"Bayesian Latent Class Models to evaluate diagnostic tests in the absence of a gold standard" Day 1

Training workshop Zurich
Sonja Hart5nack & Valerie Hungerbühler

Welcome

• Introduce yourself please

Add info on country of origin, mr/doct/prof

Self-assessment

Intro cost action

Date	Start	End	Speaker(s) Title		
Wednesday 14 July 2021			Lecture Room Y17-M-05		
	9:00	10:15	Sonja Hartnack & Valerie Hungerbühler	Welcome & Introduction	
	10:15	11:15	Sonja Hartnack	Brief historical sketch of BLCMs	
	11:15	11:45	Coffee break		
	11:45	12:20	Sonja Hartnack & Valerie Hungerbühler	Hands-on Hui-Walter models I	
	12:20	12:55	Sonja Hartnack	Hands-on Hui-Walter models II	
	12:55	14:00	Lunch Break		
	14:00	14:30	Sonja Hartnack	Conditional dependencies	
	14:30	15:00	Sonja Hartnack & Valerie Hungerbühler	Hands-on exercises	
	15:00	15:30	Sonja Hartnack & Valerie Hungerbühler	Hands-on exercises	
	15:30	16:00	Coffee break		
	16:00	16:30	Sonja Hartnack & HARMONY consortium	Question rounds to core group experts	
	16:30	17:00	Sonja Hartnack	MCMC modeling	

House keeping notes

Information for Guests

The University of Zurich provides several options for our guests to connect to the Internet:

1. eduroam WLAN

Most universities and research institutions use eduroam. Members of such institutions have Internet access in the public areas of UZH via the eduroam WLAN network. We recommend testing eduroam access at your home university in advance to ensure that the configuration is correct.

2. Internet Access for Guests via UZH WLAN

As a guest at UZH, you can access the Internet everywhere where there is WLAN access: Simply select the uzh-guest WLAN network. After doing so, accept the Terms of Service and fill in the registration form with your mobile phone number. You will subsequently receive an access code by text message, which allows you to unlock Internet access.

This option is available for all cell phone carriers that allow the receiving of SMS in Switzerland.

Historical sketch LCM

• 1980 Hui-Walter paradigm

BIOMETRICS 36, 167-171 March, 1980

Estimating the Error Rates of Diagnostic Tests

Two tests, one population

Population 1

		T2+	T2-	
D+	T1+	P1*Se1*Se2	P1*Se1*(1-Se2)	
	T1-	P1*(1-Se1)*Se2	P1*(1-Se1)*(1-Se2)	
		T2+	T2-	
D-	T1+	(1-P1)*(1-Sp1)*(1-Sp2)	(1-P1)*(1-Sp1)*Sp2	
	T1-	(1-P1)*Sp1*(1-Sp2)	(1-P1)*Sp1*Sp2	

Two tests, one population

Population 1

```
T1+T2+: P1*Se1*Se2+(1-P1)*(1-Sp1)*(1-Sp2)

T1+T2-: P1*Se1*(1-Se2)+(1-P1)*(1-Sp1)*Sp2

T1-T2+: P1*(1-Se1)*Se2+(1-P1)*Sp1*(1-Sp2)

T1-T2-: P1*(1-Se1)*(1-Se2)+(1-P1)*Sp1*Sp2
```

- 5 parameter and 3 degrees of freedom
 - Non identifiable model

Two tests, two populations

Population 1

T1+T2+: P1*Se1*Se2+(1-P1)*(1-Sp1)*(1-Sp2)

T1+T2-: P1*Se1*(1-Se2)+(1-P1)*(1-Sp1)*Sp2

T1-T2+: P1*(1-Se1)*Se2+(1-P1)*Sp1*(1-Sp2)

T1-T2-: P1*(1-Se1)*(1-Se2)+(1-P1)*Sp1*Sp2

Population 2

T1+T2+: P2*Se1*Se2+(1-P2)*(1-Sp1)*(1-Sp2)

T1+T2-: P2*Se1*(1-Se2)+(1-P2)*(1-Sp1)*Sp2

T1-T2+: P2*(1-Se1)*Se2+(1-P2)*Sp1*(1-Sp2)

T1-T2-: P2*(1-Se1)*(1-Se2)+(1-P2)*Sp1*Sp2



Identifiable model!



Hui-Walter Paradigm (1980)
$$S \ge \frac{1980}{(2^{R-1}-1)}$$

S: Populations, R: Tests

Assumptions

- 1. The population is divided into two or more populations in which two or more tests are evaluated, $\frac{D(T+|T+1)}{D(T+|T+1)}$
- 2. sensitivity and specificity are the same in all populations.
- 3. The tests are conditionally independent given the disease status.

Historical sketch LCM

BIOMETRICS 36, 167-171 March, 1980

Estimating the Error Rates of Diagnostic Tests

- 1980 Hui-Walter paradigma
- 1985 Vacek The effect of conditional dependence on the evaluation of diagnostic tests

TABLE 2. Maximum Number of Estimable Parameters and Number of Parameters to Be Estimated in the Absence of Conditional Independence and Under Conditional Independence as a Function of the Number of Tests per Subject

Number of Tests	Maximum Number of Estimable Parameters	Parameters to be Estimated Under Conditional Dependence	Parameters to Be Estimated Under Conditional Independence
1	1	3	3
2	3	7	5
3	7	15	7
4	15	31	9
5	31	63	11
h	$2^{h} - 1$	$2^{h+1}-1$	2h + 1

Berkvens D et al. (2006) Estimating Disease Prevalence in a Bayesian Framework Using Probabilistic Constraints.

doi: 10.1097/01.ede.0000198422.64801.8d

Historical sketch BLCM

- 1985 Vacek The effect of conditional dependence on the evaluation of diagnostic tests
- 1995 Joseph et al. Bayesian estimation of disease prevalence and the parameters of diagnostic tests in the absence of a gold standard

BIOMETRICS 36, 167-171 March, 1980

Estimating the Error Rates of Diagnostic Tests

Estimating the Error Rates of Diagnostic Tests

S. L. Hui¹ and S. D. Walter

1985 Vacek The effect of conditional dependence on the evaluation of diagnostic tests

1995 Joseph et al. Bayesian estimation of disease prevalence and the parameters of diagnostic tests in the absence of a gold standard

prior beta distributions

prevalence

$$\pi = P(D)$$

sensitivity

$$\eta_i = P(+|D,T_i)$$

specificity

$$\theta_i = P(-|\overline{D}, T_i)$$

$$\pi \sim Beta(a_{\pi}, b_{\pi})$$

$$\eta_i \sim Beta(a_{\eta_i}, b_{\eta_i})$$

$$\theta_i \sim Beta(a_{\theta_i}, b_{\theta_i})$$

Historical sketch BLCM

Estimating the Error Rates of Diagnostic Tests

- 1980 Hui-Walter paradigm
- 1985 Vacek The effect of conditional dependence on the evaluation of diagnostic tests
- 1995 Joseph et al. Bayesian estimation of disease prevalence and the parameters of diagnostic tests in the absence of a gold standard
- 1997 Bayesian Using Gibbs Sampling (BUGS)

Historical sketch BLCM

Estimating the Error Rates of Diagnostic Tests

- 1980 Hui-Walter paradigm
- 1985 Vacek The effect of conditional dependence on the evaluation of diagnostic tests
- 1995 Joseph et al. Bayesian estimation of disease prevalence and the parameters of diagnostic tests in the absence of a gold standard
- 1997 Bayesian Using Gibbs Sampling (BUGS)
- 2000 Enoe et al. Estimation of sensitivity and specificity of diagnostic tests and disease prevalence when the true disease is unknown

Historical sketch BLCM

Estimating the Error Rates of Diagnostic Tests

- 1980 Hui-Walter paradigm
- 1985 Vacek The effect of conditional dependence on the evaluation of diagnostic tests
- 1995 Joseph et al. Bayesian estimation of disease prevalence and the parameters of diagnostic tests in the absence of a gold standard
- 1997 Bayesian Using Gibbs Sampling (BUGS)
- 2000 Enoe et al. Estimation of sensitivity and specificity of diagnostic tests and disease prevalence when the true disease is unknown
- 2005 **OpenBUGS**
- 2007 Plummer Just another Gibbs sampler (JAGS)

Historical sketch BLCM

Estimating the Error Rates of Diagnostic Tests

- 1980 Hui-Walter paradigm
- 1985 Vacek The effect of conditional dependence on the evaluation of diagnostic tests
- 1995 Joseph et al. Bayesian estimation of disease prevalence and the parameters of diagnostic tests in the absence of a gold standard
- 1997 Bayesian Using Gibbs Sampling (BUGS)
- 2000 Enoe et al. Estimation of sensitivity and specificity of diagnostic tests and disease prevalence when the true disease is unknown
- 2005 OpenBUGS
- 2007 Plummer Just another Gibbs sampler (JAGS)
- 2007 Toft et al. Assessing the convergence of Markov Chain Monte Carlo methods: an example from evaluation of diagnostic tests in absence of a gold standard

Historical sketch BLCM

Estimating the Error Rates of Diagnostic Tests

- 1980 Hui-Walter paradigm
- 1985 Vacek The effect of conditional dependence on the evaluation of diagnostic tests
- 1995 Joseph et al. Bayesian estimation of disease prevalence and the parameters of diagnostic tests in the absence of a gold standard
- 1997 Bayesian Using Gibbs Sampling (BUGS)
- 2000 Enoe et al. Estimation of sensitivity and specificity of diagnostic tests and disease prevalence when the true disease is unknown
- 2005 OpenBUGS
- 2007 Plummer Just another Gibbs sampler (JAGS)
- 2007 Toft et al. Assessing the convergence of Markov Chain Monte Carlo methods: an example from evaluation of diagnostic tests in absence of a gold standard
- 2017 Kostoulas et al. STARD-BLCM: Standards for the Reporting of Diagnostic accuracy studies that use Bayesian Latent Class Models

Historical sketch BLCM

Estimating the Error Rates of Diagnostic Tests

- 1980 Hui-Walter paradigm
- 1985 Vacek The effect of conditional dependence on the evaluation of diagnostic tests
- 1995 Joseph et al. Bayesian estimation of disease prevalence and the parameters of diagnostic tests in the absence of a gold standard
- 1997 Bayesian Using Gibbs Sampling (BUGS)
- 2000 Enoe et al. Estimation of sensitivity and specificity of diagnostic tasts and disease provalence when the true disease is unknown OIE Manual of Diagnostic Tests and Vaccines for Terrestrial Animals endorsed BLCM (2016)
- 2005 OpenBUGS
- 2007 Plummer Just another Gibbs sampler (JAGS)
- 2007 Toft et al. Assessing the convergence of Markov Chain Monte Carlo methods: an example from evaluation of diagnostic tests in absence of a gold standard
- 2017 Kostoulas et al. STARD-BLCM: Standards for the Reporting of Diagnostic accuracy studies that use Bayesian Latent Class Models