

Software Requirements Specification for Sun Catcher

Yu-Shiuan Wu

October 9, 2019

Contents

1	Reference Material	iii
1.1	Table of Units	iii
1.2	Table of Symbols	iii
1.3	Abbreviations and Acronyms	iv
2	Introduction	1
2.1	Purpose of Document	1
2.2	Scope of Requirements	1
2.3	Characteristics of Intended Reader	2
2.4	Organization of Document	2
3	General System Description	2
3.1	System Context	2
3.2	User Characteristics	4
3.3	System Constraints	4
4	Specific System Description	4
4.1	Problem Description	4
4.1.1	Terminology and Definitions	4
4.1.2	Physical System Description	5
4.1.3	Goal Statements	6
4.2	Solution Characteristics Specification	6
4.2.1	Assumptions	6
4.2.2	Theoretical Models	7
4.2.3	General Definitions	8
4.2.4	Data Definitions	9
4.2.5	Instance Models	10
4.2.6	Input Data Constraints	12
4.2.7	Properties of a Correct Solution	13
5	Requirements	13
5.1	Functional Requirements	13
5.2	Nonfunctional Requirements	13
6	Likely Changes	14
7	Unlikely Changes	14
8	Traceability Matrices and Graphs	14
9	Values of Auxiliary Constants	17

Revision History

Date	Version	Notes
Date 1	1.0	Notes
Date 2	1.1	Notes

1 Reference Material

This section records information for easy reference.

1.1 Table of Units

Throughout this document SI (Système International d'Unités) is employed as the unit system. In addition to the basic units, several derived units are used as described below. For each unit, the symbol is given followed by a description of the unit and the SI name.

symbol	unit	SI
°	angle	degree
'	angle	-
k	mass	kilo
m	length	metre
W	power	Watt ($W = J s^{-1}$)

1.2 Table of Symbols

The table that follows summarizes the symbols used in this document along with their units. The choice of symbols was made to be consistent with the heat transfer literature and with existing documentation for solar water heating systems. The symbols are listed in alphabetical order.

symbol	unit	description
$\theta_{S_{day}}$	°	zenith angle of sun in the day
θ_T	°	the tilt angle for adjusting the solar panel
Φ_P	(° ')N/S	the latitude of the solar panel
δ_{day}	° N/S	the declination of the vertical noon sun in the day
$I_{S_{day}}$	$\frac{kW}{m^2}$	the intensity of the sun in a day
P_E	kW	the estimated solar panel output energy
P_A	m ²	the solar panel area
P_r	%	solar panel yield or efficiency
PR	%	performance ratio, coefficient for losses

1.3 Abbreviations and Acronyms

symbol	description
A	Assumption
DD	Data Definition
GD	General Definition
GS	Goal Statement
IM	Instance Model
LC	Likely Change
PS	Physical System Description
R	Requirement
SRS	Software Requirements Specification
SC	Sun Catcher
T	Theoretical Model

[This template document assumes that a single program is being documented. If you are documenting a family of models, you should start with a commonality analysis. A separate template is provided for this. For program families you should look at [Smith \(2006\)](#); [Smith et al. \(2017\)](#). Single family member programs are often programs based on a single physical model. General purpose tools are usually documented as a family. Families of physical models also come up. —TPLT]

2 Introduction

Due to the increasing concepts of creating an earth-friendly environment, the kits using renewable energy become more popular in the market. In all type of renewable energy, solar energy is the most common type of renewable resource for a home. However, it is an expensive technology, and its cell efficiency is restricted by seasons. Therefore, Sun Catcher is created for home users to gain optimum energy from daily sunlight.

The following sections provide an overview of the Software Requirements Specification (SRS) for Sun Catcher. The developed program will be referred to as SC. This section explains the purpose of this document, the scope of the requirements, the characteristics of the intended reader, and the organization of the document.

2.1 Purpose of Document

The purpose of this document is to record the correct requirements of SC. The goal statement provided readers a consistent idea of what problem is solved. The theoretical models and the instance models, which state the mathematical terms supporting the theoretical models, are explained unambiguously for readers to reuse and verify the software. In the section of System Constraints, its contents will stay abstract because the content should only say what problem is being solved, but not how to solve it.

This document will be used as a starting point for subsequent development phases, including writing the design specification and the software verification and validation plan. The design document will show how the requirements are to be realized, including decisions on the numerical algorithms and programming environment. The verification and validation plan will show the steps that will be used to increase confidence in the software documentation and the implementation.

2.2 Scope of Requirements

The scope of the requirements includes stability analysis of a two-dimensional (2D) solar panel and the sun as the solar resource. The solar panel is assumed to be placed in a location near sea level, and the sky view above is unobstructed, with no trees, hills, clouds, dust, or haze ever blocking the sun.

2.3 Characteristics of Intended Reader

This section summarized the expectation of the readers' knowledge and skills for understanding this SRS. Readers should have a general knowledge of how a solar panel works and know the common factors that affect energy absorption.

2.4 Organization of Document

The organization of this document follows the template for an SRS for scientific computing software proposed by Koothoor [4] as well as Smith and Lai [8]. The presentation follows the standard pattern of presenting goals, theories, definitions, and assumptions. For readers that would like a more bottom up approach, they can start reading the instance models in Section: [Instance Models](#)) and trace back to find any additional information they require. The goal statements (Section: [Goal Statements](#)) are refined to the theoretical models and the theoretical models (Section: [Theoretical Models](#)) to the instance models (Section: [Instance Models](#)). The instance models provide the set of algebraic equations that must be solved.

3 General System Description

This section provides general information about the system. It identifies the interfaces between the system and its environment, describes the user characteristics and lists the system constraints.

3.1 System Context

[System Context](#) shows the design pattern of this program from users to the system than the output. The circle represents the users of this software. The rectangle represents the software system: Sun Catcher. The arrow represents the inputs that drive the software and the output expecting from the software.




Figure 1: System Context

- User Responsibilities:
 - Provide the input data related to the solar panel.
 - Ensure the input date format matches the requirement from SC.
 - Ensure the condition of the solar panel, and the surrounding environment of the solar panel.
- SC Responsibilities:
 - Detect data type mismatch, such as a string of characters instead of a floating point number.
 - Confirm the inputs quality to satisfy the required physical and software constraints.
 - Predict an optimum tilt angle, the expected gaining solar energy, and a report that shows the comparison of different results.

3.2 User Characteristics

The end-users of SC is expecting to understand the Level 1 Calculation method such as angle addition and subtraction theorems and understand the Level 1 celestial mechanics such as the formation of the latitude and the earth's tilt angle in the four seasons.

3.3 System Constraints

There are no system constraints.

4 Specific System Description

This section first presents the problem description, which gives a high-level view of the problem to be solved. This is followed by the solution characteristics specification, which presents the assumptions, theories, definitions and finally the instance models.

4.1 Problem Description

Sun Catcher is intended to solve the unpredictable energy efficiency of solar panels. Due to the tilt angle of the earth when it rotates by axis, the latitude of the sun will consistently move. With the fixed location of the solar panel, it is unlikely to get the direct the direct sunlight for the maximum output. Therefore, it causes inadequate performance in gaining energy.

4.1.1 Terminology and Definitions

This subsection provides a list of terms that are used in the subsequent sections and their meaning, with the purpose of reducing ambiguity and making it easier to correctly understand the requirements:

- Declination of the Sun: The angle between the rays of the Sun and the plane of the Earth's equator.
- Tilt angle: The angle for adjusting the solar panel result in the panel get the direct sunlight.
- The period of days: The period of days depends on the input days of the users.
- Sun panel: The adjustable panel, which has solar cells on it, able to converse solar energy to power.
- Sun Intensity: The amount of incoming solar energy, or radiation, that reaches the Earth's surface.

- Latitude: Latitude is an angle which ranges from 0 ° at the Equator to 90° (North or South) at the poles.
- Zenith Angle: The angle between the sun and the vertical.

4.1.2 Physical System Description

The physical system of SC, as shown in Figure: *ThePhysicSystem*, includes the following elements:

PS1: Solar Panel: The panel with solar cell that able to absorb solar energy from sun.

PS2: Sun: Providing solar energy to solar panel




Figure 2: The Physic System

4.1.3 Goal Statements

Given the user located latitude, the day started to estimate angle, the day when the estimation end, the goal statements are:


GS1: Predict the optimum tilt angle in the period of days.

GS2: Predict the optimum produced solar energy in the period of days.

GS3: Predict the possibility the amount of money user might save.

4.2 Solution Characteristics Specification

The instance models that govern SC are presented in Section: **Instance Models**. The information to understand the meaning of the instance models and their derivation is also presented, so that the instance models can be verified.



The instance models that govern SC are presented in Subsection 4.2.5. The information to understand the meaning of the instance models and their derivation is also presented, so that the instance models can be verified.

4.2.1 Assumptions

This section simplifies the original problem and helps in developing the theoretical model by filling in the missing information for the physical system. The numbers given in the square brackets refer to the theoretical model [T], general definition [GD], data definition [DD], instance model [IM], or likely change [LC], in which the respective assumption is used.

A1 : The environmental condition of the solar panel location assumed as users have an unobstructed view of the sky, with no trees, hills, clouds, dust, or haze ever blocking

the sun.(RefBy: IM1)

A2 : The default solar intensity is 1.35 kW. It was measured by the satellites.(RefBy: IM1)

A3 : This system calculate the solar zenith angle at noon.(RefBy: GD1)

A4 : Base on the resource of [for Materials Science and \(EMPA\)](#), this system definite the variable of P_r as 18.7%.(RefBy: IM??)

4.2.2 Theoretical Models

This section focuses on the general equations and laws that SC is based on.

Number	T1
Label	Lambert's cosine law
Equation	$I_0 = I \times \frac{\cos \theta \times \partial \Omega \times \partial A}{\cos \theta \times \partial \Omega_0 \times \partial A_0}$
Description	<p>In the following source, Lambert's cosine law state that "the radiant intensity or luminous intensity observed from an ideal diffusely reflecting surface or ideal diffuse radiator is directly proportional to the cosine of the angle θ between the direction of the incident light and the surface normal."</p> <p>I_0 is the radiance of illumination.</p> <p>I is the number of the photons.</p> <p>$\cos \theta \times \partial \Omega \times \partial A$ is the number of photo ns per second emitted into the wedge at angle θ</p> <p>where $\partial \Omega$ is an equal angle that represents each wedge in the circle.</p> <p>∂A is the area element .</p> <p>$\partial \Omega_0$ is the portion of the observer's total angular field-of-view of the scene.</p> <p>∂A_0 is an aperture of area .</p>
Source	https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lambert%27s_cosine_law
Ref. By	RefBy: IM1

4.2.3 General Definitions

This section collects the laws and equations that will be used in building the instance models.

Number	GD1
Label	Calculate Zenith Angle
SI Units	°
Equation	$\theta_{S_{day}} = \begin{cases} \Phi_P - \delta_{day}, & \text{if } 0 \leq \Phi_P, \delta_{day} \leq 90^\circ\text{N} \vee 0 \leq \Phi_P, \delta_{day} \leq 90^\circ\text{S} \\ \Phi_P + \delta_{day}, & \text{if } \begin{cases} 0 \leq \Phi_P \leq 90^\circ\text{N} \wedge 0 \leq \delta_{day} \leq 90^\circ\text{S} \\ 0 \leq \Phi_P \leq 90^\circ\text{S} \wedge 0 \leq \delta_{day} \leq 90^\circ\text{N} \end{cases} \end{cases}$
Description	<p>This equation describes the zenith angle associated with the solar panel.</p> <p>$\theta_{S_{day}}$ is the zenith angle of the sun in the day.</p> <p>Φ_P is the local latitude of the solar panel.</p> <p>δ_{day} is the declination of the vertical noon sun in the day.</p>
Source	https://ntrs.nasa.gov/archive/nasa/casi.ntrs.nasa.gov/19680025707.pdf
Ref. By	DD??

Detailed derivation of simplified rate of change of temperature

According to the resource [cite], it states the the solar elevation angle $\sin \alpha$ is determined by the relationship

$$\sin \alpha = \sin \Phi \times \sin \delta + \cos \Phi \times \cos \delta \times \cos h$$

where

α is the solar elevation.

Φ is the latitude.

δ is the solar declination.

h is the solar hour angle.

Base on the Level 1 celestial mechanics, the solar zenith angle is equal to 90° - the solar elevation angle

which can write as

$\cos \theta = \sin \alpha = \sin \Phi \times \sin \delta + \cos \Phi \times \cos \delta \times \cos h$ Base on the **Assumptions** section, it assumes that SC calculate the solar zenith angle at noon which mean solar hour angle = 0° .

Therefore, $\cos h = 0$

Rewrite the equation as,

$$\cos \theta = \sin \alpha = \sin \Phi \times \sin \delta + \cos \Phi \times \cos \delta \times 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \cos \theta = \sin \alpha = \sin \Phi \times \sin \delta + \cos \Phi \times \cos \delta$$

Base on the Level 1 calcucltion, we have


$$\cos \Phi \pm \delta = \sin \alpha = \sin \Phi \times \sin \delta + \cos \Phi \times \cos \delta$$

Therefore, we have $\theta = \Phi \pm \delta$

4.2.4 Data Definitions

This section collects and defines all the data needed to build the instance models. The dimension of each quantity is also given.

Number	DD1
Label	The Declination of the Sun
Symbol	δ_{day}
SI Units	°
Equation	-
Description	<p>”day” means every day in the period of days which depends on the user’s input.</p> <p>The degree of δ_{day} can be determinated by the the Analemma Figure.</p>
Sources	https://www.cengage.com/resource_uploads/downloads/0495555061_137179.pdf
Ref. By	GD ¹



4.2.5 Instance Models

This section transforms the problem defined in Section 4.1 into one which is expressed in mathematical terms. It uses concrete symbols defined in Section 4.2.4 to replace the abstract symbols in the models identified in Sections 4.2.2 and 4.2.3.

The goals **Goal Statements** are solved by IM1.

Number	IM1
Label	Calculating the Sun intensity
Input	Φ_P
Output	$I_{S_{day}} = 1.35 \cdot \frac{1.00}{1.35} \sec(\theta_{S_{day}})$
Description	<p>As the <i>Assumptions</i> describes, this equation is giving the daily sun intensity at noon. Moreover, this equation assumes that the earth is flat, so a factor was applied to account for the curvature of the earth (and therefore the earth's atmosphere). These factors, and the angle of the sun with respect to the panel, then determine the insolation on the panel.</p> <p>$(\theta_{S_{day}})$ is the zenith angle of the sun in the day. The equation of $(\theta_{S_{day}})$ is described in <i>GD1</i>.</p>
Sources	https://www.solarpaneltilt.com/#other
Ref. By	IM??

Derivation of ...

The above equation is driven by the *T1*, which is describing the calculation of the radiance of the illumination.

Where I is $1.35kW$, which is the solar intensity, and $\frac{1}{\cos \theta}$ is $\sec(\theta_{S_{day}})$

Number	IM2
Label	Calculating the Solar Energy Output
Input	P_A
Output	$P_E = P_A \times P_r \times I_{S_{day}} \times PR$
Description	<p>P is the solar panel.</p> <p>P_E is the estimated solar panel output energy.</p> <p>P_A is the solar panel area.</p> <p>P_r is solar panel yield or efficiency.</p> <p>$I_{S_{day}}$ is the solar intensity in a day of the noon.</p> <p>PR is the performance ratio, coefficient for losses</p>
Sources	https://photovoltaic-software.com/principle-ressources/how-calculate-solar-energy-power-pv-systems
Ref. By	-

Derivation of ...

The above equation is using the output from *IM1* , which is describing the sun intensity. Some of the variable in this equation has its defult value, which describes in the section of *Assumptions*

4.2.6 Input Data Constraints

Table 1 shows the data constraints on the input output variables. The column for physical constraints gives the physical limitations on the range of values that can be taken by the variable. The column for software constraints restricts the range of inputs to reasonable values. The software constraints will be helpful in the design stage for picking suitable algorithms. The constraints are conservative, to give the user of the model the flexibility to experiment with unusual situations. The column of typical values is intended to provide a feel for a common scenario. The uncertainty column provides an estimate of the confidence with which the physical quantities can be measured. This information would be part of the input if one were performing an uncertainty quantification exercise.

The specification parameters in Table 1 are listed in Table 2.

Table 1: Input Variables

Var	Physical Constraints	Software Constraints	Typical Value	Uncertainty
Φ_P	$0 \leq \Phi_P \leq 90^\circ \text{N/S}$	-	43°15'39.3"N	10%

Table 2: Specification Parameter Values

Var	Value
-	-

4.2.7 Properties of a Correct Solution

Table:*OutputVariables* shows the data constraints on the output variables. The column for physical constraints gives the physical limitations on the range of values that can be taken by the variable.

Table 3: Output Variables

Var	Physical Constraints
θ_{tilt}	$0 \leq \theta_{tilt} \leq 90^\circ$ (by A??)
P_E	$0 \leq P_E \leq \text{Rated Maximum Power}$ (by A??)

Rated Maximum Power is measured by manufacturers which shows the maximum power the solar panel can generate.

5 Requirements

This section provides the functional requirements, the business tasks that the software is expected to complete, and the nonfunctional requirements, the qualities that the software is expected to exhibit.

5.1 Functional Requirements

R1: Input the values requested by the system, which is the latitude of the solar panel, the date starts the calculation and the ending date.

R2: Output the valid values for the problem¹³ defined by *ProblemDescription*, which is the optimum tilt angle for solar panel, the predicted solar energy output.

R3: Determine the tilt angle by using *IM1* and the solar energy output by using *IM2*

R4: Verify the output by using the Table: *OutputVariables*

6 Likely Changes

LC1: The system currently using the latest data of the solar’s intensity, the calculation can be modified if this data upgrade in the future./*arefa_{asia}*

LC2: The system currently using the latest data of the solar panel’s efficiency, the calculation can be modified if this data upgrade in the future./*arefa_{dp}*

7 Unlikely Changes

LC3: The goal of the system is to predict the optimum tilt energy without considering the individual environmental difference from each case.

8 Traceability Matrices and Graphs

The purpose of the traceability matrices is to provide easy references on what has to be additionally modified if a certain component is changed. Every time a component is changed, the items in the column of that component that are marked with an “X” may have to be modified as well. Table 4 shows the dependencies of theoretical models, general definitions, data definitions, and instance models with each other. Table 5 shows the dependencies of instance models, requirements, and data constraints on each other. Table 6 shows the dependencies of theoretical models, general definitions, data definitions, instance models, and likely changes on the assumptions.

[You will have to modify these tables for your problem. —TPLT]

[The traceability matrix is challenging to maintain manually. Please do your best. In the future tools (like Drasil) will make this much easier. —TPLT]

	T1	GD1	DD1	IM1	IM2	IM??	IM??		
T1	X								
GD1		X							
DD1							X	X	
IM1									
IM2									
IM??									
IM??									

Table 4: Traceability Matrix Showing the Connections Between Items of Different Sections

The purpose of the traceability graphs is also to provide easy references on what has to be additionally modified if a certain component is changed. The arrows in the graphs represent

	IM1	IM2	4.2.6	R??	R??		
IM1		X				X	X
IM2	X			X		X	X
R1							
R2						X	
R2					X		
R3	X	X				X	X
R4	X						
R5		X					

Table 5: Traceability Matrix Showing the Connections Between Requirements and Instance Models

	A2	A3	A4																
T1	X																		
GD1		X																	
DD1							X	X	X										
IM1										X	X		X	X	X				X
IM2											X	X			X	X	X		
IM??													X						X
IM??												X					X		
LC??				X															
LC??								X											

Table 6: Traceability Matrix Showing the Connections Between Assumptions and Other Items

dependencies. The component at the tail of an arrow is depended on by the component at the head of that arrow. Therefore, if a component is changed, the components that it points to should also be changed. Figure ?? shows the dependencies of theoretical models, general definitions, data definitions, instance models, likely changes, and assumptions on each other. Figure ?? shows the dependencies of instance models, requirements, and data constraints on each other.

9 Values of Auxiliary Constants

[Show the values of the symbolic parameters introduced in the report. —TPLT]

[The definition of the requirements will likely call for SYMBOLIC_CONSTANTS. Their values are defined in this section for easy maintenance. —TPLT]

References

- Swiss Federal Laboratories for Materials Science and Technology (EMPA). Record efficiency of 18.7 percent for flexible solar cells on plastics, swiss researchers report. *ScienceDaily*, – (–)–, May 2011.
- Carlo Ghezzi, Mehdi Jazayeri, and Dino Mandrioli. *Fundamentals of Software Engineering*. Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ, USA, 2nd edition, 2003.
- Daniel M. Hoffman and Paul A. Strooper. *Software Design, Automated Testing, and Maintenance: A Practical Approach*. International Thomson Computer Press, New York, NY, USA, 1995. URL <http://citeseer.ist.psu.edu/428727.html>.
- David L. Parnas and P.C. Clements. A rational design process: How and why to fake it. *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, 12(2):251–257, February 1986.
- James Robertson and Suzanne Robertson. *Volere Requirements Specification Template*. Atlantic Systems Guild Limited, 16 edition, 2012.
- W. Spencer Smith. Systematic development of requirements documentation for general purpose scientific computing software. In *Proceedings of the 14th IEEE International Requirements Engineering Conference, RE 2006*, pages 209–218, Minneapolis / St. Paul, Minnesota, 2006. URL <http://www.ifi.unizh.ch/req/events/RE06/>.
- W. Spencer Smith and Lei Lai. A new requirements template for scientific computing. In J. Ralyté, P. Ågerfalk, and N. Kraiem, editors, *Proceedings of the First International Workshop on Situational Requirements Engineering Processes – Methods, Techniques and Tools to Support Situation-Specific Requirements Engineering Processes, SREP’05*, pages 107–121, Paris, France, 2005. In conjunction with 13th IEEE International Requirements Engineering Conference.
- W. Spencer Smith, Lei Lai, and Ridha Khedri. Requirements analysis for engineering computation: A systematic approach for improving software reliability. *Reliable Computing, Special Issue on Reliable Engineering Computation*, 13(1):83–107, February 2007.
- W. Spencer Smith, John McCutchan, and Jacques Carette. Commonality analysis of families of physical models for use in scientific computing. In *Proceedings of the First International Workshop on Software Engineering for Computational Science and Engineering (SECSE 2008)*, Leipzig, Germany, May 2008. In conjunction with the 30th International Conference on Software Engineering (ICSE). URL <http://www.cse.msstate.edu/~SECSE08/schedule.htm>. 8 pp.
- W. Spencer Smith, John McCutchan, and Jacques Carette. Commonality analysis for a family of material models. Technical Report CAS-17-01-SS, McMaster University, Department of Computing and Software, 2017.

Harold. M. Woolf. On the computation of solar elevation angles and the determination of sunrise and sunset times. Technical Report NASA-TM-X-1646, National Meteorological Center; Suitland, MD, United States, NASA Headquarters; Washington, DC, United States, 1968.

[The following is not part of the template, just some things to consider when filing in the template. —TPLT]

[Grammar, flow and L^AT_EX advice:

- For Mac users *.DS_Store should be in .gitignore
- L^AT_EX and formatting rules
 - Variables are italic, everything else not, includes subscripts ([link to document](#))
 - * [Conventions](#)
 - * Watch out for implied multiplication
 - Use BibTeX
 - Use cross-referencing
- Grammar and writing rules
 - Acronyms expanded on first usage (not just in table of acronyms)
 - “In order to” should be “to”

—TPLT]

[Advice on using the template:

- Difference between physical and software constraints
- Properties of a correct solution means *additional* properties, not a restating of the requirements (may be “not applicable” for your problem). If you have a table of output constraints, then these are properties of a correct solution.
- Assumptions have to be invoked somewhere
- “Referenced by” implies that there is an explicit reference
- Think of traceability matrix, list of assumption invocations and list of reference by fields as automatically generatable
- If you say the format of the output (plot, table etc), then your requirement could be more abstract

—TPLT]