WEEK-7

Create a knowledgebase using prepositional logic and prove the given query using resolution.

import re

```
def main(rules, goal):
  rules = rules.split(' ')
  steps = resolve(rules, goal)
   print('\nStep\t|Clause\t|Derivation\t')
  print('-' * 30)
   i = 1
  for step in steps:
     print(f' \{i\}.\t| \{step\}\t| \{steps[step]\}\t')
     i += 1
def negate(term):
  return f' \sim \{\text{term}\}' \text{ if term}[0] != '\sim' \text{ else term}[1]
def reverse(clause):
   if len(clause) > 2:
     t = split_terms(clause)
     return f'\{t[1]\}v\{t[0]\}'
   return "
def split_terms(rule):
  exp = '(\sim *[PQRS])'
   terms = re.findall(exp, rule)
   return terms
```

```
split_terms('~PvR')
['~P', 'R']
def contradiction(goal, clause):
  contradictions = [f'{goal}v{negate(goal)}', f'{negate(goal)}v{goal}']
  return clause in contradictions or reverse(clause) in contradictions
def resolve(rules, goal):
  temp = rules.copy()
   temp += [negate(goal)]
  steps = dict()
  for rule in temp:
     steps[rule] = 'Given.'
  steps[negate(goal)] = 'Negated conclusion.'
  i = 0
   while i < len(temp):
     n = len(temp)
     j = (i + 1) \% n
     clauses = []
     while j != i:
        terms1 = split_terms(temp[i])
        terms2 = split_terms(temp[j])
        for c in terms1:
           if negate(c) in terms2:
              t1 = [t \text{ for } t \text{ in terms } 1 \text{ if } t != c]
              t2 = [t \text{ for } t \text{ in terms } 2 \text{ if } t != negate(c)]
              gen = t1 + t2
              if len(gen) == 2:
```

```
if gen[0] != negate(gen[1]):
                  clauses += [f'\{gen[0]\}v\{gen[1]\}']
               else:
                  if contradiction(goal,f'{gen[0]}v{gen[1]}'):
                    temp.append(f'{gen[0]}v{gen[1]}')
                    steps["] = f"Resolved \{temp[i]\} and \{temp[i]\} to \{temp[-1]\} 
1]}, which is in turn null. \
                    \nA contradiction is found when {negate(goal)} is assumed
as true. Hence, {goal} is true."
                    return steps
             elif len(gen) == 1:
               clauses += [f'\{gen[0]\}']
             else:
               if contradiction(goal,f'{terms1[0]}v{terms2[0]}'):
                  temp.append(f'{terms1[0]}v{terms2[0]}')
                  steps["] = f"Resolved \{temp[i]\} and \{temp[j]\} to \{temp[-1]\},
which is in turn null. \
                  \nA contradiction is found when {negate(goal)} is assumed as
true. Hence, {goal} is true."
                  return steps
       for clause in clauses:
          if clause not in temp and clause != reverse(clause) and reverse(clause)
not in temp:
             temp.append(clause)
             steps[clause] = f'Resolved from {temp[i]} and {temp[j]}.'
       i = (i + 1) \% n
     i += 1
  return steps
```

```
rules = 'Rv~P Rv~Q ~RvP ~RvQ' #(P^Q)<=>R:
(Rv~P)v(Rv~Q)^(~RvP)^(~RvQ)
goal = 'R'
main(rules, goal)
```

OUTPUT:

```
Step | Clause | Derivation

1. | Rv~P | Given.

2. | Rv~Q | Given.

3. | ~RvP | Given.

4. | ~RvQ | Given.

5. | ~R | Negated conclusion.

6. | | Resolved Rv~P and ~RvP to Rv~R, which is in turn null.

A contradiction is found when ~R is assumed as true. Hence, R is true.
```

```
Step |Clause |Derivation
1. | PvQ | Given.
2. | PvR
           | Given.
3. | ~PvR | Given.
4. | RvS | Given.
5. | Rv~Q | Given.
6. | ~Sv~Q | Given.
          | Negated conclusion.
7. | ~R
8. | QvR | Resolved from PvQ and ~PvR.
9. | Pv~S | Resolved from PvQ and ~Sv~Q.
      | P | Resolved from PvR and ~R.
       | ~P | Resolved from ~PvR and ~R.
11.
       | Rv~S | Resolved from ~PvR and Pv~S.
12.
      | R | Resolved from ~PvR and P.
13.
      | S | Resolved from RvS and ~R.
14.
       | ~Q | Resolved from Rv~Q and ~R.
      | Q | Resolved from ~R and QvR.
16.
17.
      | ~S | Resolved from ~R and Rv~S.
       | Resolved ~R and R to ~RvR, which is in turn null.
18.
A contradiction is found when ~R is assumed as true. Hence, R is true.
```