

ASSIGNMENT 3

GROUP MEMBERS:

1. SHARVI NEGI
2. RIYA BHATNAGAR

HASH FUNCTION

$$H(S) = (c_0 + c_1 * p + c_2 * p^2 + \dots + c_{\{n-1\}} * p^{\{n-1\}}) \bmod m$$

Where:

- $H(S)$ is the hash value of string S .
- c_i represents the ASCII value of the i th character in the string.
- p is a chosen prime number.
- m is a chosen large prime number for modulo operation.
- n is the length of the string.

WHY MORE EFFICIENT

1. Horner's Method Utilization:

- Employing Horner's method reduces the number of multiplications and modulus operations, streamlining the hash calculation process.

2. Fewer Operations:

- With fewer multiplications and modulus operations, the algorithm's computational overhead is reduced, leading to faster execution.

3. Mitigated Risk of Overflow:

- By minimizing the number of operations, the risk of overflow is mitigated, ensuring the resulting hash values remain within a manageable range.

4. Enhanced Performance:

- The streamlined hash calculation process enhances the algorithm's overall performance, particularly in pattern matching tasks, where efficiency is crucial.

SOLVED EXAMPLE

Rabin-Karp Algorithm for Substring Search

1. Initialize Parameters:

- Large String: "thequickbrownfoxjumpsoverthelazydog"
- Substring: "brown"
- Polynomial Hash Function:

$$H(S) = (c_0 + c_1 * p + c_2 * p^2 + \dots + c_{\{n-1\}} * p^{\{n-1\}}) \bmod m$$

S: Substring we're considering

c_i : ASCII value of the i^{th} character of the substring

$$p = 31, m = 1000$$

2. Calculate Hash Value of Substring "brown":

- ASCII values:
 - 'b': 98, 'r': 114, 'o': 111, 'w': 119, 'n': 110
- Using the polynomial hash function:

$$H(\text{Substring}) = (98 + 114 * 31 + 111 * 31^2 + 119 * 31^3 + 110 * 31^4) \bmod 1000$$

$$H(\text{Substring}) = 149393157 \bmod 1000 = 157$$

3. Search Process:

- Start with First Substring of Length 5:
 - Substring: "thequ"
 - Calculate hash value using the polynomial hash function.
 - Compare hash value with the target hash value (157).

- Continue with Next Substring:
- Shift one character to the right and recalculate the hash value.
- Compare hash value with the target hash value (157).
- Repeat Until Match Found or All Substrings Checked.

4. Efficiency:

- The polynomial hash function ensures constant time computation of hash values.
- Comparing hash values reduces time complexity compared to character-by-character comparison.
- This approach is especially efficient for large strings or repeated substring searches.