# Test Report: Unit Verification and Validation Plan for Sun Catcher

Sharon (Yu-Shiuan) Wu

December 21, 2019

# 1 Revision History

| Date       | Version | Notes         |
|------------|---------|---------------|
| 2019/12.21 | 1.0     | First Version |
| Date 2     | 1.1     | Notes         |

## 2 Symbols, Abbreviations and Acronyms

| symbol | description |
|--------|-------------|
| Т      | Test        |

<sup>[</sup>symbols, abbreviations or acronyms – you can reference the SRS tables if needed —SS]

## Contents

| 1                | Revision History                                    | 1                     |
|------------------|---|-----------------------|
| 2                | Symbols, Abbreviations and Acronyms                 |                       |
| 3                | Functional Requirements Evaluation                  |                       |
| 4                | Nonfunctional Requirements Evaluation 4.1 Usability | <b>5</b> 6 6 6        |
| 5                | Comparison to Existing Implementation               | 6                     |
| 6                | Unit Testing  | 6                     |
| 7                | Changes Due to Testing                              | 6                     |
| 8                | Automated Testing                                   | 6                     |
| 9                | Trace to Requirements                               | 6                     |
| 10               | Trace to Modules                                    | 6                     |
| 11               | Code Coverage Metrics                               | 6                     |
| $\mathbf{L}_{i}$ | ist of Tables                                       |                       |
|                  | 1 Actual Input and Expected Output                  | 1<br>2<br>3<br>4<br>4 |
|                  | o Actual Input and Expected Output                  | 4                     |

# List of Figures

This document is the unit testing report for the Sun Catcher

### 3 Functional Requirements Evaluation

#### 1. InputBounds-id1

This test is testing the ability of identify the boundary of  $\Phi_P$ . This result will be display in the ternimal when run the testing.

| id    | Input | Output  |
|-------|-------|---------|
| id1.1 | 90    | valid   |
| id1.2 | -90   | valid   |
| id1.3 | 89.9  | valid   |
| id1.4 | -89.9 | valid   |
| id1.5 | 0     | valid   |
| id1.6 | 91    | invalid |
| id1.7 | -91   | invalid |
| id1.8 | 90.1  | invalid |
| id1.9 | -90.1 | invalid |

Table 1: Actual Input and Expected Output

```
Unit tests
    InputBounds tests id1
    id1_1: OK
    id1_2: OK
    id1_3: OK
    id1_4: OK
    id1_5: OK
    id1_6: OK
    id1_7: OK
    id1_7: OK
    id1_8: OK
    id1_9: OK
```

The result of the test shows that every test cases under Input Boundsid1 has matched to its expected output. Therefore, the test case success.

#### 2. InputBounds-id2

This test is testing the ability of identify the input cases day T,  $(year_{Start}, month_{Start}, day_{Start})$ , whether or not exit in the calender. This result will be display in the ternimal when run the testing.

| id    | Input          | Output    |
|-------|----------------|-----------|
| id2.1 | (0, 0, 0)      | not exist |
| id2.2 | (-1, -1, -1)   | not exist |
| id2.3 | (2020, -1, 29) | not exist |
| id2.4 | (2020, 02, -1) | not exist |
| id2.5 | (-1, 02, 29)   | not exist |
| id2.6 | (2020, 13, -1) | not exist |
| id2.7 | (2020, 02, 29) | not exist |
| id2.8 | (2020, 02, 28) | exist     |

Table 2: Actual Input and Expected Output

```
InputBounds tests id2
id2_1: OK
id2_2: OK
id2_3: OK
id2_4: OK
id2_5: OK
id2_5: OK
id2_6: OK
id2_7: OK
id2_8: OK
```

The result of the test shows that every test cases under Input Boundsid2 has matched to its expected output. Therefore, the test case success.

#### 3. InputBounds-id3

This test is testing the ability of identify the end day whether or not smaller than the start day.

This result will be display in the ternimal when run the testing.

| id    | Input                             | Output  |
|-------|-----------------------------------|---------|
| id3.1 | (2020, 02, 28) - $(2021, 02, 28)$ | valid   |
| id3.2 | (2020, 02, 28) - $(2019, 02, 28)$ | invalid |
| id3.3 | (2020, 02, 28) - (2020, 01, 28)   | invalid |
| id3.4 | (2020, 02, 28) - (2020, 02, 27)   | invalid |
| id3.5 | (2020, 02, 28) - $(2020, 02, 28)$ | invalid |

Table 3: Actual Input and Expected Output

```
InputBounds tests id3
id3_1: OK
id3_2: OK
id3_3: OK
id3_4: OK
id3_5: OK
```

The result of the test shows that every test cases under Input Boundsid3 has matched to its expected output. Therefore, the test case success.

#### 4. calculation-id4

This is the test case that testing the ability to calculate the Zenith angle.

It is testing by calling the exported access programs, getzenList, under the Calculation Module.

The the input can be

nd under the path :/src/tiltAngPro/test/tests" Input File Name : "id4.calculation" Output File Nmae: "id4.calculation.golden"

```
.calculation id4\_1 Input 23.250943 Output 23.250943 Absolute Erros = 0.0 id4\_2 Input -23.250943 Output -23.250943 Absolute Erros = 0.0 id4\_3 Input -69.250943 Output -69.250943 Absolute Erros = 0.0
```

| id    | Input      | Output     |
|-------|------------|------------|
| id4.1 | 43.250943  | 23.250943  |
| id4.2 | -43.250943 | -23.250943 |
| id4.3 | -89.250943 | -69.250943 |
| id4.4 | 89.250943  | 69.250943  |

Table 4: Actual Input and Expected Output

 $\label{eq:d4_4} id4\_4$  Input 69.250943 Output 69.250943 Absolute Erros = 0.0

This result shows that the absolute errors of every test case under calculation-id4 is 0. Therefore, the test case success.

#### 5. calculation-id5

This is the test case that shows the ability to calculate the sun intensity.

It is testing by calling the exported access programs, sglSunIn, under the Calculation Module.

The the input can be

nd under the path :/src/tiltAngPro/test/tests" Input File Name : "id5.calculation" Output File N<br/>mae: "id5.calculation.golden"

| id    | Input      | Output    |
|-------|------------|-----------|
| id5.1 | 23.250943  | 0.9738212 |
| id5.2 | -23.250943 | 0.9738212 |
| id5.3 | -69.250943 | 0.5786897 |
| id5.4 | 69.250943  | 0.5786897 |

Table 5: Actual Input and Expected Output

.calculation  ${\it id} 5\_1 \\ {\it Input 0.9738212193883772~Output 0.9738212}$ 

Relative Erros = 1.9909586201904972e-8 id5.2Input 0.9738212193883772 Output 0.9738212Relative Erros = 1.9909586201904972e-8 id5.3Input 0.5786890162786223 Output 0.5786897Relative Erros = 1.1814991309755385e-6 id5.4Input 0.5786890162786223 Output 0.5786897Relative Erros = 1.1814991309755385e-6

This result shows that the relative error in every case under calculationid5 is close to 0. Its satisfies the error tolerance defined in Unit VnV plan. Therefore, the test case success.

### 4 Nonfunctional Requirements Evaluation

1. code walkthrough:

Attendant: Sharon (Yu-Shiuan) Wu, Doctor. Kal

Implement Date : 2019/12/19

Agenda:

- Check whether or not has the redundant code.
- Check whether or not the code is readable.
- Check whether or not the code is implementing with the concise pattern.

Conclusion for further improvement:

- Use lhs2tex to implement the code and the command.
- Use pattern guard to simplifies the pattern matching.
- Import external framework, AC-Angle, to convert radian to degree.
- Use writefile to write the information to the file instead of using appendFile.

- 4.1 Usability
- 4.2 Performance
- 4.3 etc.
- 5 Comparison to Existing Implementation

This section will not be appropriate for every project.

- 6 Unit Testing
- 7 Changes Due to Testing
- 8 Automated Testing
- 9 Trace to Requirements
- 10 Trace to Modules
- 11 Code Coverage Metrics