

Overview of Classification Model Analysis

- So far we have studied the 3 main groups of classical classification modeling
 - Logistic regression
 - Bayesian methods, including discriminant models
 - knn (nonparametric)
- Today we will look at some additional questions for modeling
 - Cross-validation
 - Abilities of caret package
 - Imbalanced data
 - Multivalued targets

Cross-Validation

- K-Fold Cross-validation is a generalization of the idea of splitting data into train and test datasets
- Basic idea:
 - Split the dataset into K equal pieces
 - Build a model on K 1 pieces
 - Test the model on last piece
 - (Sometimes) repeat
 - If K = number of data points, this is called Leave One Out CV



Cross-Validation

- For parametric models, the final model's parameters are the average of the individual model parameters
 - Allows for treating all data equally, unlike with train/test split, where parameters are only built on part of data
- For high values of K, computationally very expensive
 - Leave-One-Out only done on small datasets
- Error measure is based only on holdout dataset each time
 - Reduces overfitting

Cross-Validation in R

 You can do the data splitting for cross-validation with a clever use of the sample function in R

Other packages can do the cross-validation directly

 Even better: packages that do the cross-validation as part of the modeling command

Enter the caret package

More about the caret package

- We used the caret package for creating Confusion Matrices
- It is actually provides a full suite of modeling capabilities, with a standardized format
- "train" command
 - In most cases models are in other libraries, and caret allow connection to it
 - Can allow for k-fold cross validation
 - Can optimize for other hyperparameters (such as K in knn)
 - "hyperparameter tuning"
 - "grid search"
- No need to split, since caret's train command will handle do that directly

Other Problems—Imbalanced Datasets

- An imbalanced dataset is a dataset for a classification model where one level of the target variable dominates
 - "dominates" could be 90%, 99% at one level
- Many models will struggle in this setting
 - Bayesian model tend to do better
 - It is generally preferable to process the dataset first
- How to process
 - Differential sampling—build training dataset so that is more balanced
 - Suppose target has 9,000 "yes" points and 1,000 "no" points. We could
 - Undersample the "yes"—train dataset has 80% of no's (so 800) and only 800 of 9,000 yes'es
 - Oversample the "nos"—train dataset has 80% of yes'es (7,200) and put in the same 800 no's
 9 times each
 - Some will create "synthetic" no's (Lying with data)

Undersampling and Oversampling

- Undersampling works fine as long as dataset is large enough
 - Are you happy with train dataset which is ~1.5 x the size of your smaller set?
- Oversampling can work ok, but some models struggle more than others with it
 - Creates odd results in knn
 - \circ For k = 9 in the previous example, if smaller class is one of 5 closest, point will be classified as smaller group
 - Lots of false positives
- Bayesian models: if over- or under-sampling is done, priors have to be adjusted to the new proportions
- Another alternative: threshold lowering
 - Rather than having p = 0.5 as decision boundary, adjust so that prediction proportions match original mix
 - To do this properly, you need a 3-way split of data (train, test, validation)

Other Problems—Multivalued Targets

- A multivalued target is a target that has more than 2 levels
- Targets can have 3 or more values
- Most of the methods we worked with can work on multivalued targets
 - Logistic regression cannot directly. 2 alternatives:
 - Multnomial logistic regression
 - Similar structure as logistic, but allowing multiple levels
 - ∘ Separate logistic regression for n 1 of levels
 - Suppose levels are Red, Green, Blue
 - Build logistic regression to predict red/not red
 - Build logistic regression to predict green/not green
 - \circ P(Blue) = 1 P(Red) P(Green)
 - For many levels, can run into imbalance problem

Other Problems—Multivalued Targets

- Other models and multivalued targets
 - Bayesian models typically work pretty well
 - More important to have class value-specific statistics (so LDA suffers)
 - K-nearest neighbors is technically possible, but often struggles
 - Especially bad for many-levels targets (if you have 10 neighbors, but there are 5 levels, can be difficult to get support)
- Structure of confusion matrix
 - For multivalued targets, with n possible values, confusion matrix is now n x n matrix