \end{matrix}$

The algorithm will iterate the outer loop until it gets to the second last element, each outer loop iteration will iterate the inner loop until it gets to the last element. The algorithm works its way from right to left. (index 0 to index $n-2$) and compares all elements to the right of it. It does not need to compare those to the left because they have been compared in a previous comparison. The second last element is compared to the last one, and the last one does not need to be compared further, all elements before it have already compared against it.

False: if the algorithm ever find an element at i and an element at j equal it returns false because i and j are never the same index ($j \leq i+1$) therefore there must be two non-distinct elements.

True: if the algorithm has completed and exited both loops then all elements have been compared to all other elements and it will return true because the if statement was never triggered.

c) Worst Case Scenario: The array is distinct. Therefore all array elements must be compared to all other array elements, then the loop ends and returns.

$$d) ((C_1 \cdot \# \text{iterations}(\text{for } j)) \cdot \# \text{iterations}(\text{for } i)) + C_2$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-2} (C_1 \cdot i) + C_2$$

$$C_1 \cdot \frac{(n-2)(n)}{2} + C_2$$

$$C_1 \left(\frac{1}{2}n^2 - n \right) + C_2$$

The j loop is only performed the average between the shortest and longest runs.

$$\text{i.e. } \frac{n! + 0}{2} = \text{average } j \text{ loop length?}$$

Therefore the algorithm is $O(n^2)$

line 5 takes constant ^{C_2} time and is out of any loops so we leave it on the side. Lines 3 and 4 take constant time and are C_1 . They are iterated by the inner loop line 2 which is influenced by the outer loop but is still $O(n)$ this is still nested in the outer loop which is also $O(n)$ outer loop. Therefore a loop nested within a loop is $n \times n$ or $O(n^2)$

→ another way is line 3 & 4 are iterated the most times in worst case and therefore are inside two loops with worst case of n , $n \times n = O(n^2)$

2. we need to find constant $C \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that for all $n \geq n_0$, $f(n) \leq C \cdot O(n)$ Statement.

↳ for $a, b, d \dots$

a) $(2022) \leq C(1)$ $C \geq 2022$ to make the equality true, n_0 can be anything $n_0 \geq 0$.

$$C \geq 2022 \quad n_0 = N/A$$

↳ if $C = 2022$, and n_0 is 0 they are always equal.

$$b) 3(n+1)^2 \leq C(n^2) \quad C = 12$$

$$3n^2 + 6n + 3 \leq 12n^2 = 3n^2 + 6n^2 + 3n^2$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} 3n^2 \leq 3n^2 \\ 6n^2 \leq 6n^2 \\ 3 \leq 3n^2 \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{l} \text{for all } n \geq 1 \\ \text{squared} > \\ \text{linear.} \end{array}$$

$C = 12 \quad n_0 = 1$ Therefore by the definition of big-Oh $3(n+1)$

↑ we need to find a C on the reals⁺ and an n_0 in integers such that $n \geq n_0 \Rightarrow f(n) \leq C \cdot O(n)$

c) Proof by contradiction

we assume $2n^2(n-1)$ is $O(n^2)$ there must be constants $C \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that for all $n \geq n_0$, $2n^2(n-1) \leq Cn^2$. Since $n \geq n_0$, it can be positive so we can divide both sides by n^2 . $2n-2 \leq C$, this is a contradiction because n is unbounded and C is bounded therefore:

$n = \max(\lceil C \rceil, n_0) + 1$ is a contradiction because n is larger or equal to n_0 , but larger than C violating $2n-2 \leq C$ for all $n \geq n_0$. The plus one is to nudge it even slightly higher.

d) $f(n)$ is $O(g(n))$ Prove: $f(n)$ is $O(h(n))$
 $g(n)$ is $O(h(n))$
 ① $f(n) \leq C_1 \cdot g(n), n \geq n_1$
 ② $g(n) \leq C_2 \cdot h(n), n \geq n_2$

By ① if the given is true there is a C_1 which holds ① for $n \geq n_1$, by similar logic if ② is true there exists a C_2 which holds ② for all $n \geq n_2$.
 worst case $n \geq n_0 = n_1 + n_2$

$$\frac{f(n)}{C_1} \leq g(n) \leq C_2 \cdot h(n), \text{ for } n \geq n_0 \quad C_0 = C_1 \cdot C_2$$

$$f(n) \leq C_1 \cdot C_2 \cdot h(n) \Rightarrow f(n) \leq C_0 h(n) \quad \textcircled{3} \text{ for } n \geq n_0$$

Now we simplify if $\frac{f(n)}{C_1}$ is less than $g(n)$ which is less than $C_2 h(n)$ we end up with equality 3. once simplified, (using $C_1 \cdot C_2 = C_0$) Therefore it becomes $f(n) \leq C_0 h(n)$ which holds for all $n \geq n_0$ as desired by the definition of Big-oh.

Optional Problem: (we will simplify $\log_2 = \log$) n for work.

Positive Integer constant $\sum_{i=1}^k \log(n^i) = \sum_{i=1}^k i \log(n) = \frac{k(k+1)}{2} \log(n)$

$$\frac{k(k+1)}{2} \log n \leq C_0 \cdot \log n, \text{ for } n \geq n_0$$

$$\log n \leq \left(\frac{C_0}{\frac{k(k+1)}{2}} \right) \log n$$

This can be

further simplified as a single constant C_1 .

Firstly we simplify the notation so we can apply big O to it, k is just the number of summands.

* we established a few things more on next page.

Page 5: $\log n \leq \frac{C_0}{\frac{k(k+1)}{2}} \log n.$

Once the value of K is chosen as a positive integer the term $C_0 / (k(k+1)/2)$ can be simplified to a single constant because it is a constant divided by a constant.

$$\log n \leq C_1 \log n.$$

Therefore we can now rewrite in the base of 2 that it was

$$\log_2 n \leq C_1 \log_2 n.$$

Now we need to find a C_1 and N_0 such that the above is true for all $n \geq N_0$.

Any $C_1 \geq 1$ will work because it is the same function on both sides. i.e. $x = x$; and N_0 would be $N_0 = 2$

$$C = 2$$

$$\therefore \log_2 n \leq \log_2 n \text{ for } N_0 = 2.$$

We can also now say that:

$$2 = \frac{C_0}{\frac{k(k+1)}{2}} \text{ from the earlier simplification statements.}$$