A Scale-Free MADGRAD Regret Bound

Shashank Manjunath Boston University manjuns@bu.edu

December 8, 2021

Introduction

- This project is concerned with two dual averaging algorithms applied to deep learning - Modernized Dual Averaging (MDA)[1] and Momentumized, Adaptive, Dual averaged GRADient (MADGRAD)[2]
- These algorithms use Follow-the-Regularized-Leader (FTRL) style algorithms aimed to optimize deep learning techniques
- We will first discuss the algorithms in detail and their implementations and performance on the CIFAR10 dataset[3]
- We will then prove an alternate, scale-free regret bound for the MADGRAD algorithm.

Modernized Dual Averaging

return XT

Algorithm 1: Modernized Dual Averaging

Modernized Dual Averaging

• Note that MDA implements FTRL on the z_{k+1} iterates with the following update:

$$z_{k+1} = \underset{x \in \mathbb{R}^n}{\operatorname{argmin}} \left\{ \langle \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i g_i, x \rangle + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{k+1}} \|x - x_0\|_2 \right\}$$

- Algorithm uses an L₂ based regularizer
- Averaging technique $x_{k+1} = (1 c_{k+1})x_k + c_{k+1}z_{k+1}$ allows use of the final iterate
- Disabling this (setting $c_{k+1}=1$) implements a pure FTRL update, but requires that averaged iterates are used in the final model

MADGRAD

 MADGRAD implements a similar algorithm with a slightly different regularizer. We denote element-wise multiplication of vectors (Hadamard product) by o.

Algorithm 2: MADGRAD

MADGRAD

• This algorithm implements FTRL on the z_{k+1} iterates with the following update:

$$z_{k+1} = \operatorname*{argmin}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left\{ \langle \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i g_i, x \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \|x - x_0\|_{A_k} \right\}$$


- $A_t = \operatorname{diag}\left(\sqrt[3]{\sum_{i=1}^t \lambda_i(g_i \circ g_i)}\right)$
- Unlike Adagrad[4], MADGRAD uses a cube root in the denominator.
- In Adagrad, the s_{k+1} iterate sequence is motivated by the following minimization problem over a D-dimensional vector:

$$\min_{s} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{d=0}^{D} \frac{g_{i,d}^{2}}{s_{d}}, ||s||_{1} \le c, \forall d : s_{d} > 0$$


Constraining with the L₂ norm and calculating the solution to this
problem yields the cube-root denominator

Algorithm Implementation

 Results on the CIFAR10 dataset for the MDA, MADGRAD, Adam, and Stochastic Gradient Descent with Momentum (SGD+M) algorithms shown below



(a) Test Accuracy of Optimizers on CIFAR-10



(b) Test Loss of Optimizers on CIFAR-10

Figure 1: Comparison of optimizer performance on CIFAR-10 dataset

MADGRAD Theory

• In the original MADGRAD algorithm presented in the paper, the z_{k+1} is given by:

$$z_{k+1} = x_0 - \frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{v_{k+1}} + \epsilon} \circ s_{k+1}$$

• In the convergence proof, the z_{k+1} parameter is given by:

$$z_{k+1} = x_0 - \frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{\lambda_{k+1}G^2 + \nu_{k+1}}} \circ s_{k+1}$$

- This extra $\lambda_{k+1}G^2$ prevents the algorithm from being *scale-free*, or an algorithm that is invariant to the scaling of losses by a constant factor
- Therefore, we aim to construct a convergence proof which maintains the scale-free nature of the algorithm, and does not require assumptions about the boundedness of the subgradients
- In particular, we avoid the assumption that $\|g_i\|_{\infty} \leq G$
- ullet We make two reductions in order to prove our bound: we assume that $c_k=1$ to only use FTRL updates, and we assume a constant learning rate

Original MADGRAD Per-Coordinate Convergence Bound

$$\mathbb{E}[f(x_k) - f(x_*)] \leq \frac{3}{\gamma} \frac{1}{(k+1)^{3/2}} \sum_{d=0}^{D} \left(\mathbb{E} \left[\lambda_k \left(\sum_{i=0}^k \lambda_i g_{i,d}^2 \right)^{2/3} \right] \right) + \frac{3}{\gamma} \frac{1}{(k+1)^{3/2}} \sum_{d=0}^{D} (x_{0,d} - x_{*,d})^2 \, \mathbb{E} \left(\lambda_{k+1} G^2 + \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i g_{i,d}^2 \right)^{1/3}$$

Lemma 1

Lemma (Lemma 1 in (Orabona and Pàl, 2015) [5])

Let $\{\psi_t\}_{t=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of lower semi-continuous functions defined on a common convex domain $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ and such that each ψ_t is μ_t -strongly convex with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_t$. Let $\|\cdot\|_{t,*}$ be the dual norm of $\|\cdot\|_t$, for $t=1,2,\cdots,T$. Then, for any $u\in S$, the FTRL algorithm yields:

$$\begin{aligned} & \textit{Regret}_{T}(\mathsf{u}) \leq \sum_{t=1}^{T} \langle g_t, \mathsf{u} - \mathsf{x}_t \rangle \leq \psi_{T}(\mathsf{u}) + \psi_{1}^{*}(\mathsf{0}) \\ & + \sum_{t=1}^{T} B_{\psi_{t}^{*}}(-\theta_{t}, -\theta_{t-1}) - \psi_{t}^{*}(-\theta_{t}) + \psi_{t+1}^{*}(-\theta_{t}) \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2

Lemma

Let a_1, a_2, \dots, a_t be non-negative real numbers. If $a_1 > 0$, then

$$\sum_{t=1}^{T} \frac{a_t}{\sqrt[3]{\sum_{s=1}^{t} a_s}} \le \frac{3}{2} \left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} a_t \right)^{\frac{2}{3}}$$

Lemma 3

Lemma

Let
$$C, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_T \geq 0$$
, and $\alpha \geq 1, \alpha \neq \min_{t=1,2,\dots,T} a_t^{\frac{4}{3}}$. Then,

$$\sum_{t=1}^{T} \min \left\{ \frac{a_t^2}{\sqrt[3]{\sum_{s=1}^{t-1} a_s^2}}, Ca_t \right\} \le \frac{C\alpha}{\alpha - \min_{t=1,2,\cdots,T} a_t^{\frac{4}{3}}} \max_{t=1,2,\cdots,T} a_t + \frac{1}{\alpha} \sum_{s=1}^{T} a_s^3$$

Alternative MADGRAD Bound

Theorem

Suppose $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^D$ is a non-empty closed convex subset. Suppose that a regularizer $\psi_t : K \to \mathbb{R}$ is a non-negative lower semi-continuous function that is strongly convex with respect to a norm $\|\cdot\|_{A_t}$. The regret of non-momentumized MADGRAD satisfies:

$$\begin{split} \textit{Regret}_{\mathcal{T}}(\textbf{u}) \leq \sum_{d=1}^{D} \frac{(\textbf{u}_{d} - \textbf{x}_{0,d})^{2}}{2\sqrt[3]{\sum_{i=1}^{T} \lambda_{i} g_{i,d}^{2}}} + \frac{3}{2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{T} \lambda_{i} g_{i,d}^{2}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \\ + 2\sqrt{T - 1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{T-1} \lambda_{i} g_{i,d}^{2}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \left(1 + \min_{t \leq T} (\sqrt{\lambda_{t}} |g_{t,d}|)^{\frac{4}{3}}\right) \max_{t \leq T} \sqrt{\lambda_{t}} |g_{td}| \\ + 2\sqrt[3]{1 + \left(1 + \min_{t \leq T} (\sqrt{\lambda_{t}} |g_{t,d}|)^{\frac{4}{3}}\right)^{2}} \sqrt{\sum_{t=1}^{T} \lambda_{t}^{\frac{3}{2}} |g_{t,d}|^{3}} \end{split}$$

Proof Intuition

- Our proof technique follows (Orabona and Pàl, 2015) for Scale-free Online Linear Opimization FTRL (SOLO FTRL)
- Our regularizer, $\psi_t(x) = \frac{1}{2} \|x x_0\|_{A_t}$, is defined by a diagonal matrix,

$$A_t = \operatorname{diag}\left(\sqrt[3]{\sum_{i=1}^{t-1} \lambda_i g_i^2}\right)$$

- $\psi_t(\mathbf{x})$ is $\min_{d \leq D} \sqrt[3]{\sum_{i=1}^{t-1} \lambda_i g_{i,d}^2}$ -strongly convex
- Let $\eta_{t,d} = \frac{1}{\sqrt[3]{\sum_{i=1}^{t-1} \lambda_i g_{i,d}^2}}$
- We tighten this bound by analyzing in a per-parameter fashion by defining our regularizer in a per-coordinate manner: $\psi_{t,d}(x) = \frac{1}{\eta_{t,d}} \psi_d(x) = \frac{1}{2\eta_{t,d}} (x_d x_{0,d})^2$
- Since $\psi_d(x): \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, we can find the strong convexity constant by finding the lower bound of the second derivative of $\psi_d(x)$, which is 1.
- We use this per-coordinate regularizer in order to prove an entirely per-coordinate bound

Proof Technique

• We start with Lemma 1, and upper bound the $B_{\psi_t^*}(-\theta_t,-\theta_{t-1})-\psi_t^*(-\theta_t)+\psi_{t+1}^*(-\theta_t)\leq B_{\psi_t^*}(-\theta_t,-\theta_{t-1}) \text{ in two ways}$ using the properties of Fenchel conjugates, with the upper bound being the minimum of these two terms. Note that $H=\left(\sum\limits_{i=1}^{t-1}\lambda_ig_{i,d}^2\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}\sqrt{t-1}$

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{Regret}_{T}(\mathsf{u}) & \leq \sum_{d=1}^{D} \frac{1}{\eta_{T+1}} \psi_{d}(\mathsf{u}) \frac{1}{\eta_{1}} \psi_{d}^{*}(\mathsf{0}) \\ & + \sum_{t=1}^{T} \min \left\{ \frac{\eta_{t} \lambda_{t} g_{t,d}^{2}}{2}, \frac{\eta_{t+1,d} \lambda_{t} g_{t,d}^{2}}{2} + H \sqrt{\lambda_{t}} |g_{t,d}| \right\} \\ & \therefore \operatorname{Regret}_{T}(\mathsf{u}) \leq \frac{1}{\eta_{T+1}} \psi_{d}(\mathsf{u}) + \frac{1}{\eta_{1}} \psi_{d}^{*}(\mathsf{0}) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \eta_{t+1} \lambda_{t} g_{t,d}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \min \left\{ \frac{\eta_{t} \lambda_{t} g_{t,d}^{2}}{2}, 2H \sqrt{\lambda_{t}} |g_{t,d}| \right\} \end{split}$$

- We then use Lemma 3 to upper bound the minimum
- \bullet Lastly, we use Lemma 2 to upper bound the $\frac{1}{2}\sum_{t=1}^{I}\eta_{t+1}\lambda_{t}g_{t,d}^{2}$

Conclusion

- In this work, we implement and analyze the performand of MDA and MADGRAD algorithms on the CIFAR10 dataset
- We then prove an alternate, scale-free regret bound for the MADGRAD algorithm

References

- S. Jelassi and A. Defazio, "Dual Averaging is Surprisingly Effective for Deep Learning Optimization," arXiv:2010.10502 [cs, math, stat], Oct. 2020. arXiv: 2010.10502.
- A. Defazio and S. Jelassi, "Adaptivity without Compromise: A Momentumized, Adaptive, Dual Averaged Gradient Method for Stochastic Optimization," p. 33.
- A. Krizhevsky, "Learning multiple layers of features from tiny images," tech. rep., 2009.
- J. Duchi, E. Hazan, and Y. Singer, "Adaptive Subgradient Methods for Online Learning and Stochastic Optimization," p. 13.
- F. Orabona, K. Crammer, and N. Cesa-Bianchi, "A Generalized Online Mirror Descent with Applications to Classification and Regression," arXiv:1304.2994 [cs], July 2014.

arXiv: 1304.2994.

Code for PyTorch implementation, LaTeX for presentation and paper can be found at on GitHub at https://github.com/shashankmanjunath/ftrl_deep_learning