

June 14, 1873.

WHITE STAR LINE.

For Queenstown and Liverpool, carrying the

UNITED STATES MAIL

New and full-powered steamships sailing from New York on Saturday, from Liverpool on Thursday, calling at Cork, Dover, and

Baltimore, Saturday, February 1, at 10 a.m.;

Oscars, Sunday, February 3, at 1 p.m.;

Celtic, Saturday, February 16, at 1:00 p.m.

Atlantic, Saturday, March 2, at 3:00 p.m.

From the White Star Dock, Pavona Ferry, Jersey.

Passenger accommodations (for all classes) unrivaled combining Safety, Speed, and Comfort.

Saloon, state-rooms, smoking room, and bath rooms in midship section, where least motion is felt. Surgeons and stewardesses accompany these steamers.

Rates—Saloon \$80 gold. (For sailing after 1st of April, \$100 gold.) Steerage, \$80 currency. Those wishing to send for friends from the United Country can mail money, average, prepaid certificates, \$80 currency.

Passengers booked to or from all parts of America, Paris, Hamburg, Norway, Sweden, India, Australia, China, &c.

Drafts from £1 upward.

For inspection of plans and other information apply at the Company's offices, No. 10 Broadway, New York. J. H. S. Agent.

UNITED STATES NEW-ZEALAND & AUSTRALIAN MAIL STEAMSHIP LINE.

The steamships of this line are appointed to sail from San Francisco for NEW-ZEALAND and AUSTRALIA, via Honolulu upon:

MAY 22, BRITISH I.,

JULY 15, NOV. 9,

AUG. 14, DEC. 4, at noon.

For freight and passage, apply to

W. H. WEBB, 83 Exchange Place, New York.

ONLY DIRECT LINE TO FRANCE. THE GENERAL TRANSATLANTIC COMPANIES' MAIL STEAMSHIPS BETWEEN NEW YORK AND HAVRE, CALLING AT BREST.

The ship will sail on this favorite route for the Continents, will call first Pier No. 50, North River, as follows:

"Ville de Paris" Surmami, Saturday, January 23, Washington, Rouen, Saturday, February 8.

"St. Laurent," Lemire, Saturday, February 22.

"Pereire," Danre, Saturday, March 8.

Price of ticket, \$10, including wine to Brest or Havre, and return.

First Cabin, \$18; Second Cabin, \$10. EXCURSION TICKETS AT REDUCED RATES.

These steamers do not carry steerage passengers.

American travelers going to or returning from the Continent of Europe, by taking the steamers of this line, avoid both transit by English Channel and the dangerous trouble and expense of getting across the "GEO. MACKENZIE," Agent, No. 58 Broadway.

O. J. OSBORN, ADDISON CAMMACK,

OSBORN & CAMMACK,**Bankers,**

No. 34 BROAD STREET,

STOCKS, STATE BONDS, GOLD AND FEDERAL SECURITIES, bought and sold on Commission.

COUNTRY BOARD,**THE****LONG HILL HOUSE**

(Near Beech's Mill depot, on the Housatonic Railroad, six miles from Bridgeport, Conn.)

Address, C. S. MIDDLEBROOK, Bridgeport, Conn.

ANNA M. MEIXSEL,

DAVID S. CADWALLADER,

MAGNETIC HEALERS,

1114 CALLOWHILL STREET,

PHILADELPHIA.

OFFICE HOURS,

9 to 11 A.M., 4 to 6 P.M.

PSYCHOMETRIC EXAMINATION OF DISEASE CORRECTLY MADE FROM A Lock OF HAIR.

DR. C. S. WEEKS,**Dentist,**

No. 270 FOURTH AVE.,

First door above Twenty-first street,

NEW YORK.

TEETH EXTRACTED WITHOUT PAIN,

using Gas, and Chemically pure Nitrous Oxide or Laughing Gas, and the teeth removed without pain, for thousands, with complete success, and with no bad effects in any instance. All operations pertaining to Dentistry performed in the most careful and thorough manner at reasonable price.

SAM'L BARTON, HENRY ALLEN.

BARTON & ALLEN,**Bankers and Brokers,**

No. 40 BROAD STREET,

Stocks, Bonds and Gold bought and sold on commission.

NEW YORK SAVINGS BANK,

EIGHTH AVENUE,

Cor. Fourteenth St.

SIX PER CENT. INTEREST

allowed on all sums from \$5 to \$500. Deposits made on or before May 1 will draw interest from

May 1, 1873.

Subject, \$200,000.

TWENTY YEARS PRACTICE.**DR. PERKINS'**

Can be consulted at usual at his office,

No. 6 FIFTH STREET (South Side),

KANSAS CITY, MO.

or by mail, loss 125c on the following items of Price.

Diseases. The afflicted will take notice that I am the only man on the American continent that can cure you of Spermatorrhœa, Loss of Manhood, etc., caused by sexual indulgence, or repeated coition, combined with mental facility to render the above ailments in the successful competition. The symptoms of disease produced by nightly sexual emissions or by excessive sexual indulgence, as well as abuse are as follows:

Weakness of limbs; chronic costiveness of the bowels; confused vision; blunted intellect; loss of confidence in approaching strangers; great nervousness, and frequently insanity and delusions; constant desire to receive medical aid. Reader, remember Dr. Perkins is the only man that will guarantee to cure you or refund the fee paid, if not entirely satisfied. Also remember that I am a permanent doctor at N. Y. City, and I have the largest medical rooms in the city. Call and see me; a friend that costs you nothing, and all is strictly confidential. Post box 227.

Dr. PERKINS, Kansas City, Mo.

Risk of half day expenses taken, and 25 cents charged for Lecture.

If necessary the cream of the three rendered in one.

HENRY WARD BEACHEER, GEORGE FRANCIS TRAIN, VICTORIA O. WOODHULL.

Risk of half day expenses taken, and 25 cents charged for Lecture.

If necessary the cream of the three rendered in one.

HENRY WARD BEACHEER, GEORGE FRANCIS TRAIN, VICTORIA O. WOODHULL.

Risk of half day expenses taken, and 25 cents charged for Lecture.

If necessary the cream of the three rendered in one.

HENRY WARD BEACHEER, GEORGE FRANCIS TRAIN, VICTORIA O. WOODHULL.

Risk of half day expenses taken, and 25 cents charged for Lecture.

If necessary the cream of the three rendered in one.

HENRY WARD BEACHEER, GEORGE FRANCIS TRAIN, VICTORIA O. WOODHULL.

Risk of half day expenses taken, and 25 cents charged for Lecture.

If necessary the cream of the three rendered in one.

HENRY WARD BEACHEER, GEORGE FRANCIS TRAIN, VICTORIA O. WOODHULL.

Risk of half day expenses taken, and 25 cents charged for Lecture.

If necessary the cream of the three rendered in one.

HENRY WARD BEACHEER, GEORGE FRANCIS TRAIN, VICTORIA O. WOODHULL.

Risk of half day expenses taken, and 25 cents charged for Lecture.

If necessary the cream of the three rendered in one.

HENRY WARD BEACHEER, GEORGE FRANCIS TRAIN, VICTORIA O. WOODHULL.

Risk of half day expenses taken, and 25 cents charged for Lecture.

If necessary the cream of the three rendered in one.

HENRY WARD BEACHEER, GEORGE FRANCIS TRAIN, VICTORIA O. WOODHULL.

Risk of half day expenses taken, and 25 cents charged for Lecture.

If necessary the cream of the three rendered in one.

HENRY WARD BEACHEER, GEORGE FRANCIS TRAIN, VICTORIA O. WOODHULL.

Risk of half day expenses taken, and 25 cents charged for Lecture.

If necessary the cream of the three rendered in one.

HENRY WARD BEACHEER, GEORGE FRANCIS TRAIN, VICTORIA O. WOODHULL.

Risk of half day expenses taken, and 25 cents charged for Lecture.

If necessary the cream of the three rendered in one.

HENRY WARD BEACHEER, GEORGE FRANCIS TRAIN, VICTORIA O. WOODHULL.

Risk of half day expenses taken, and 25 cents charged for Lecture.

If necessary the cream of the three rendered in one.

HENRY WARD BEACHEER, GEORGE FRANCIS TRAIN, VICTORIA O. WOODHULL.

Risk of half day expenses taken, and 25 cents charged for Lecture.

If necessary the cream of the three rendered in one.

HENRY WARD BEACHEER, GEORGE FRANCIS TRAIN, VICTORIA O. WOODHULL.

Risk of half day expenses taken, and 25 cents charged for Lecture.

If necessary the cream of the three rendered in one.

HENRY WARD BEACHEER, GEORGE FRANCIS TRAIN, VICTORIA O. WOODHULL.

Risk of half day expenses taken, and 25 cents charged for Lecture.

If necessary the cream of the three rendered in one.

HENRY WARD BEACHEER, GEORGE FRANCIS TRAIN, VICTORIA O. WOODHULL.

Risk of half day expenses taken, and 25 cents charged for Lecture.

If necessary the cream of the three rendered in one.

HENRY WARD BEACHEER, GEORGE FRANCIS TRAIN, VICTORIA O. WOODHULL.

Risk of half day expenses taken, and 25 cents charged for Lecture.

If necessary the cream of the three rendered in one.

HENRY WARD BEACHEER, GEORGE FRANCIS TRAIN, VICTORIA O. WOODHULL.

Risk of half day expenses taken, and 25 cents charged for Lecture.

If necessary the cream of the three rendered in one.

HENRY WARD BEACHEER, GEORGE FRANCIS TRAIN, VICTORIA O. WOODHULL.

Risk of half day expenses taken, and 25 cents charged for Lecture.

If necessary the cream of the three rendered in one.

HENRY WARD BEACHEER, GEORGE FRANCIS TRAIN, VICTORIA O. WOODHULL.

Risk of half day expenses taken, and 25 cents charged for Lecture.

If necessary the cream of the three rendered in one.

HENRY WARD BEACHEER, GEORGE FRANCIS TRAIN, VICTORIA O. WOODHULL.

Risk of half day expenses taken, and 25 cents charged for Lecture.

If necessary the cream of the three rendered in one.

HENRY WARD BEACHEER, GEORGE FRANCIS TRAIN, VICTORIA O. WOODHULL.

Risk of half day expenses taken, and 25 cents charged for Lecture.

If necessary the cream of the three rendered in one.

HENRY WARD BEACHEER, GEORGE FRANCIS TRAIN, VICTORIA O. WOODHULL.

Risk of half day expenses taken, and 25 cents charged for Lecture.

If necessary the cream of the three rendered in one.

HENRY WARD BEACHEER, GEORGE FRANCIS TRAIN, VICTORIA O. WOODHULL.

Risk of half day expenses taken, and 25 cents charged for Lecture.

If necessary the cream of the three rendered in one.

HENRY WARD BEACHEER, GEORGE FRANCIS TRAIN, VICTORIA O. WOODHULL.

Risk of half day expenses taken, and 25 cents charged for Lecture.

If necessary the cream of the three rendered in one.

HENRY WARD BEACHEER, GEORGE FRANCIS TRAIN, VICTORIA O. WOODHULL.

Risk of half day expenses taken, and 25 cents charged for Lecture.

If necessary the cream of the three rendered in one.

HENRY WARD BEACHEER, GEORGE FRANCIS TRAIN, VICTORIA O. WOODHULL.

Risk of half day expenses taken, and 25 cents charged for Lecture.

If necessary the cream of the three rendered in one.

HENRY WARD BEACHEER, GEORGE FRANCIS TRAIN, VICTORIA O. WOODHULL.

Risk of half day expenses taken, and 25 cents charged for Lecture.

If necessary the cream of the three rendered in one.

HENRY WARD BEACHEER, GEORGE FRANCIS TRAIN, VICTORIA O. WOODHULL.

Risk of half day expenses taken, and 25 cents charged for Lecture.

If necessary the cream of the three rendered in one.

HENRY WARD BEACHEER, GEORGE FRANCIS TRAIN, VICTORIA O. WOODHULL.

Risk of half day expenses taken, and 25 cents charged for Lecture.

If necessary the cream of the three rendered in one.

HENRY WARD BEACHEER, GEORGE FRANCIS TRAIN, VICTORIA O. WOODHULL.

Risk of half day expenses taken, and 25 cents charged for Lecture.

If necessary the cream of the three rendered in one.

HENRY WARD BEACHEER, GEORGE FRANCIS TRAIN, VICTORIA O. WOODHULL.

Risk of half day expenses taken, and 25 cents charged for Lecture.

If necessary the cream of the three rendered in one.

HENRY WARD BEACHEER, GEORGE FRANCIS TRAIN, VICTORIA O. WOODHULL.

Risk of half day expenses taken, and 25 cents charged for Lecture.

If necessary the cream of the three rendered in one.

HENRY WARD BEACHEER, GEORGE FRANCIS TRAIN, VICTORIA O. WOODHULL.

Risk of half day expenses taken, and 25 cents charged for Lecture.

If necessary the cream of the three rendered in one.

HENRY WARD BEACHEER, GEORGE FRANCIS TRAIN, VICTORIA O. WOODHULL.

Risk of half day expenses taken, and 25 cents charged for Lecture.

If necessary the cream of the three rendered in one.

HENRY WARD BEACHEER, GEORGE FRANCIS TRAIN, VICTORIA O. WOODHULL.

Risk of half day expenses taken, and 25 cents charged for Lecture.

If necessary the cream of the three rendered in one.

HENRY WARD BEACHEER, GEORGE FRANCIS TRAIN, VICTORIA O. WOODHULL.

Risk of half day expenses taken, and 25 cents charged for Lecture.

If necessary the cream of the three rendered in one.

HENRY WARD BEACHEER, GEORGE FRANCIS TRAIN, VICTORIA O. WOODHULL.

Risk of half day expenses taken, and 25 cents charged for Lecture.

If necessary the cream of the three rendered in one.

HENRY WARD BEACHEER, GEORGE FRANCIS TRAIN, VICTORIA O. WOODHULL.

Risk of half day expenses taken, and 25 cents charged for Lecture.

If necessary the cream of the three rendered in one.

HENRY WARD BEACHEER, GEORGE FRANCIS TRAIN, VICTORIA O. WOODHULL.

Risk of half day expenses taken, and 25 cents charged for Lecture.

If necessary the cream of the three rendered in one.

HENRY WARD BEACHEER, GEORGE FRANCIS TRAIN, VICTORIA O. WOODHULL.

Risk of half day expenses taken, and 25 cents charged for Lecture.

If necessary the cream of the three rendered in one.

HENRY WARD BEACHEER, GEORGE FRANCIS TRAIN, VICTORIA O. WOODHULL.

Risk of half day expenses taken, and 25 cents charged for Lecture.

If necessary the cream of the three rendered in one.

HENRY WARD BEACHEER, GEORGE FRANCIS TRAIN, VICTORIA O. WOODHULL.

Risk of half day expenses taken, and 25 cents charged for Lecture.

If necessary the cream of the three rendered in one.

HENRY WARD BEACHEER, GEORGE FRANCIS TRAIN, VICTORIA O. WOODHULL.

Risk of half day expenses taken, and 25 cents charged for Lecture.

If necessary the cream of the three rendered in one.

HENRY WARD BEACHEER, GEORGE FRANCIS TRAIN, VICTORIA O. WOODHULL.

Risk of half day expenses taken, and 25 cents charged for Lecture.

If necessary the cream of the three rendered in one.

HENRY WARD BEACHEER, GEORGE FRANCIS TRAIN, VICTORIA O. WOODHULL.

Risk of half day expenses taken, and 25 cents charged for Lecture.

If necessary the cream of the three rendered in one.

HENRY WARD BEACHEER, GEORGE FRANCIS TRAIN, VICTORIA O. WOODHULL.

Risk of half day expenses taken, and 25 cents charged for Lecture.

If necessary the cream of the three rendered in one.

HENRY WARD BEACHEER, GEORGE FRANCIS TRAIN, VICTORIA O. WOODHULL.

Risk of half day expenses taken, and 25 cents charged for Lecture.

If necessary the cream of the three rendered in one.

HENRY WARD BEACHEER, GEORGE FRANCIS TRAIN, VICTORIA O. WOODHULL.

Risk of half day expenses taken, and 25 cents charged for Lecture.

If necessary the cream of the three rendered in one.

HENRY WARD BEACHEER, GEORGE FRANCIS TRAIN, VICTORIA O. WOODHULL.

Risk of half day expenses taken, and 25 cents charged for Lecture.

If necessary the cream of the three rendered in one.

HENRY WARD BEACHEER, GEORGE FRANCIS TRAIN, VICTORIA O. WOODHULL.

Risk of half day expenses taken, and 25 cents charged for Lecture.

If necessary the cream of the three rendered in one.

HENRY WARD BEACHEER, GEORGE FRANCIS TRAIN, VICTORIA O. WOODHULL.

Risk of half day expenses taken, and 25 cents charged for Lecture.

If necessary the cream of the three rendered in one.

HENRY WARD BEACHEER, GEORGE FRANCIS TRAIN, VICTORIA O. WOODHULL.

Risk of half day expenses taken, and 25 cents charged for Lecture.

If necessary the cream of the three rendered in one.

HENRY WARD BEACHEER, GEORGE FRANCIS TRAIN, VICTORIA O. WOODHULL.

Risk of half day expenses taken, and 25 cents charged for Lecture.

If necessary the cream of the three rendered in one.

HENRY WARD BEACHEER, GEORGE FRANCIS TRAIN, VICTORIA O. WOODHULL.

Risk of half day expenses taken, and 25 cents charged for Lecture.

If necessary the cream of the three rendered in one.

HENRY WARD BEACHEER, GEORGE FRANCIS TRAIN, VICTORIA O. WOODHULL.

Risk of half day expenses taken, and 25 cents charged for Lecture.</div

June 14, 1873.

Magazine.

LITERARY HOUSE
ONE MAGAZINE IN
EST
AND MOST POPULAR IN
ICA.
INSTRUCTIVE ESSAYS,
POEMS,
perb Engravings.

BLE WRITERS EN-
PON IT!
Twenty Cents a Copy.

CHROMO. WORTH \$5.
E

E UP A CLUB, AND
ONE PREMIUM.

One three months on
but to count as the sub-
to balance of the year. A

& CO., Publishers,
Wilson St., Chicago, Ill.

ORRICA

PRESCRITION, AND
FOR \$10.

No medicine given.

R. E. WOODRUFF,
Grand Rapids, Mich.

OUT LOVE.

The meeting of the Federal Council, on Sunday, June 1, was signalized by the adoption of the following

MEMORIAL.

TO THE HON. BOARDS OF ALDERMEN AND ASSISTANT AL-
DERMEN OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF NEW YORK:

The memorial of the American Federal Council of the Interna-
tional Workingmen's Association, respectfully represents,

That labor is or should be a right, as it is a duty, by law recognized and by law enforced; not a mere favor dependent upon the will or caprice of any private individual or company.

That it is notorious that such individuals or companies employing labor are governed by no other motive than a desire to increase their private gains. The least number of persons that will contribute to this end, is the greatest number that they will employ. The smallest sum that these persons will receive as compensation for their labor is the greatest that their employers will pay. And that, as an inevitable consequence of this relation of capitalists (so-called) to the working classes and of the competition among laborers thence resulting, any appreciable addition to the population, either from its normal growth or by migration, tends to diminish the rate of wages, increase the number of hours of daily toil, and augment the number of unemployed.

That, notwithstanding provisions of some kind must be made and governmental agency of some sort must inter-
vene to avert the poverty, vice and crime attending such increase of population.

That the "Contract System," so-called, of employing labor now enforced by the government is but another form of private greed and co-operate monopoly under another name, and is utterly regardless of the rights denied to, and the wrongs endured by, the working classes.

That almost every great public work given out to private contractors eventually proves to have been but a grand scheme of private enrichment at the expense of taxpayers and consumers; and does not diminish the number of unemployed or afford them any hope of relief.

That under this "Contract System" public works have become so contaminated by collusion with corrupt public officials, that public confidence has been lost in all governmental works, and every public interest suffers from the wilful neglect of said corrupt officials.

Wherefore, your memorialists respectfully request that your honorable bodies will

1. Adopt such measures as may be necessary to procure the repeal of the laws enacting said "Contract System of Labor." And

2. Adopt similar measures to establish a public Labor Bureau whose function and duty shall be to employ labor upon public works, without the intervention of private capitalists, and for the public good.

Your memorialists sincerely believe that if the municipal governments of the several States of this nation were to adopt this policy and begin to employ labor for its own sake, commencing just where private individuals upon whom the privilege of employing labor has been conferred, neglect the duty thereby imposed, the net profits of the business thus undertaken (now pocketed by the said private individuals) would give employment to all idle people, pay the laborer better than he is now paid, leave a large surplus to meet all governmental expenses, and prevent the necessity of the levy of a tax of any description.

B. Hubert,
Wm. Banks,
John Sheldon,
James H. Blood,
George R. Allen,
A. Ulrich,
Theo. R. Knight,
Samuel Oliver,
Mr. Kavanaugh,
Charles Smith,
C. Osborne Ward,
William West,
Hugh McGregor,
Alex. Frey,
George Blair,
Miss Edith Palmer.

June 14, 1873.

WOODHULL & CLAFLIN'S WEEKLY.

8



Wm. A. Carney, Victoria C. Woodhull,
Mrs. M. L. Elliott, Mr. Percival,
J. W. R. Hill, Council
WM. WEST, Recording Secretary
T. R. KNOTT, English Corresponding Secretary
B. HUBERT, Foreign Corresponding Secretary

And Citizens Madox, Blair, Percival, McGregor and Ward
were appointed to wait upon the Common Council and present the above memorial.

THE RECENT PRODUCERS AND CONSUMERS' CONVENTION AT
THE ASTOR HOUSE AND THE FEDERAL COUNCIL

It having become known that the co-operation of the International had been solicited in the accomplishment of the work undertaken by the farmers of the West and the mechanics and artisan's of the East, a pamphlet bearing the title of "Facts for the People," consisting of extracts taken from late numbers of the American Grocer, in which the "Grangers" are informed that the Internationals are "thieving rascals, driven out of other countries, and ready to take on to any movement like that, the respectable men of the West are now inaugurating, and that they are a disgrace to any person or any thing they may associate with." They have been trying to attach themselves to the labor organizations without success, and it may be to this that they are indebted for their invitation to attend this convention.

The Federal Council considers that this sweeping attack cannot be met by a general denial, but requires a special refutation of the various parts thereof, and trusts to your sense of justice to give the same prominence to our reply that you have given to the accusation. As it is much easier to make a statement than it is to substantiate it, we need not apologize if we require more of your valuable space for this our refutation, than was required for the accusation. In the first place we would state the fundamental principles of the International.

They are as follows:

- "That the emancipation of the working classes must be accomplished by the working classes themselves, that the struggle for the emancipation of the working classes means not a struggle for class, privileges and monopolies, but for equal rights and duties, and the abolition of all class rule."

"That the economical subjection of the man of labor to the monopolizer of the means of labor, that is, the sources of life lies at the bottom of servitude in all its forms, of all social misery, mental despotism and political dependence."

"That the economical emancipation of the working classes is therefore the great end to which every political movement ought to be subordinate as a means."

"That all efforts aiming at that great end have hitherto failed for the want of solidarity between the manifold divisions of labor in each country, and from the absence of a fraternal bond of union between the working classes of different countries."

"That the emancipation of labor is neither a local nor a national, but a social problem, embracing all countries in which modern society exists, and depending for its solution on the concurrence—practical and theoretical—of the most advanced countries."

"That the present revival of the working classes in the most industrial countries of Europe and America, while it raises a new hope, gives solemn warning against a relapse into the old errors, and calls for the immediate combination of still disengaged movements."

Let us further state that all who accept these principles are eligible for membership, whether beggar or millionaire.

In the second place, we deny that we are "thieving rascals," as a rule, although some of our members being middlemen and retail grocers, may be in a manner forced to mix fine sugar with coarse sugar, in order to compete with still more unscrupulous tradesmen, escape bankruptcy and maintain their respectability. Others being bakers may add alum to their flour, and others being druggists may adulterate with poisonous ingredients the medicine they dispense. These and many other things equally rascally and thievish they may do, so as to keep their standing in society, where all is false or forged. Yet while we assert that we are no worse than the rest of humanity, we do not claim to be any better than surrounding conditions will admit. We are earnestly working to hasten the time when the robber and the robbed alike shall pass away, and man shall break, and man shall walk in the light of a fairer day.

The statement that we have been driven out of other countries is true than the assertion that we are "thieves, yet it is not wholly true, from the fact that more than one-half of our members are native-born citizens of the United States. It is true that a certain proportion of our members are adopted citizens, the record of whose lives would be the history of the struggle for social and political freedom during the last half century. Not a few of them are survivors of many a hard-fought field where the flag of freedom has gone down before the deadly fire of the soldiers of despotism. Not a few of our number have passed some of the best years of their lives in prison for advocating principles they love dearer than their lives. Some have even been executed in the cause of humanity, but no Napoleonic monarch or Italian *savir* have ever made themselves so ridiculous as to charge advocates of the Social and Democratic Republic with being thieves. That distinction has been reserved for a journalist in free (?) America.

That we are a "disgrace" we freely admit, huddled together in crowded, ill-ventilated and loathsome tenements, stunted in body and mind by premature and long-continued labor, with the almshouse as our only relief, and the grave as our only rest, we are a disgrace, a disgrace to society, a disgrace to civilization.

With regard to your last statement we would simply say that the time has not arrived for writing the history of the International. Contemplating as we do an entire reconstruction of society upon the basis of complete and exact justice to all, we cannot expect to be immediately successful. Big oaks grow from little acorns, but their growth is slow. Seeing, moreover, that the Christian religion has been preached from thousands of pulpits for at least eighteen centuries, and yet there exists atheists, both practical and theoretical, according to Theodore Parker—no man, authority by the way—

June 14, 1873.

WOODHULL & CLAFLIN'S WEEKLY.

5

going out for your future success in this good and great cause; and it will be gratifying to you to feel that "when generation after generation has passed away, and the proudest monuments erected by science and art have long since mouldered into ruins, and you, as one of the mighty, noble and brave are sleeping in the silence of the grave, your memory will ever remain a beacon light through the darkness of time—a star on eternity's ocean."

Victoria C. Woodhull's work entitled "The Original Tendencies and Principles of Government" are before me, and do not doubt shall find as much pleasure in its perusal as the one I have just finished.

If you have other works as interesting I would like to hear from you, as also many of my friends, who have been kept so long in ignorance of our privilege to vote.

Believe me, your sincere friend,

Mrs. JESSE THIBOT.

(From the Graphic, May 19.)

ICONOCLASM.

Was there ever such an age for the breaking of idols? Popular and unpopular, living and dead, men and women, alike they meet the banner of the iconoclast, and are broken to pieces, or changed so that their best friends would fail to recognize them.

The history we studied twenty-five years ago now reads like a fable. In our better-informed day, Nero figures as a philanthropist; blind King Henry of England is a conscientious Christian and tender husband; Mary of Scotland is virtuous and unhappy; Benedict Arnold and Aaron Burr are by no means the worst men whom the Revolution produced; Benjamin Franklin is as much of a *roue* as a philosopher; Jeff. Davis deserves the laurels of a hero, and not a position dependent from a sour apple tree; and Ames and Brooks have laid up Credit Mobilier in Heaven, if their funeral eulogies are to be believed. This much for one side of the subject; but there is another which is sadder to dwell upon. The developments of the Credit Mobilier investigation disfigured a whole row of popular idols, including many in high position. If there is a doubt as to their guilt, let us give them the benefit of it and keep their names out of print. It is sufficient for punishment that their *fame* is smirched. But it is a terrible blow to popular pride that the Eric scandal and the Virginia exposure have followed so swiftly on the heels of the black record of last winter at Washington.

Is there to be no end to this iconoclasm! Its latest effort touches a man who had given his name to the youngest and most thriving university of the State. It has been charged openly on the floor of the Legislature that Ezra Cornell, whom the people revered as a benefactor, has been filling his own purse while pretending to serve educational interests intrusted to his care. Let us hope that this may turn out to be untrue, and that at this point the work of the iconoclast may be stayed. Terrible, indeed, would it be if his hammer fell where rumor points; if the fame of America's greatest preacher became a ruin. In Hawthorne's "Scarlet Letter," Mr. Dimmesdale passed from the midday of his triumph into the shadow of night. If the scene ever was made real, the religious community might be pardoned if it dashed the rest of its idols to the earth.

Dear Sisters—The frequent remark of travelers that "the world after all is a small affair" may yet be seen to be applicable to some of the world of mankind, even to some of the *elite*. Some have already been shorn of their lofty pretensions, and others will soon be numbered among them.

Even the usually non-contemplative mind of our day ought to be able to see that some and fortunes, honors and emoluments growing out of or based upon the palpably and inevitably transitory in religion, politics and social standing, must prove ultimately as unstable and unsatisfying as the foundations upon which they rest; but where we see those who stand in the relation of leaders to us and I might say commandants of the people, eagerly seeking and with wondrous energy laying hold of phantoms, representing the true and substantial of these, we are constrained to the conclusion that although not strictly classic, it is a truthful saying, that "the fools are not all dead yet, but have their special representation even among the class last referred to."

These remarks are suggested by a further consideration of the position of, and the ways and means of its attainment by the Rev. Mr. Beecher; and I cannot but feel, though by no means exultingly, that he will sooner than he expects realize the truth embodied in them, or intended to be, and they are uttered in the fervent hope, that the lesson involved in the expected event may prove lastingly instructive to all who read it.

You have stated, dear friends, that the friends of Mr. Beecher claim that the "great soul" is dead, and if this be so, as I have no doubt, allow me to assure them that it is only so in the minds of those whose "wish is father to the thought," and that such facts cannot be settled of existence, no matter who may attempt it, not even by the aid of the entire wealth, and assumed social superiority of the Plymouth congregation, or any other that they may succeed in enlisting on their side. Bro. Beecher, and some of long (probably the fifty) know that "the soul" has a substantial basis, and I repeat dare not deny it, nor dare they put the trio of the Weekly to the proof of it.

Confessedly the singular enormity of the charges tried by the popular standard, constitutes them a marvelous demand upon the crudity of a usually readily deceived people (for any body believing in the literal miraculous conception story must be so counted), but that is because it is made against Henry Ward Beecher, and also because thousands can force in its result the wreck of all their selfish and divisive hopes, and the realization of their fears in the upheaval and overthrow of all existing institutions of which it may as truthfully be said as of the temple of old, "Not a stone shall be left upon another that shall not be thrown down."

All transpiring and impending events point merrily to such result, and are in fulfillment of the true intent and

meaning of prophecies regarding the close and opening of the passing present, and all succeeding dispensations as the merits of one repeat themselves in each succeeding dispensation through all time in all essential particulars, at the ever advancing toward the attainable perfect.

Truly and affectionately yours,

(Copied from the original by M. M. D. Sherman.)

Dear Victoria, Anna, and Col. Blood—When I realize the amount of labor that must be performed before there can be any great radical change in the political, moral and spiritual world, and that this work must be performed by brave souls and willing hands in order to bring about the greatest good to all, I greatly marvel that there are so few laborers in the vineyard of truth. What has the *Banner of Light*, with all of its fresh weekly inspirational flashes through Mrs. Conant, done for you, ye noble souls who have so nobly stood at the front and fought so bravely the battles of all true reform? Have they even rebuked the enemy of the liberty of the press and free speech? Have they, when our nation's rights have been invaded by the scavengers of a rotten-hearted priesthood, and you taken from your home and incarcerated within the gloomy walls of a dungeon, raised their voice in your behalf? Are they faithful sentinels on the walls of their spiritual Zion, or have they, like H. W. B., stood upon their own dignity, and rallied them selves behind the conservative walls of their own encampment?

Again, what has S. S. Jones, that wonderful lump of purity (editor *R. P. Journal*, Chicago), done for the liberty of free speech and discussion in the dark hour that has tried your honest souls? Did he raise his flag to the breeze and sound the alarm when your rights were trampled upon, and call upon true men and women everywhere to rally round the flag of liberty, and see that the oppressed were freed from the servile chains of official ignorance? or did he retire behind his pop gun battery, after firing a few shots from the muddy spirit gun of his own corrupt nature at the face of her who is in every respect, from the crown of her head to the sole of her feet, his superior?

The editor of the *R. P. Journal* opened his columns to Hudson Tuttle, that he might abuse you without stint or measure. Charles C. Overton, of Berlin Heights, has a knife for his pure (?) scalp.

Where were Davis, Denon, Edmunds, and hosts of so-called reformers? Did they fear their dignity would be soiled and their fair names tarnished if they stood up in your defense? Ah, shame on such reformers! They are unworthy the name. Yea, their cheeks should blush with shame, that they allowed two noble women to fight alone, and almost single-handed, such mighty battles for them selves and posterity, and then, when the trying hour came, muzzled their mouths and turned the cold shoulder and calmly looked on, without an effort to repel the clamorous aggression practiced by official imps of government.

G. F. Train quoted Bible, and was cast into the filthy Tombs for so doing; and what will be the result? A mighty reformation, and a sifting of that Holy (?) Book, and a common-sense view of it, and of Train's noble purpose. Can it be possible that in this boasted land of liberty such an honest, true-hearted man can be allowed to remain incarcerated in the damp walls of a filthy prison four months without trial, and the American press and people remain quietly within their own homes and call it right?

Again, when we see the worthy, toiling laborer working day by day for a mere pittance, and groaning under heavy taxes that are imposed upon him by those in high office, and the nation greatly in debt, with little prospect of its becoming less, Congress voting themselves double pay, and trying with their might and power to connect Church and State, in order to ensnare us and coming generations, is it not high time for every man and woman who have no sword to sell their garments and buy one? On one hand we see an intriguing and treacherous government, and on the other a corrupt and rotten priesthood—all obtaining a livelihood from the hard earnings of the honest laborer. I can see no prospect for the future but the sword.

Dear sisters and brother, be of good cheer, you are doing blessed work, and thousands are looking to you for freedom, strength and food; and the dear WEEKLY is gaining firm, fast friends everywhere, and many are giving up the *Banner of Light* and the *R. P. Journal* and taking your paper, because it advocates true reform and fears not to speak the right, though a conservative world may frown and call you names and opprobrious epithets.

Yours for the naked truth, M. L. SHERMAN, M. D. ADRIAN, April 30.

HAVANA, MASON Co., Ill., April 28, 1873.

Dear Mrs. Woodhull—Will you place my name among the list of speakers who announce their unwillingness to accept any engagement with any person whatever as to what subject they shall treat or regarding the manner in which it shall be treated? Though I am not active in the lecture field at present, still I have not withdrawn therefrom and hope soon to take up the work again. Circumstances have forced me to remain silent for a time, permitting neither voice nor pen to utter their protest against the evils and the wrongs which so glaringly meet the eye everywhere in high places and in low places, and on middle ground too. And all this time I have so longed to speak and to act with the few brave workers who dare to face persecution and martyrdom for truth's own dear sake; and if

life and health are spared to me I shall strive all my power to make circumstances yield, that I may be privileged again to enter upon the labor that I like best of all—that of proclaiming the truth as my own soul shall dictate to the hungering people. May the All-wise Father hold you in his protecting care is the prayer of one who would labor heart and soul with you in the cause of humanity and truth.

Thine in the bonds of love,

ELVIRA WHEELOCK RUGGLES.

From the report of the proceedings in our case, before Judge Barrett, in the Court of Oyer and Terminer, we quote from the Bench, as reported by the *Sun*, as follows:

He added: "It seems to me a good deal can be said as to whether Maxwell ought not to be tried first. He will be brought here as one of the witnesses in the case, and the fact that he is under indictment for perjury in the preliminary examination would weaken the effect of his testimony. If he is first tried for perjury, if convicted, the defendants here will very properly not have the benefit of his evidence; if acquitted, they will have the benefit of it without the weakening effect of an overhanging indictment." His Honor suggested that the District Attorney try Maxwell first.

District Attorney Phelps said, "Maxwell's case is in the Court of General Sessions, and the reasons for not trying that case are entirely satisfactory to me."

Perhaps the reasons above referred to may not be as satisfactory to the demands of Justice as they are to the District Attorney.

(From the N. Y. Sun, June 4.)

FIVE YEARS FOR TEN CENTS.

John Fork, a cigar-maker, aged 22, a native of Germany, was in the General Sessions yesterday on an indictment for stealing ten cents from John J. Nevell. On the afternoon of March 15, while Mr. Nevell was walking in Fulton street, toward Nassau, two boys, John Shay and John Fork, suddenly appeared from the hallway of No. 16, and after walking together some fifty foot, Shay took twenty cents from his overcoat pocket, half of which he dropped on the sidewalk, and Fork picked it up. In defense, Fork testified that he was not acquainted with Shay, and that he picked up the ten cents not knowing that it had been stolen. The jury, nevertheless, found him guilty, and Recorder Hackett sentenced him to State Prison for a term of five years at hard labor.

VICTORIA C. WOODHULL:

An Oration Delivered at the Opera House in Springfield, Mass., on Wednesday Evening, April 30.

BY JOSEPH TREAT, M. D.

(This appeared in the Springfield paper as part of the Advertisement of the Oration: "Citizens of Springfield! On Wednesday evening at the Opera House, I shall do justice to Victoria C. Woodhull. I shall show that you owe her more than you do any other woman in America, and that you are ingrateful to treat her as you have done and still do. I shall show that she is your knight, fighting your battle, and I shall call on you to stand by her, and make her your champion. I summon you to appear and vindicate my claim in her behalf, or expose it.")

LADIES AND GENTLEMEN: The Editor of the *Republican* promised to insert for me, in this morning's paper, these words, but did not: "Dr. Treat requests us to say that tonight, he will yield the floor to any one who, in the interest of morality, will point out wherein the code of Victoria C. Woodhull is not pure, beautiful and good." You see the Editor was afraid to be challenged, afraid to let it be known that the people of Springfield had been challenged!

We are all good. We are all parents or children, husbands or wives, brothers or sisters—we all sustain some one of these relations linking us to our fellows, and constituting the pledge that we must love them. And the people of Springfield are good, kind and humane, noble and generous; they are too true and just to think or speak ill of Victoria C. Woodhull, except because they are misinformed. "Father, forgive them, they know not what they do," applies to them, as it did to those of old. And yet I accept it that the people of Springfield do speak against Mrs. Woodhull, and condemn her as worthy of their utter reprobation, even so that they regard it as shame and disgrace to attend this meeting: I accept it that the whole country casts her out as evil, maligning and vilifying her as never woman has been before; and I come to vindicate her, and in the face of all to do justice to the truth. Yet I shall speak in sympathy with these good people of Springfield; in sympathy with all this puritanism of New England, for only four in a direct line, stand between me and one who was Governor or Lieutenant Governor of Connecticut over thirty years, and above all, I shall speak in sympathy with purity itself, ready to show that all that she proposes is pure, and to yield the floor to any one who will prove the reverse, aye, ready to be stopped at any point, from this moment.

Victoria C. Woodhull "is set for the fall and rising of more persons than any other individual living—perhaps, for the fall of this man [pointing to Mr. Beecher's Portrait], but whether for his rising again, time must determine." As was said of old, "He that will save his life shall lose it, but he that shall lose his life for my sake shall find it," so I say, he that will save his or her reputation in the matter of Victoria shall lose it, but he that shall lose reputation for her sake shall find it. They call Boston the hub of the universe, but Mrs. Woodhull is the hub of the world to-day, in a sense in which no other person is; more hinges on her than on any other mortal man or woman. She is "the head of the corner, the stone of stumbling, the rock of offence, everywhere spoken against," but everywhere spoken against *falsely*, for she is just the reverse of the woman she is taken to be. She does not live in her Amativeness, nor in her social affections; she even lacks the social, as the physiologist at once reads. In the simple, passionate clasp, contact of her hand (she is not of those who hold hands), in her meeting friends in her office every hour in the day, even those not seen for

years, in her whole intimacy with those around her, in all that she says and does, stands out the precise opposite of what she has been represented, a pure, true, unmaterial woman.

She believes in one love, as the true and perfect marriage, though conceding freedom to those who differ from her, as listen to her own words: "We believe the highest condition to which humanity can attain is the perfect union, intellectually, morally, and sexually, of one man and one woman, which we claim, is the only perfect marriage. We hold this is the highest sexual condition because we believe there is most happiness to be gained in it, the degree of happiness being the standard of perfection. And we shall lose no opportunity to assist the evolution of humanity toward the possibility of this perfect marriage." (WEEKLY, April 20.)

But she lives in her Intellect, and it is tame to say she carries more brains in her head than all the editors in Springfield do in theirs. She exemplifies wonderful intuition, inspiration, Genius. Gen. Grant was three years in bursting on the world, but less than that time she burst on it, as one of the great and superior minds—in many respects, the great and superior mind of the age! Read the proof in her Addresses, any of which—attribute them to her own inspiration, to the inspiration of spirits, or to the revelation of clairvoyance—have never been equaled.

Read the proof in her instantly vaulting to the leadership of the Woman Suffrage Movement, leaping over the heads of such women as Elizabeth Cady Stanton, Lucy Stone, and Julia Ward Howe, and such men as Theodore Tilton, George William Curtis, and Henry Ward Beecher. Wendell Phillips was never to be named with Mrs. Stanton for statesmanship and philosophy; for breadth, depth and comprehensiveness, yet hear how Mrs. Stanton speaks of Mrs. Woodhull: "When our representatives at Washington granted to Victoria C. Woodhull a hearing before the Judiciary Committee of both Houses—an honor conferred on no other woman in the nation before—they recognized Mrs. Woodhull as the leader of the woman suffrage movement in this country. And those of us who were convinced by her unanswerable arguments that her positions were sound, had no choice but to follow. Mrs. Woodhull's speeches and writings on all the great questions of national life, are beyond anything yet produced by man or woman on our platform."

It was superiority that made Mrs. Woodhull see that the Constitution had already settled the question of Woman Suffrage, in that simple fact of making woman a citizen. It was the same superiority that saw that the one grand thing woman needed, at last, the only one thing that could ever save her, was to become independent. The same superiority instantly carried that proposition a step further, solving the whole long problem of Prostitution. With all women peculiarly independent, free from possibility of want or need, prostitution forever ceases to exist. The same superiority again, invented "male prostitutes," that one word stamping man this very thing and all this thing of infamy and shame, that woman had always been.

And the next step was to write both male and female prostitutes, up, or down, to the self-same level, as in these immortal words of Tenme C. Clafflin, addressed to a prostitute: "I am proud of the opportunity to call you, also, sister, as I do every one of the daughters of our common Father in heaven. * * * I live on Murry Hill, quite among the respectable, and am visited continually by all classes, from the family of the President, and from clergymen and their wives, and the presidents of the colleges down to the most humble, and I shall be happy to receive you at my home at any time, alone; with others of your class; and shall be as ready, and willing to accompany you on the street, or to dico with you at the restaurant, as if you were, in all respects, the first ladies in the land. It is enough for me that you are human beings, and such as Christ loved, and associated with. If you are not doing as well as you might under all your conditions, I hope to love you into doing better. * * * I have to associate every day with male prostitutes, in my business, in the family, everywhere, and if I then condemn and despise and avoid women of equally bad character, am I not gaudily false and traitorous to the dignity and equality of my own sex, and a participant in the injustice and outrage which men would and do heap upon us? Men, it is said, can not be ostracized from society by women, and that is true; but we can accept all of our own sex on the same terms as we are compelled to accept men, and by this means establish an equality."

The same superiority leaped at once to the solution of the great problem of Love-Free. With Free Soil, Free Speech, Free Press, and Free Man, it had been claimed that Love ought to be Free; but Mrs. Woodhull cut the Gordian knot by showing that with all women independent, Love inevitably would be Free, there could be nothing to constrain it, neither in marriage nor out of it. As there could be no prostitution with independence, so, with it, there could be no getting married except from choice, no submission to sexual union in marriage except from choice, no continuation of that marriage except from the same choice. Free Women added to the other list, would settle all.

And the next step was to make this solve the great question of Generation. All know that if children were only born right, born good, the world was saved—generation wrapped up regeneration, origination, reform—but how to get the good children? But Mrs. Woodhull cut again the Gordian knot with the words, "consent to maternity, instead of maternity universally forced!" And the answer falls on the world like a flash of lightning.

And then this rationalizes the great question of Sexuality. The whole world had conspired to taboo Sexuality, shut it out from discussion, as indecent, indecent, obscene; but Mrs. Woodhull still again cut the knot, by showing that that is the very thing that must be discussed, the one thing that needs to be discussed more than all others, discussed ceaselessly and everywhere, till all shall understand and appreciate it, most especially the young. Sexuality is at last, all

there is of humanity; in being humanity's sole and only origin.

And the same superiority shone out, in that matter of unmasking society. The New-York press to-day, representing millions of dollars as it does, dares not expose Sing Sing, dares not tell what it full well knows of maladministration there—dares not because it does not feel able to; but Mrs. Woodhull entered on the task of unmasking universal society, exposing its falsehood and rottenness and hollowness, because she was able to! She laid her hand on the first man of the age and unmasked him, and in this showed her superiority to him; for she unmasked him in a speech, but he could not make a speech that would answer her; she unmasked him in a paper, but he could not write in a paper what would answer; and so he had to persecute her, just as the bully who has not brains to oppose to brains, resorts to a bullet or bludgeon. She has been persecuted as no woman, and no person, ever was before, a whole continent railing upon her for cursing and abhorring—and that is the proof of her superiority. A continent does not rock to kill a midge, and need not to insure suppression of a lie! But, indeed, to this unanimity from ocean to ocean, it was nothing that the whole of that seven-by-nine Judea once cried out, "away with him, crucify him!"

And she is shown superior in the fact that man cannot meet her now. A week ago-to-night she lectured in Albany, but that city was not able to confront her, so the people staid away, and the papers croaked over a small audience. Nor here can the people meet her, in the person of her representative, but the editors refuse notice, and disavow from attendance, as the Editor of the Union said last night: "Springfield usually lots this class of lecturers off by itself, and it is greatly to her credit that she does so." (1)

But the resurrection of her journal is a proof of superiority such as the world never witnessed before. The showboards on Main street make Barnum boast of "rising like a phoenix from its ashes," he himself is portrayed with wings, issuing from the smoke and flame of a great conflagration, but all that is an infinitesimal to what we have here. Who, a few weeks ago, had a whole hemisphere banded together against her? Victoria C. Woodhull. Who now snaps her fingers in the face of that whole hemisphere? Victoria C. Woodhull. Who had her paper suppressed, its whole edition confiscated and destroyed, and every one connected even with its delivery, banned? Victoria C. Woodhull. Who now issues that same paper regularly, every week, as if nothing had ever happened? Victoria C. Woodhull. All this is patent proof of the superiority of her intellect, and of her cause, as well as then, of her moral and spiritual nature.

Woman is her starting-point and polestar, and everything else with her is a side-issue, or an issue forced on her as essential to the other. All this discussion of the sexual question has been forced on her (instead of being the result of a love development), both because there could not be better women till they were born, and also, because it is through this very sexuality that men hold women in thrall—it is for the gratification of their own sexuality that they retain women in bondage. All this unmasking society and Mr. Beecher has been forced on her (instead of growing out of a nature in love with scandal), because equally society, Mr. Beecher, and the horrible system both act to conserve, all three plant themselves in her way, to defend her emancipation of woman. Literally, as against Mr. Beecher, she was only acting in self-defense—he was aggressor, and she was only repelling his attack.

Even all this standing up and suffering and enduring cruel persecution, for the freedom of speech and the press, has only been a side-issue, glorious as it has been. What might make any other one immortal, has only been something to which she could not stop to give a thought, but yet which she has been compelled to do without giving a thought. And here's where she has been her representative, lighting your battle. Never was there such a stupendous conspiracy against the freedom of the press, as this organized in the interest of Mr. Beecher; if the freedom of the press might have been stricken down on that question, it might have been on any other; you, editors of Springfield, might yet have found your own papers muzzled, and yourselves without the right of free speech. But she threw herself into the breach; and you, people of Springfield, ought to be ashamed of yourselves that you did not stand by her; your editors ought to be ashamed of themselves, and I now summon you all to stand by her!

But she is fighting your battle in this very other matter in which you are all so unmotivating her, this very matter of the sexual question; even in this she is fighting your battle in three ways: First. She is fighting your battle in this very matter of marriage; she is showing that free marriage, or the union of two who live together because they love each other, and want each other—love and want each other so that they must be one, and can not live apart—is beautiful, is holy, is heaven: she is showing that where this marriage exists, it could not be sundered, even if all laws enforcing it were abolished; she is showing that in her own case it can exist without law, without even semblance of law—no, Col. Blood, she, Mrs. Woodhull—and then that where it now does exist under law, it still would exist if every law relating to it were at once abrogated. If every law were abolished to-day, all those married pairs who now love each other, want each other, and only live together because they do love and want each other, would remain as before. In Rhode Island a couple were once divorced by a judicial decree procured in joke; that night they slept on separate pillows, and next day went before a justice, and were made one again; but these married pairs would not even recognize repeat of the law, they would live on just as if nothing had happened, they could not by all things together be wrested from each other, rent apart, if hunger can eat through a stone wall; so "love is stronger than death; many waters cannot quench it, nor floods drown it." It will bring about the marriage of two trusting youth, in spite of opposition from parents and the whole world; and then when two have lived together in this bliss a year after year, till the tenderness of all that experience transcends even dream of the honeymoon, blot out every law, but still they

will cling to each other, they never can be torn asunder but by force or death!

So, if every law were repealed, multitudes who do not love each other so perfectly, would by that very fact be made to love each other more, and they would remain together. Now, each feels sure of the other, because that is the law; but let each be in danger of losing the other, and each would rush into the other's arms to prevent that, and nothing could separate them—the very repeal of the law has welded them. And other multitudes who now think they cannot live together, but yet who could if they only knew it, if the law were repealed to-day, would look round, and try, and reach forth tendrils, and at last come back to the old loves, and they would remain together. And still other multitudes, so mismatched, that they cannot possibly dwell together, were the law repealed, would go forth and contract new unions, and thus correct their mistakes. Harmonious marriage cannot exist without a great adaptation between the two, so that often good people, yes, even the very best, cannot live together, their different goodnesses not adapted; as a witty divine once said to a parishioner whom he was dissuading from marrying a Christian woman, "the grace of God can dwell where you and I can't." So in a million marriages there must be a great many mistakes which cannot be mended without separation, and it is unjust to refuse the right to mend the greatest mistake of a whole life, and then the removal of the law opens the door to thousands to do better. And those who would run riot if the law were repealed, could not; now they can run riot, both in marriage and in houses of prostitution, but then both these doors would be closed against them. So that if all marriage-laws were repealed to-day, no class would be rendered worse, many classes would be rendered better, every perfect comibinal relation would remain intact, and thousands of such relations not perfect would be made so; and then Mrs. Woodhull is fighting your battle and that of the whole world, even in this holy matter of marriage.

And she is fighting your battle in the matter of Love. Say what you will, we are all sexed beings; we are all dying for love, both to bestow it, and to receive it. If Humboldt lived till ninety alone, it was only because he had had a love in youth, and he was still true to that; just as Washington Irving had loved once, and remained true to his dream. If Newton never loved, (yet this is not known,) he never was the man he would have been if he had; he was just so much less than a man. But the great world is pining to be loved, and writhed because it is not loved. There is a skeleton in every house! And Mrs. Woodhull comes to the world and teaches it that love must be free, it can not be enslaved, it lies in chains; she teaches that it is a mistake that you can compel love, a mistake that you can own somebody so as to have a right to claim love, a mistake that the husband can own his wife, so as to have a right to claim her love; but if a husband wants the love of his wife he must make himself worthy of it, he must attract it, become so good and noble she can not withhold it from him—he must win it by the right of a voluntary conquest. The wife can not own her husband, so as to claim his love, but she must attract both him and it. The husband must give up rum and tobacco, and the wife too much extravagance and display. Now, husbands and wives are so selfishly jealous; each is afraid he or she shall not get the other's love, and so seeks to command it, and by that very doing cheats him or herself out of it. Each is afraid somebody else will get the other's love, and so each tries to shut the other away from everybody else, and up to him or herself!

And then the remedy of all, the one eternal remedy, is to reproduce that ancient courtship which first made two one, and forever after let that courtship take the place of marriage. Let the two day by day sue to each other, sue for love and sympathy, sue as if each could not claim, and as if each had never received, sue as each sued when he once would have died to gain her hand, and she overtook with the same passion, would equally have died to gain his. On, if that each has received, and knows he or she will, but still it is the same suing! That is, it is perpetually the same free marriage, perpetually the same free gift of love in marriage, perpetually the same free gift of love in marriage, and then Mrs. Woodhull is fighting your battle and that of the whole world, in this dearest and most precious matter of love.

And she is fighting your battle in the matter of Licentiousness, and your desire and effort to put an eternal end to it. You claim to abhor prostitution, but you are no nearer the end of prostitution than they were three thousand years ago. Instead of opposed to prostitution, our whole present system is in favor of it. We forbid and punish murder, but we license and regulate prostitution. Even marriage calls to have prostitution perpetuated, as an indispensable support of the marriage institution itself. The vice of prostitution is boldly claimed to be the only palladium of the virtue of marriage. The transparent argument is that men will never be satisfied in marriage, and then if there were not other provision for them, they would break out into universal adulteries and fornications, and thus bring the whole marriage institution into contempt. And our present marriage-system must and will perpetuate prostitution, as long as itself is perpetuated—an compulsory marriage will aid and assist. Compulsory marriage is unwillingness, disgust, loathing on the part of woman, and dissatisfaction on the part of man, and then we will seek to compensate that dissatisfaction somewhere else. And compulsory marriage is not exercise, education, of his love, and then he will run to lust. Prostitution is thus the safety-valve, the precedent condition, of marriage one way, and the licentious effect of marriage another. No wonder that what is thus doubly entrenched in marriage, all our cities should tolerate, and St. Louis regulate with statutes whose details and hideous obscenity call for Comstock and the Young Men's Christian Association! Instead of a really Christian, civilization, and even marriage, furnish only supports. And then Mrs. Woodhull presents the only possible remedy: make all women independent, and no matter

for men, for all men, creation forever.

But loveless marriage, prostitution or adultery, for all sexual union within marriage, and then here is had before, marriage, pulsory marriage can not exist without prostitution; pulsory marriage is only love. There might be contracted, but then the adultery, and there is pulsory proposals. Make never submit to prostitution for they will never submit marriage will be a complete system of abuse.

Then our present marriage is a complete system of abuse on these horrible crimes; marry marriage is at once universally compulsive that, the whole land it toll millions of innocent lives come to life. All the tolerable, and then to our present marriage, the only prevention stand of matrimony us is at once a welcome

And our present marriage to unnumbered millions transmits all the exhaustion of motherhood, and so children are at the third, and so on until of vitality, of consumption, developed affections to maturity.

The same marriage crime that all things men's worst traits, permits the lechery of pregnancy, making drunkards and in all other ways—a but in concert of the

Even poverty with

marriage, no salary

Diseases are the

irreversible result of per-

young women and mu-

mothers before them

care but consent.

And to these marriages is a tyranny them the right to make this right which is the right of every woman in it. Every maiden Christian and call it self-accusing, forever that whispers of the of these unmarried thousands them if either have a man, who now sneer the same law, would then to force somebody in marriage and all p— and instantly these married female in the perfect right as any in a married be provided for women and prostitutes free, so that they shall make all women free conditioned on love had never been an would seek and find

And our present system to all women, in the sent. Men may be compelled; men are women: sexual union must be slaves, but no There could be no atrocious tyranny to both to perfection!

And Mrs. Woodhull is showing you how who who does not stand up of all this long list of who does not stand up constitution, every one of consent is in favor foul system. Every Woodhull is in favor of prostitution, rape and not stand with her is the infants that are being intemperance; it disease; it's outlawing and enslaving all who you do not stand

June 14, 1873.

WOODHULL & CLAFLIN'S WEEKLY.

7

sunder, but

do not love

to be made to

other. Now,

I would rush

thing could

diluted them,

not live, to

the law were

reach forth

es, and they

so mis

were the law

and thus

cannot exist

that often

divine

and coming

from

I can dwel

lives there

be mended

the right to

then the re

do better

eased, could

and in houses

should be closed

repeated

any classes

cial relation

ations not

will is light

in this holy

Love. Say

it trying for

boldly lived

a love in

Washington

dream. If

never was

not so much

to be loved,

was enslaved,

if you can

say so as to

husband can

but if a

himself

and noble

it by the

of own her

attract both

and tobacco.

Now, his

frighted he

command

out of it

love, and so

else, and

and

is to

the two one

ciple of mar

sue for

n, and as if

en be once

taken with

gain his

will, but

only the same

to live in

marriage;

and that of

ous matter

of Licen

ternal end

on are no

three thou

tuation,

our

and puni

. Even

is an indis

. The

only pub

argument

and then if

would break

and thus

empt. And

constitute

compulsory

unwilling

and dissatis

to com

and compul

s. love, and

the safety

way, and

order that

our cities

utes whose

book and

a remedy

the only pos

and no mat

er

you do not stand with her you are in favor of all these

for man, for all men, even—there can be no more prostitution.

But loveless marriage itself is only another name for either prostitution or adultery, where it is not (as it often is) rape; for all sexual union without love is prostitution, adultery or rape; and then here is even a wider licentiousness than we had before, marriage exceeds all prostitution. And compulsory marriage can never be any other than all this; it can not exist without prostitution, adultery and rape, for compulsory marriage is only another name for marriage without love. There might have been love when the marriage was contracted, but then the marriage was free; the moment it is compulsory, it is not free, it is not love, and then all is this adultery, and there is no cure but that which Mrs. Woodhull proposes. Make all women independent, and they will never submit to prostitution, adultery or rape in marriage, for they will never submit to the marriage itself—compulsory marriage will be a contradiction and an impossibility.

Then our present marriage is only another name for a complete system of abortion and infanticide, pays a premium on these horrible crimes, literally compels them. Compulsory marriage is at once compulsory maternity, and almost universally compulsory maternity, till to avoid and prevent that, the whole land is full of murder in the womb, and untold millions of innocents are slaughtered instead of welcomed to life. All this is to save marriage, make marriage tolerable, and then the remedy is, instead of perpetuating our present marriage, to replace it with that which is free. The only prevention of abortion is consent to maternity, instead of maternity universally forced—welcome maternity is at once a welcome child.

And our present marriage is equally the parent of murder to unnumbered millions that are born. Compulsory maternity transmits all possible diseases of fathers, and at least the exhaustion of mothers, not to say all their own maladies; and so children are still-born, die the first day, the second, the third, and so up to a year, and five years, die of want of vitality, of consumption, of scrofula, of both organic and developed affections—all murdered for lack of that consent to maternity.

The same marriage is the direct cause of more vice and crime than all things else; compelled maternity transmits man's worst traits, making husbands and murderers; transmits the lechery of fathers victimizing wives all through pregnancy, making children sexual monsters, rushing into sexual vice; transmits the taint and curse of intemperance, making drunkards and idiots, more drunkards than are made in all other ways—and there is forevermore no salvation but in consent of the mothers!

Even poverty with all its woes is the pre-eminent work of marriage, so many more born, and so many good-for-nothings—and there is no salvation from that but in consent. Female Diseases are the creation of the same marriage, the inevitable result of perpetual victimizing—even in the case of young women and maiden ladies, for they inherit from their mothers before them; and for these there will never be any cure but consent.

And to these thousands of maiden ladies everywhere, marriage is a tyranny rising to infinite outrage; for it denies them the right to maternity, the right to sexual relations, the right which is part of their very nature, as much the right of every woman out of marriage as it can be of any in it. Every maiden lady feels this right; she may be a Christian and call it sin, but in spite of creed and priest and self-accusing, forever she hears a still small voice within, that whispers of the fate of being a mother! And thousands of these unmarried would make most excellent mothers, as thousands of these married are most miserable ones, and then if either have no right, it is these in marriage. And now, who now sue at these unmarried, once cut all down to the same law, would be the first to admit their right? Take away from men the right to force somebody in marriage, or then to force somebody else in prostitution—make all women in marriage and all prostitutes free, free to refuse those men—and instantly these very men would rush to every unmarried female in the country, as if she had always had as perfect right as any in the land. And never can these unmarried be provided for, except by thus making all married women and prostitutes free. Make all women in marriage free, so that they shall continue in marriage only from choice; make all prostitutes free, so that there shall be no prostitutes; make all women free, so that men must win their consent, conditioned on love—and inevitably, and as easy as if there had never been any difficulty, the equal number of men would seek and find the equal number of women!

And our present system is sheer and outrageous tyranny to all women, in the simple fact of denying them this consent. Men may do or not, forsight, but women must be compelled: men are to be free, but freedom is not right of women: sexual union is to be man's pleasure, but it is not at all to be woman's consent. Women must submit to men in marriage, women must submit to be prostitutes, women must be slaves, but men never need to be anything but lords. There could be no greater, meaner, more insulting, more atrocious tyranny than this—petty and almighty tyranny both to perfection!

And Mrs. Woodhull is fighting your battle in all this, she is showing you how to mend all this; and every one of you who does not stand with Mrs. Woodhull to-night, is in favor of all this long list of outrage and crime. Every one of you who does not stand with Mrs. Woodhull is in favor of prostitution, every one of you who does not accept the doctrine of consent is in favor of it! You defend and champion that foul system. Every one of you who does not stand with Mrs. Woodhull is in favor of this licentiousness of marriage, its prostitution, its rape, and adultery. Every one of you who does not stand with her is in favor of its abortion, its murdering the infants that are born; its breeding criminals; its spreading intemperance; its causing poverty; its creating female disease; its outlawing unmarried women; its tyrannizing over and enslaving all women in denying them equal rights—yes

you do not stand with her you are in favor of all these

There is no alternative but to endorse Victoria C. Woodhull, or uphold all this old rotteness! On which horn will you hang?

But she believes in promiscuity! That is just what she does not believe in, and what you do. Independence and consent would put a stop to all, but love; it is your system which disregards that, both in marriage and prostitution.

But she claims the right to change her husband every day—and could not be hindered from him by all the power on earth! She offers such an impossibility to stifle people, set them thinking. She claims she has been made to feel it her duty to speak so, to force home a great principle. She has a right to change her husband every day, if she needs to, and she puts it thus strongly to make everybody see it. She ought to change a husband with whom she could not live, and so ought every other woman, and she is bound to make the world know it.

"But she has lived with two men at once!" Only as you would, if you could be as good and noble as she. She has lived with her husband (not legal but free) in a love never surpassed in both, and like a sister given home and welcome to the brother who once was husband, and who now needed what nowhere else he could find, human love, sympathy, ministering. If she had never done anything else, this to those who believe in God, would have proved her divine! Instead of hating one with whom she could not live, and making separation a ground of grand quarrel, she only and still lived, loved as a true sister now that she could no longer as a wife. She set the world an example, as was fitting that she above all others should, both, that when two have no longer exercise toward each other true mating love, love of husband and wife, then as husband and wife they should part, but instead of therefore becoming enemies, they should still remain friends, exercising toward each other the beautiful love of brother and sister. And for this all good men, and the coming ages will bless her.

"But this does away with everything that can be called marriage." With free marriage it does not, and as to what is called marriage, what is that? The most beautiful thing on earth in imagination and anticipation, from yearest childhood till through youth, up to the time when it comes to the loving twain, the one bright gala-day of their existence, with its honeymoon of infinite delight; but in five brief years thereafter, in one short year, in millions of cases it turns out a tragedy, the most terrible tragedy of human life, proving itself as Henry Ward Beecher calls it, the grave of love, and ripening at last to a hell of discord and suffering, the like of which is not to be found anywhere else on earth! In thousands of cases marriage is untold hell to good and noble men, in other thousands to good and noble women, and in still other thousands to both parties. Even to multitudes of reformers, who have for years refused to be seduced into it, but after all have been oversuaded, it has proved nothing but the same burning hell at last! It is a slavery every moment galling, but a slavery from which there is no escape: though millions suffer in its abyss of horrors, all with one consent are covering it up; marriage makes them apparently miserable, but by a strange infatuation they cling to it; freedom would save them, but they are all afraid of freedom: only one saving is possible, but on that saving they turn their back—they are afraid to relinquish their hold on each other, when that would be the very thing that would draw them together! So that what is called marriage needs to be done away in order to make these living it happy, that is the very thing that requires to be done, it all should be made free marriage!

"But some families would be broken up." Ay, and some ought to be; some parents ought never to have come together, and some children ought never to have been born. That is the very thing, the families would be happier broken up, the parents would be happier, and so would the children, and literally it would be better for both. Families without love are only hells, and even a new and strange family under the influence of love, becomes a heaven.

But we are so good to-day, so much better than we are afraid we are, that all this might be regulated, everything might be brought round without even a shock. Women are so good, that if all was lost to their consent, instead of the foundations of society being upheaved, instead of anarchy, all would fall into order and form, and be right. Instead of lust it would be love, for women would not give themselves without love, so that then the picture would be, no sexual intercourse except as the result of love, whereas now it is, the same sexual intercourse almost universal without any love, but only lust on the part of men. Then I demand the new state to be worse than the old? I defy any man living to say that it would, I defy any man living to say that the state of things which Victoria C. Woodhull would bring about, is worse than all this we have now, is not better than all this, I defy any woman to say it is not better, I challenge all Springfield to this issue, I yield the floor to any who dare respond! Victoria stands out the advocate of a purity more beautiful than earth has yet known, a purity by the side of which your present system of prostitution and marriage are only hells to heaven!

Then the brazen impudence of calling a man a free lover to stigmatize him as impure! Every man not a free lover, has marriage and prostitution open to him, wherein to glut his lust, riot in lechery; but the free lover will not have one woman on the broad earth holden to him, every woman must be absolutely free in her own right royal refusal, and he forever shut up to the task of noble and worthy winning. He is libertine! Out upon the shameless, audaciously! Free Lover is a name pledging him to purity snow-white, by the force of the main culminating him!

And the equal impudence of calling a woman a free lover to brand her impure! In marriage and prostitution women has no choice, and so a whole life of compelled debauchery is all right; but once let her demand the right to no, and instantly she becomes a very monster of depravity!

And the unbearable effrontery of married women calling

maiden ladies free lovers! As if maiden ladies had not the same perfect right to freedom in the matter of sexual relations, and as if the maiden lady's consent was not infinitely more honorable than the married woman's compulsion! And the same of a widow!

And the bare-faced impudence of men stigmatizing women as free lovers, when women have precisely the same rights in sexual matters, and men are only cowards and tyrants in preventing their exercise of those rights! No, take it in all four ways, or any way you will, there is no name good and glorious but Free Lover:

And the infernal brazenness of denouncing the discussion of Sexuality as impure and immodest, when men and women are all the time living all these horrible things! things not too bad to exist, but too bad to be exposed, that they may no longer exist! Just as Mrs. Woodhull spoke in Albany a week ago to-night, and next morning the papers came out and called it "disgusting"!

Yes, everything but true free love is forevermore base and vile. Everything but this free love is lust. Everything not consent is licentiousness, compulsion on woman's part, tyranny on man's. And men oppose and will oppose free love, in order to hold women for their lust in marriage and prostitution; and they oppose and will oppose woman suffrage, because that will make woman independent, and rob men's lust in the same darling marriage and prostitution! Not all men do this, but bad men do, and the great body of women blindly play into their hands.

And so this nation needs Victoria, to be a Savior to it. O Victoria, thou child of the skies, thou comest to a nation perishing for thee, and yet afraid of thee! Thou comest to thine own to own receive thee not! But it will not always be so. She will yet inspire this great people to goodness and reform, and they will lift her principle to power, they will make freedom the law of this land, and herself trustee to administer and guard it; they will select her to be the nation's most befitting President. That will be for the first time to elect a President in the interest of citizenship, in the interest of woman well as man, in the interest of this great and universal purity. Washington, Adams, Jefferson, Lincoln, but we have never had a woman for President, and till we do, we need her more, and she would make a better President, than any man who ever existed. Till we have a woman, Washington and Lincoln if alive and in one, would be nowhere!

America owes Victoria more than any other woman who ever lived, even Isabella—owes her for standing up for free speech and free press, and still more for free women and free men, free citizens—for if Isabella had not succeeded Columbus, America would still have been discovered; but better the continent had never been discovered, than now that it has been, and been peopled with millions, the rights of all those millions should be striken down, and the hope of the continent go out in night! O America, stand by thy friend, thy champion, thy knight; it is base to desert one who is fighting thy battles! Woman indeed she is, yet she is the one perfect hero who immortalizes thee—thy Daughter before any Son!

But, everything is combining to make Victoria the great woman not only of the age and of America, but of the world, for she is not fighting for this country only, but for the same rights in all lands! History will place her first on the roll of World-Saviors, outshining every star, even the Star of Bethlehem!

And if I speak not of her sister Tennessee, it is because I have not time; but she has been essential to all this, an indispensable part of it, so that without her it could not have been. Her name will be linked through the ages with that of Victoria, and these two sisters who were made to drink a nation's hate to the dregs, who were thrust into jail like felons, and their names coupled only with bawds and prostitutes, will go down to a grateful future like shining scruples, their story everywhere calling forth tears of sympathy, and they receiving the reward of universal benediction forever! Of them it will be said, and of their persecutors it will not be,

"Only the actions of the just,
Smell sweet and blossom in the dust!"

And there is another, who stood with them, and was of them, indispensable to both, equally devoted to the same great cause, and equally honored in the same persecution, who will go down with them as co-martyr! Other men have selfishly, meanly, sought to use women to add to themselves, sought to make them means to themselves; but he has generously given himself to these two to help them in their labor, and further their enterprise, as if he were might and they were all; and for this truth to woman, he will yet be pre-eminently remembered as,

"Among the faithless, only faithful found."

And the cause for which these three have suffered—
"Though now by jimpis and panders hissed,
And covered o'er with shame!"

though branded as the cause of lust by those themselves addicted to lust, as well as by multitudes of pure misinformed—no cause shall ever shine out in that glorious future, more holy, more free from faint or stain or even seeming of ill, more beautiful every way, in the eyes of a regenerated, ransomed world, than this which these three have consecrated, and which has consecrated them even to martyrdom! But from this day, will then be seen to date the era of that world's redemption!

And then these three have need of you, and of your effort to aid them in this work. They are toiling as you know not, against literally overwhelming odds. Come to their succor, and furnish them with the sinews of war. Act at once, for the demand is now, Let not the future that shall vindicate them, accuse you. Let not lack of your contribution retard the cause. Remember,

"It is a spell of light and power,
The watchword of the free—
Who spurns it in this trial-hour,
A craven soul is he!"

June 14, 1870.

June 14, 1870.

TERMS OF SUBSCRIPTION.

PAYABLE IN ADVANCE.

One copy for one year,	\$3.00
One copy for six months,	1.60
Single copies,	10

CLUB RATES.

Five copies for one year,	\$12.00
Ten copies for one year,	22.00
Twenty copies (or more at same rate),	40.00
Six months,	One-half these rates.

FOREIGN SUBSCRIPTION

CAN BE MADE TO THE AGENCY OF THE AMERICAN NEWS COMPANY, LONDON, ENGLAND.

One copy for one year,	\$4.00
One copy for six months,	8.00

RATES OF ADVERTISING.

Per line (according to location),	From \$1.00 to \$2.50
Line, column and page advertisements by special contract.	

Special place in advertising columns cannot be permanently given.
Advertiser will be collected from the office of the paper, and
must in all cases, bear the signature of Victoria C. Woodhull.
Specimen copies sent free.

Newspapers supplied by the American News Company, No. 121 Nassau street, New York.

All communications, business or editorial, must be addressed

Woodhull & Claffin's Weekly,

48 Broad Street, New York City.



NEW YORK, SATURDAY, JUNE 14, 1870.

We request our readers all over the country to see that the editors of the various papers have opportunity to read this No. of the WEEKLY. We should be glad to send to every paper, but the expense is too large.

PREMIUMS TO CLUBS.

In a short time we intend to present the most magnificent schedule of premiums for new subscribers and clubs that was ever offered, as an introduction to which we now present the following:

For every subscription (from one to four) received we will send the WEEKLY one year and one of the dollar photographs—Woodhull, Claffin or Blood.

For every club of five subscribers—fifteen dollars—five copies of the WEEKLY one year, five photographs and one copy of "Constitutional Equality, a right of woman," by Tenny C. Claffin, price \$2.00.

For every club of ten subscribers—thirty dollars—ten copies of the WEEKLY, ten photographs and one copy each of "The Principles of Government," by Victoria C. Woodhull, price \$3.; and "Constitutional Equality" (each book containing steel plate engraving of the author).

For every club of twenty subscribers—sixty dollars—twenty copies of the WEEKLY one year, forty photographs and two copies each of "The Principles of Government" and "Constitutional Equality."

For every club of thirty or more subscribers, accompanied by three dollars for each subscriber, thirty copies of the WEEKLY one year, thirty photographs and one each of the books—"The Principles of Government" and "Constitutional Equality"—for every ten subscribers; and

For a club of fifty subscribers—one hundred and fifty dollars—fifty copies of the WEEKLY one year, fifty photographs, a set of the books and a Wheeler & Wilson Sewing Machine.

PREPAYMENT OF POSTAGE.

On strict inquiry we learn that we were in error in interpreting the new postal law, to require the prepayment of postage on papers sent to subscribers. The reading of the law conveys this idea, but it is not to be construed to mean it. Such postage as has been remitted us, however, we will pay here, so that no loss shall result to any one from our misconception of the law.

TO THE RESCUE! TO THE RESCUE!!

Awake, arouse, friends of freedom! Let the cry sound up and down the length and breadth of this land. The hand of the despot is raised to crush out the new-born infants of freedom. The only paper in the world that dares advocate the sovereignty of the individual is threatened. The only paper that dare lay hold of dark deeds in high places and drag them out into the pure sunshine is set upon by the representatives of these places with a desperation that means victory or death. The only paper that dares defy the minions of the combination between Church and State, to stay the rising tide of reform, is attacked on all sides, by all sorts of enemies, who make common cause against it as their most dreaded foe. Say, "Freemen" and "freewomen" of America, shall they succeed? Let your replies flow in upon us, and let us be overwhelmed in turn by your emphatic Nevers!

and let every soul contribute his or her mite to sustain this decision!

MORE INDICTMENTS.

Just as we are going to press, we hear rumor of two more indictments; but for what we cannot learn; but our readers may be assured that we have not murdered anybody; anything short of this we shall be able to fight successfully. We say bring them on, gentlemen. If five are not enough make it seven or ten, or twenty; only suit yourselves, and the better you are suited now, the worse you will be pleased when the fight is ended. Truth and justice will come of victorious against all your money, and all your plotting. So go on and find the end of the rope as soon as you please.

WOODHULL AND CLAFLIN TO BE OVERWHELMED.

The Beecher-Bowen-Tilton-Comstock-Challis-Davis-Phelps-Fullerton Libel and Obscenity Conspiracy have formed in solid phalanx and hurled themselves with all the fury and fanaticism of religious bigotry upon us. In the hope instantly to overwhelm us by mere weight of numbers. They call us to answer to four indictments a single day, and use every possible means to compel us to trial at twenty-four hours' notice. They know well enough that delays are dangerous to any conspiracy; but they also know well enough that justice is always certain to assert her sway with time, and hence to them it is a sure element of defeat of which they do not intend we shall have the advantage.

But we snap our fingers at the enemy and stand firmly by our colors, knowing that our friends all over the country will rally *en masse* to our support, and never permit the proud flag of freedom which we have borne aloft, now these three years, to trail in the dust after such a conspiracy as has been set on foot to trample it down. We know that in this emergency every true soldier of the grand army of liberation will maintain his or her ground, and aid us to hurl back this infernal movement, and to crush beneath its ruins every hand that has been unjustly raised against the now rapidly moving religious, social and industrial revolution.

WHAT DOES THE PRESENT ONSLAUGHT MEAN?

The sudden renewal of the movement to crush the WEEKLY, which has spread dismay and terror in the ranks of all grades and persuasions of hypocrites means the suppression of all radical reform. To the wealthy it means the fastening of their rule over the industries of the country and the continued dependence, nay, the coming enslavement, of the laboring classes. To the scribes, pharisees and hypocrites of a religious stripe, it means the injection of God into the constitution of the country and the burning of disbelievers and the racking of free thinkers—in a word, it means the staying of the momentous tide of reform that is now surging and hurling itself against the hoary-headed bulwarks of religious fanaticism. To the social despots it means the continued bondage of women to the passions of men. It means legalized rape and prostitution, and all the horrors of illegal prostitution. It means seduction, abortion and moral and social death. It means the continued bearing of unweeble children, by ante-natal cause born murderers, robbers and desperadoes. It means intellectual, moral, social and physical death to the coming generation; and finally, it means the ultimate destruction of the race, more thoroughly than if swept from the earth by an impossible flood, or fire from heaven or hell.

THE FIRST CASE AGAINST US ABANDONED.

Wednesday, at 11 o'clock A.M., we appeared before the United States District Court, Judge Blatchford presiding, to argue a motion to quash the indictments for sending obscene literature through the mails. J. Parker Jordan sustained the motion to quash, by an exhaustive argument showing that there was not a single case in the whole record of legal authorities that could be produced to sustain the legality of these indictments, which merely set forth the charge that he had mailed an obscene print, without reciting what the obscenity consisted of. This argument (which we hope to present in full next week) was entirely conclusive in all its points but the decision of the court upon the point raised was rendered unnecessary by the announcement of Mr. District Attorney Purdy that the indictment which he held in his hand was not the one upon which he intended to proceed to trial. This one was in fact the original indictment under which we were confined in Ludlow-street Jail a month; but we now learn that it is not intended to try us on the indictment but upon the second one of the 9th of January, which the District Attorney did not have and of the whereabouts of which he did not seem to be informed. Judge Blatchford very properly ruled that there was nothing before the Court against us, and thus ends the case that was instituted to vindicate the reputation of a revered citizen. The question now arises, what should be the penalty for such outrages upon the peace and personal liberty of citizens? More anon!

MATERIAL AID.

We can stand firmly and fight squarely all the opposition that is hurled against the attorney of social reform. We can go to jail, be tried and sent to prison, if need be, to

maintain the principles of social and religious freedom. We can do all this for the sake of the cause and for the friends of the cause, but we cannot manufacture the means to maintain this cause. For these we must rely upon our friends.

We should much rather be of those who furnish the needed aid than to be those who have to suffer all the indignities of dungeons, bolts, and bars, and the vindictiveness of Prosecuting Attorney; and we ask friends to consider this difference, and respond to this our appeal promptly and sufficiently. Everybody who owns us a subscription should send it in at once, and every one who does not owe a subscription should obtain a new one, and thus at once relieve our present needs. And we shall be able to judge of the appreciation of the services we have rendered by our suffering and trials, by the material assistance that friends now extend us.

We have large bills to pay, able lawyers to fee, because we have done what we have to hasten on the glorious time of woman's political and social emancipation. Friends to woman, and through her to the race, will not hesitate to sustain us through the battle. It will be short, hot and decisive, and we have no fears of the result. Then let your appreciation speak in deeds as well as in words. Words are comforting, but they will not kill this infernal conspiracy, not against us merely nor mainly, but against freedom for all the people.

DEATH TO THE WEEKLY.

The recent renewal of warfare against us has but one real meaning, and that is to kill the WEEKLY, by worrying and annoying us. The enemy in this case does not care a fig for us merely as individuals, but as the editor and proprietor of the WEEKLY, and as one who dares to tell the truth about God's vicegerents, even if it be very badly against them, we are of vast importance to the whole set of professing and praying Christians—the Y. M. C. A. God-in-the-Constitution people. From the terrible enmity displayed against us in this character, it would seem that these Christians think the death of the WEEKLY, is necessary to the life of their hypocrisy, and perhaps there may be more real truth in this than we have been accustomed to believe.

But shall they succeed is now the question? We reply, they cannot if our friends stand by us. It matters not what they may do with us; they may convict and send us to prison, and still the WEEKLY will run, if its friends desire it to do so. We have made the requisite preparations for any and all emergencies, and all we ask to be able to carry them faithfully out is for the friends of all-sided freedom, all over the country, to do their duty simply. We may labor as faithfully and untiringly as we can, and unless we elicit the support of our friends it will not avail.

The renewed prosecutions should reawaken the zeal of all who have become thoughtless. They intend to crush us to kill the WEEKLY. Let every reader, then, decide irreversibly that he or she will send us at least one new subscriber to help us through the present emergency. There is something more involved in this persecution than the principles advocated by the WEEKLY. The right to publish free thought upon any subject other than social matters is also attacked, since, if for publishing unpalatable social facts a paper may be suppressed, then any other facts upon any other subject, unpalatable to the existing powers, may also be suppressed.

We have often warned the press of the country of the danger of silence in this matter; but because we are advocates of unpopular theories of social freedom, which, however, are almost universally practiced by men in their low and purely sensual aspect, the male press of the country maintains its silence. But we do not believe there is a single free man or woman in the country who desires the freedom of the press to be abridged, and for this reason, if for none other, they should now stand by the WEEKLY, which is fighting out this battle against the combined powers of Church and State, as represented by the Beecher-Bowen-Comstock-Challis Conspiracy.

THE MONSTER SCANDAL.

The immense sale of No. 128, containing the Beecher-Tilton Scandal entire, just as it appeared in the November 2d number, for which we were really arrested; and the *Thunderbolt*, issued by Edward H. G. Clark, of Troy, N. Y., containing Tilton's "true story," exploded by Victoria C. Woodhull, shows the interest in this scandal to be still unabated. This, without exception, is the most remarkable paper ever issued from any press, and will shake the country from centre to circumference, carrying home to every soul the conviction that something must be done to remedy the terrible social conditions. Everybody in the country should obtain a copy of this paper to keep, since it will become historical and be remembered in after ages, as having stood the test of the combined powers of State and Church, in their attempt to protect the reputations of "revered citizens," by suppressing the paper that dare attack them. Orders from all parts of the world immediately filled. Single copies, 10 cents; 12 copies, \$1.00; \$7.00 per hundred, \$60.00 per thousand.

TO SUBSCRIBERS,

Bills for subscriptions that have expired are now being sent in the papers weekly. We specially request that all who receive them will reply to them at once. The bills are

made to show what another year. Those who wish to renew what is now due, or simply a renewal ceiving which a renewal permit us to say, these things *immedi-*

PROGRE-

It will be remembered second of November, of circulating obsc. WEEKLY, date Nov. 2d, denied an examination to have, and held in dollars bail, were remanded in confinement, and were discharged. United States Court upon a civil suit for \$100,000, and dollars each, criminal charge of were then finally paid.

We immediately December 25, entitled "Moral Cow Weeks in Ludlow's proposition to speak Truth," so enraged free speech, that that culminates on the date not take place until near midnight that time. Then followed Commissioner Day's decision that stands in other country. But the sum of five thousand we were discharged again arrested upon on the 19th of January the five arrests, led to the indictments and the

On the same arrested for obscene criminal charge of Prison. On the following exhaustive examination First, that he was in the State of New York fully proven upon in the daily papers standing this, however, indictment, and held in three weeks' confinement Tombs, gave bail and arrested upon the 20th of January, where we were released.

At the above examination was sustained, by Maxwell. So completely in all its details, that it would be held for trial unless they could be caused the arrest of the whole scheme and, waiving an examination Justice Fowler rendered which he was a witness to frequent charges and a he stands under the law for libel.

And thus matters no movement having been made any of the several in sight that no trial will be had.

THE CAUSE.

In the meantime a arriving at a conclusion nothing but the truth also that the animus of the reputation of the United States, Country scency to have been

Until the publication Mix's celebrated letter *Thunderbolt* by Edward H. G. Clark, Mr. Beecher fondly natural death, and movements to irritate any pressed. But the appearance controversy afresh in the country, and the review of the original. The Beecher thought it necessary to move that they moved thus bear upon the Courts libel and obscenity—

made to show what is due up to date, and also a renewal for another year. Those who do not wish to renew will please remit what is now due and order the paper discontinued. Those who wish to renew may either send both these amounts or simply a renewal for one year, or three dollars, upon receiving which a receipt for same will be returned. Again permit us to say, Do not delay doing one or the other of these things *immediately*.

PROGRESS OF THE REVOLUTION.

A REVIEW OF THE SITUATION.

It will be remembered by most of our readers that, on the second of November last, we were arrested upon the charge of circulating obscene literature—*Woodhull & Claflin's Weekly*, November 2—through the mails; and being denied an examination into the charges that we were entitled to have, and held in the unprecedented sum of ten thousand dollars bail, were consigned to Ludlow-street Jail. We remained in confinement until December, when we procured bail and were discharged from the commitment made by the United States Court. We were then immediately arrested upon a civil suit for libel, gave bail in the sum of five thousand dollars each, and were again immediately arrested on a criminal charge of libel, and giving bail for that also, and were then finally permitted to go free.

We immediately published the *Weekly*, No. 112, dated December 28, containing the suppressed Boston speech, entitled "Moral Cowardice and Modern Hypocrisy; or, Four Weeks in Ludlow-street Jail." This paper, together with a proposition to speak in Cooper Institute on "The Naked Truth," so enraged the conspirators against a free press and free speech, that they planned another arrest, which was to culminate on the day of the proposed speech, but which did not take place until after the speech was delivered at night, and near midnight we were hurried off to Ludlow a second time. Then followed the examination and the holding by Commissioner Davenport, under the most extraordinary decision that stands upon any court records in this or any other country. But we were again required to give bail in the sum of five thousand dollars each, upon doing which we were discharged. But we were almost immediately again arrested upon a second indictment for libel, in which, on the 10th of January, we also gave bail, and thus ended the five arrests, leaving us under four distinct criminal indictments and the civil suit for libel.

On the same Saturday, upon which we were first arrested for obscenity, Col. Blood was also arrested on a criminal charge of libel, and consigned to Jefferson-market Prison. On the following Friday and Saturday he had an exhaustive examination into the case. His defense was: First, that he was not responsible for the alleged libel; and, second, the truth of the alleged libel, which is justification in the State of New York—both of which defenses were fully proven upon the examination, and it was so announced in the daily papers that reported the examination. Notwithstanding this, however, Justice Fowler held Col. Blood for indictment, and he was indicted and held to bail, and after three weeks' confinement in the above-named prison and the Tombs, gave bail and was released, but was immediately arrested upon the civil suit and consigned to Ludlow-street Jail, where we were at the time confined.

At the above examination the second theory of defense was sustained by the pointed testimony of Mr. James E. Maxwell. So conclusive was this and so utterly convincing in all its details, that nobody even imagined Col. Blood would be held for indictment. The conspirators saw that unless they could break down Mr. Maxwell's evidence, that the whole scheme would fall through, and they immediately caused the arrest of Mr. Maxwell upon a charge of perjury; and, waiving no examination, he was held to bail, before Justice Fowler rendered his decision holding Col. Blood, at which he was a whole week in arriving. In all the subsequent charges and arrests Col. Blood has participated, so that he stands under three criminal indictments and the civil suit for libel.

And thus matters have stood since the 10th of January, no movement having been made to bring us to trial upon any of the several indictments. Indeed, it has been freely stated that no trial upon any of them would ever be held.

THE CAUSES OF THE SECOND MOVEMENT.

In the meantime almost everybody who had any means of arriving at a conclusion had concluded that there had been nothing but the truth told in the issue of November 2, and also that the animus of all of our arrests was the vindication of the reputation of a "revered citizen," as Gen. Davies, in the United States Court, announced the first arrest for obscenity to have been.

Until the publication in the *Chicago Times* of Col. Jas. P. Mix's celebrated letters and the publication of the *Thunder*, held by Edward H. G. Clark, of Troy, N. Y., the friends of Mr. Beecher fondly hoped the Scandal was going to die a natural death, and they took special pains to make no movements to irritate anybody, and no efforts to have the suits pressed. But the appearance of these matters opened the controversy afresh in the columns of the papers all over the country, and the revival was even more damaging than the original. The Beecher-Bowen-Comstock-Challis conspiracy thought it necessary to do something, and in their desperation they moved thus: A systematic pressure was brought to bear upon the Courts to bring us to trial in both cases—for libel and obscenity—and relying upon the peculiar method

so well known to the initiated, so secure a conviction in one or the other.

This, however, was so closely kept that we had no intimation of it until the last day of May, when we were hurriedly informed by our counsel that the libel cases were called for trial on Monday, June 2, and the obscenity trials on Tuesday, June 3. Simultaneously with these notifications came the publication of the Beecher-Tilton-Bowen covenant, which will be found in full, and fully-reviewed, in other columns. This was undoubtedly designed by "Suffolk," however much it may really prove otherwise, as a diversion against us at the moment of being brought to trial.

PRELIMINARY SKIRMISHING.

Thus on Monday, June 2, we appeared in the Oyer and Terminer Court, having had not over a single day for preparation. On the case being called, William F. Howe, of our counsel, called the attention of Judge Davis to the fact that while United States District Attorney he had instituted the proceeding against us for obscenity, and suggested that he might not desire to try the case because of such previous action. He immediately saw the impropriety of trying persons as Judge whom he had been instrumental in prosecuting as Attorney; but instead of putting the case over until another term, when another Judge should occupy the bench, he immediately changed courts with Judge J. R. Barrett, so that the District Attorney might push the case on that day.

On Judge Barrett taking his seat, our counsel said that a contingency had arisen for which they had made no provision, that, seeing certain Judge Davis would not try the cases they had supposed, they would go over till next term. But further than this, they said that the time since receiving notice had been so short it was impossible, simply, to have prepared for this trial. Our witnesses were scattered. Some of them in Baltimore, others in Massachusetts and others still in California, and requested the District Attorney to agree to give a sufficient time to prepare for the trial.

THE DETERMINATION TO PUSH US TO TRIAL.

Benjamin K. Phelps, the District Attorney, now began to exhibit the evidence of a *personal* motive. He had determined to try these cases upon that day and he would listen to no notice to postpone. He said there had been five months in which to have prepared for this trial and our non-preparation was no reason for postponement.

Counsel then appealed to the Court, and in reply to the District Attorney, said that when we were called upon to plead to these indictments, that we were ready for trial, that our witnesses were where we could have had them at a short notice. But as there had been no movement to try the cases, indeed, as they had never before even been put upon the calendar for trial, the Court should not expect that we could always hold our witnesses within the jurisdiction of the Court; in fact, that they had proceeded beyond such jurisdiction, and were then beyond it. That Mollie De Ford, the person who kept the house at which a part of the alleged libel occurred, was a material witness; as were also two others, named "Daisie" and "Annie," and that they were beyond the jurisdiction of the Court. That Laura Cuppy Smith and Frances Rose MacKinley were also material witnesses, and that they were absent from the city and beyond the jurisdiction of the Court, and that, under these circumstances and the fact that there had been no sufficient time in which to obtain them, the Court should grant a reasonable time.

The District Attorney growing still more bitter, even verging on vindictiveness, said, that counsel had shown no good reason for a postponement, and that he should ask that the trial proceed forthwith.

We have been aware all the time that there had been no means left untried to subvert the Courts against us; but we confess that we were utterly unprepared to find an officer of the people, elected to see justice administered, so completely forgetting the functions of his office and so devoted to the spirit of persecution. It was evident that the influence of the conspirators had reached this man, and that we should be obliged to fight every step of the trial to obtain anything near justice.

Judge Barrett, however, saw the justice of our motion for postponement, but, since the District Attorney opposed it, we should have to make affidavits setting forth an application for a postponement, so as to bring ourselves strictly within the rule of the Court, and the case was adjourned till 10 o'clock Tuesday, to permit the making of affidavits.

Luther C. Challis and his special counsel, Judge Fullerton, considerably larger than life-size, pompously stated that they had no doubt that they would have us convicted before night—to-morrow.

VINDICTIVENESS AT WHITE HEAT.

At 104 o'clock Tuesday, we appeared in court with affidavits, setting forth the facts recited above, together with others of a material character. C. W. Brooke, Esq., of our counsel, read the affidavits, which were so conclusive that the District Attorney for a moment was staggered, but recovering himself, he proceeded to object to everything in them, and assumed to know a great deal more about the matter in which the defense should be conducted than our counsel, and a great deal more about the whereabouts of certain witnesses whom we desire to procure than we do. He said that there was nothing set forth that showed that the persons named in the affidavits were material witnesses, and, getting quite beside himself, broadly intimated that the affidavits were manufactured merely to secure time.

Mr. Howe replied, in a most scathing manner that the alleged libel set forth that it was at the house of one Mollie, the Mollie De Ford mentioned in the affidavit, where a part of the alleged libel took place. He was certain that was material. The two girls were at that house. That was certainly material. That to both Mrs. MacKinley and Mrs. Smith Mr. Challis had made admissions connected with the libel. That was certainly material. He could not see the motive for pressing this case in such an extraordinary manner unless it were to avoid having the testimony of these absent witnesses. It was at least strange, that so much zeal should now be displayed in these cases, which had slept undisturbed for so many months, and justified the inquiry as to whether the trial was for the purposes of justice or for conviction regardless of justice.

A PALPABLE DEFEAT FOR THE PROSECUTION.

Mr. Brooks now rose and said: And further than all that my colleague has urged, I desire to call the fact to the attention of this court, that I am counsel for Mr. Maxwell, who stands indicted for testifying in the preliminary examination to the facts of the alleged libel, and that the attempt was now being made to press these cases to trial, under the knowledge that Mr. Maxwell is an important witness in them, and that his appearance in this court under these circumstances would naturally be prejudicial to the defendants. Why does the District Attorney stand here demanding that their trial proceed, when as he very well knows, that for the past three months I have vainly endeavored to have him try Mr. Maxwell's case? That case is ready and I will proceed to trial to-morrow next day or any other upon which the District Attorney will elect to do so. It is an extraordinary proceeding to so strenuously insist upon the trial of these defendants, when their acquittal or conviction depends so much upon the truth or falsity of the facts upon which this jury is charged; and going beyond the facts set forth in their affidavits, I suggest to the court that, under all these circumstances whether the administration of justice in this case does not seem to demand a postponement until after the trial of Mr. Maxwell.

The District Attorney, now made furious, attempted to reply to this, but he was incompetent to do so. He, however, committed the egregious folly of saying: "He had reasons satisfactory to him for not trying the other case." The time may come when he will be called upon to explain whether these reasons are such as should obtain in a court of justice. The court said that the defendants were entitled to a postponement upon the affidavits. He would also suggest, while having no power to control the District Attorney, the eminent propriety of trying the case of perjury before urging the present cases. He should now grant a postponement until Monday, June 16. And thus Mr. Luther C. Challis was disappointed in not seeing us en route for Sing-Sing, as he had so confidently expected on Monday. And thus ends the first substantial defeat of the designs of the Beecher-Bowen-Comstock-Challis conspiracy. And Challis and Fullerton, the ex-Judge, passed out of the Court in an entirely different jumble from that of the previous day. Their anticipated jubilee is deferred by at least twelve days—a sufficient length of time for them to ponder over the old adage that the best laid plans oft' gang aglee,¹ and over the further fact that mere bravado can never be relied upon to prevail over truth and right; even if the former is represented by men and the latter by that class of citizens who lack the fundamental right of citizenship. In two weeks they may become wiser men if they ponder well over this.

¹ "There is a smid that hath his quarrel just;
And he but naked—though locked up in steel
Whose confidence with injustice is corrupted."

BENJAMIN K. PHELPS

may do well to explain to the people whom he is elected to serve what his reasons are which he said he had for not wishing to try the perjury case based upon the facts of these cases. We were not aware that it was one of the duties of the office of District Attorney to compound felonies. If Mr. Maxwell is rightly charged by Luther C. Challis with perjury, if the facts to which he testified at the preliminary examination of Col. Blood, are false, why is he not brought summarily to trial, when he appears to demand it? But if it is well known that no conviction can be secured in his case, why does Benjamin K. Phelps insist that we shall be pushed to trial upon a day's notice only? All this may be a new name for justice, but let us inform Mr. District Attorney Phelps that we do not believe in this sort of justice. It looks too much as if some of Mr. Challis' hundred thousand dollars were at the bottom of this extraordinary zeal, so recently sprung up in the soul of Benj. K. Phelps; too much as if the need of the *Weekly* should be killed to save a reverend citizen, formed some part of the venom which he was unwise enough to exhibit in Court against persons for whom he has no right to hold any other sentiments than the wish to do them justice. Of one thing, Benj. K. Phelps and all others concerned may set their hearts at rest; the *Weekly* will live to expose in the future as it has in the past, all the shortcomings and supercilious action of those who so far forget their rights and duties as to join in the conspiracy to punish women for exposing corruption in high places. And finally, Benj. K. Phelps, Luther C. Challis and Judge Fullerton should remember from the lesson taught them Tuesday that it is not every Court that will lend itself to forward the devilish machinations of the thrice damned conspiracy even to cover the fall of Gen. Davies' "revered citizen."

THE BEECHER-BOWEN-TILTON SCANDAL REVIEWED.

The above-named extraordinary document, sprung from the community just at the moment we are brought to it, is critically and exhaustively reviewed by the Brooklyn Sunday papers, quoted in full below. We have no desire to add anything to this review, but one thing is too patent to escape attention: that no reference is made in the covenant to the facts published in the *WEEKLY* of November 2d, from the fact, we suppose, that they had not been made public at the time the remarkable paper was written and signed.

(From the *Mercury and Mail*, Middletown, N. Y., May 16.)

THE BEECHER-TILTON SCANDAL.

The New York Sunday dailies are full of the Beecher-Tilton Scandal. This disgusting business must be ended one way or the other. Either Mr. Beecher must clear up these allegations against his character, or vacate the editorial chair of the *Christian Union* and the pulpit of Plymouth. He may be, we trust, entirely innocent, but he has no right any longer to ignore the situation.

The opinion expressed and the contingency named in the above paragraph, which we copy from the *Interlo*, one of the noblest religious journals of the country, will be approved and indorsed not only by the enemies of Mr. Beecher, but by his friends as well, and by all persons of every class and sect who prize public and private virtue, decency and consistency of character.

This scandal is known and read wherever the English language is read or spoken, it, indeed, has not already been translated and reproduced in every civilized tongue the world over. Probably the name of no American citizen is oftener on people's lips, both at home and abroad, than that of Henry Ward Beecher. It is a name associated, in two hemispheres, with valorous words and good deeds in the realms of religion, moral progress, and enterprises and actions looking to the well-being and elevation of mankind.

For more than a quarter of a century he has honorably maintained a hold upon public attention and esteem as a guide and teacher to young and old; and his influence has been widely felt in controlling and regulating affairs in church, State and nation. And in these different spheres of action he has tactfully, if not by frank assertion, claimed to be commissioned and inspired from the highest of all sources. Calling no man master, his independence of speech and spontaneous outburst of eccentricity in the handling of things held to be sacred by the long line of prophets, priests and ministers who have gone before him, have called down upon him the sharp criticism and the fiery rebuke of his contemporaries in and out of the sacred office. But until the opening of this virulent scandal, whose odors the world is today snuffing up its nostrils, not a word had been breathed regarding his infidelity to the tenets and observances which are held to be essential to the purity of domestic life and the untautened preservation of marriage vows and marital relations.

But all this is changed. The most serious and startling charges affecting his good name in the dearest and most sacred relation of life have been made and published, world wide, against him. Unhappily, to these charges he makes no response. From the first he has remained silent; and up to this hour we have no word from his lips, or, so far as we know, from any friend authorized to speak for him, in confirmation of his innocence.

Mr. Beecher has not been called to put in a plea at the bar of any court of justice, but his attitude is that of one arraigned at the bar of public opinion. And there he must plead or ultimately go down. His silence is strength to his enemies, and so painfully significant, that at last it may be tortured, even by those who respect and love him, into dumb confession of his guilt.

Mr. Beecher is not so exalted above the heads of common humanity that he can safely trust to "dignified silence" to carry him safely through the sea of troubles which surround and threaten him. "If," said Mr. Beecher, on one occasion, "you are passing along the street and a chamberlain deluges you with a pall of slops, what are you to do? Simply wash yourself, and pass on." Mr. Beecher should make a practical improvement of his lesson. Let him "wash himself," or peradventure, he may "pass on" to a future of regret and shame.

(From the *Standard*, N. Y., Saturday Morning, May 24, 1873.)

Train, the crazy man, has been finally disposed of, and in the funniest manner imaginable. He was first—some time since—tried as to his sanity before Judge Day and a competent jury, who declared him sane. His trial for the crime of publishing obscene literature came up before Judge Davis on Tuesday in the Oyer and Terminer, when the Judge, on the testimony of one doctor, and after the point had been settled by another court, held that Train was insane and ordered the jury to find a verdict of "not guilty" on that ground, and ordered such verdict recorded, in spite of the declaration of several of the jury that such was not their verdict. An order was then directed to be made out for Train's removal to the State institution at Utica. Judging from Mr. Davis' action in this particular case, it must be evident to everyone that the Judge is by far the most insane man of the two. There is something behind all this.

THE BEECHER-WOODHULL MATTER.

A Western paper, the *Chicago Advance*, prints an article on the Beecher-Woodhull-Tilton-Clafflin-Moulton (and all the rest) Scandal, in which this paragraph:

"Few, if any, intelligent people have been found to believe the Woodhull charges against Mr. Beecher. But recent publications show only too plainly that they did not originate with her, and confirm the convictions of those who have believed from the first that it was and is a grave mistake for Mr. Beecher to meet them with silence, on the ground that his accused is too vile for recognition. Reputable papers publish only allusions to the stories that are afloat but the disreputable ones, like the *Chicago Times*, gloat over

the garnished details, and industriously fan the suspicion that there is a conspiracy of the Christian community to suppress the facts. We say it reluctantly, but confidently, that the world will be compelled to believe that there is something wrong somewhere if Plymouth Church, at least, shall take no action to clear up the scandal which involves its three most prominent members, and involves them in a way that will not allow the public to believe that all three ought to be 'in good and regular standing.'

The judgment of Judge Davis in the case of Mr. Train satisfies a good many people. The *Balito Express* says:

"It was a high-handed and disgraceful exhibition of arbitrary power. After the charge of insanity had been tried and decided by a jury, the Court had no business to go back of their verdict. We do not believe it had the legal power; it is certain that in the exercise of a sound discretion, it ought not to have done so. What, in heaven's name, is a jury trial for if a judge, not sitting in appeal, can by his own will set the verdict aside and incarcerate the man who has been adjudged sane? The treatment to which Mr. Train has been subjected is enough to drive any one insane, and we shouldn't wonder if his persecutors at length succeeded inounding him into actual insanity. He was always a blatherskite; but it is the privilege of an American citizen to be a blatherskite if he wants to. If Train has violated the law, let him be punished; if not, let him be set free. There have been all the twisting, dodging, shuffling, lying, cheating, humbugging, false imprisonment and general cussedness about this same."

Woodhull-Clafflin-Train-Beecher-Tilton-Bowen-Pooror business that there is any necessity for. Unless we are very much mistaken, somebody has got to face the music before long.

(From the *De Ruyter* (New York) *New Era*, May 8, 1873.)

The Beecher-Tilton-Bowen Scandal is getting worse. The excitement increases and Brooklyn is a good deal riled. Making up faces at Mrs. Woodhull and calling her names that cannot be printed do not help the thing. It is said that much difficulty is experienced by those who seek to arraign Mr. Beecher because of his getting behind the example of the old patriarchs, Abraham, Isaac and Jacob; also David and Solomon. It does afflict us to acknowledge that the little affair between "the sweet singer of Israel" and Uriah's wife was the same as the scandal alleged of Henry Ward and Mrs. Tilton; but then these irregularities were permitted in the old time; it is said, "by reason of the hardness of their hearts." Now it is different, or should be. In answer to certain wicked questions presented by the Jews, it was declared that, in heaven there was "neither marrying nor giving in marriage, but they were at the angels' aye," free. So says Mrs. Woodhull. By all of which we are shocked. What are we coming to?

(From the *Westfield* (Mass.) *News Letter*, May 16, 1873.)

BEECHER AND WOODHULL.

The last number of *WOODHULL & CLAFLIN'S WEEKLY* is decidedly hot, and even more pronounced than that of November 2d, which caused the arrest of its editors and publishers on a charge which the ablest lawyers in the land have pronounced untenable—that of obscenity. That they were liable to proceedings for libel is unquestionable. If the charges are untrue, as is fashionable to believe, they are deserving of lenient punishment; if true, they are as surely entitled to the gratitude of society for unmasking hypocrisy in high places, and showing to the world the rottenness of the so-called upper-crust—of those who pretend one thing and practice the opposite—notwithstanding they are regarded as fit examples for the masses to imitate.

In this number of May 17, not only are the original charges reiterated in full, with a variety of circumstantial detail, but additional particulars are cited, changing the Reverend Henry Ward Beecher not only with adultery, but with forcibly compelling a female to yield to his "devility." Charges like these are not apt to be idly made; assuredly not against those in high places, for fear of the consequences.

In this case, no legitimate effort has been made to controvert the statements hurled upon an astounded community more than six months since, with regard to the asserted doings of prominent members of Plymouth Church, which were in violation of the laws of the land and the professed belief of society. None gave credence to such astounding statements at the time, and Mrs. Woodhull and her set were dammed to the deepest depths of infamy for presuming to cast such reflections upon an eminent citizen whose character high officials deemed it well worthy the while of the government to vindicate; and to accomplish this purpose, the suppression of the Free-Love weekly was attempted, and its proprietors arrested on the absurd charge of obscenity, and hauled to prison. The truth is, however, that there is less obscenity—if there be any—in the columns of that noted weekly than in those of most daily papers. The charges of marital infidelity are earnestly made, and the testimony of several prominent witnesses cited, none of whom up to this time, so far as we know, have directly denied the allegations, and some of whom have refused to deny them.

The pastor of Plymouth Church is revered by the people, and there are no individuals, nor set of individuals, whose word would be more implicitly relied upon than his. But he has vouchsafed no denial of the charges. He has made no effort to prove the charges false, and the impression is gained by many that there is "something rotten in Denmark."

Little sympathy is felt for the Woodhull, for her doctrines run counter to the professions of society, however it may be with regard to the practice. They are probably as unpopular as were the doctrines of the anti-slavery agitators, when they commenced their crusade against negro slavery. Mrs. Woodhull may be, for ought we know, a vile woman, a fanatic, crazed on the subject of love and personal liberty, but she certainly seems to be free from the charge of hypocrisy. She seems fearless as the martyr and reformer of any age, and lurks her own ideal, absolute personal liberty, at society in a manner that demands attention. It is not simply pri-

vate character that is at stake, it is a question of two theories or principles: the old theory of the divine sacredness of marriage, or its abrogation, and the substitution of copartnership. All acknowledge that marriage vows are too frequently broken; that constancy is often the exception rather than the rule, that ill-assorted and unhappy marriages are too frequent. To cure these evils she proposes its virtual abolition.

The institution of marriage, however, notwithstanding the misery that too often accompanies it, in place of the expected happiness, is too strongly entrenched in the customs, habits and beliefs of the people to be idly forsaken for new and contrived theories; though there is an increasing disposition to modify the laws of divorce, to make the man and the woman more nearly equal partners, and a strong tendency to regard marriage as a civil contract, rather than as a divine institution. One thing, however, is certain, the truth, the right, cannot fail or suffer from the most thorough and honest consideration; and, if it had not been so in the past, we expect in the future Henry Ward Beecher's preaching may correspond with his practice.

(From the *Brooklyn Sunday Press*, June 1.)

Beecher-Bowen-Tilton—The Mouse of Denial that a Mountain of Charge brought forth—Their Joint Confession—Beecher's Friends and what they have Done for Him—A Terrible Picture of Perjury and Injustice—Henry C. Bowen and the Instrument of Lèse Loiue.

One of Mr. Beecher's injudicious friends has partially anticipated us in our necessary work of analyzing the pretensions to virtue and religious influence put forward by joint co-operative society of scoundrels—the Plymouth Church "Ring." We were about to adduce one of the evidences of corruption in that body, the extraordinary concoction of words behind which Mr. Beecher, Mr. Bowen and Mr. Tilton vainly hope to take refuge, as the ostrich, with its head in the sand, hopes to escape its pursuers. No wonder the absurdly ineffectual "card" was published without Mr. Beecher's consent. Whatever Mr. Beecher's passions have had to do with his religious convictions, we have never impeached his common sense. And no one above the degree of an absolute idiot can suppose that a man in Mr. Beecher's position will be anything but ruined by the bombastic document which bears the signatures of the three arch-hypocrites of the century. The almost diabolical insincerity of the "covenant" is proof enough of the value of Plymouth Church as a school of morality, while as a laughter-provoking instrument it is not far behind the conspiracy in *La Grand Duchesse*. Where can the man be found who, after having read this "covenant" with astonishment, and who, after he has been assured that it is clumsy hoax, will not pronounce the three men who drafted it and signed it, the three monumental hypocrites of this or any other age? The humbug is almost tragical in its dimensions. Mr. Beecher surely is too near that sepulchral silence and helplessness from which his sister dragged the festering corpse of Lord Byron, to indulge in such a fearful jest at the expense of religion—at the expense of those who really worship his presence and cherish his utterances like the voice of God. Mrs. Stowe dug a dead man out of his grave with her very finger-nails, to prove him guilty of incest. Her brother, while in the flesh, hugs to his breast the two men who have pronounced him the rasher of their relatives, while they, self-proclaimed cowards, allied to each other only by their common infamy, set down in writing their promise never to allude to his crimes again. Verily a sweet savor'd trio this; the preacher who, says, Bowen, violated, among his other victims, a married woman and drove her husband to his grave by the knowledge of her shame; Bowen whose own dead and living kinsmen have not been safe from his scandalous tongue, in relation to the Beecher infamy; and Tilton, kicked out of Bowe's offices, because he would not comply with Bowe's furious demand for Beecher's ruin, and as Bowen says, because he converted those offices into places of criminal assemblage. A noble trio: the man who showed the horns he swore his preacher planted to the lewdest woman of the day; the bankrupt shylock, who is so deep in lies and blbel that his own flesh and blood have been drowned in what he now pronounces perjury; and the moralist, with a national fame, whose silence has plied "guilty" to the double indictment for rape, urged against him by the two wretched creatures who, knowing they have to sink sink with the shadows of his past, wrapping them round, and "the old relations of love, respect and reliance" resumed and restored.

This hideously insincere conspiracy to bury by stealth, the shame, which these three mortals have strangled, is all the uglier and all the more monstrous for close examination. The first paragraph recites the *raison d'être* of the Beecher-Tilton-Bowen "covenant," as follows:

We three men, earnestly desiring to remove all causes of offence existing between us, real or fancied; and to make Christian reparation for injuries done or supposed to be done; and to efface the disturbed past and do provide concord, good will and love, for the future, to declare and covenant, each to the other, as follows:

What are the "causes of offense"? Were they, on Beecher's part, the shame and suffering he imported into the families of Bowen and Tilton? Were they, on Bowen's part, the distinctive and circumstantial charges of rape—for at such a crisis the plainest language is the best—which Bowen alleged against Beecher? Were they, on Tilton's part, the confidential disclosures of his own dishonor and of Beecher's conduct to his wife, which he made to Mrs. Woodhull? What were the "injuries done" which needed Christian reparation? Were they Beecher's deportment to the Bowen family, and Beecher's treatment of Bowen? Were they Bowen's letter to Tilton, and Bowen's message to Beecher? Were they "the insatiate ravings" of Mrs. Tilton? Were they Tilton's maniacal talk with Mrs. Woodhull? Were they Bowen's assertions that Tilton had to leave the *Union* office because it became the resort of giddy and frenetic women? Were they Tilton's threats to Bowen, that if he did not pay his overdue salary, he would "get even" with Bowen by publishing

Bowen's reminiscences of his "Christian repairing grant to decolorize the past."

But what form was the plan? What did the phrase signify? Should we say for pardon of the mother of his illegitimate, malignant lot did not dare to lie? mean that Tilton should absolve his own wife, his guilty of those very himself, to avoid that Beecher mean that Tilton should leave the employ of Bowen was beyond control and care for having converted a little better than a braggart Bowen himself? Did it mean that the two men who each in clinging him with the been great, but that his Did it mean that Beecher the offenses deliberately committed, Tilton, and did it mean to swallow the nastiness mouths against Beecher, of fatal secrets, in which concerned?

Next, let us consider who the most treacherous, ha-

I, Henry C. Bowen, out of consideration, to Ward Beecher, and bring him to a man who respects me, repeating them (guarded) and not for the purpose of constituting wrong. Therefore, I discussions that have been by me made against him fully and without reserve, I went out extending my confidence and Christian with all the charges put in a letter written in January, 1871, in copy and I sincerely regret have or imminent unfavorable Beecher. And I covenant that I will never, by word to any or either of said does.

Why does Bowen say this? He is not absolutely without due consideration "considerato" and he is "nuendos" that this shrewd according to his covenant, however, nine years ago.

I sometimes feel that no longer suffer as a dumb of grief most unjustly; a resolution throughout C. I know. You have the great volume in my phantom, but solemnly b-

In 1863, therefore, it was a "wful reality" and "nuendos." It will surprise that he is liable to receive has always been credited and spiritual toughness, liability to "receiving him" "to the eminent expiation from Tilton had personally indecent manner. Bowen guardedly and within limits of injuring Mr. Beecher, consultation". Let us see brother's "guarded report does" of 1872, and the "g-

"It was during the early night, when you first in Ward Beecher had come you should expose him. From that time onward a frequent and always no deep-seated injury to you."

On frequent intervals from the statement that you Ward Beecher from before the year just closed your with more feeling than he coupled with your emphatic Christian teacher and pre-

On the 25th of December, at which Mr. Oliver spoke to a visitor in the family by name to a woman, now Beecher had maintained it to leave no doubt on myself, you informed me of a confession of guilt, and giviness". After Mr. John related me the transaction, I can readily take in his arms by the sofa". * * * * * During tilted with anger toward his pupils. You immediately stood up and told him, "I am a teacher, who you pedagogic son, which he explicitly ministry at Plymouth Cl-

hideous fog, as white and pure as his friends once thought he was. Had a poor wretch plucked his plethoric pocket, he would have sent for an officer and condemned the thief to his just punishment. But when the property he loses is his character, and when the felons are men of wealth and note, he grants the robbers an interview, and, to speak of this "covenant" in the kindest manner, compromised the crime. As it is, the wretchedly written and foolishly inconsistent compact between Beecher, Bowen and Tilton, the captain of their good fame in the community. Not a charge has been met; not a retraction has been made; no word of indemnity to the grievously wronged congregation of Plymouth Church has been breathed. All that has been done has been the concoction of a back-room settlement to stifle exposure, and it is because one of the triumvirate has, as matter of course, proved false to his guarantees of mutual protection that the religious world is taught with what little principle a church can be governed.

TILTON'S REASONS FOR CONCEALMENT.

Over two years ago Theodore Tilton, who desires it to be understood that he retracts nothing of his charges against Henry Ward Beecher, was sued by his wife for a divorce she alleged against him the crime of adultery and seeking a maternal refuge from his treatment of her. The documents in the case reposed in the possession of Alden J. Spooner, of this city, and Mrs. Tilton was induced to withdraw her complaint by a pointed allusion to her relations with Beecher. Mrs. Woodhull, before being further prosecuted by Mr. Beecher's disciple and friend, Anthony J. Comstock, will, perhaps, remember this incident in the history of the nun whom Beecher hugs again to his incarnated heart.

THE BEECHER-BOWEN-TILTON "COVENANT."

We have very little to suggest with reference to the exhaustive review of the "covenant" printed on our first page. If Mr. Beecher feels aggrieved at the discovery of so little sympathy for him in his almost Gethsemane agony, he must remember that when every heart kindled with just wrath for Bowen, awaiting only his manly denial to give a sanctity to that flame of indignation, he kept a cowardly silence. The fire is now hot for his trial. We trust he will pass through the furnace, and emerge from it in the white linn of the saints.

THE PRICE OF THE "COVENANT."

Just as Henry Ward Beecher's alleged crimes were first made ready for the public eye, by Bowen and Tilton in a money dispute, so money was one of the conditions of the round-robin signed by Beecher, Bowen and Tilton. The Judas Icarus of the trial paid Tilton \$20,000 to sign the agreement, and so ended the charges against Beecher, which Bowen had been circulating with industry, and Beecher remitted Bowen a debt of \$5,000 which Bowen owed Beecher for services on the *Independent*, on condition that Bowen should also sign the retraction he never intended to abide by.

THE AUTHOR OF THE "COVENANT."

The so-called "covenant" in which Beecher, Bowen and Tilton were to forget and forgive each other's offenses against himself, was drawn up by T. D. Sherman, of Plymouth Church. It was signed in Mr. Freeland's house, and was kept as closely concealed as possible. It was given to the press by a warm personal friend of Tilton, whose wisdom and sagacity lie in this instance, clearly overreached themselves. "Suffolk" was reticent until the crisis was nearly past and then launched the "covenant" on the stream of public debate.

BOWEN ABOUT TO SPEAK.

On Friday, Henry E. Bowen, son of Henry C. Bowen, and nominal editor of the *Union*, telegraphed to his father:

"It is out. What shall I do?" HENRY E. BOWEN.

The father replied from Indianapolis:

"Do nothing." HENRY C. BOWEN.

But yesterday evening Henry C. Bowen telegraphed to his son:

"I am glad I am free. Shall be home Monday or Tuesday."

Henry C. Bowen asserts that he will withdraw all his promises of concealment, and will confess all that he knows about Beecher.

WHY BOWEN CASHIERED TILTON.

When Theodore Tilton was discharged by Henry C. Bowen from the *Union* and the *Independent*, Henry C. Bowen being pressed for an explanation, stated that Tilton was dismissed from his employ for improper overtures to a lady engaged in his office, as well as for flagrant acts of immorality, in which persons of good standing in this community were also implicated. And it was this same Theodore Tilton, who afterward said that, of "his good will" to Bowen, he would never repeat the latter's charges against Beecher, having received \$20,000 in the interim.

Moulton—Francis Moulton once had the reputation of being a shrewd man. But tho' Beecher-Bowen-Tilton matter has demolished that reputation. Just at the wrong moment, when Bowen's back was turned, Moulton caused the publication of that fatal "covenant." Now, no one possibly repents it more bitterly than he. The cowards ran away from Fate, not daring to meet her, and when she caught up with the fugitives their best friends fell in the general slaughter.

[From the Brooklyn Sunday Review, June 1, 1870.]

THE BEECHER SCANDAL.

Virtual Termination of the Case—Retraction of the Charges Against the Pastor by their Author—General Account of the Complication.

When, some eight months ago, charges which respectable journals hesitate even to name were published in the col-

umns of WOODHULL AND CLAFLIN'S WEEKLY against the Rev. Henry Ward Beecher of this city, the community as a whole felt not more astonished than indignant. To have accused them as in any measure true would have necessitated the belief in hypocrisy of proportions never before dreamed of; to look upon them as owing paramount sole to the malice of the writer's mind, required an assumption of infamy against Mrs. Woodhull which not the most violent of her enemies had dared to associate with her name. Recognizing this, the conviction became general that while Mr. Beecher was innocent, some person concealed from the public eye, with a design not to be comprehended, had woven the tale and imposed it upon her overcredulous and not too sensitive mind. Mr. Beecher's spotless life as minister, his eminent services as a citizen, and unceasing contributions toward the elevation of public thought, as a thinker, more than logically offset and neutralized the slanders in reflecting minds; but in his very conspicuity and power was seen to reside the temptation likely to spur gorgeling,avaricious and unscrupulous owing to the promulgation of audacious lies, calculated to destroy his influence and blast his reputation. "Who is to be held responsible for this work?" became a common question. The interrogatory owed form not to idle curiosity, but to a desire on the part of men and women believing in things of good repute, to see deserved punishment visited upon the guilty, and even such tarnish as may be inflicted by evil breath upon any name removed from that of Mr. Beecher.

For a time it seemed as if the whole matter must remain a mystery. Mr. Beecher and his friends declined to speak upon the subject, and those who gave publication to the story had evidently told all they knew. In this condition were affairs when the *Review*, after patient and unobtrusive investigation, learned, almost to a certainty, that Henry C. Bowen, editor of the *Independent* and of the Brooklyn *Daily Union*, was the author of the slander.

Not, however, until moral certainty had been carried to the point of demonstration was there a word in those columns written in this relation against him. It was felt that no matter what his faults, to have acted upon anything short of noonday evidence, would have been only less outrageous than that which he is now by his own confession seen to have committed against his pastor. To the circulation of an unproven charge destined to do evil, there must be either deliberate malice or thoughtless imbecility, and as the *Review* desired the fame for neither, it waited for the facts. The facts were obtained, and the people of Brooklyn were told that Henry C. Bowen was the man responsible for the infamous allegations.

On the heels of the *Review's* discovery came the publication of Mr. Theodore Tilton's letter, detailing with all the circumstantiality of unmix'd and exact truth the time and place where Bowen had started the slander in the direction of journalism. Since then the *Review* has not hesitated to point out what in its judgment was the duty of the Plymouth Church and the community in the premises. As if, however, to wipe out every semblance of doubt on the subject and forever set at rest the character of Mr. Beecher's fair seeming enemy, our readers are to-day presented with Bowen's retraction, signed with his own hand, and witnessed by both Mr. Tilton and Mr. Beecher. After the amount of discussion to which this whole matter has been subjected, the retraction hardly seems to require anything either in the nature of elucidation or confirmation. Standing alone, it tells as clearly as language can that Mr. Bowen charged Mr. Beecher with adultery, and that he (Bowen) lied deliberately when he did so. But as no event can be properly understood apart from the events which may be said to constitute its context, there is a certain amount of historical interest at least in the following authoritative narrative, copied in part from the *Eagle*:

"After years of trust on the one side and betrayal on the other, it occurred to Mr. Bowen that an honest penny could be turned by having Mr. Beecher edit the *Independent*. He called upon Mr. Beecher, stated his object, and after extolling upon the grand opportunities which a journal like the *Independent* afforded in the right hands for the advancement of Christ's kingdom, requested him to become its editor. He accepted the offer, and how the work was performed is a matter of common knowledge. The *Independent* from insignificance was raised to power under Mr. Beecher's editorship, and between his name and labors the proprietor passed from bankruptcy to affluence. During the early part of his connection with the *Independent*, Mr. Beecher was recognized by Bowen as one whose reputation he could not afford to injure in any way without peculiarly affecting himself, for his fortune was the paper, and the paper was practically Mr. Beecher. For personal reasons, however, Mr. Beecher saw fit to resign his editorial duty and with that resignation Mr. Bowen saw fit to renew his slanders. He was afraid of rivalry in the religious publication business, and thinking that Mr. Beecher's alliance with another paper would seal the fate of the *Independent*, he set deliberately about the work of sealing Mr. Beecher's fate by blasting his reputation. That Bowen's fears were not groundless, is shown by the fact that several editorials were made to Mr. Beecher the moment it was known he had become disconnected with the *Independent*. [Here, by way of parenthesis, it may be stated that the immediate cause of Mr. Beecher's withdrawal from the *Independent* was a political one. While he was yet editor of it, but during his absence, it assailed him fiercely for his Cleveland letter. He did not like that treatment, and not liking it, resigned; although so disgusted had he become with Bowen's management in other respects, that some other pretext would shortly have been adopted for retirement from the association.] As has been intimated, when Mr. Beecher withdrew, Mr. Bowen commenced to slander him, not openly, but behind his back. Mr. Tilton's letter indicates the character of the stories told. This proceeded for months before any informed Mr. Beecher what was going on. It was a delicate matter which nobody seemed disposed to handle. Finally, one of the more prominent members of Plymouth

Church called upon Mr. Beecher and said that Bowen was telling fearful stories about him. Beecher was disposed to treat the matter lightly, but the member was so strenuous on the point that Mr. Beecher said: "Well, I shall appoint Mr. H. B. Claffin, Mr. Freeland and Mr. Howard a committee of three to hear these charges. You tell them all you know about them, and if Bowen will let him do the same, and if they say there is anything requiring action on my part I will act."

The member never returned to say what the result was, but Mr. Claffin, upon inquiry, told Mr. Beecher some time after that there was nothing in it but idle rumor; nothing which should occasion in him any uneasiness.

For two or three months previous to this there had not been much cordiality of feeling existing between Bowen and Beecher. Now, however, what little had been left was wholly extinguished.

Their parts, metaphorically speaking, lay in different directions. So marked did this coolness become that it became matter of common observation among "the brethren" and the brethren being on the side of Mr. Beecher and not at all in sympathy with any one disposed to darken his character, they also assumed an icy demeanor toward the proprietor of the *Independent*. This had continued for fully eight months when Mr. Freeland called upon Mr. Beecher one evening, and in a manner that showed his sympathy had been greatly excited, said:

"Mr. Beecher, can't you do something for Mr. Bowen, he feels terrible?"

"What?" said Mr. Beecher. "Can I do? I will do anything for him up to the line of truth and honor. I am not responsible for any existing misunderstanding, and I shall be responsible for its continuance."

Mr. Freeland said, by way of rejoinder to this, that Bowen felt that he was under the ban of society, that his brethren were looking upon him with disfavor, and that nothing but Mr. Beecher's smile could again make the sun of their good will to shine upon him.

To this Mr. Beecher said: "What shall I do?"

Mr. Freeland then said that he would invite Mr. Bowen to come to his house, and that he (Beecher) should also attend, and that when there all past differences could be smoothed over.

This Mr. Beecher agreed to, and this was done. After talking upon some general subjects Mr. Beecher, finding himself with Bowen in a corner alone, asked him to open his heart and tell him the cause of his hard feeling. If he had told Henry C. Bowen was the man responsible for the infamous allegations.

To the astonishment, though not to the dismay, of Mr. Beecher, Bowen drew from his pocket a paper with some notes, and after intimating that he expected such an invitation proceeded deliberately to submit what for want of a better name to be called the points of settlement.

The substance of these points was that he, Bowen, felt aggrieved at Beecher's withdrawal from the *Independent*, and that he asked him to, if not write, at least allow his sermons to be published in it.

He complained of the coldness of the brethren, and desired Mr. Beecher specially to commend him to their favor. He also said that his home had been less pleasant to him since Mr. Beecher had ceased visiting it—it asked him to renew his visits, and write a letter which he, Bowen, might read to his wife, showing that he was once more in the confidence and esteem of his pastor.

To all these points Mr. Beecher agreed. His sermons were published in the *Independent*, and he wrote a card, which was published, authorizing the *Independent* so to publish: he commanded Bowen at prayer meeting as one of the old members of the church, deserving well of those who had come into the inheritance; he wrote the letter, expressive of confidence, desire, and finally visited the family. The night on which all this was arranged, Bowen and Beecher walked through the streets of Brooklyn arm in arm, and discussing, with tears in their eyes, old troubles, old triumphs, and the possibilities of future good. Bowen could not tell how happy he felt. He had, he said, but a few years to live, and these he desired to turn wholly to moral account. In this resolve he was of course encouraged by Mr. Beecher. After such a making up as this, Judge of Mr. Beecher's consultation to learn hardly a week later, that Bowen, on being congratulated upon the reconciliation, denied the whole thing, and declared that so far from caring for him, he had done him harm, and that he had no desire to turn wholly to moral account, in this resolve he was of course encouraged by Mr. Beecher.

After such a making up as this, Judge of Mr. Beecher's consultation to learn hardly a week later, that Bowen, on being congratulated upon the reconciliation, denied the whole thing, and declared that so far from caring for him, he had done him harm, and that he had no desire to turn wholly to moral account, in this resolve he was of course encouraged by Mr. Beecher.

After such a making up as this, Judge of Mr. Beecher's consultation to learn hardly a week later, that Bowen, on being congratulated upon the reconciliation, denied the whole thing, and declared that so far from caring for him, he had done him harm, and that he had no desire to turn wholly to moral account, in this resolve he was of course encouraged by Mr. Beecher.

Why should Bowen have changed so suddenly in his tone, it may be asked. Briefly, because he learned Mr. Beecher had resolved to become editor of the *Christian Union*. He feared rivalry. Rivalry, with Mr. Beecher at its head, soon a pang through every nerve of his avaricious soul, and to disarm it, he believed all available means right were.

The matter, so far as Mr. Beecher's reputation was concerned, now became serious, and the friends of Mr. Beecher determined to visit condign punishment on the guilty head. Bowen had not bargained for this; his object was to work in secret, not openly, and therefore he became afraid. In his fear he went to Mr. Theodore Tilton and told him never to repeat what he (Bowen) had said of Mr. Beecher.

Tilton replied, he should suit himself on that subject. Bowen, enraged at this and violating two contracts, discharged Mr. Tilton almost on the spot. The results of that discharge are apparent: Tilton brought suit to recover about \$20,000 for breach of contract. Bowen was disposed to fight, and would have fought had not Mr. Tilton written the subjoined letter and threatened to publish it. To prevent its publication, Mr. Bowen drew his check for Mr. Tilton's claim. Upon the heels of this little piece of commerce the

June 14, 1870.

retraction now published will be meritorious, and penitent, but Mr. Beecher might be silent some days in the future was required. Past cause was rectified, and the assurance given should be maintained unless. With this understanding he can, no matter how vicious light of a larva and a slander.

The signature of this one

been perfect estoppel on Bowen

all the old time controversy

"Beecher Scandal" proper

where that, it was true. And

Mr. Beecher did not speak

carrying burdens that did it

that nothing but an obligation

tongue still. He gave it to

any moment he could

but that in doing so he would

troublous Mr. Beecher's freedom

in any way, and therefore

been compelled to

set forth. By way of pre

Beecher wrote a letter to B

from all obligation to be

in any way help himself

utterance. Mr. Bowen did

not open the lips of the past

With these statements

comprehended without

The following is the letter

man who furnished the sub

Sin. It is high time that

Henry Ward Beecher be an

against Mr. Beecher

was executed on the 24th

of his knowledge, I have held

to this, and now, without

ment to the world, and estab

My judgment to do

of lots repeatedly declared

charges against Mr. Beecher

and truth. And now the public

which the great preacher

to maintain peace and bro

agreement by Henry C. Bow

not open the lips of the past

NEW YORK, May 29, 1870.

We three men earnestly

efforts existing

Christian preparation for

done, and to effect the dis

cord, good will and love for

each to the other, as f

I, Henry C. Bowen, hav

done consideration, to tales of

Ward Beecher, and being in

to a man who receives impr

erimental (quadruped)

and not for the purpose of

confidence of constipation

wrong. Therefore I disavow

that have been attributed to

against Henry Ward Beech

out reserve, that I know n

from extending to him the

Christian福音

all the charges invented

written by me to Theodore

1871 (a copy of which I

sincerely regret having m

numerous unfavorable

Beecher. And I covenant

time I will never, by word

or writing, in either s

numerosus.

II. And I, Theodore Tilton

spirit toward Henry Ward

otherwise, any of the alleg

does contained in my letter

injurious imputations or that

going out of these, and that

him at any difference or i

exists. Henry Ward Beech

III. I, Henry Ward Beech

sight and out of memory,

suspicion, jealousy and estr

between us. It is a joy for me

C. Bowen and Theodore T

to me to resume the old re

injunctions, and the opportunity

of their standing and fama

of his church. I revoke

repair and reinstate to

(Signed)

BROOKLYN, April 2, 1872.

In addition to the foregoing

the deacons of the church ha

leaving the congregation.

Mr. Henry C. Bowen start

for the benefit of his ho

few days; till then it is not

hear any thing about the mat

of this statement from Mr. L

The Plymouth Church dea

evening at the house of De

solved to investigate the mu

mendation. It is also said t

Bowen's baseness. Howev

rounding the whole affair wi

June 14, 1873.

WOODHULL & CLAFLIN'S WEEKLY.

13

retraction now published was drawn up. Mr. Beecher was inclined to be merciful, and Bowen rolled in the dust of reparation, but Mr. Beecher's friends saw that while Bowen might be silent, some definite protection against him in the future was required. Of this Bowen was told; his past cause was recited to him, the forbearance shown mentioned, and the assurance given that upon all the past silence should be maintained unless he broke faith in the future. With this understanding he signed the retraction, a retraction, no matter how viewed, that places him at once in the light of a liar and a slanderer.

The signature of this one would have supposed would have been perfect estoppel on Bowen; but no, he continued with all the old-time frotntry in his old ways. When the "Beecher Sound" proper got about, he intimated everywhere that it was true. And even went so far as to state that Mr. Beecher did not speak because he dare not. He spoke of carrying burdens that did not belong to him; and intimated that nothing but an obligation to remain silent kept his tongue still. He gave it to be understood everywhere, that at my moment he could put himself right before the world, but that in doing so he would damn Beecher. In these declinations Mr. Beecher's friends recognized a spirit not to be trusted in any way, and in more self-defense they have therefore been compelled to make public the facts as herein set forth. By way of preliminary to the publication, Mr. Beecher wrote a letter to Bowen ten days ago, clearing him from all obligation to be silent, and bidding him if he could in any way help himself to do it by the wildest possible inference. Mr. Bowen did not care to avail himself of that liberty, although the letter containing it was read in the presence of Mr. H. B. Claflin and Mr. Frank Moulton.

With these statements the retraction will be more fully comprehended than without them.

THE DOCUMENTS.

The following is the letter of explanation from the gentleman who furnished the subjoined documents to the press:

Sir—It is high time that the torrent of slander against Henry Ward Beecher be arrested. I have in my possession a copy of the disavowal of all the charges and imputations against Mr. Beecher ever made by Henry C. Bowen, which appeared on the 2d of April, 1872. Without Mr. Beecher's knowledge, I have held this in my hands from that time to this, and, without his knowledge, I give this document to the world, and stop and convict the principal offender against truth, public decency and the rights of reputation.

My inducement to do this is the fact that Mr. Bowen has of late repeatedly declared that he had never disavowed his charges against Mr. Beecher, but that he yet insisted upon their being published. And now the public can understand the brave silence which the great preacher has kept under this protracted storm of slander. He has covetously buried the past and to maintain peace and brotherhood. The violation of that agreement by Henry C. Bowen unseals my mouth if it does not open the lips of the pastor of Plymouth Church.

SUFFOLK.

NEW YORK, May 29, 1873.

THE DISAVOWAL.

We three men, earnestly desiring to remove all causes of offence existing between us, real or fancied, and to make Christian reparation for injuries done or supposed to be done, and to efface the disturbed past, and to provide for the good will and love for the future, do declare and covenant with each other, as follows:

I, Henry C. Bowen, having given credit, perhaps without due consideration, to tales and stories, told me by Mr. Henry Ward Beecher and being influenced by them, as was natural to a man who receives impressions suddenly to the extent of reporting them (qualified, however, and within limitations, not for the purpose of injuring him, but strictly in the confidence of consultation), now feel that therein I did him wrong; and therefore I disavow all the charges and imputations which have been attributed to me as having been made by me against Henry Ward Beecher. I declare, fully and without reserve, that I know nothing more about him, and that I have no desire to extend to him the most cordial friendship, confidence and Christian fellowship. And I expressly withdraw all the charges, imputations and imbecilities imputed as having been made and uttered by me, and set forth in a letter written by me to Theodore Tilton on the 1st of January, 1872, a copy of which letter is hereto annexed, and I sincerely apologize for any imputations, charges, or imbecilities unfavorable to the character of Mr. Beecher, or Mr. Beecher. And I covenant and promise that for all future time I will never, by word or deed, recur to repeat, or allude to any or other of said charges, imputation and imbecilities.

II. And I, Theodore Tilton, do, of my free will and friendly spirit toward Henry Ward Beecher, hereby covenant and agree that I will never again repeat, by mouth or otherwise, any of the allegations, or imputations, or imbecilities contained in my letters hereto annexed, or any other injurious imputation, charge, or imbecility, or of growing out of these, and that I will never again hint or hint at any difference or ground of complaint heretofore existing between the said Henry C. Bowen or myself, or the said Henry Ward Beecher.

III. Henry Ward Beecher, put the past for ever out of sight and out of memory. I deeply regret the causes for which we have been led into estrangement which have come between us. It is my desire to have my old regard for Henry C. Bowen and Theodore Tilton restored to me, and to have it restored to me to resume the old relations of love, respect and reliance to each and both of them. If I have said anything injurious to the reputation of either, or have detracted from their standing and fame as Christian gentlemen and members of my church, I revoke it all, and heartily covenant to repair and reinstate them to the extent of my power.

(Signed)

H. C. BOWEN,
THEODORE TILTON,
H. W. BEECHER.

BROOKLYN, April 2, 1872.

In addition to the foregoing, it need only be added that the deacons of the church have resolved upon an investigation; and that Mr. Bowen must either justify his action or leave the congregation.

Mr. Henry C. Bowen started five days ago for Indianapolis, Ind., for the benefit of his health. He is expected back in a few days; till then it is not supposed that the public will hear anything about the matter from him. The publication of this statement from Mr. Beecher is the talk of the town. The Plymouth Church deacons held a meeting on Friday evening at the house of Deacon Fitzgerald, and it was resolved to investigate the matter on Mr. Beecher's recommendation. It is also said that the proof is conclusive as to Bowen's baseness. However, we hope the mystery surrounding the whole affair will be cleared up.

BOWEN'S RETRACTION—EDITORIAL.

Henry C. Bowen, in a very carefully worded and decidedly ambiguous retraction, acknowledges that he is the virtual journalistic father of the slanders which have for the past eight months been in circulation against the Rev. Henry Ward Beecher. The existence of this retraction has been known to most of the newspaper men of the city for two months at least, but not until within the last few days did it pass into hands that made access to it either safe or certain. With the other facts of the case it was up to that time kept in mystery. Dark blots were thrown out in abundance enough about it by those in whose favor it was, but simple statement was not to be obtained. Now, however, that it is obtained, two questions arise: What shall we do about it? What can we do about it? How much of a retraction is it? evidently enough, as far as Mr. Henry C. Bowen is concerned; there is nothing in the way of punishment but social ostracism; that can be done, and that will practically be done, is a matter of absolute certainty. Men with characters to lose are not likely to take him into their confidence, and men who find their account in keeping the "favor" of men of note are not likely to hazard that favor by even appearing to be his friends. Socially, therefore, his doom may be considered as sealed. But Henry C. Bowen, personally, is the least important figure in the drama. The eyes of Brooklyn and, for that matter, of the country, are not upon him but on the Rev. Henry Ward Beecher. The question, therefore, of most vital importance now is to his future. Can this document be considered as affecting his clearance? Does it remove even suspicion from his character? or does there still remain something to be explained? That considerable yet remains to be explained will hardly be denied by even the warmest of Mr. Beecher's friends. No matter what may be thought of Henry C. Bowen, it is by no means evident that Mr. Beecher was as he should have been when he entered into the compact shown by the document in question.

Had he been strong in his innocence, no matter what the strength of his clarity, would he not have insisted upon something more definite than was obtained? Had he been guilty, could he have obtained much less? Bowen, in going to his own destruction, does not appear to have greatly contributed to Beecher's security. He admits that he acted the part of a vile and malicious slanderer, that he was over hasty in the circulation of unauthorized stories, but he denies the portraiture of the stories, and expresses no belief as to their absolute falsehood. "Perhaps," he says, "I lent an over-willing ear;" but he nowhere intimates the belief that in his present estimation they were without foundation. It is true he disclaims all knowledge, but knowledge and belief are vastly different things, and a man may have faith in a great many actions that he could not possibly have seen. In a word, this retraction puts Bowen beyond the pale of confidence, but it does not bring Mr. Beecher within it. There is more occasion for speech on Mr. Beecher's part than there ever was before. What are we to think of his conception of a Christian gentleman, when we find him characterizing by that term a man against whose vile tongue he found it necessary to protect himself by written agreement? And what of his protestations of friendship, when we see him covenanted to command publicly to the good will of unsuspecting church members, the man whose heart he believed to be black with iniquity?

Did not Mr. Beecher in all this agree to perpetrate a deliberate fraud? According to his own statement it is clear he had found Bowen a man unworthy to be trusted in any relation of life, and yet in his capacity of minister he publicly commends him to trust in the most sacred relations. Does not this demand explanation? Mr. Beecher was undoubtedly at liberty to forgive all the injuries he chose, but he was not at liberty to hold a scheming scoundrel up before the eyes of the young as a person worthy of admiration. Yet this is exactly what he did. Bowen was a deacon in his church; Bowen took an active part in the management of the congregation; and Bowen was to be seen literally in the arms of Mr. Beecher. Now, what did all that mean? If Bowen was the slanderer represented, and Beecher knew it, an outrage was clearly permitted in so tolerating him; if he was fit for such honor, he is to-day the victim of unpunished persecution. On any hypotheses, however, explanation is needed; and unless the members of Plymouth Church propose to make Christianity a gigantic farce, they will insist upon an explanation.

SPIRITUALISTIC.

TO SPIRITUALISTS, FREE RELIGIONISTS AND INDEPENDENT CHRISTIANS GREETING:

BY JOHN BROWN SMITH.

(Concluded.)

The cultured and liberal movement called "Free Religion" is doing a good work by preparing thousands for the recognition and recognition of the higher facts and phenomena of the spirit world. In the nature of human progress it is not possible for them to accept the ideal and rational philosophy of religion without investigating its phenomenal basis; when they do so they will take another step in advance and place themselves firmly upon the scientific and philosophical principles of the Spiritual Religion of Humanity. Let us hope that Spiritualists will be ready to cast aside their sins when the Free Religionists have outgrown theirs, and cordially meet them on the common platform of demonstrated immortality and individual freedom!

The Independent Christians are numerous in most all the Christian sects, but more especially in the most liberal denominations—they are two steps away from the spiritual platform, as they still cling to the name of Christianity and its associations, in addition to the denial of spiritual phenomena; but as they are through faith believers in immortality, it is easy for them to glide from the shadow and embrace the substance when it is presented to them in the shape of their own loving, spirit friends and relatives. The

greater percentage of Spiritual adherents come from their ranks; the greater majority of avowed Spiritualists came from the Christian ranks and the ranks of Free Thinkers, and the natural laws of Spiritual evolution will force them to follow us to the common platform. We extend them a cordial welcome to meet us on the platform of love and spiritual truth that Jesus stood upon eighteen hundred years since. Come and witness as great and even greater phenomena through our mediums than was given through His mediumship while upon earth; and, indeed, He himself predicted that even greater things than He had done should be done by those who came after Him. Cease living on the husks of faith and step out upon the free platform of demonstrated immortality; learn how to save yourselves through the sublime truths of self-government instead of depending on the merits or blood of any man; uncover your hearts and souls and give forth the sweet and burning flames of love and inspiration to arouse others, so that they may become their own saviors. Prove by your works that you are worthy of these spiritual truths of immortality; and are ready to apply in your own lives the principles of love, freedom and self-government.

I dislike personalities in the discussion and censure of principles, yet I know that there are times and occasions when it is right and proper to make a public avowal of your intentions. At this time I feel that it is my duty to make a public declaration of my purpose to devote my best energies to the spread of the truths of the Spiritual Religion of Humanity, in accordance with the general principles enunciated in this article. I stand ready to defend them on the rostrum with the voice of reason, and in the press with the pen of inspiration, until they are shown to be erroneous; if that can be done I will change, modify and correct them.

I, as an individual member of the great Spiritual movement of all ages, claim, and do hereby exercise the right, to announce these opinions and freely record the same rights to others. I never have, will or can belong to any spiritual or religious organization unless it be to the spiritual brotherhood of man that has a foundation on the eternal laws of nature.

In order to disarm all petty jealousy which may arise in the future, from the fact that I have taken this decided stand with those who cannot understand or appreciate my motives, I hereby announce my decision in advance, that I never will accept, or hold any office, or position in any spiritual or religious organization now in existence or hereafter to be created, save as an independent public speaker and writer. I commenced working one year since as an independent speaker and writer in the Spiritual cause, and I have continued on this platform since that time. I am impelled by inner-soul convictions of duty that I cannot resist to take this step, not for the establishment of a new sect or religion, but for the preservation of the unity of the Spiritual movement as the glorious star of hope of mankind, and the scientific and philosophical basis of the past and future Spiritual Religion of Humanity. The stirring events of the past year and the efforts now being made to sectarianize and divide the Spiritual movement, have culminated in a crisis which bids fair to destroy the unity and life of this heaven-born Spiritual religion by a sectarian death—the most ignoble of deaths.

To the radical element I will say that my radicalism is scarcely surpassed in one of the many reforms now before the world. I make an effort to put in practice in my own person my inner convictions of the principles of self-government to an extent that the mass of mankind will not receive for generations yet to come; but in order to preserve the unity of the Spiritual movement I come upon a common platform, but insist upon the recognition of Individual Freedom so as to keep the door open for radical progress.

On this platform we can advocate our special reforms from the individual standpoint without requiring the great body of Spiritualists to endorse them as an article of their common faith. Then, radicals, will you not fall into line on the common platform of Immortality, with Individual Freedom on the rostrum and in the press?

To the conservative element I make an earnest appeal for them to give their calm and cool deliberation to the consideration of these principles. You cannot stay the march of destiny and progress any more than you can reverse the operations of natural law. Rise above the prejudices you have of hearing the radicals upon a free Spiritual rostrum or in a free press; if they are extremists, it is the more your duty to stay among them, as they may need a little of your cool judgment and clear reason to give them the right temper, and perhaps your cool temperaments may just need a little of their fire and enthusiasm to kindle in your souls a new flame of love and charity for all mankind. You need them, and they need you, and both will be the better for the unity. Are you afraid that truth cannot fight her own battles against all opposers? If not, come to the common platform of Immortality, with a free rostrum and a free press! The unity and preservation of the Spiritual cause demand it at your hands. If you dare divide and sectarianize this angelic Spiritual movement, I will be one of those who will fire the popular heart and kindle a flame of enthusiasm in the North, East, South and West that will ultimately sink your sectarian organizations into the oblivion which awaits all sects or issues, whether they belong to orthodox or Spiritual organizations.

In the presence of the Spirit world and the world at large, I hereby promise to devote my best energies of body, mind and soul during earth life to the spread and diffusion, as well as the preservation of the unity of the sacred Spiritual religion of humanity. As long as I continue to work with pure motives and an eye single to the advancement of the cause, I feel that the spirit world will give strength to the end; and can I not hope that the brothers and sisters will do likewise by asserting their mutual right to exercise the functions of individual freedom and self-government by coming upon a platform of unity yet unlimited diversity of opinion? Down with sects, parties and isms, and up with the rights of humanity, and thus preserve the unity of the grand Spiritual movement.

THE GOD-IN-THE-CONSTITUTION MUDDLE.

For years there have been subtle agitators at work, and just now quite a smoke gotten up in regard to putting God in the Constitution of these United States, or in other words, confessing ourselves a Christian or religious nation, by acknowledging God as the author of all things. Now these people must imagine that some benefit accrues from this foolish movement to some party—either to a God, or to man. If it is to benefit God, why it is scarcely worth while to go to such a length for such a God, simply that any being who could be improved, benefited or pleased by such a trifling compliment has business with it, and he would be a small concern anyway. There is nothing proposed to be accomplished by the movement that can, apparently to a God but would actually besmirch a greatness. If it be claimed that it is for man's sake, let us ask where it could be expected that man could derive any improvement from it? It would not add to his importance as a man, nor contribute to his happiness. It is claimed as a primary importance that it will publicly confess God by declaring that we are not infidels as a nation. If such a declaration would repeat the truth, I do not see the need of saying it, since it could not add to its importance by making a parchment display of it. And if it does not declare a truth, there had better be some such declaration. This marvelous itching that people have to display their colors in a religious way is the outgrowth of some subtle power keeping the race in a worry, to be muddling in some way with one another, and prevent everybody from getting any time to think. If man would just ask himself how very miserable he would be as company, while indulging the feeling that he must be incessantly annoying somebody in the way of correcting their faith, it might do something toward effecting a cure of this eternally-to-be-abhorred evil. For so sure as society is made up of individuals, so sure is it that the unpalatableness of the individual will make him such an unwelcome constituent of society as to entirely exclude him. The embarrassment of such condition will be his own; for no desirable society can ever be had where ood mediator is ever recognized as welcome. It is immaterial what conception may keep such individual in motion—whether of duty or inclination—it would not render them any the more congenial. It is of course imagined by these people that when the Constitution is so modified it will go far toward Evangelizing the country. One moment's reflection while looking at other countries where this God is confessed, crosses worn out of respect to Jesus, and solemn displays gotten up in almost every conceivable way, will inform us of the effect, and legitimately, too, of such superlative nonsense. For no man ever thought a noise or display important as a religious element that ever had anything else but noise and display about him. The religion of this country to-day is perfectly mechanistic; its devotion as heartless as a gourd. And not one in every three of all its professors have any well-settled idea that there is any such thing as an existence beyond this present mode of being. No one is religious from any attraction his religion has for him. It has some way come to be considered as a matter of course, is popular, and is mingled with the floating idea in the thoughts of the race that it may be, by a bare possibility, some service hereafter, provided there is a hereafter; has much to do to keep up its languid performances. Without these incentives it would die out in a twelvemonth, unless its stereotyped formalities should have become so fixed in some cases as to perpetuate it to a greater length of time, maybe until the disease should actually kill the patient, or leave in disgust. It was a saying of Mr. Burchard, the revivalist, "The lazy man's brain is a devil's workshop." From such a brain, without question, has sprung this God-in-the-Constitution muddle. For if it become a success, the bitterness it will engender and the carnage it will bring about will point to no better parage; while the good it could promote under the most favorable auspices would not be worth the poorest thought a devil ever awakened in the most indolent brain. When I look over the array of names, with their gaudy titles attached to set forth the importance of this amendment of the Constitution, it reminds me of some dog fancier who should platter his canine varieties, set off with showy brass collars, to attract attention. Do these Constitution marshals consider that the present Constitution was formed by men of different ilk from themselves; and designedly to guard against any temptation to the very oppressions that these thoughtless men are now proposing to inaugurate? In this country, why has not one man or class of men just as good a right to require that some leading article in his peculiar faith be engraven into the Constitution as another? If a Hindoo should insist on foisting his poor, helpless God, into the Constitution, to gain for him a formal recognition where his qualities failed to inspire a more desirable one, and with only a remote prospect of success—would not these self-constituted dignitaries, with their peaceful tails attached to their names (confessing the name to be worthless without), while over the outrages? And yet these men have not the good sense nor the good feeling (with all their showy protestation) to consider that they propose to invade an instrument guaranteeing equal rights to each distinct individual, and madly to rifle it of this security; thus not only to threaten the life of the nation but to take it. For the success of such a measure would denationalize nearly one-half of the people, while it would employ no small percentage of the residue for detectives or religious patrolmen, who, from the character of their office, would be on fire in hunting out heretics and hurrying them to grim despotic tribunals. Perhaps I enlarge upon the danger to be expected from such a step. It is not large enough to cover the villainy practiced in other religious persecutions; where the government endorsed the propagandists of religious notions. For I have said nothing of the dungeon, the thumb-screw, the rack, burning at the stake and other infamies of torture too hideous for the use of the most callous outlaw that ever pursued bane-cowering upon the high seas. Looking back into the past, we can see centuries reddened with something added to the roll of these bloody carnages, to convert man to a belief in religious notions. What a bitter alternative for an honor-

able mind, to be called upon to give up citizenship or to confess religion for which the soul of honor can have no respect, since to take its devotees to be any fair sample of its worth is to push it beyond any honorable regard. I am not alluding to the character of religionists abstractly speaking, but to those cruel asceticisms that take all heart out of life, unless inscribed with a "thus saith the Lord." As for its promises and threatenings, no sensible man carries a straw. Christian men imagine that they could never persecute, let them consider that one anciently said: "Is thy servant a dog that he should do this thing?" Yet he did become the very thing that did it. When man confesses that another power (wise and unknown except what it has said of itself) has a greater right to him than he has to himself, he ought not to claim to know what he may do from one hour to another. What that power may require of him is in the concealed future! It is just here that I dare not trust the man good enough in himself, but unreliable and dangerous as a tool. And it is just on this account that I warn this nation against the dangers of securing any especial power in the hands of a popular clique. E. W.

AUBURN, April 23, 1873.

SPIRITUAL ORGANIZATION.

Dear Friends Woodhull and Claflin—Perceiving the above subject is now being agitated and properly discussed in the WEEKLY, we shall be gratified to make a few remarks in your columns, if allowed, upon Spiritual Union. In the first place, one of the most important points to be understood and advanced is in a measure overlooked by the leading Spiritualists. The point to which we wish to draw particular attention is that of liberality of ideas. By close scrutiny we perceive that Spiritualists are most of them more or less bound to a certain round of principles peculiar to themselves, not willing to allow the same freedom of expression to others that they claim for themselves. For instance, we may examine them upon those views they call sectarian, such as the Divinity of Christ or the Trinity, with respect to the views of others. They represent their pet ideas as the ultimate of perfection, without leaving their minds open for conviction or further advancement, although claiming to be Progressionists. In all their movements they want every other one to think their plan of ideas is exactly right for all, thus trying to be every one to the same stake they are hitched to instead of infinite liberty to all in every possible direction. The inalienable rights of individuals to free worship, free speech, free thoughts are as limited by some calling themselves Spiritualists as by the strict members of the churches. General freedom to all being overlooked. A person believing in a Reedencher has just as strong a claim to his her rights, as a worshiper of Nature's God has to theirs. Its no person's business to dictate to either you or me, to say to whom we look for redeeming principles, whether it is in Nazarene, a Woodhull, or Ann Lee or any other great reformer. The grand problem to be solved is to learn how to attain to the greatest amount of happiness—the grandest variety of thoughts—thus allowing hameasurable development to all the races to open the entire resources of spiritual improvements. We all know that the uncultivated functions of a child's mind often reveal mysteries and new ideas, showing plainly that all our mental capacities have not yet been untold and brought to light. Now, if an organized body is set bounds for its members, it also sets bounds to its freedom—moral freedom is the indispensable object to be gained. The vast amount of needless, and worse than needless, legislation is the very yoke of bonds laid upon the nation's neck that galls and rubs so heavily. The question is, shall we submit ourselves to religious legislation, allowing the few to rule the masses? We say, no; let us organize so broad a platform that no one's religious whims can possibly clash with their neighbors. Let us extend our platform until it reaches every child of God, admit both bond and free, male and female, Catholic or Protestant, Jew or Gentile. Let us all organize under a flag of God-given liberty. Then we shall be able to destroy old dynasties and become a power of moralists. We gave some of the above ideas in the little book entitled a "A Stone" or "Higher Church," also in it you will find a plain to commence an organization that can roll on until it fills the whole world. It is not best to wait to call conventions, of combative minds to hold needless arguments, etc., but instead in your names and means at once. Commence with a few, and all the starry millions will follow as soon as the principles are fully understood. The very purpose of this organization is to throw off the ruling capitalists, to dispense equal education to all, to leave so much legislation in the chaotic past, and emerge into enlightened light. The very purpose of throwing out the little book, the stone to the world, was to make a starting place to reach onward toward eternal freedom, and leave the future to regulate its own code. S. E. BARTH.

SOUTHBROOK, March 31, 1873.

THE FIRST CLERICAL WAIL.

On the Publication of Jamieson's Book, "The Clergy a Source of Danger to the American Republic."

ST. JOSEPH, Mo., October 13, 1872.
Dear Sir—I see, by a postor, you apprehend danger to the Republic from the clergy!

If you knew the true teaching of Jesus [No two Christians can agree upon what are His true teachings.—J.] you would see that a Christian has no more to do with the question of who is in power, or what form of civil government is used, than he has to decide what class of people—if any—shall inhabit the man. If you will read the Book of Daniel you will find that God rules among the kingdoms of men and sets up the "basest of men." He don't put Christians in power. No one who is a true disciple of Jesus has any right to hold office, or exercise any branch of civil power from voter or juror, up to President or King. Nor has he any right to influence elections, nor to attempt to regulate civil affairs. Jesus is his King, and if the disciple becomes as his Master he will not expect to reign till the Master comes.

As to Christians—either clergymen or laymen—being dangerous to the Republic, they are not of the Republic in any way, for or against; because they know when King Jesus comes, all rule and authority will bow to Him. And while He is away they [Christians] are like a political party out of power. They don't propose to conquer the world for Christ. They simply witness that Christ is the rightful Sovereign, Lord of Lords and King of Kings; and you, too, sir, will bow to Him. But the conquest will be by Jesus in person, when "He takes to himself His great power and reigns." Where will you be then? Calling on rocks and mountains to fall on you and hide you from the face of Him that sits on the throne, and from the "wrath of the Lamb." I pray God for mercy on you.

No one can get into civil power without taking an oath of office [Mistake]: the President and other officers under this infidel government may simply affirm—J. J. and to do so he violates a plain command of Jesus: "Swear not at all" (Matt. v. 31-37). "Neither by any other oath." (James v. 12.)

* * * May you be made wise in time, lest you be found to be fighting against God.

Yours, S. D. FULTON.

JAMIESON'S REPLY.

Detroit, Mich., Oct. 22, 1872.

S. D. FULTON:

Dear Sir—Yours of the 13th inst. received. I saw nothing in your letter which satisfies me that the clergy are not dangerous to liberty. Why is it you Christians take so much for granted which you ought to prove, if provable? You assume that whatever the Bible says is not written by man, but by God. Prove it. You say God "don't put Christians in power." Glad to hear it, but how did you find it out? In another paragraph you say that if I will read Daniel I will make the wonderful discovery that "God rules among the kingdoms of men and sets up the basest of men." How did you find that out? I am inclined to believe that if the Bible-God had anything to do with political affairs, that your representation of the book of Daniel is about right, for according to the character which the Bible gives him I could not expect anything better from him than that he should choose the "basest men" he could find—such as King David and "Bill Tweed!"

You "pray God for mercy" on me! Your God-book says, "The effectual, fervent prayer of a righteous man availeth much." The same says, "There is none righteous, no, not one, and he heareth the prayer of the righteous." Where are you?

You inform me that King Jesus is "away." Would it not be an unpleasant dilemma to elect him "ruler among the nations" while he is "away," and nobody knows when he will get back to "quality?"

You say when Jesus comes in person that I will bow to him; but in the next paragraph you tell me that I will be calling on the rocks to fall on me, and hide me from his face and from his wrath. Why should he get so mad about it when I will be so polite as to "bow" to him? If he is a gentleman he will return the salute!

"Wrath of the Lamb!" Think of a ferocious lamb, lamb-like anger. Dove-like warfare.

If there could be a God such as the Bible represents, I would have no favor to ask of him. A God that any person would want to hide himself from by calling on rocks and mountains to fall on him rather than to see his face—it is not complimentary to his countenance. A God with such a physiognomy must be a tyrant, and a nuisance which should be abated. Your God is a myth, and your "Redeemer" a creature of a disengaged imagination. Good-bye.

Yours for truth and common-sense in preference to religion.

W. F. JAMIESON.

REFORMATORY LECTURERS.

In view of the determination recently manifested by certain world-beating authorities in Spiritualism, and from a sincere desire to promote their expressed purpose, to set up a distinction that will produce a free and unbiased platform; we shall henceforth publish in this list the names and addresses of such speakers, now before the public and hereafter to appear, as will accept no engagement to speak from any committee of arrangement, with any proviso whatever, as to what subject they shall treat, or regarding the manner in which it shall be treated. A reformatory movement, such as Spiritualism really is, cannot afford to open to the censures of the Church and fall into its dudgeon. On the contrary, it demands an unflinching advocacy of all subjects upon which the Spirit world inspires their meedums under the absolute freedom of the advocate. To all those speakers who wish to be understood as being something above the inuzzed ox which treads out the corn, this column is now open:

C. FANNIN, Allure, Stowham, Mass.

J. W. H. RANDALL, Clyde, O.

R. J. O. BARRATT, Battle Creek, Mich.

Mrs. H. F. M. BROWN, National City, Cal.

AMAND DEANTON CRIDGE, Wellesley, Mass.

WARREN CHASE, St. Louis, Mo.

A. BRIGGS DAVIS, Clinton, Mass.

Mrs. L. E. DRAKE, Plainwell, Mich.

Mrs. NELLIE L. DAVIS, North Billerica, Mass.

LIZZIE DODD, Prospect, 17 Tremont street, Boston, Mass.

H. H. EEDLES, Andover, Ohio.

Mrs. ELVIRA HULL, Vineland, N. J.

Moses HULL, Vineland, N. J.

D. W. HULL, Hobart, Ind.

CHARLES HOLT, Warren, Pa.

ANTHONY HIGGINS, Jersey City, N. J.

W. F. JAMIESON, 139 Monroe street, Chicago, Ill.

MRS. JENNIE LEYS, Tremont Temple, Boston, Mass.

MRS. ELIZABETH STURGEON, New Haven, Conn.

MRS. F. LOGAN, Buffalo, N. Y.

ANNA M. MIDDLEBROOK, Bridgeport, Ct.

J. H. RANDALL, Clyde, O.

A. C. ROBINSON, Lynn, Mass.

MRS. J. H. SEVERANCE, Milwaukee, Wis.

LAURA CUPPY SMITH, No. 1 Atlantic street, Lynn, Mass.

JOHN COOPER SMITH, 812 N. 10th st., Philadelphia, Pa.

MRS. H. F. STONERS, Corry, Pa.

DR. H. B. STOREY, 107 Hanover street, Boston, Mass.

C. W. STEWART, Jamestown, Wis.

J. H. W. TOLLOH, Providence, R. I.

F. H. WILLIS, Wimbleton, Ct.

LOIS WHISBROOKER, Battle Creek, Mich.

PROF. E. WHIPPLE, Clyde, Ohio.

JOHN B. WOLFF, 510 Pearl street, N. Y.

June 14, 1873.

JAMIESON'S BOOK.

THE CLERGY, A SOURCE OF DANGER TO THE AMERICAN REPUBLIC.

GOD IN THE CONSTITUTION.

INFAMY.

*Full Exposé—Second Edition Just Published,
of 331 Pages.*

One of the most startling books ever issued by the press. Price, bound in muslin, postage paid, Books furnished at Reduced Rates on the Club Rates:

Three Copies, expressage or postage paid, Six " " " Ten " " " Fifteen " " " Parlor Edition, gilt, 20 cents per vol. extra.

AGENCIES.

Terms made known on application.

Address all orders to W. F. JAMIESON, 12 Monroe street, Clarendon Hill, Ill.

WHAT THE PRESS SAYS:

W. F. JAMIESON.

We consider Mr. Jamieson as having done

useful and needed work in publishing this book to be read by every one who takes the interest in the Christian Amendment movement. It is a valuable addition to the literature of all kinds bearing on the great political question of the day.

A modest, yet remarkable book. Mr. Jamieson writes with earnestness and force. We commend the book to the widest possible perusal, because it is singularly calculated to open men's eyes to their soul's permanent good.—*Banner of Liberty*, 1872.

Interesting, valuable and timely. It abounds in important facts. No more important volume has been issued from this press for many years.—*Daily Standard*, Oct. 2, 1872.

THE RELIGIOUS PRESS IS SILENT! CAN'T!

THE LIBERAL PRESS IS REJOICING!



Closed.

THE CRUSADE FAMILY.

A New Incomparable

CLOTHES DRY.

CLOTHES FRAMES, BAIRS AND LINERS.

DRYER AND CHRISTMAS TREE COMBINED.

A GOOD THING, Patented May 24th,

It is designed for wet or dry clothes, in different forms or by will swing and revolve, it closes like an umbrella; with racks made adjustable, is a fruit dryer, and trimmed with every article needed for the article itself, at any store, or Patentee and Manufacturer.

W. LIVINGSTON BROWNE.

Shortest distance to any article or territory. Address the Manufacturer above, including stamp.

P. S.—Sales Large and easy.

Where for thirteen years every description of Chronic and Private Diseases have been successfully treated strictly on Botanic principles.

NO POISON USED.

P. O. Drawer, 2,921. Counsel at office.

DR. AMMI BROWNE.

Botanic Physician.

OFFICE AT HIS

ROOT, BARK AND HERB STORE.

33 CIVIL ST., UP STAIRS, GENEVA, NY.

RAPIDS, Mich.

Where for thirteen years every description of Chronic and Private Diseases have been successfully treated strictly on Botanic principles.

W. LIVINGSTON BROWNE.

Where for thirteen years every description of Chronic and Private Diseases have been successfully treated strictly on Botanic principles.

W. LIVINGSTON BROWNE.

Where for thirteen years every description of Chronic and Private Diseases have been successfully treated strictly on Botanic principles.

W. LIVINGSTON BROWNE.

Where for thirteen years every description of Chronic and Private Diseases have been successfully treated strictly on Botanic principles.

W. LIVINGSTON BROWNE.

Where for thirteen years every description of Chronic and Private Diseases have been successfully treated strictly on Botanic principles.

W. LIVINGSTON BROWNE.

Where for thirteen years every description of Chronic and Private Diseases have been successfully treated strictly on Botanic principles.

W. LIVINGSTON BROWNE.

Where for thirteen years every description of Chronic and Private Diseases have been successfully treated strictly on Botanic principles.

W. LIVINGSTON BROWNE.

Where for thirteen years every description of Chronic and Private Diseases have been successfully treated strictly on Botanic principles.

W. LIVINGSTON BROWNE.

Where for thirteen years every description of Chronic and Private Diseases have been successfully treated strictly on Botanic principles.

W. LIVINGSTON BROWNE.

Where for thirteen years every description of Chronic and Private Diseases have been successfully treated strictly on Botanic principles.

W. LIVINGSTON BROWNE.

Where for thirteen years every description of Chronic and Private Diseases have been successfully treated strictly on Botanic principles.

W. LIVINGSTON BROWNE.

Where for thirteen years every description of Chronic and Private Diseases have been successfully treated strictly on Botanic principles.

W. LIVINGSTON BROWNE.

Where for thirteen years every description of Chronic and Private Diseases have been successfully treated strictly on Botanic principles.

W. LIVINGSTON BROWNE.

Where for thirteen years every description of Chronic and Private Diseases have been successfully treated strictly on Botanic principles.

W. LIVINGSTON BROWNE.

Where for thirteen years every description of Chronic and Private Diseases have been successfully treated strictly on Botanic principles.

W. LIVINGSTON BROWNE.

Where for thirteen years every description of Chronic and Private Diseases have been successfully treated strictly on Botanic principles.

W. LIVINGSTON BROWNE.

Where for thirteen years every description of Chronic and Private Diseases have been successfully treated strictly on Botanic principles.

W. LIVINGSTON BROWNE.

Where for thirteen years every description of Chronic and Private Diseases have been successfully treated strictly on Botanic principles.

W. LIVINGSTON BROWNE.

Where for thirteen years every description of Chronic and Private Diseases have been successfully treated strictly on Botanic principles.

W. LIVINGSTON BROWNE.

Where for thirteen years every description of Chronic and Private Diseases have been successfully treated strictly on Botanic principles.

W. LIVINGSTON BROWNE.

Where for thirteen years every description of Chronic and Private Diseases have been successfully treated strictly on Botanic principles.

W. LIVINGSTON BROWNE.

Where for thirteen years every description of Chronic and Private Diseases have been successfully treated strictly on Botanic principles.

W. LIVINGSTON BROWNE.

Where for thirteen years every description of Chronic and Private Diseases have been successfully treated strictly on Botanic principles.

W. LIVINGSTON BROWNE.

Where for thirteen years every description of Chronic and Private Diseases have been successfully treated strictly on Botanic principles.

W. LIVINGSTON BROWNE.

Where for thirteen years every description of Chronic and Private Diseases have been successfully treated strictly on Botanic principles.

W. LIVINGSTON BROWNE.

Where for thirteen years every description of Chronic and Private Diseases have been successfully treated strictly on Botanic principles.

W. LIVINGSTON BROWNE.

Where for thirteen years every description of Chronic and Private Diseases have been successfully treated strictly on Botanic principles.

W. LIVINGSTON BROWNE.

Where for thirteen years every description of Chronic and Private Diseases have been successfully treated strictly on Botanic principles.

W. LIVINGSTON BROWNE.

Where for thirteen years every description of Chronic and Private Diseases have been successfully treated strictly on Botanic principles.

W. LIVINGSTON BROWNE.

Where for thirteen years every description of Chronic and Private Diseases have been successfully treated strictly on Botanic principles.

W. LIVINGSTON BROWNE.

FLOWERS AND RUSTIC WORK.

Flowers are one of the few things in life that bring us unmixed pleasure. They are, the most innocent tribute of courtesy or affection, as acceptable in the day of feasting as in the house of mourning. Florists day thus in a sense public benefactors! Hodges, at No. 43 Fifth Avenue, from among the palaces takes us away to the sights and odors of the country with his rustic work, his gilded boughs, and curiously crooked seats, his fragrant flowers and beautifully asorted bouquets.

Of all the ornaments now devised for beautifying gentleman's grounds, there are none that can surpass rustic work, either in grandeur, beauty, utility, or durability. It may be introduced almost anywhere if the surroundings are in the least rural; in many cases it can be placed where nothing else could be, ornamentalizing an eyrie into a place of great beauty, and yet ornamental and useful. As it is, there are few that have either the taste or good judgment for the judicious arrangement of the materials out of which the best rustic is made. To make or design rustic objects, the maker or designer must exercise good judgment as to the best place for his object—whether it is a house, bridge, vase, basket or any of the many objects that may be formed of rustic work—for if the object is in a bad position, the object ever so good, loses half the effect, or even becomes an eyesore. There must be something rural in the locality, something in tone with the object. Perfect taste is required for the form of any object, although in anything rustic, the form will be much modified; yet there must be an original design to give meaning and grace to the object. In all cases, unless working with straight material, nature must be followed as nearly as possible, avoiding right angles or anything that looks formal; every piece should look as if joined by nature. This not only gives beauty but stability to the work. To all this must be combined the skill of the builder, to give strength, finish and neatness to the whole work. Many people think that as a matter of course carpenters can build rustic, but there are few if any that can give this natural rusticity so necessary to it. It is a trade by itself, and requires men with a natural taste and inventive genius. Some men work at it for years and cannot do it creditably.

There is nothing that may not be made in rustic work, from a dwelling-house to a cage, a bridge to a card basket. Many of the vases with plants and look very handsome, with ivy half hiding the woodwork, and fine flowering plants capping the whole and making it a thing complete in itself. There are also many fine baskets filled. Certainly nothing could be more ornamental or better in a window than one of these. But these things, to be appreciated, must be seen; for large constructions we would advise any one to visit the grounds of Mr. Hoyt, at Long Branch, or Peter B. King, Esq., on the Palisades overlooking the Hudson, or General Ward's estate.

Published in Pamphlet Form.

THE

BEECHER-TILTON SCANDAL,

With numerous Extracts from
THE PRESS OF THE COUNTRY

ON THE
Prosecution and Illegal Arrest of the brave defenders
of freedom;

VICTORIA C. WOODHULL,
TENNIE CLAFLIN,

AND

COLONEL BLOOD.

Price of pamphlet reduced to \$15 per hundred.
Apply to the Editor and Publisher,
CHARLOTTE BARBER,

Toledo, Ohio.

FOR USE IN FAMILIES.

THE FAMOUS

HALFORD LEICESTERSHIRE

Table Sauce,

THE BIGGEST RELISH
Put up in any part of the world for Family Use.

Can be bought of any First-Class Grocer

CHARLES H. FOSTER,

TEST MEDIUM,

WEST TWENTIETH STREET.

Sanguis Invigorator.

THE BEST

DENTITRICE IN THE WORLD

for Cleaning and Preserving the TEETH and for
healing Diseased Gums.

Sold at CHAS. N. CRITTENDEN'S

No. 7, Sixth Avenue, New York.

By including 50 cents and two 3-cent stamps, the
DENTITRICE will be forwarded to any address within
one week from its reception at the Post office.

All letters must be addressed to

DR. L. P. WINTHROP,
POUGHKEEPSIE, N. Y.

AMERICAN CONGRESS SOCIAL
SCIENCE.

A LARGE PAMPHLET CONTAINING

THE

Proceedings of Cleveland
Convention.

Price, postpaid, 25 cents. Address

J. W. EVARTS,
Centralia, Ill.

HARPER'S
New Monthly Magazine
FOR JUNE, 1873.

CONTENTS:

CHEAP YACHTING.

Illustrations.—Cheap Yachting in Buzzard's Bay.—Tentative Kit for the Yacht.—The Fisherman's Net.—The Skipper of Marion.—Some Fish.—Bird, Island, Light, Buzzard's Bay.—Long Pier.—Martha's Vineyard.—Slaescon Light-House, Sankaty Head, Nantucket.—The Harbor of Gardiner.—Gat Head, Maine.—Vineyard—Blue Fleming.—Old Whaler, New Bedford.

THE MARQUIS OF HASTINGS IN AMERICA.

Illustrations.—Boston, seen between Charles William and Governor's—Cape Cod—Cape Cod—Provincetown—Man of Boston and vicinity—Bunker Hill after the Battle—New York—with the Entrance of the North and East Rivers.—The English Channel—Butter New York—Landing of the British Forces in the Jerseys; November 20, 1776.

IMPROVISATIONS.—VI. By Bayard Taylor.

Illustrations.—Gathering the Grapes—Merrymaking to Commemorate the Day—The Lake Erie Yacht—The Steamer Michigan—Burial Place of the Slave in the Battle of Lake Erie—Put-in-Bay Scenery—On Put-in-Bay Island.

TO MORROW.

Illustrations.—Busily working and singing, she wove the Wreath and the Vine.—"What was it that I heard him say?"

WHAT SHALL WE DO WITH SCROGGES? By Charles Nordhoff.

With a Map of Alaska, etc.

DISAPPOINTED.

A SIMPLIFICATION—A STORY OF THE DAY. By Charles Read.

A SONG IN MANY KEYS.

WHEN A DREAM COMES TRUE.

THE HAIR MOUNTAINS.—A TOUR IN THE TOY COUNTRY. By Henry Blackburn.

Illustrations.—Little Gretchen.—On the Way to the Brook.—Map of the Toy Country.—A Girl in a Bonnet.—A Mid-day Dream.—A Street in Goslar.—Over the Cobble-stones.—Drinking the Waters.—In Harzberg.—A Village in the Toy Country.—On the Brook.—A Girl in a Bonnet.—A Girl in a Bonnet.—A Girl in a Bonnet.—In the Brockenhause.—The Point of Union.—The Rathaus, Wernigerode.—The Schloss, Blankenberg.—A Girl in a Bonnet.—A Girl in a Bonnet.—Dinner from the Hexen Tanzplatz.—The Waller's Creel.—A Prussian General.—Thirsty Native.—A Clausthaler.—At Clausthal.—Prepared for the Descent.—The Man-Lift.

OLD KENSINGTON. By Miss Thackeray. (Concluded.)

CHAPTER LIII.—That thou are blamed shall not be thy Defect.

CHAPTER LIV.—Holy St. Francis, what a Change is here!

CHAPTER LV.—See you not something beedes meadow?

CHAPTER LXV.—The Play is played, the curtain drops.

Illustrations.—Head-piece.—Dolly and Charlotte by the River.

RECOLLECTIONS OF AN OLD STAGER.

THE NEW MAGDALEN. By Wilkie Collins. (Concluded.)

CHAPTER XXVII.—Magdalene's Apprenticeship.

CHAPTER XXVIII.—Sentence is pronounced on her.

CHAPTER XXIX.—The last Trial.

Epitome.—Containing Selections from the Correspondence of Miss Grace Roscherry and Mr. Horace Holmcroft; to which are added Extracts from the Diary of the Reverend Julian Gray.

EARTH AND FLOW.

EDITOR'S EASY CHAIR.

EDITOR'S LITERARY RECORD.

EDITOR'S HISTORICAL RECORD.

EDITOR'S SCIENTIFIC RECORD.

EDITOR'S DRAWER.

TERMS for HARPER'S MAGAZINE, WEEKLY
and BAZAR.

Magazine, One Copy for One Year..... \$1.00

Weekly, One Copy for One Year..... 4.00

Bazar, One Copy for One Year..... 4.00

HARPER & BROTHERS, New York.

A SEANCE WITH FOSTER THE
SPIRITUALIST,
SCRIBNER FOR JUNE.

HOME

INSURANCE COMPANY,

No. 135 BROADWAY.

\$50,000

Will be distributed quarterly to the subscribers for the
ENTITLED "HOME LIFE," a large quarto,
56 pages Monthly, costing but \$1.50 per year. It gives
a premium to every subscriber, varying from 25 cents
in value up to \$2.50, \$3.00, \$3.50, \$4.00, \$4.50, \$5.00,
\$5.50, \$6.00, \$6.50, \$7.00, \$7.50, \$8.00, \$8.50, \$9.00,
\$9.50, \$10.00, \$10.50, \$11.00, \$11.50, \$12.00, \$12.50,
\$13.00, \$13.50, \$14.00, \$14.50, \$15.00, \$15.50, \$16.00,
\$16.50, \$17.00, \$17.50, \$18.00, \$18.50, \$19.00, \$19.50,
\$20.00, \$20.50, \$21.00, \$21.50, \$22.00, \$22.50, \$23.00,
\$23.50, \$24.00, \$24.50, \$25.00, \$25.50, \$26.00, \$26.50,
\$27.00, \$27.50, \$28.00, \$28.50, \$29.00, \$29.50, \$30.00,
\$30.50, \$31.00, \$31.50, \$32.00, \$32.50, \$33.00, \$33.50,
\$34.00, \$34.50, \$35.00, \$35.50, \$36.00, \$36.50, \$37.00,
\$37.50, \$38.00, \$38.50, \$39.00, \$39.50, \$40.00, \$40.50,
\$41.00, \$41.50, \$42.00, \$42.50, \$43.00, \$43.50, \$44.00,
\$44.50, \$45.00, \$45.50, \$46.00, \$46.50, \$47.00, \$47.50,
\$48.00, \$48.50, \$49.00, \$49.50, \$50.00, \$50.50, \$51.00,
\$51.50, \$52.00, \$52.50, \$53.00, \$53.50, \$54.00, \$54.50,
\$55.00, \$55.50, \$56.00, \$56.50, \$57.00, \$57.50, \$58.00,
\$58.50, \$59.00, \$59.50, \$60.00, \$60.50, \$61.00, \$61.50,
\$62.00, \$62.50, \$63.00, \$63.50, \$64.00, \$64.50, \$65.00,
\$65.50, \$66.00, \$66.50, \$67.00, \$67.50, \$68.00, \$68.50,
\$69.00, \$69.50, \$70.00, \$70.50, \$71.00, \$71.50, \$72.00,
\$72.50, \$73.00, \$73.50, \$74.00, \$74.50, \$75.00, \$75.50,
\$76.00, \$76.50, \$77.00, \$77.50, \$78.00, \$78.50, \$79.00,
\$79.50, \$80.00, \$80.50, \$81.00, \$81.50, \$82.00, \$82.50,
\$83.00, \$83.50, \$84.00, \$84.50, \$85.00, \$85.50, \$86.00,
\$86.50, \$87.00, \$87.50, \$88.00, \$88.50, \$89.00, \$89.50,
\$90.00, \$90.50, \$91.00, \$91.50, \$92.00, \$92.50, \$93.00,
\$93.50, \$94.00, \$94.50, \$95.00, \$95.50, \$96.00, \$96.50,
\$97.00, \$97.50, \$98.00, \$98.50, \$99.00, \$99.50, \$100.00,
\$100.50, \$101.00, \$101.50, \$102.00, \$102.50, \$103.00,
\$103.50, \$104.00, \$104.50, \$105.00, \$105.50, \$106.00,
\$106.50, \$107.00, \$107.50, \$108.00, \$108.50, \$109.00,
\$109.50, \$110.00, \$110.50, \$111.00, \$111.50, \$112.00,
\$112.50, \$113.00, \$113.50, \$114.00, \$114.50, \$115.00,
\$115.50, \$116.00, \$116.50, \$117.00, \$117.50, \$118.00,
\$118.50, \$119.00, \$119.50, \$120.00, \$120.50, \$121.00,
\$121.50, \$122.00, \$122.50, \$123.00, \$123.50, \$124.00,
\$124.50, \$125.00, \$125.50, \$126.00, \$126.50, \$127.00,
\$127.50, \$128.00, \$128.50, \$129.00, \$129.50, \$130.00,
\$130.50, \$131.00, \$131.50, \$132.00, \$132.50, \$133.00,
\$133.50, \$134.00, \$134.50, \$135.00, \$135.50, \$136.00,
\$136.50, \$137.00, \$137.50, \$138.00, \$138.50, \$139.00,
\$139.50, \$140.00, \$140.50, \$141.00, \$141.50, \$142.00,
\$142.50, \$143.00, \$143.50, \$144.00, \$144.50, \$145.00,
\$145.50, \$146.00, \$146.50, \$147.00, \$147.50, \$148.00,
\$148.50, \$149.00, \$149.50, \$150.00, \$150.50, \$151.00,
\$151.50, \$152.00, \$152.50, \$153.00, \$153.50, \$154.00,
\$154.50, \$155.00, \$155.50, \$156.00, \$156.50, \$157.00,
\$157.50, \$158.00, \$158.50, \$159.00, \$159.50, \$160.00,
\$160.50, \$161.00, \$161.50, \$162.00, \$162.50, \$163.00,
\$163.50, \$164.00, \$164.50, \$165.00, \$165.50, \$166.00,
\$166.50, \$167.00, \$167.50, \$168.00, \$168.50, \$169.00,
\$169.50, \$170.00, \$170.50, \$171.00, \$171.50, \$172.00,
\$172.50, \$173.00, \$173.50, \$174.00, \$174.50, \$175.00,
\$175.50, \$176.00, \$176.50, \$177.00, \$177.50, \$178.00,
\$178.50, \$179.00, \$179.50, \$180.00, \$180.50, \$181.00,
\$181.50, \$182.00, \$182.50, \$183.00, \$183.50, \$184.00,
\$184.50, \$185.00, \$185.50, \$186.00, \$186.50, \$187.00,
\$187.50, \$188.00, \$188.50, \$189.00, \$189.50, \$190.00,
\$190.50, \$191.00, \$191.50, \$192.00, \$192.50, \$193.00,
\$193.50, \$194.00, \$194.50, \$195.00, \$195.50, \$196.00,
\$196.50, \$197.00, \$197.50, \$198.00, \$198.50, \$199.00,
\$199.50, \$200.00, \$200.50, \$201.00, \$201.50, \$202.00,
\$202.50, \$203.00, \$203.50, \$204.00, \$204.50, \$205.00,
\$205.50, \$206.00, \$206.50, \$207.00, \$207.50, \$208.00,
\$208.50, \$209.00, \$209.50, \$210.00, \$210.50, \$211.00,
\$211.50, \$212.00, \$212.50, \$213.00, \$213.50, \$214.00,
\$214.50, \$215.00, \$215.50, \$216.00, \$216.50, \$217.00,
\$217.50, \$218.00, \$218.50, \$219.00, \$219.50, \$220.00,
\$220.50, \$221.00, \$221.50, \$222.00, \$222.50, \$223.00,
\$223.50, \$224.00, \$224.50, \$225.00, \$225.50, \$226.00,
\$226.50, \$227.00, \$227.50, \$228.00, \$228.50, \$229.00,
\$229.50, \$230.00, \$230.50, \$231.00, \$231.50, \$232.00,
\$232.50, \$233.00, \$233.50, \$234.00, \$234.50, \$235.00,
\$235.50, \$236.00, \$236.50, \$237.00, \$237.50, \$238.00,
\$238.50, \$239.00, \$239.50, \$240.00, \$240.50, \$241.00,
\$241.50, \$242.00, \$242.50, \$243.00, \$243.50, \$244.00,
\$244.50, \$245.00, \$245.50, \$246.00, \$246.50, \$247.00,
\$247.50, \$248.00, \$248.50, \$249.00, \$249.50, \$250.00,
\$250.50, \$251.00, \$251.50, \$252.00, \$252.50, \$253.00,
\$253.50, \$254.00, \$254.50, \$255.00, \$255.50, \$256.00,
\$256.50, \$257.00, \$257.50, \$258.00, \$258.50, \$259.00,
\$259.50, \$260.00, \$260.50, \$261.00, \$261.50, \$262.00,
\$262.50, \$263.00, \$263.50, \$264.00, \$264.50, \$265.00,
\$265.50, \$266.00, \$266.50, \$267.00, \$267.50, \$268.00,
\$268.50, \$269.00, \$269.50, \$270.00, \$270.50, \$271.00,
\$271.50, \$272.00, \$272.50, \$273.00, \$273.50, \$274.00,
\$274.50, \$275.00, \$275.50, \$276.00, \$276.50, \$277.00,
\$277.50, \$278.00, \$278.50, \$279.00, \$279.50, \$280.00,
\$280.50, \$281.00, \$281.50, \$282.00, \$282.50, \$283.00,
\$283.50, \$284.00, \$284.50, \$285.00, \$285.50, \$286.00,
\$286.50, \$287.00, \$287.50, \$288.00, \$288.50, \$289.00,
\$289.50, \$290.00, \$290.50, \$291.00, \$291.50, \$292.00,
\$292.50, \$293.00, \$293.50, \$294.00, \$294.50, \$295.00,
\$295.50, \$296.00, \$296.50, \$297.00, \$297.50, \$298.00,
\$298.50, \$299.00, \$299.50, \$300.00, \$300.50, \$301.00,
\$301.50, \$302.00, \$302.50, \$303.00, \$303.50, \$304.00,
\$304.50, \$305.00, \$305.50, \$306.00, \$306.50, \$307.00,
\$307.50, \$308.00, \$308.50, \$309.00, \$309.50, \$310.00,
\$310.50, \$311.00, \$311.50, \$312.00, \$312.50, \$313.00,
\$313.50, \$314.00, \$314.50, \$315.00, \$315.50, \$316.00,
\$316.50, \$317.00, \$317.50, \$318.00, \$318.50, \$319.00,
\$319.50, \$320.00, \$320.50, \$321.00, \$321.50, \$322.00,
\$322.50, \$323.00, \$323.50, \$324.00, \$324.50, \$325.00,
\$325.50, \$326.00, \$326.50, \$327.00, \$327.50, \$328.00,
\$328.50, \$329.00, \$329.50, \$330.00, \$330.50, \$331.00,
\$331.50, \$332.00, \$332.50, \$333.00, \$333.50, \$334.00,
\$334.50, \$335.00, \$335.50, \$336.00, \$336.50, \$337.00,
\$337.50, \$338.00, \$338.50, \$339.00, \$339.50, \$340.00,
\$340.50, \$341.00, \$341.50, \$342.00, \$342.50, \$343.00,
\$343.50, \$344.00, \$344.50, \$345.00, \$345.50, \$346.00,
\$346.50, \$347.00, \$347.50, \$348.00, \$348.50, \$349.00,
\$349.50, \$350.00, \$350.50, \$351.00, \$351.50, \$352.00,
\$352.50, \$353.00, \$353.50, \$354.00, \$354.50, \$355.00,
\$355.50, \$356.00, \$356.50, \$357.00, \$357.50, \$358.00,
\$358.50, \$359.00, \$359.50, \$360.00, \$360.50, \$361.00,
\$361.50, \$362.00, \$362.50, \$363.00, \$363.50, \$364.00,
\$364.50, \$365.00, \$365.50, \$366.00, \$366.50, \$367.00,
\$367.50, \$368.00, \$368.50, \$369.00, \$369.50, \$370.00,
\$370.50, \$371.00, \$371.50, \$372.00, \$372.50, \$373.00,
\$373.50, \$374.00, \$374.50, \$375.00, \$375.50, \$376.00,
\$376.50, \$377.00, \$377.50, \$378.00, \$378.50, \$379.00,
\$379.50, \$380.00, \$380.50, \$381.00, \$381.50, \$382.00,
\$382.50, \$383.00, \$383.50, \$384.00, \$384.50, \$385.00,
\$385.50, \$386.00, \$386.50, \$387.00, \$387.50, \$388.00,
\$388.50, \$389.00, \$389.50, \$390.00, \$390.50, \$391.00,
\$391.50, \$392.00, \$392.50, \$393.00, \$393.50, \$394.00,
\$394.50, \$395.00, \$395.50, \$396.00, \$396.50, \$397.00,
\$397.50, \$398.00, \$398.50, \$399.00, \$399.50, \$400.00,
\$400.50, \$401.00, \$401.50, \$402.00, \$402.50, \$403.00,
\$403.50, \$404.00, \$404.50, \$405.0