QUESTION

1

Student Name: Shashi Kant Gupta

Roll Number: 160645 Date: September 30, 2018

According to the given problem:

$$p(\mathbf{w}) = C * exp(\frac{-\lambda}{2}\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{w})$$
 (1)

$$p(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{w}) = \prod_{n=1}^{N} \frac{1}{1 + exp(-y_n \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_n)}$$
(2)

Therefore, MAP estimate will be:

$$\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{MAP} = argmax_{\mathbf{w}}log(p(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{w})) + log(p(\mathbf{w}))$$
(3)

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\mathbf{w}}_{MAP} = argmax_{\mathbf{w}} \sum_{n=1}^{N} -log(1 + exp(-y_n \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_n)) + \frac{-\lambda}{2} \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{w}$$
(4)

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\mathbf{w}}_{MAP} = argmin_{\mathbf{w}} \sum_{n=1}^{N} log(1 + exp(-y_n \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_n)) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{w}$$
 (5)

Therefore, to minimise the negative log likelihood the partial derivatives w.r.t. to \mathbf{w} yields.

$$\lambda \mathbf{w} + \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{-y_n \mathbf{x}_n exp(-y_n \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_n)}{1 + exp(-y_n \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_n)} = 0$$
 (6)

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\mathbf{w}}_{MAP} = \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{exp(-y_n \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_n)}{1 + exp(-y_n \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_n)} y_n \mathbf{x}_n$$
 (7)

$$\therefore \alpha_n = \frac{1}{\lambda} \left(\frac{exp(-y_n \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_n)}{1 + exp(-y_n \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_n)} \right)$$
 (8)

From the expression of α_n , we can see that it specifies an scaled version of non-class probabilities for xn. This make sense as we can see from the probability expression for the right-class as the α_n increases the probability for the right-class will increase, which means if probability of wrong-class is high it will give us a new estimate of \mathbf{w} such that probability of right class will increase.

Student Name: Shashi Kant Gupta

Roll Number: 160645 Date: September 30, 2018 2

QUESTION

As per the problem:

$$p(y=1) = \pi \tag{9}$$

$$p(\mathbf{x}|y=1) = \prod_{d=1}^{D} \mu_{d,1}^{xd} (1 - \mu_{d,1})^{1-xd}$$
(10)

$$p(\mathbf{x}|y=0) = \prod_{d=0}^{D} \mu_{d,0}^{xd} (1 - \mu_{d,0})^{1-xd}$$
(11)

$$\therefore p(y=1|\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\pi \prod_{d=1}^{D} \mu_{d,1}^{xd} (1-\mu_{d,1})^{1-xd}}{\pi \prod_{d=1}^{D} \mu_{d,1}^{xd} (1-\mu_{d,1})^{1-xd} + (1-\pi) \prod_{d=0}^{D} \mu_{d,0}^{xd} (1-\mu_{d,0})^{1-xd}}$$
(12)

$$= \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1-\pi}{\pi} \prod_{d=1}^{D} \left[\frac{\mu_{d,0}}{\mu_{d,1}}\right]^{\mathbf{x}_d} \left[\frac{1-\mu_{d,0}}{1-\mu_{d,1}}\right]^{1-\mathbf{x}_d}}$$
(13)

$$=\frac{1}{1+f(\mathbf{x})}\tag{14}$$

where $f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1-\pi}{\pi} \prod_{d=1}^{D} \left[\frac{\mu_{d,0}}{\mu_{d,1}} \right]^{\mathbf{x}_d} \left[\frac{1-\mu_{d,0}}{1-\mu_{d,1}} \right]^{1-\mathbf{x}_d}$

It can be easily shown that:

$$p(y = 0|\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{1 + f(\mathbf{x})^{-1}}$$
(15)

Therefore, this makes a discriminative model with its distribution as $Bernoulli[g(f(\mathbf{x}))]$ where $g(f(\mathbf{x})) = \frac{1}{1+f(\mathbf{x})}$ and $f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1-\pi}{\pi} \prod_{d=1}^D \left[\frac{\mu_{d,0}}{\mu_{d,1}}\right]^{\mathbf{x}_d} \left[\frac{1-\mu_{d,0}}{1-\mu_{d,1}}\right]^{1-\mathbf{x}_d}$

For the decision boundary we can equate $p(y=1|\mathbf{x})=p(y=0|\mathbf{x})$. Which gives:

$$\pi \prod_{d=1}^{D} \mu_{d,1}^{xd} (1 - \mu_{d,1})^{1-xd} = (1 - \pi) \prod_{d=0}^{D} \mu_{d,0}^{xd} (1 - \mu_{d,0})^{1-xd}$$
(16)

Or equivalently we can write, $f(\mathbf{x}) = 1$ as the decision boundary! Therefore, here we get an exponential decision boundary!

Student Name: Shashi Kant Gupta

Roll Number: 160645 Date: September 30, 2018

My solution to problem 3

QUESTION

Student Name: Shashi Kant Gupta

Roll Number: 160645 Date: September 30, 2018

My solution to problem 4

QUESTION

Student Name: Shashi Kant Gupta

Roll Number: 160645 Date: September 30, 2018

My solution to problem 5

QUESTION

Student Name: Shashi Kant Gupta

Roll Number: 160645 Date: September 30, 2018

My solution to problem 6

QUESTION