

<h1>1 Linear Algebra</h1> <h2>1.1 Plane equation</h2> <p>Assume that we know point, which belongs to plane $P_0 = (x_0, y_0, z_0)$ and we have a normal vector to the plane $\vec{n} = (a, b, c)$. Now assume that $P = (x, y, z)$ is any point in the plane. Define position vectors \vec{r}_0 and \vec{r} from our coord. system to points P_0 and P on the plane respectively. Vector $\vec{r}_0 - \vec{r}$ lies in our plane. Then $\vec{n} \cdot (\vec{r}_0 - \vec{r}) = 0$ is our plane equation.</p> <h2>1.2 Distance</h2> <p>Consider a line L in \mathbb{R}^2 given by the equation $L: \theta \cdot x + \theta_0 = 0$ where θ is a vector normal to the line L. Let the point P be the endpoint of a vector x_0 so the coordinates of P equal the components of x_0.</p> <p>The shortest distance d between the line L and the point P is:</p> $d = \frac{ \theta \cdot x_0 + \theta_0 }{\ \theta\ }$ <h2>2 Linear Classifier</h2> <p>Feature vectors x, labels y</p> $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ $y \in \{-1, 1\}$ <p>Training set</p> $S_n = \{(x^{(i)}, y^{(i)}), i = 1, \dots, n\}$ <p>Classifier</p> $h: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ $\chi^+ = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : h(x) = 1\}$ $\chi^- = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : h(x) = -1\}$ <p>Training error</p> $\varepsilon_n(h) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}[h(x^{(i)}) \neq y^{(i)}]$ <p>Test error (over disjoint set of examples)</p> $\varepsilon(h)$ <p>Set of classifiers</p> $H \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ <h2>2.1 Linear classifiers through origin</h2> <p>Set of all points that satisfies a line through the origin.</p> $\theta = \begin{bmatrix} \theta_1 \\ \theta_2 \end{bmatrix}$ $X = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}$ <p>Decision Boundary</p> $\{x: \theta_1 x_1 + \theta_2 x_2 = 0\}$ $\{x: \theta \cdot X = 0\}$ <p>Linear Classifier through origin</p> $h(x, \theta) = \text{sign}(\theta \cdot X)$ $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d$	<h2>2.2 Linear Classifier</h2> <p>General linear Classifier (with Intercept)</p> $\theta = \begin{bmatrix} \theta_1 \\ \theta_2 \end{bmatrix}$ $X = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}$ <p>Decision Boundary</p> $\{x: \theta \cdot X + \theta_0 = 0\}$ <p>Linear Classifier through origin</p> $h(x, \theta, \theta_0) = \text{sign}(\theta \cdot X + \theta_0)$ $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d$ $\theta_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ <h2>2.3 Linear Separation</h2> <p>Traning examples $S_n = \{(x^{(i)}, y^{(i)}), i = 1, \dots, n\}$ are linear separable if there exists a parameter vector $\hat{\theta}$ and offset parameter $\hat{\theta}_0$ such that $y^{(i)}(\hat{\theta} \cdot x^{(i)} + \hat{\theta}_0) > 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$.</p> $(\hat{\theta} \cdot x^{(i)}) > 0 \begin{cases} y^{(i)} > 0 \text{ and } \theta \cdot x^{(i)} > 0 \\ y^{(i)} < 0 \text{ and } \theta \cdot x^{(i)} < 0 \end{cases}$ $y^{(i)}(\theta \cdot x^{(i)}) > 0$ if label and classified result match. This leads to a new definition of the Training error : $\varepsilon_n(\theta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}\{y^{(i)}(\theta \cdot x^{(i)}) \leq 0\}$ $\varepsilon_n(\theta, \theta_0) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}\{y^{(i)}(\theta \cdot x^{(i)} + \theta_0) \leq 0\}$ <h2>2.4 Perceptron through Origin</h2> <p>Perceptron$\left(\{(x^{(i)}, y^{(i)}), i = 1, \dots, n\}, T\right)$:</p> <p>initialize $\theta = 0$ (vector);</p> <p>for $t = 1, \dots, T$ do</p> <p>for $i = 1, \dots, n$ do</p> <p>if $y^{(i)}(\theta \cdot x^{(i)}) \leq 0$ then</p> <p>update $\theta = \theta + y^{(i)} x^{(i)}$</p> <h2>2.5 Perceptron with Offset</h2> <p>Perceptron$\left(\{(x^{(i)}, y^{(i)}), i = 1, \dots, n\}, T\right)$:</p> <p>initialize $\theta = 0$ (vector); $\theta_0 = 0$ (scalar)</p> <p>for $t = 1, \dots, T$ do</p> <p>for $i = 1, \dots, n$ do</p> <p>if $y^{(i)}(\theta \cdot x^{(i)} + \theta_0) \leq 0$ then</p> <p>update $\theta = \theta + y^{(i)} x^{(i)}$</p> <p>update $\theta_0 = \theta_0 + y^{(i)}$</p> <h2>2.6 Margin Boundary</h2> <p>The Margin Boundary is the set of points x which satisfy $\theta \cdot x + \theta_0 = \pm 1$. So, the distance (with signed direction) from the decision boundary to the margin boundary is $\frac{1}{\ \theta\ }$.</p> $\frac{y^{(i)}(\theta \cdot x^{(i)} + \theta_0)}{\ \theta\ } = \frac{1}{\ \theta\ }$	<h2>3.4 Regression</h2> <p>Regression:</p> $y^{(t)} \in \mathbb{R}$ $f(x, \theta, \theta_0) = \sum_{i=1}^d (\theta_i x_i + \theta_0) = \theta \cdot x + \theta_0$ <h2>3.1 Objective for linear regression</h2> <p>The empirical risk R_n is defined as</p> $R_n(\theta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n \text{Loss}(y^{(t)} - \theta \cdot x^{(t)})$ <p>where $(x^{(t)}, y^{(t)})$ is the tth training example (and there are n in total), and Loss is some loss function, such as hinge loss.</p> <p>Possible to get closed form solution for gradient because function is concave. Only possible if the dxd matrix A is invertible. Computationally expensive if dimensions are very high like in bag of words approach.</p> $\nabla R_n(\theta) = A\theta - b = (0)$ $= A^{-1} b$ <p>where</p> $A = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n x^{(t)} (x^{(t)})^T$ $b = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n y^{(t)} x^{(t)}$ <p>b is a vector with dimensionality d.</p> <h2>3.2 Gradient based Approach</h2> <p>Nudge gradient in the opposite direction to find (local) minima.</p> $\nabla_{\theta} (y^{(t)} - \theta x^{(t)})^2 / 2 = (y^{(t)} - \theta x^{(t)}) \nabla_{\theta} (y^{(t)} - \theta x^{(t)}) = -(y^{(t)} - \theta x^{(t)}) \cdot x^{(t)}$ <ul style="list-style-type: none"> initialize $\theta = 0$ randomly pick $t = \{1, \dots, n\}$ $\theta = \theta + \eta (y^{(t)} - \theta x^{(t)}) \cdot x^{(t)}$ <p>Where η is the learning rate (steps) and the learning rate gets smaller the closer you get $\eta_k = \frac{1}{1+k}$</p> <h2>3.3 Source of mistakes:</h2> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> structural mistakes Maybe the linear function is not sufficient for you to model your training data. Maybe the mapping between your training vectors and y's is actually highly nonlinear. Instead of just considering linear mappings, you should consider a much broader set of function. This is one class of mistakes. estimation mistakes The mapping itself is indeed linear, but we don't have enough training data to estimate the parameters correctly. 	<h2>3.4 Radial Basis Kernels</h2> <p>Regularization is trying to push away from perfect fit.</p> $K(x, x') = e^{-\gamma \ x - x'\ ^2}$ <p>RBF founds SVM(?) classifier in infinite dimension space. γ is tuned parameter searched in cross-validation. γ - scale squared distance, scale influence.</p> <h2>4 Recommender Systems</h2> <h3>4.1 K nearest neighbors</h3> <p>The K-Nearest Neighbor method makes use of ratings by K other "similar" users when predicting Y_{ai}.</p> <p>Let $KNN(a)$ be the set of K users "similar" to user a, and let $\text{sim}(a, b)$ be a similarity measure between users a and $b \in KNN(a)$. The K-Nearest Neighbor method predicts a ranking Y_{ai} to be:</p> $\hat{Y}_{ai} = \frac{\sum_{b \in KNN(a)} \text{sim}(a, b) Y_{bi}}{\sum_{b \in KNN(a)} \text{sim}(a, b)}$ <p>The similarity measure $\text{sim}(a, b)$ could be any distance function between the feature vectors x_a and x_b of users a and b, e.g. the euclidean distance $\ x_a - x_b\$ and the cosine similarity $\cos \theta = \frac{x_a \cdot x_b}{\ x_a\ \ x_b\ }$.</p> <h3>4.2 Collaborative Filtering</h3> <p>Matrix Y with n rows (users) and m columns (Movies) is sparse (entries missing), (a, i)th entry Y_{ai} is the rating by user a of movie i if this rating has already been given, and blank if not. Goal is to predict matrix X with no missing entries.</p> <p>Let D be the set of all (a, i)'s for which a user rating Y_{ai} exists, i.e. $(a, i) \in D$ if and only if the rating of user a to movie i exists.</p> $J = \sum_{(a,i) \in D} \frac{(Y_{ai} - [UV^T]_{ai})^2}{2} + \frac{\lambda}{2} \left(\sum_{a,k} U_{ak}^2 + \sum_{i,k} V_{ik}^2 \right)$ $u = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{bmatrix}; v = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ v_3 \end{bmatrix}$ <p>Example:</p> $v = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 7 \\ 8 \end{bmatrix}$ $uv^T = \begin{bmatrix} 2u_1 & 7u_1 & 8u_1 \\ 2u_2 & 7u_2 & 8u_2 \end{bmatrix}$ <p>Take derivative of Objective function J with respect to every user, set it to zero and find respective u_i value:</p> $\frac{d}{du_1}(J) = \frac{d}{du_1} \left(\frac{(7-8u_1)^2}{2} + \frac{\lambda}{2} u_1^2 \right) = 0$ $\frac{d}{du_2}(J) = 0$ $u_1 = \frac{66}{\lambda + 68}; u_2 = \frac{16}{\lambda + 53}$
--	--	---	---

Use resulting values for u to compute u^T compare resulting matrix X with matrix Y and start again. Continue until convergence.

5 Clustering

Two Views:

Clustering input: $S_n = \{x^{(i)} | i = 1, \dots, n\}$
 Clustering output are indexes for the data that partition the data: C_1, \dots, C_k ; where $C_i \cup C_2 \cup \dots \cup C_k = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and the union of all C_j 's is the original set and the intersection of any C_i and C_j is an empty set.
 Representatives of clusters: $z^{(1)}, \dots, z^{(k)}$.

Cost of partitioning is the sum of costs of individual clusters: $cost(C_1, \dots, C_k) = \sum_{j=1}^k cost(C_j)$.

Cost of cluster is sum of distances from data points to the representative of the cluster: $Cost(C, z) = \sum_{i \in C} distance(x^{(i)}, z)$

Cosine similarity: $cos(x^{(i)}, x^{(j)}) = \frac{x^{(i)} \cdot x^{(j)}}{\|x^{(i)}\| \|x^{(j)}\|}$ is not sensitive of magnitude of vector (will not react to length).

Euclidean square distance: $dist(x^{(i)}, x^{(j)}) = \|x^{(i)} - x^{(j)}\|^2$. Will react to length.

$$cost(C_1, \dots, C_k; z^{(1)}, \dots, z^{(k)}) = \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{i \in C_j} \|x^{(i)} - z^{(j)}\|^2$$

5.1 The K-Means Algorithm

Only works with Euclidean square distance.
 Given a set of feature vectors $S_n = \{x^{(i)} | i = 1, \dots, n\}$ and the number of clusters K we can find cluster assignments C_1, \dots, C_K and the representatives of each of the K clusters z_1, \dots, z_K :

1. Randomly select z_1, \dots, z_K
2. Iterate

- (a) Given z_1, \dots, z_K , assign each data point $x^{(i)}$ to the closest z_j , so that $Cost(z_1, \dots, z_K) = \sum_{i=1}^n \min_{j=1, \dots, K} \|x^{(i)} - z_j\|^2$
- (b) Given C_1, \dots, C_K find the best representatives z_1, \dots, z_K , i.e. find z_1, \dots, z_K such that $z_j = \arg \min_z \sum_{i \in C_j} \|x^{(i)} - z\|^2$

The best representative is found by optimization (gradient with respect to $z^{(j)}$, setting to zero and solving for $z^{(j)}$). It is the centroid of the cluster: $z^{(j)} = \frac{\sum_{i \in C_j} x^{(i)}}{|C_j|}$

The clustering output that the K-Means algorithm converges to depends on the initialization. In KM the fact that the z 's are actually not guaranteed to be the members of the original set of points x

5.2 K-Medoids Algorithm

Finds the cost-minimizing representatives z_1, \dots, z_K for any distance measure. Uses real data points for initialization.

1. Randomly select $\{z_1, \dots, z_K\} \subseteq \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$
2. Iterate

- (a) Given z_1, \dots, z_K , assign each data point $x^{(i)}$ to the closest z_j , so that $Cost(z_1, \dots, z_K) = \sum_{i=1}^n \min_{j=1, \dots, K} \|x^{(i)} - z_j\|^2$
- (b) Given $C_j \in \{C_1, \dots, C_K\}$ find the best representative $z_j \in \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ such that $\sum_{x^{(i)} \in C_j} dist(x^{(i)}, z_j)$ is minimal

5.3 some useful notes:

measure of the cluster heterogeneity: cluster diameter (the distance between the most extreme feature vectors, i.e. the outliers), the average distance, the sum of the distances between every member and z_j , the representative vector of cluster C_j .

6 Generative Models

Understand structure of data probabilistically.

Generative models model the probability distribution of each class. **Discriminative models** learn the decision boundary between the classes.

In other words – **generative model** learns the joint probability distribution $p(x, y)$ and a **discriminative model** learns the conditional probability distribution $p(y|x)$.

6.1 Multinomial Models

Fixed Vocabulary W

Multinomial model M to generate text in documents.

Document D

Likelihood of generating certain word $w \in W$: $p(w|\theta) = \theta_w$ where $\theta_w \geq 0$ and $\sum_{w \in W} \theta_w = 1$.

Likelihood function:

$$P(D|\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \theta_{w_i} = \prod_{w \in W} \theta_w^{count(w)}$$

Toy Example:

$$\theta_1 : \theta_{cat} = 0.3; \theta_{dog} = 0.7$$

$$\theta_2 : \theta_{cat} = 0.9; \theta_{dog} = 0.1$$

$$D = \{cat, cat, dog\}$$

$$P(D|\theta_1) = 0.3^2 \cdot 0.7 = 0.063$$

$$P(D|\theta_2) = 0.9^2 \cdot 0.1 = 0.081$$

Maximum likelihood:

$$\max_{\theta} P(D|\theta) = \max_{\theta} \prod_{w \in W} \theta_w^{count(w)}$$

$$\log \prod_{i=1}^n \theta_w^{count(w)} = \sum_{w \in W} count(w) \log(\theta_w)$$

$$W = \{0, 1\}; \theta_0 = \theta; \theta_1 = (1 - \theta)$$

$$\frac{d}{d\theta} (count(0) \log(\theta) + count(1) \log(1 - \theta)) = \frac{count(0)}{\theta} - \frac{count(1)}{1 - \theta} = 0$$

$$\hat{\theta} = \frac{count(0)}{count(1) + count(0)}$$

For any length of W :

$$\hat{\theta} = \frac{count(w)}{\sum_{w' \in W} count(w')}$$

6.2 Prediction

Goal: categorize between minus and plus class. Both classes have a associated parameter θ^+ and θ^-

Class conditional distribution:

$$\log\left(\frac{P(D|\theta^+)}{P(D|\theta^-)}\right) = \begin{cases} \geq 0, & \\ < 0, & \end{cases}$$

Model is the same as a linear classifier through origin:

$$\begin{aligned} \log(P(D|\theta^+)) - \log(P(D|\theta^-)) &= \log \prod_{w \in W} \theta_w^{+count(w)} - \log \prod_{w \in W} \theta_w^{-count(w)} = \\ &= \sum_{w \in W} count(w) \log(\theta_w^{+count(w)}) - \sum_{w \in W} count(w) \log(\theta_w^{-count(w)}) = \\ &= \sum_{w \in W} count(w) \log \frac{\theta_w^{+count(w)}}{\theta_w^{-count(w)}} = \\ &= \sum_{w \in W} count(w) \theta_w \end{aligned}$$

6.3 Prior, Posterior and Likelihood

From bayes rule $P(A|B) = \frac{P(B|A)P(A)}{P(B)}$ we get:

$$P(y = +|D) = \frac{P(D|\theta^+)P(y = +)}{P(D)}$$

Where $P(y = +|D)$ is the posterior distribution and $P(y = +)$ is the prior distribution while $P(D|\theta^+)$ is the likelihood of document D given parameter θ^+ . This yields (after some work) a linear separator with offset:

$$\begin{aligned} \log\left(\frac{P(y = +|D)}{P(y = -|D)}\right) &= \frac{P(D|\theta^+)P(y = +)}{P(D|\theta^-)P(y = -)} \\ &= \log\left(\frac{P(D|\theta^+)}{P(D|\theta^-)}\right) + \log\left(\frac{P(y = +)}{P(y = -)}\right) \\ &= \sum_{w \in W} count(w) \bar{\theta}_w + \bar{\theta}_0 \end{aligned}$$

We actually see here a linear classifier, with an offset, and the offset itself would be actually guided by our prior(s).

6.4 Gaussian Generative models

A latent variable model is a probability model for which certain variables are never observed (cluster assignment probs.).

A probability density $p(x)$ represents a mixture distribution or mixture model, if we can write it as a convex combination of probability densities. That is, $p(x) = \sum_{i=1}^k w_i p_i(x)$, where $w_i \geq 0$ and sum to 1, and each p_i is probability density. So, we say that x has mixture distr.

Math prerequisites for EM:

- 1) Jensen's Inequality (convex case): $E(f(x)) \geq f(E(x))$, for concave sign is reversed;
- 2) KL-Divergence properties, namely $KL(q||p) \geq 0$

Vectors in $x \in R^d$ "cloud" of data in which μ (average over all points) is the center of the cloud and σ^2 (square of average distance) the radius.

Probability of x generated by gaussian cloud:

$$P(x|\mu, \sigma^2) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{d/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \|x - \mu\|^2\right)$$

Likelihood of the training data: $S_n = \{x^{(i)} | i = 1, \dots, n\}$ given the gaussian model $p(S_n|\mu, \sigma^2) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(x^{(i)}|\mu, \sigma^2)$.

To get the MLE calculate likelihood, take the log and massage:

$$\begin{aligned} \log\left(\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{d/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \|x - \mu\|^2\right)\right) &= \\ = \sum_{i=1}^n \log \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} + \sum_{i=1}^n \log\left(\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \|x - \mu\|^2\right)\right) &= \\ = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(-\frac{d}{2} \log(2\pi\sigma^2)\right) + \sum_{i=1}^n \left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \|x - \mu\|^2\right) &= \\ = -\frac{nd}{2} \log(2\pi\sigma^2) + \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \|x - \mu\|^2 &= L \end{aligned}$$

Differentiate loglikelihood with respect to μ and σ^2 set to zero and solve for the respective parameters yields:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mu} &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x^{(i)}}{n} \\ \hat{\sigma}^2 &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \|x^{(i)} - \mu\|^2}{nd} \end{aligned}$$

6.5 Gaussian Mixture Models

Is called "Soft Clustering" because it deals with probabilities not hard classification.

We have K clusters, each with own gaussian cloud $N(x, \mu^{(j)}, \sigma_{(j)}^2)$, $j = 1, \dots, K$.

Each Cluster gets own mixture-weight $j \sim Multinomial(p_1, \dots, p_K)$
 Parameters of the mixture model are parameters of Multinomials and gaussians:

$$\theta = p_1, \dots, p_K; \mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(k)}; \sigma_{(j)}^2, \dots, \sigma_{(j)}^2$$

Conditional probability of data-point given gaussian mixture:

6.6 Markov decision process (MDP) is defined by

$$P(x|\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^K p_j N(x, \mu^{(j)}, \sigma_{(j)}^2)$$

Note, that $N(x, \mu^{(j)}, \sigma_{(j)}^2)$ is pdf!!!

Conditional Likelihood of Training set S_n given gaussian mixture:

$$L(S_n|\theta) = \prod_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^k N(x, \mu^{(j)}, \sigma_{(j)}^2)$$

Observed Case:

We know to which mixture $x^{(i)}$ belongs.

Indicator Variable is used to count the cases in which observation is part of a cluster $\delta(j|i) = \mathbf{1}(x^{(i)} \text{ is assigned to } j)$.

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \left[\sum_{j=1}^k \delta(j|i) \log(p_j N(x, \mu^{(j)}, \sigma_{(j)}^2)) \right] =$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^k \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \delta(j|i) \log(p_j N(x, \mu^{(j)}, \sigma_{(j)}^2)) \right]$$

Optimizing (according to MLE principle) yields:

$$\hat{n}_j = \sum_{i=1}^n \delta(j|i)$$

$$\hat{p}_j = \frac{\hat{n}_j}{n}$$

$$\hat{\mu}^{(j)} = \frac{1}{\hat{n}_j} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta(j|i) \cdot x^{(i)}$$

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{\hat{n}_j} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta(j|i) \|x^{(i)} - \mu^{(j)}\|^2$$

EM Algorithm (Unobserved Case):
 We don't know to which mixture $x^{(i)}$ belongs. But what we want is having observed point i , what is prob. $p(j|x^{(i)})$ that this was generated by cluster j ?

1. Randomly initialize $\theta = p_1, \dots, p_K; \mu^{(1)}, \dots, \mu^{(k)}; \sigma_{(j)}^2, \dots, \sigma_{(j)}^2$
2. E-Step:

- (a) Calculate the softcount of a point (the probability of a cluster j given the point i : $p(j|x^{(i)}) = \frac{p_j \cdot N(x^{(i)}; \mu^{(j)}, \sigma_{(j)}^2)}{\sum_{j=1}^K p_j N(x, \mu^{(j)}, \sigma_{(j)}^2)}$, where $\frac{p(x^{(i)}|\theta)}{P(x|\theta)} =$

3. M-Step

- (a) Use softcounts to calculate new parameters.

$$\hat{n}_j = \sum_{i=1}^n p(j|i)$$

$$\hat{p}_j = \frac{\hat{n}_j}{n}$$

$$\hat{\mu}^{(j)} = \frac{1}{\hat{n}_j} \sum_{i=1}^n p(j|i) \cdot x^{(i)}$$

$$\hat{\sigma}_j^2 = \frac{1}{\hat{n}_j} \sum_{i=1}^n p(j|i) (x^{(i)} - \mu^{(j)})^2$$

A **Markov decision process (MDP)** is defined by

a set of states $s \in S$ a set of actions $a \in A$;
 Action dependent transition probabilities $T(s, a, s') = P(s'|s, a)$, so that for each state s and action a , $\sum_{s' \in S} T(s, a, s') = 1$.

Reward functions $R(s, a, s')$ representing the reward for starting in state s , taking action a and ending up in state s' after one step. (The reward function may also depend only on s , or only s and a .)

Therefore a Markov decision process is defined by $MDP = \langle S, A, T, R \rangle$ MDPs satisfy the Markov property in that the transition probabilities and rewards depend only on the current state and action, and remain unchanged regardless of the history (i.e. past states and actions) that leads to the current state.

Rewards collected after the n th step do not depend on the previous states s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{n-1}

Markov properties:

Rewards collected after the n th step do not depend on the previous actions a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n

(Infinite horizon) discounted reward based utility

$$\begin{aligned} U[s_0, s_1, \dots] &= R(s_0) + \gamma R(s_1) + \gamma^2 R(s_2) \dots = \\ &= \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \gamma^t R(s_t) \text{ where } 0 \leq \gamma < 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \frac{R_{max}}{\gamma}$$

Bellman Equations

$$V^*(s) = \max_a \sum_{s'} T(s, a, s') [R(s, a, s') + \gamma V^*(s')]$$

$$Q^*(s, a) = \sum_{s'} T(s, a, s') [R(s, a, s') + \gamma V^*(s')]$$

Q-value: $Q(s, a)$ in state s take action a and act optimally afterwards.

Policy $\pi^* : s \rightarrow a$ is set of actions to maximize the expected reward for every state s .

$$\pi^*(s) = \arg \max_a (Q^*(s, a))$$

$$Q^*(s, a) = \sum_{s'} T(s, a, s') [R(s, a, s') + \gamma \max_{a'} Q(s', a')]$$

To find the policy two algos: Value iteration and Q-value iteration (look online).

6.7 Q value iteration by sampling

7 Neural networks

7.1 activation functions

Common kind of activation functions:

1. **linear**. Used typically at the very end, before measuring the loss of the predictor
2. **relu** ("rectified linear unit"): $f(x) = \max(0, x)$

3. **tanh** (“hyperbolic tangent”):

$$\tanh(x) = \frac{e^x - e^{-x}}{e^x + e^{-x}} = 1 - \frac{2}{e^{2x} + 1}$$
4. **sigmoid** : $\sigma(x) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-x}}$

7.2 Definitions

1. **Width** (of the layer): number of units in that specific layer
2. **Depth** (of the architecture): number of layers of the overall transformation before arriving to the final output

7.3 Back-prop,SGD

Update rule for parameter w_i in our NN:
 $w_i \leftarrow w_i - \eta \cdot \nabla_{w_i} \mathcal{L}$, where η is learning rate, \mathcal{L} our loss fn.

7.4 RNNs

As discussed in the lecture, an inconvenient aspect of feed-forward networks is that we have to manually engineer how history is mapped to a feature vector (representation). However, in fact, this mapping into feature vectors (encoding) is also what we would like to learn. RNN’s learn the encoding into a feature vector, unlike feed-forward networks. In RNN’s, input is received at each layer, unlike typical feed-forward networks. Also, usually each word of the sentence is received as an input at each layer of the RNN.

1. **Encoding** – e.g., mapping a sequence to a vector
2. **Decoding** – e.g., mapping a vector to, e.g., a sequence before arriving to the final output

Basic RNN

$$s_t = \tanh(W^{s,s}s_{t-1} + W^{s,x}x_t)$$

Simple gated RNN

$$g_t = \text{sigmoid}(W^{g,s}s_{t-1} + W^{g,x}x_t)$$

$$s_t = (1 - g_t) \circ s_{t-1} + g_t \circ \tanh(W^{s,s}s_{t-1} + W^{s,x}x_t)$$

LSTM

Well-suited to classifying, processing and making predictions based on time series data, since there can be lags of unknown duration between important events in a time series and have the following gates defined:
 $f_t = \tanh(W^{f,h}h_{t-1} + W^{f,x}x_t)$ – forget gate
 $i_t = \tanh(W^{i,h}h_{t-1} + W^{i,x}x_t)$ – input gate
 $o_t = \tanh(W^{o,h}h_{t-1} + W^{o,x}x_t)$ – output gate
 $c_t = f_t \circ c_{t-1} + i_t \circ \tanh(W^{c,h}h_{t-1} + W^{c,x}x_t)$ – memory cell
 $h_t = o_t \circ \tanh(c_t)$ – visible state

The input, forget, and output gates control respectively how to read information into the memory cell, how to forget information that we’ve had previously, and how to output information from the memory cell into a visible form. The “state” is now represented collectively by the memory cell c_t (sometimes indicated as long-term memory) and its “visible” state h_t (sometimes indicated as working memory or hidden state).

7.5 Markov models