

Inductive Synthesis from Higher-Order Functions

Master Thesis
Alexandra Maximova
August 15, 2016

Advisors: Prof. Dr. Martin Vechev, Dimitar Dimitrov

Department of Computer Science, ETH Zürich

Abstract

This example thesis briefly shows the main features of our thesis style, and how to use it for your purposes.

Contents

Co	nten	ts		iii
1	Intr	oductio	on	1
	1.1	Featu	res	. 1
		1.1.1	Extra package includes	. 1
		1.1.2	Layout setup	. 2
		1.1.3	Theorem setup	. 2
		1.1.4	Macro setup	. 3
2	Rela	ated Wo	ork	5
A	Dur	nmy A	ppendix	7
Bi	bliog	raphy		9

Chapter 1

Introduction

This is version v1.4 of the template.

We assume that you found this template on our institute's website, so we do not repeat everything stated there. Consult the website again for pointers to further reading about LATEX. This chapter only gives a brief overview of the files you are looking at.

1.1 Features

The rest of this document shows off a few features of the template files. Look at the source code to see which macros we used!

The template is divided into T_EX files as follows:

- 1. thesis.tex is the main file.
- 2. extrapackages.tex holds extra package includes.
- 3. layoutsetup.tex defines the style used in this document.
- 4. theoremsetup.tex declares the theorem-like environments.
- 5. macrosetup.tex defines extra macros that you may find useful.
- 6. introduction.tex contains this text.
- 7. sections.tex is a quick demo of each sectioning level available.
- 8. refs.bib is an example bibliography file. You can use BibT_EX to quote references. For example, read if you can get a hold of it.

1.1.1 Extra package includes

The file extrapackages.tex lists some packages that usually come in handy. Simply have a look at the source code. We have added the following comments based on our experiences:

REC This package is recommended.

OPT This package is optional. It usually solves a specific problem in a clever way.

ADV This package is for the advanced user, but solves a problem frequent enough that we mention it. Consult the package's documentation.

As a small example, here is a reference to the Section *Features* typeset with the recommended *varioref* package:

See Section 1.1 on the preceding page.

1.1.2 Layout setup

This defines the overall look of the document – for example, it changes the chapter and section heading appearance. We consider this a 'do not touch' area. Take a look at the excellent *Memoir* documentation before changing it.

In fact, take a look at the excellent Memoir documentation, full stop.

1.1.3 Theorem setup

This file defines a bunch of theorem-like environments.

Theorem 1.1 An example theorem.

Proof Proof text goes here.

Note that the q.e.d. symbol moves to the correct place automatically if you end the proof with an enumerate or displaymath. You do not need to use \qedhere as with amsthm.

Theorem 1.2 (Some Famous Guy) Another example theorem.

Proof This proof

1. ends in an enumerate.

Proposition 1.3 *Note that all theorem-like environments are by default numbered on the same counter.*

Proof This proof ends in a display like so:

$$f(x) = x^2$$
.

1.1.4 Macro setup

For now the macro setup only shows how to define some basic macros, and how to use a neat feature of the *mathtools* package:

$$|a|$$
, $\left|\frac{a}{b}\right|$, $\left|\frac{a}{b}\right|$.

Chapter 2

Related Work

Try to answer the following three question for each paper read:

- 1. What is new in this approach? Or better, what is the approach. Describe technically the approach, so that you can answer technical questions.
- 2. What is the trick? (Why are they better than others?)
- 3. Which examples they can do really well? What kind of examples do they target? What is the most complicated thing they can generate?

Nadia Polikarpova 2015

here is a talk: http://research.microsoft.com/apps/video/default.aspx?id=255528&l=i

and here is the code: https://bitbucket.org/nadiapolikarpova/synquid In [4] Synquid is proposed. Refinement types (types decorated with logical predicates) are used to prune the search space. SMT-solvers are used to satisfy the logical predicates. The key is the new procedure for type inference (called modular refinement type reconstruction), which thank to its modularity scales better than other existing inference procedures for refinement types. Programs can therefore be type checked even before they are put together. Examples that this tool is able to synthesize include several sorting algorithms, binary-search tree manipulations, red-black tree rotation as well as other benchmarks also used by other tools (TODO: read about these benchmarks and write if there is something interesting). The user specifies the desired program by providing a goal refinement type.

Feser 2015

The tool proposed in [2] is called λ^2 and generates its output in λ -calculus with algebraic types and recursion. The user specifies the desired program providing input-output examples. No particular knowledge is required from the user, as was demonstrated using random input-output examples

The examples are inductively generalized in a type-aware manner to a set of hypotheses (programs that possibly have free variables). The key idea are the hard-coded deduction rules used to prune the search space depending on the semantics of some of the higher-order combinators (map, fold, filter and a few others). Deduction is also used to infer new input-output examples in order to generate the programs needed to fill in the holes in the hypotheses. This tool is able to synthesize programs manipulating recursive data structures like lists, trees and nested data structures such as lists of lists and trees of lists. The examples that require much more time to be synthesized than the others are dedup (remove duplicate elements from a list), droplast (drop the last element in a list), tconcat (insert a tree under each leaf of another tree), cprod (return the Cartesian product of a list of lists), dropmins (drop the smallest number in a list of lists), but all of them are synthesized under 7 minutes.

Kincaid 2013

In [1] Escher is presented, an inductive synthesis algorithm that learns a recursive procedure from input-output examples provided by the user. The user must provide a "closed" set of examples, otherwise recursion cannot be handled properly The target language is untyped, first-order and purely functional. The algorithm is parametrized by components that can be instantiated differently to suit different domains. The approach combines enumerative search and conditional inference. The key idea is to use a special data structure, a goal graph, to infer conditional branches instead of treating if-then-else as a component. Observational equivalence is also used to prune the search space. Programs with the same value vectors (output of the program when applied to the inputs of the input-output examples) are considered equivalent and only one of them is synthesized. An implementation of the tool was tested on a benchmark consisting of recursive programs (including tail-recursive, divide-and-conquer and mutually recursive programs) drawn from functional programming assignments and standard list and tree manipulation programs. For all examples the same fixed set of components was used. The tool is able to synthesize all of them quickly. There is very little information on how many input-output examples were needed to synthesize the benchmarks and how difficult it is for a non-experienced user to come up with a "closed" set of examples.

Osera 2015

The tool in [3] is called MYTH and uses not only type information but also input-output examples to restrict the search space. The special data structure used to hold this information is the *refinement tree*. This system can synthesize higher-order functions, programs that use higher order functions and work with large algebraic data types.

There is an ML-like type system that incorporates input-output examples. Two pieces: a *refinement tree* and an enumerative search.

Two major operations: refine the goal type and the examples and guess a term of the right type that matches the examples.

Appendix A

Dummy Appendix

You can defer lengthy calculations that would otherwise only interrupt the flow of your thesis to an appendix.

Bibliography

- [1] Aws Albarghouthi, Sumit Gulwani, and Zachary Kincaid. Recursive program synthesis. In *Proceedings of the 25th International Conference on Computer Aided Verification*, CAV'13, pages 934–950, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2013. Springer-Verlag.
- [2] John K. Feser, Swarat Chaudhuri, and Isil Dillig. Synthesizing data structure transformations from input-output examples. In *Proceedings of the 36th ACM SIGPLAN Conference on Programming Language Design and Implementation*, PLDI 2015, pages 229–239, New York, NY, USA, 2015. ACM.
- [3] Peter-Michael Osera and Steve Zdancewic. Type-and-example-directed program synthesis. In *Proceedings of the 36th ACM SIGPLAN Conference on Programming Language Design and Implementation*, PLDI 2015, pages 619–630, New York, NY, USA, 2015. ACM.
- [4] Nadia Polikarpova and Armando Solar-Lezama. Program synthesis from polymorphic refinement types. *CoRR*, abs/1510.08419, 2015.



Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich

Declaration of originality

The signed declaration of originality is a component of every semester paper, Bachelor's thesis, Master's thesis and any other degree paper undertaken during the course of studies, including the respective electronic versions.

respective electronic versions.				
Lecturers may also require a declaration of originality for other written papers compiled for their courses.				
I hereby confirm that I am the sole author of the in my own words. Parts excepted are corrections	written work here enclosed and that I have compiled it s of form and content by the supervisor.			
Title of work (in block letters):				
Authored by (in block letters): For papers written by groups the names of all authors are re	equired.			
Name(s):	First name(s):			
With my signature I confirm that - I have committed none of the forms of plagi sheet.	iarism described in the 'Citation etiquette' information			
I have documented all methods, data and pI have not manipulated any data.	processes truthfully.			
- I have mentioned all persons who were sign	nificant facilitators of the work.			
I am aware that the work may be screened elect	tronically for plagiarism.			
Place, date	Signature(s)			

For papers written by groups the names of all authors are required. Their signatures collectively guarantee the entire content of the written paper.