```
In [29]: import numpy as np
         import pandas as pd
         from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
          from sklearn.tree import DecisionTreeClassifier
         import graphviz
         import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
         from sklearn.preprocessing import LabelEncoder
          from sklearn.model_selection import ShuffleSplit
          from sklearn import tree
          from sklearn.model_selection import GridSearchCV
         import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
         import seaborn as sns
          from sklearn.metrics import precision_recall_fscore_support
         from sklearn.naive_bayes import GaussianNB
          from sklearn.svm import SVC
          from sklearn.svm import LinearSVC
         from sklearn.preprocessing import StandardScaler
         from sklearn.pipeline import Pipeline
         from sklearn.metrics import multilabel_confusion_matrix
          from sklearn.neighbors import KNeighborsClassifier
         from sklearn.preprocessing import PolynomialFeatures
          import random
In [30]: | df = pd.read_csv(r'D:\ML Assignment 3\df_out.csv', index_col = 0)
In [31]: | def classifying1(x):
              if x > 1:
                  return 1
              else:
                  return 0
In [32]: | from sklearn import model_selection
          from sklearn.feature_selection import SelectPercentile, f_classif
In [33]: | df["classes"] = df['2015 PRICE VAR [%]'].apply(classifying1)
         df.corrwith(df["2015 PRICE VAR [%]"]).sort_values(ascending = False)
Out[33]: 2015 PRICE VAR [%]
                                             1.000000
         Class
                                             0.652077
         classes
                                             0.651469
         EPS Diluted
                                             0.118955
         EPS
                                             0.117757
         cashPerShare
                                            -0.052292
         Cash per Share
                                            -0.052292
         Shareholders Equity per Share
                                            -0.056133
         Property, Plant & Equipment Net
                                           -0.059593
         Book Value per Share
                                            -0.097445
         Length: 64, dtype: float64
In [34]: | df = df.drop(columns=['2015 PRICE VAR [%]', 'Class', 'Sector'])
         nparray = df.to_numpy()
          scores = ["recall", 'precision', 'accuracy', 'f1']
In [35]: | features = nparray[:,0:-1]
         label = nparray[:,-1]
         X = features
         y = label
         features.shape
In [36]: resultsDF = pd.DataFrame([], columns = ['Classifier', 'Precision', 'Recall', 'Fscore', 'Train score', 'Test score']).set_
         index('Classifier')
```

SVC Linear

```
In [37]: for i in range (0,3):
             for score in scores:
                     X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(features, label, test_size=0.2)
                      param_grid = {'C': [0.1,0.5,0.7, 0.9,1,2,10,15,20], 'dual': [False]}
                     SVC_GS = GridSearchCV(estimator = LinearSVC(),param_grid=param_grid, scoring = score , cv = 30,refit=True,
         verbose=1, n_jobs=-1)
                     SVC_GS.fit(X_train,y_train)
                     y_pred = SVC_GS.predict(X_test)
                     resultsSVM1 = list(precision_recall_fscore_support(y_test, y_pred, average='macro'))
                     resultsSVM1.insert(0,'SVMLinear RUN' + str(i+1) + "With Scoring method" + score)
                     resultsSVM1.pop(4)
                      resultsSVM1.insert(4, SVC_GS.score(X_train, y_train))
                     resultsSVM1.insert(5, SVC_GS.score(X_test, y_test))
                     SVM1_dataframe = pd.DataFrame([resultsSVM1], columns = ['Classifier', 'Precision', 'Recall', 'Fscore', 'Train
         score', 'Test score']).set_index('Classifier')
                      resultsDF = resultsDF.append([SVM1_dataframe])
                     print("The best estimator for RUN " + str(i+1) + " With Scoring method " + score + " : " + str(SVC_GS.be
         st_estimator_))
                     print("The Confusion matrix for RUN " + str(i+1) + " With Scoring method " + score + " : " + " is \n")
                     print(print(multilabel_confusion_matrix(y_test, y_pred)))
```

```
Fitting 30 folds for each of 9 candidates, totalling 270 fits
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Using backend LokyBackend with 12 concurrent workers.
[Parallel(n jobs=-1)]: Done 26 tasks
                                          | elapsed:
                                                        2.6s
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 176 tasks
                                          | elapsed:
                                                       14.3s
[Parallel(n jobs=-1)]: Done 270 out of 270 | elapsed: 21.2s finished
The best estimator for RUN 1 With Scoring method recall: LinearSVC(C=0.1, class_weight=None, dual=False, fit_interce
pt=True,
          intercept_scaling=1, loss='squared_hinge', max_iter=1000,
         multi_class='ovr', penalty='12', random_state=None, tol=0.0001,
         verbose=0)
The Confusion matrix for RUN 1 With Scoring method recall : is
[[[ 39 279]
 [ 54 386]]
 [[386 54]
 [279 39]]]
None
Fitting 30 folds for each of 9 candidates, totalling 270 fits
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Using backend LokyBackend with 12 concurrent workers.
                                       | elapsed:
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 26 tasks
                                                      2.4s
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 176 tasks
                                         | elapsed:
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 270 out of 270 | elapsed: 20.4s finished
The best estimator for RUN 1 With Scoring method precision : LinearSVC(C=0.9, class_weight=None, dual=False, fit_inte
rcept=True,
         intercept_scaling=1, loss='squared_hinge', max_iter=1000,
         multi_class='ovr', penalty='12', random_state=None, tol=0.0001,
         verbose=0)
The Confusion matrix for RUN 1 With Scoring method precision : is
[[[ 77 235]
 [ 80 366]]
 [[366 80]
 [235 77]]]
None
Fitting 30 folds for each of 9 candidates, totalling 270 fits
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Using backend LokyBackend with 12 concurrent workers.
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 26 tasks
                                         elapsed:
                                                        1.8s
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 176 tasks
                                          elapsed:
                                                       10.4s
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 270 out of 270 | elapsed: 15.4s finished
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Using backend LokyBackend with 12 concurrent workers.
The best estimator for RUN 1 With Scoring method accuracy: LinearSVC(C=0.5, class_weight=None, dual=False, fit_inter
cept=True,
         intercept_scaling=1, loss='squared_hinge', max_iter=1000,
         multi_class='ovr', penalty='12', random_state=None, tol=0.0001,
         verbose=0)
The Confusion matrix for RUN 1 With Scoring method accuracy : is
[[[ 70 245]
 [ 82 361]]
 [[361 82]
 [245 70]]]
Fitting 30 folds for each of 9 candidates, totalling 270 fits
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 26 tasks
                                          elapsed:
[Parallel(n jobs=-1)]: Done 176 tasks
                                          elapsed:
                                                       11.9s
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 270 out of 270 | elapsed: 17.8s finished
The best estimator for RUN 1 With Scoring method f1 : LinearSVC(C=0.1, class_weight=None, dual=False, fit_intercept=T
rue,
          intercept_scaling=1, loss='squared_hinge', max_iter=1000,
          multi_class='ovr', penalty='12', random_state=None, tol=0.0001,
The Confusion matrix for RUN 1 With Scoring method f1: is
[[[ 79 259]
 [ 61 359]]
 [[359 61]
 [259 79]]]
None
Fitting 30 folds for each of 9 candidates, totalling 270 fits
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Using backend LokyBackend with 12 concurrent workers.
[Parallel(n jobs=-1)]: Done 26 tasks
                                          | elapsed:
                                                        2.0s
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 176 tasks
                                           | elapsed:
                                                       11.5s
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 270 out of 270 | elapsed:
                                                       17.2s finished
```

```
The best estimator for RUN 2 With Scoring method recall: LinearSVC(C=0.1, class_weight=None, dual=False, fit_interce
pt=True,
          intercept_scaling=1, loss='squared_hinge', max_iter=1000,
         multi_class='ovr', penalty='12', random_state=None, tol=0.0001,
          verbose=0)
The Confusion matrix for RUN 2 With Scoring method recall : is
[[[ 62 241]
 [ 90 365]]
 [[365 90]
 [241 62]]]
None
Fitting 30 folds for each of 9 candidates, totalling 270 fits
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Using backend LokyBackend with 12 concurrent workers.
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 26 tasks
                                          elapsed:
                                                        2.0s
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 176 tasks
                                          | elapsed:
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 270 out of 270 | elapsed: 16.3s finished
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Using backend LokyBackend with 12 concurrent workers.
The best estimator for RUN 2 With Scoring method precision: LinearSVC(C=0.1, class_weight=None, dual=False, fit_inte
rcept=True,
          intercept_scaling=1, loss='squared_hinge', max_iter=1000,
         multi_class='ovr', penalty='12', random_state=None, tol=0.0001,
The Confusion matrix for RUN 2 With Scoring method precision : is
[[[ 62 285]
 [ 59 352]]
 [[352 59]
 [285 62]]]
Fitting 30 folds for each of 9 candidates, totalling 270 fits
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 26 tasks
                                           | elapsed:
                                                        2.4s
                                                       13.0s
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 176 tasks
                                            elapsed:
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 270 out of 270 | elapsed: 19.6s finished
The best estimator for RUN 2 With Scoring method accuracy: LinearSVC(C=0.1, class_weight=None, dual=False, fit_inter
cept=True,
         intercept_scaling=1, loss='squared_hinge', max_iter=1000,
         multi class='ovr', penalty='12', random state=None, tol=0.0001,
         verbose=0)
The Confusion matrix for RUN 2 With Scoring method accuracy : is
[[[ 62 237]
 [ 77 382]]
 [[382 77]
 [237 62]]]
Fitting 30 folds for each of 9 candidates, totalling 270 fits
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Using backend LokyBackend with 12 concurrent workers.
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 26 tasks
                                           elapsed:
                                                        2.1s
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 176 tasks
                                                       11.4s
                                          elapsed:
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 270 out of 270 | elapsed: 17.1s finished
The best estimator for RUN 2 With Scoring method f1: LinearSVC(C=15, class_weight=None, dual=False, fit_intercept=Tr
ue,
          intercept_scaling=1, loss='squared_hinge', max_iter=1000,
          multi_class='ovr', penalty='12', random_state=None, tol=0.0001,
          verbose=0)
The Confusion matrix for RUN 2 With Scoring method f1 : is
[[[ 56 264]
 [ 80 358]]
 [[358 80]
 [264 56]]]
None
Fitting 30 folds for each of 9 candidates, totalling 270 fits
[Parallel(n jobs=-1)]: Using backend LokyBackend with 12 concurrent workers.
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 26 tasks
                                          | elapsed:
                                                        2.2s
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 176 tasks
                                                       12.4s
                                           | elapsed:
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 270 out of 270 | elapsed:
                                                       18.5s finished
```

```
The best estimator for RUN 3 With Scoring method recall: LinearSVC(C=0.1, class_weight=None, dual=False, fit_interce
         pt=True,
                   intercept_scaling=1, loss='squared_hinge', max_iter=1000,
                   multi_class='ovr', penalty='12', random_state=None, tol=0.0001,
                   verbose=0)
         The Confusion matrix for RUN 3 With Scoring method recall : is
         [[[ 57 234]
           [ 77 390]]
          [[390 77]
           [234 57]]]
         None
         Fitting 30 folds for each of 9 candidates, totalling 270 fits
         [Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Using backend LokyBackend with 12 concurrent workers.
         [Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 26 tasks
                                                   elapsed:
                                                                  2.0s
         [Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 176 tasks
                                                    | elapsed:
         [Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 270 out of 270 | elapsed: 16.7s finished
         [Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Using backend LokyBackend with 12 concurrent workers.
         The best estimator for RUN 3 With Scoring method precision: LinearSVC(C=10, class_weight=None, dual=False, fit_inter
         cept=True,
                   intercept_scaling=1, loss='squared_hinge', max_iter=1000,
                   multi_class='ovr', penalty='12', random_state=None, tol=0.0001,
         The Confusion matrix for RUN 3 With Scoring method precision : is
         [[[ 48 290]
           [ 59 361]]
          [[361 59]
           [290 48]]]
         Fitting 30 folds for each of 9 candidates, totalling 270 fits
         [Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 26 tasks
                                                    | elapsed:
                                                                  2.0s
                                                                 11.1s
         [Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 176 tasks
                                                      elapsed:
         [Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 270 out of 270 | elapsed: 16.3s finished
         The best estimator for RUN 3 With Scoring method accuracy: LinearSVC(C=0.9, class_weight=None, dual=False, fit_inter
         cept=True,
                   intercept_scaling=1, loss='squared_hinge', max_iter=1000,
                   multi class='ovr', penalty='12', random state=None, tol=0.0001,
                   verbose=0)
         The Confusion matrix for RUN 3 With Scoring method accuracy : is
         [[[ 91 225]
           [ 91 351]]
          [[351 91]
           [225 91]]]
         Fitting 30 folds for each of 9 candidates, totalling 270 fits
         [Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Using backend LokyBackend with 12 concurrent workers.
         [Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 26 tasks
                                                    elapsed:
                                                                  2.2s
         [Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 176 tasks
                                                    elapsed:
                                                                 12.4s
         [Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 270 out of 270 | elapsed: 18.4s finished
         The best estimator for RUN 3 With Scoring method f1: LinearSVC(C=0.7, class weight=None, dual=False, fit intercept=T
         rue,
                   intercept_scaling=1, loss='squared_hinge', max_iter=1000,
                   multi_class='ovr', penalty='12', random_state=None, tol=0.0001,
                   verbose=0)
         The Confusion matrix for RUN 3 With Scoring method f1 : is
         [[[ 53 284]
           [ 49 372]]
          [[372 49]
           [284 53]]]
         None
In [38]: | print('The parameters combination that would give best accuracy is : ')
         print(SVC_GS.best_params_)
```

The parameters combination that would give best accuracy is :

{'C': 0.7, 'dual': False}

Out[39]:

	Precision	Recall	Fscore	Train score	Test score
Classifier					
SVMLinear RUN 1 With Scoring method recall	0.499903	0.499957	0.444212	0.170040	0.122642
SVMLinear RUN 1 With Scoring method precision	0.549715	0.533711	0.513749	0.504979	0.490446
SVMLinear RUN 1 With Scoring method accuracy	0.528118	0.518560	0.494030	0.587789	0.568602
SVMLinear RUN 1 With Scoring method f1	0.572596	0.544245	0.511129	0.300727	0.330544
SVMLinear RUN 2 With Scoring method recall	0.505102	0.503409	0.480279	0.275200	0.204620
SVMLinear RUN 2 With Scoring method precision	0.532493	0.517561	0.468356	0.434608	0.512397
SVMLinear RUN 2 With Scoring method accuracy	0.531584	0.519801	0.495912	0.577228	0.585752
SVMLinear RUN 2 With Scoring method f1	0.493664	0.496176	0.460543	0.294795	0.245614
SVMLinear RUN 3 With Scoring method recall	0.525187	0.515497	0.491588	0.240887	0.195876
SVMLinear RUN 3 With Scoring method precision	0.501565	0.500768	0.444933	0.465887	0.448598
SVMLinear RUN 3 With Scoring method accuracy	0.554688	0.541046	0.527525	0.601980	0.583113
SVMLinear RUN 3 With Scoring method f1	0.543341	0.520440	0.466133	0.251959	0.241458

SVM Non-Linear

```
In [40]: for i in range (0,3):
             for score in scores:
                 X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(features, label, test_size=0.2)
                 param_grid = {'C': [0.5,0.9,1,2,10,15,20], 'degree' : [2,3] , 'gamma' : ['scale'], 'kernel' : ['poly'], 'coef
         0': [1,2]}
                 SVM_NonLinear_GS = GridSearchCV(SVC(), scoring = score,param_grid = param_grid , cv = 10,refit=True,verbose=1,
         n_jobs=-1)
                 SVM_NonLinear_GS.get_params().keys()
                 SVM_NonLinear_GS.fit(X_train,y_train)
                 y_pred = SVM_NonLinear_GS.predict(X_test)
                  resultsSVM2 = list(precision_recall_fscore_support(y_test, y_pred, average='macro'))
                  resultsSVM2.insert(0,'SVM NON Linear RUN ' + str(i+1) + " With Scoring method " + score )
                  resultsSVM2.pop(4)
                  resultsSVM2.insert(4, SVM_NonLinear_GS.score(X_train, y_train))
                  resultsSVM2.insert(5, SVM_NonLinear_GS.score(X_test, y_test))
                 SVM2_dataframe = pd.DataFrame([resultsSVM2], columns = ['Classifier', 'Precision', 'Recall', 'Fscore', 'Train sco
         re', 'Test score']).set_index('Classifier')
                  resultsDF = resultsDF.append([SVM2_dataframe])
                  print("The best estimator for RUN" + str(i+1) + "With Scoring method" + score + str(SVM\_NonLinear\_GS.best) \\
          _estimator_))
                  print("The Confusion matrix for RUN" + str(i+1) + " With Scoring method " + score + " is \n")
                  print(print(multilabel_confusion_matrix(y_test, y_pred)))
```

```
Fitting 10 folds for each of 28 candidates, totalling 280 fits
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Using backend LokyBackend with 12 concurrent workers.
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 26 tasks
                                          | elapsed:
                                                        2.5s
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 176 tasks
                                          | elapsed:
                                                       16.0s
[Parallel(n jobs=-1)]: Done 280 out of 280 | elapsed: 32.4s finished
The best estimator for RUN 1 With Scoring method recallSVC(C=20, break_ties=False, cache_size=200, class_weight=None,
coef0=2,
    decision_function_shape='ovr', degree=3, gamma='scale', kernel='poly',
   max_iter=-1, probability=False, random_state=None, shrinking=True,
   tol=0.001, verbose=False)
The Confusion matrix for RUN1 With Scoring method recall is
[[[ 44 279]
 [ 32 403]]
 [[403 32]
 [279 44]]]
None
Fitting 10 folds for each of 28 candidates, totalling 280 fits
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Using backend LokyBackend with 12 concurrent workers.
                                                       2.4s
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 26 tasks
                                       | elapsed:
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 176 tasks
                                         elapsed:
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 280 out of 280 | elapsed: 32.5s finished
The best estimator for RUN 1 With Scoring method precisionSVC(C=10, break_ties=False, cache_size=200, class_weight=No
ne, coef0=2,
   decision_function_shape='ovr', degree=3, gamma='scale', kernel='poly',
   max_iter=-1, probability=False, random_state=None, shrinking=True,
   tol=0.001, verbose=False)
The Confusion matrix for RUN1 With Scoring method precision is
[[[ 38 273]
 [ 29 418]]
[[418 29]
 [273 38]]]
Fitting 10 folds for each of 28 candidates, totalling 280 fits
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Using backend LokyBackend with 12 concurrent workers.
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 26 tasks
                                         elapsed:
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 176 tasks
                                          elapsed:
                                                       17.1s
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 280 out of 280 | elapsed: 37.0s finished
The best estimator for RUN 1 With Scoring method accuracySVC(C=10, break_ties=False, cache_size=200, class_weight=Non
e, coef0=1,
   decision_function_shape='ovr', degree=3, gamma='scale', kernel='poly',
   max_iter=-1, probability=False, random_state=None, shrinking=True,
   tol=0.001, verbose=False)
The Confusion matrix for RUN1 With Scoring method accuracy is
[[[ 32 282]
 [ 24 420]]
 [[420 24]
 [282 32]]]
None
Fitting 10 folds for each of 28 candidates, totalling 280 fits
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Using backend LokyBackend with 12 concurrent workers.
[Parallel(n jobs=-1)]: Done 26 tasks
                                          elapsed:
                                          l elapsed:
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 176 tasks
                                                       17.6s
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 280 out of 280 | elapsed: 37.6s finished
The best estimator for RUN 1 With Scoring method f1SVC(C=20, break_ties=False, cache_size=200, class_weight=None, coe
    decision_function_shape='ovr', degree=3, gamma='scale', kernel='poly',
    max_iter=-1, probability=False, random_state=None, shrinking=True,
   tol=0.001, verbose=False)
The Confusion matrix for RUN1 With Scoring method f1 is
[[[ 40 262]
 [ 34 422]]
 [[422 34]
 [262 40]]]
None
Fitting 10 folds for each of 28 candidates, totalling 280 fits
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Using backend LokyBackend with 12 concurrent workers.
[Parallel(n jobs=-1)]: Done 26 tasks
                                          | elapsed:
                                                        2.6s
[Parallel(n jobs=-1)]: Done 176 tasks
                                           | elapsed:
                                                       16.7s
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 280 out of 280 | elapsed:
                                                       33.8s finished
```

```
The best estimator for RUN 2 With Scoring method recallSVC(C=20, break_ties=False, cache_size=200, class_weight=None,
coef0=2,
   decision function shape='ovr', degree=3, gamma='scale', kernel='poly',
   max_iter=-1, probability=False, random_state=None, shrinking=True,
   tol=0.001, verbose=False)
The Confusion matrix for RUN2 With Scoring method recall is
[[[ 46 260]
 [ 40 412]]
 [[412 40]
 [260 46]]]
None
Fitting 10 folds for each of 28 candidates, totalling 280 fits
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Using backend LokyBackend with 12 concurrent workers.
                                          | elapsed:
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 26 tasks
                                                         2.5s
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 176 tasks
                                           | elapsed:
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 280 out of 280 | elapsed:
                                                       33.7s finished
The best estimator for RUN 2 With Scoring method precisionSVC(C=2, break_ties=False, cache_size=200, class_weight=Non
e, coef0=2,
   decision_function_shape='ovr', degree=3, gamma='scale', kernel='poly',
   max_iter=-1, probability=False, random_state=None, shrinking=True,
   tol=0.001, verbose=False)
The Confusion matrix for RUN2 With Scoring method precision is
[[[ 27 272]
 [ 25 434]]
 [[434 25]
 [272 27]]]
None
Fitting 10 folds for each of 28 candidates, totalling 280 fits
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Using backend LokyBackend with 12 concurrent workers.
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 26 tasks
                                           | elapsed:
                                            elapsed:
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 176 tasks
                                                        16.1s
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 280 out of 280 | elapsed:
                                                        32.5s finished
The best estimator for RUN 2 With Scoring method accuracySVC(C=2, break_ties=False, cache_size=200, class_weight=Non
e, coef0=2,
   decision_function_shape='ovr', degree=3, gamma='scale', kernel='poly',
   max_iter=-1, probability=False, random_state=None, shrinking=True,
   tol=0.001, verbose=False)
The Confusion matrix for RUN2 With Scoring method accuracy is
[[[ 30 288]
 [ 23 417]]
 [[417 23]
 [288 30]]]
Fitting 10 folds for each of 28 candidates, totalling 280 fits
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Using backend LokyBackend with 12 concurrent workers.
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 26 tasks
                                           elapsed:
                                                         2.6s
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 176 tasks
                                                        16.2s
                                           elapsed:
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 280 out of 280 | elapsed: 33.3s finished
The best estimator for RUN 2 With Scoring method f1SVC(C=15, break_ties=False, cache_size=200, class_weight=None, coe
f0=2,
   decision_function_shape='ovr', degree=3, gamma='scale', kernel='poly',
   max_iter=-1, probability=False, random_state=None, shrinking=True,
   tol=0.001, verbose=False)
The Confusion matrix for RUN2 With Scoring method f1 is
[[[ 35 276]
 [ 21 426]]
 [[426 21]
 [276 35]]]
None
Fitting 10 folds for each of 28 candidates, totalling 280 fits
[Parallel(n jobs=-1)]: Using backend LokyBackend with 12 concurrent workers.
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 26 tasks
                                           | elapsed:
                                                         2.6s
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 176 tasks
                                           | elapsed:
                                                        16.3s
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 280 out of 280 | elapsed:
                                                        34.3s finished
```

```
The best estimator for RUN 3 With Scoring method recallSVC(C=20, break_ties=False, cache_size=200, class_weight=None,
         coef0=2,
             decision function shape='ovr', degree=3, gamma='scale', kernel='poly',
             max_iter=-1, probability=False, random_state=None, shrinking=True,
             tol=0.001, verbose=False)
         The Confusion matrix for RUN3 With Scoring method recall is
         [[[ 41 261]
           [ 19 437]]
          [[437 19]
           [261 41]]]
         None
         Fitting 10 folds for each of 28 candidates, totalling 280 fits
         [Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Using backend LokyBackend with 12 concurrent workers.
                                                   | elapsed:
         [Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 26 tasks
                                                                 2.6s
         [Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 176 tasks
                                                    | elapsed:
         [Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 280 out of 280 | elapsed:
                                                                 35.5s finished
         The best estimator for RUN 3 With Scoring method precisionSVC(C=15, break_ties=False, cache_size=200, class_weight=No
         ne, coef0=1,
             decision_function_shape='ovr', degree=3, gamma='scale', kernel='poly',
             max_iter=-1, probability=False, random_state=None, shrinking=True,
             tol=0.001, verbose=False)
         The Confusion matrix for RUN3 With Scoring method precision is
         [[[ 37 282]
           [ 21 418]]
          [[418 21]
           [282 37]]]
         None
         Fitting 10 folds for each of 28 candidates, totalling 280 fits
         [Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Using backend LokyBackend with 12 concurrent workers.
         [Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 26 tasks
                                                    | elapsed:
                                                      elapsed:
         [Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 176 tasks
                                                                 16.2s
         [Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 280 out of 280 | elapsed:
                                                                 33.4s finished
         The best estimator for RUN 3 With Scoring method accuracySVC(C=20, break_ties=False, cache_size=200, class_weight=Non
         e, coef0=2,
             decision_function_shape='ovr', degree=3, gamma='scale', kernel='poly',
             max_iter=-1, probability=False, random_state=None, shrinking=True,
             tol=0.001, verbose=False)
         The Confusion matrix for RUN3 With Scoring method accuracy is
         [[[ 39 257]
           [ 30 432]]
          [[432 30]
           [257 39]]]
         Fitting 10 folds for each of 28 candidates, totalling 280 fits
         [Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Using backend LokyBackend with 12 concurrent workers.
         [Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 26 tasks
                                                    elapsed:
                                                                  2.7s
         [Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 176 tasks
                                                                 16.7s
                                                    elapsed:
         [Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 280 out of 280 | elapsed: 35.5s finished
         The best estimator for RUN 3 With Scoring method f1SVC(C=20, break_ties=False, cache_size=200, class_weight=None, coe
         f0=2,
             decision_function_shape='ovr', degree=3, gamma='scale', kernel='poly',
             max_iter=-1, probability=False, random_state=None, shrinking=True,
             tol=0.001, verbose=False)
         The Confusion matrix for RUN3 With Scoring method f1 is
         [[[ 43 280]
           [ 27 408]]
          [[408 27]
           [280 43]]]
         None
In [41]: print('The parameters combination that would give best accuracy is : ')
         print(SVM_NonLinear_GS.best_params_)
```

The parameters combination that would give best accuracy is :

{'C': 20, 'coef0': 2, 'degree': 3, 'gamma': 'scale', 'kernel': 'poly'}

Out[42]:

	Precision	Recall	Fscore	Train score	Test score
Classifier					
SVMLinear RUN 1 With Scoring method recall	0.499903	0.499957	0.444212	0.170040	0.122642
SVMLinear RUN 1 With Scoring method precision	0.549715	0.533711	0.513749	0.504979	0.490446
SVMLinear RUN 1 With Scoring method accuracy	0.528118	0.518560	0.494030	0.587789	0.568602
SVMLinear RUN 1 With Scoring method f1	0.572596	0.544245	0.511129	0.300727	0.330544
SVMLinear RUN 2 With Scoring method recall	0.505102	0.503409	0.480279	0.275200	0.204620
SVMLinear RUN 2 With Scoring method precision	0.532493	0.517561	0.468356	0.434608	0.512397
SVMLinear RUN 2 With Scoring method accuracy	0.531584	0.519801	0.495912	0.577228	0.585752
SVMLinear RUN 2 With Scoring method f1	0.493664	0.496176	0.460543	0.294795	0.245614
SVMLinear RUN 3 With Scoring method recall	0.525187	0.515497	0.491588	0.240887	0.195876
SVMLinear RUN 3 With Scoring method precision	0.501565	0.500768	0.444933	0.465887	0.448598
SVMLinear RUN 3 With Scoring method accuracy	0.554688	0.541046	0.527525	0.601980	0.583113
SVMLinear RUN 3 With Scoring method f1	0.543341	0.520440	0.466133	0.251959	0.241458
SVM NON Linear RUN 1 With Scoring method recall	0.584928	0.531330	0.471064	0.181301	0.136223
SVM NON Linear RUN 1 With Scoring method precision	0.586042	0.528655	0.467840	0.785185	0.567164
SVM NON Linear RUN 1 With Scoring method accuracy	0.584860	0.523928	0.452979	0.636634	0.596306
SVM NON Linear RUN 1 With Scoring method f1	0.578750	0.528944	0.476558	0.283846	0.212766
SVM NON Linear RUN 2 With Scoring method recall	0.573989	0.530916	0.483895	0.186848	0.150327
SVM NON Linear RUN 2 With Scoring method precision	0.566981	0.517917	0.449455	0.746988	0.519231
SVM NON Linear RUN 2 With Scoring method accuracy	0.578764	0.521033	0.445055	0.631353	0.589710
SVM NON Linear RUN 2 With Scoring method f1	0.615919	0.532780	0.466125	0.287031	0.190736
SVM NON Linear RUN 3 With Scoring method recall	0.654704	0.547047	0.491943	0.163869	0.135762
SVM NON Linear RUN 3 With Scoring method precision	0.617537	0.534076	0.465132	0.765432	0.637931
SVM NON Linear RUN 3 With Scoring method accuracy	0.596107	0.533411	0.482175	0.640264	0.621372
SVM NON Linear RUN 3 With Scoring method f1	0.603654	0.535529	0.472727	0.276882	0.218830

KNN

```
In [43]: | for i in range (0,3):
             for score in scores:
                 X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(features, label, test_size=0.2)
                 print(X_train)
                  param_grid = {'n_neighbors': [3,5,10,15,50], 'n_jobs' : [-1],}
                  KNN_GS = GridSearchCV(KNeighborsClassifier(), scoring = score,param_grid = param_grid , cv = 30,refit=True,ver
         bose=1, n_jobs=-1)
                 KNN_GS.fit(X_train,y_train)
                 y_pred = KNN_GS.predict(X_test)
                 resultsKNN = list(precision_recall_fscore_support(y_test, y_pred, average='macro'))
                  resultsKNN.insert(0,'KNN RUN ' + str(i+1) + " With Scoring method " + score )
                  resultsKNN.pop(4)
                  resultsKNN.insert(4, KNN_GS.score(X_train, y_train))
                  resultsKNN.insert(5, KNN_GS.score(X_test, y_test))
                  KNN_dataframe = pd.DataFrame([resultsKNN], columns = ['Classifier', 'Precision', 'Recall', 'Fscore', 'Train scor
         e', 'Test score']).set_index('Classifier')
                  resultsDF = resultsDF.append([KNN_dataframe])
                  print("The best estimator for RUN " + str(i+1) + " With Scoring method " + score + str(KNN_GS.best_estimator_
         ))
                  print("The Confusion matrix for RUN" + str(i+1) +" With Scoring method " + score + " is \n")
                  print(print(multilabel_confusion_matrix(y_test, y_pred)))
```

```
6.48000000e-02 1.17100000e-01]
[ 3.51240000e+10 1.23340000e+10 1.03600000e+09 ... -4.52400000e-01
 -3.50500000e-01 -4.44200000e-01]
1.83542634e-01 1.67757663e-01]
[ 1.57925700e+09 1.37528800e+09 1.81316000e+08 ... 2.24570800e+00
  -4.53500000e-01 -1.10400000e-01]
[ 7.68400000e+07 2.42230000e+07 2.32040000e+07 ... 1.43400000e+00
  3.50000000e-01 -3.59000000e-02]
[ 7.77863300e+09 5.03202000e+08 1.06823000e+08 ... 2.67900000e-01
  4.22656400e+00 3.56366600e+00]]
Fitting 30 folds for each of 5 candidates, totalling 150 fits
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Using backend LokyBackend with 12 concurrent workers.
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 150 out of 150 | elapsed:
                                                    3.4s finished
The best estimator for RUN 1 With Scoring method recallKNeighborsClassifier(algorithm='auto', leaf_size=30, metric='m
inkowski',
                   metric_params=None, n_jobs=-1, n_neighbors=3, p=2,
                   weights='uniform')
The Confusion matrix for RUN1 With Scoring method recall is
[[[143 179]
 [143 293]]
[[293 143]
 [179 143]]]
None
[[ 5.90000000e+07 5.90000000e+07 1.36000000e+08 ... 1.25357367e-01
  1.27008954e-01 5.52643807e-02]
[ 1.79800000e+06  0.00000000e+00  6.93430000e+07 ... -5.79278000e-01
 -1.15300000e+00 -1.15010000e+00]
[ 2.28260000e+10 5.53100000e+09 2.35200000e+09 ... 6.90000000e-02
  7.73000000e-02 8.20000000e-02]
[ 1.26600500e+09 1.26600500e+09 8.38631000e+08 ... 1.24200000e-01
  1.25200000e-01 4.20200000e-01]
[ 2.19063300e+09  4.68795000e+08  2.61340000e+08  ...  1.36800000e-01
  1.08000000e-01 6.86000000e-02]
[ 7.40055000e+08 4.04057000e+08 3.23492000e+08 ... -2.03000000e-02
  -6.15000000e-02 -6.59000000e-02]]
Fitting 30 folds for each of 5 candidates, totalling 150 fits
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Using backend LokyBackend with 12 concurrent workers.
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 28 tasks
                                     elapsed:
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 150 out of 150 | elapsed:
                                                    2.0s finished
The best estimator for RUN 1 With Scoring method precisionKNeighborsClassifier(algorithm='auto', leaf_size=30, metric
='minkowski',
                   metric_params=None, n_jobs=-1, n_neighbors=50, p=2,
                   weights='uniform')
The Confusion matrix for RUN1 With Scoring method precision is
[[[ 88 216]
 [ 60 394]]
[[394 60]
 [216 88]]]
[[\ 0.000000000e+00\ \ 0.00000000e+00\ \ 9.54614695e+05\ \dots\ \ 2.52390984e-01
 -3.68765490e-01 -3.95322112e-01]
[ 6.78400000e+09 1.25300000e+09 5.23000000e+08 ... -5.86000000e-02
 -2.70300000e-01 -1.25800000e-01]
[ 5.10690000e+07 1.25890000e+07 1.06460000e+07 ... -5.90000000e-03
  3.36100000e-01 1.31860000e+00]
[ 1.92310000e+07 1.92310000e+07 1.36320000e+07 ... 3.94500000e-01
 -2.96200000e-01 -2.77100000e-01]
[ 9.93690000e+07  9.93690000e+07  5.39470000e+07  ...  1.05800000e+00
  9.13000000e-01 9.38800000e-01]
-4.56000000e-02 -4.71000000e-02]]
Fitting 30 folds for each of 5 candidates, totalling 150 fits
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Using backend LokyBackend with 12 concurrent workers.
[Parallel(n jobs=-1)]: Done 28 tasks
                                     | elapsed:
                                                    0.6s
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 150 out of 150 | elapsed:
                                                    2.0s finished
```

```
The best estimator for RUN 1 With Scoring method accuracyKNeighborsClassifier(algorithm='auto', leaf size=30, metric
='minkowski',
                    metric_params=None, n_jobs=-1, n_neighbors=10, p=2,
                    weights='uniform')
The Confusion matrix for RUN1 With Scoring method accuracy is
[[[ 96 192]
 [ 78 392]]
 [[392 78]
 [192 96]]]
None
[[2.59930000e+07 \ 2.59930000e+07 \ 1.64960000e+07 \ ... \ 4.48000000e-02
  7.17000000e-02 7.17000000e-02]
 [ 1.16150000e+09 5.13800000e+08 2.04200000e+08 ... 3.30000000e-03
  1.68050000e+00 2.65400000e-01]
 [ 2.55453590e+10 1.53749500e+10 6.62394173e+09 ... 4.44000000e-02
  1.98200000e-01 1.99900000e-01]
 [ 4.18109500e+09 2.57275900e+09 1.12388200e+09 ... 6.99000000e-02
  3.06500000e-01 7.19000000e-02]
 [ 8.75595200e+09 9.66211000e+08 3.59401000e+08 ... 3.43600000e-01
 -1.17500000e-01 -1.71300000e-01]
 [ 4.99261000e+08  2.87037000e+08  2.33634000e+08  ... -1.27000000e-01
  -2.84400000e-01 -3.21900000e-01]]
Fitting 30 folds for each of 5 candidates, totalling 150 fits
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Using backend LokyBackend with 12 concurrent workers.
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 28 tasks
                                        | elapsed:
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 150 out of 150 | elapsed:
The best estimator for RUN 1 With Scoring method f1KNeighborsClassifier(algorithm='auto', leaf_size=30, metric='minko
wski',
                    metric params=None, n jobs=-1, n neighbors=3, p=2,
                    weights='uniform')
The Confusion matrix for RUN1 With Scoring method f1 is
[[[140 166]
 [138 314]]
 [[314 138]
 [166 140]]]
 \begin{bmatrix} 1.39350000e+07 & 1.39350000e+07 & 9.35600000e+06 & \dots & -5.22000000e-02 \end{bmatrix} 
  -2.84700000e-01 -2.84700000e-01]
 [ 8.45569000e+08 6.32590000e+08 5.32410000e+08 ... 8.46000000e-02
  4.69600000e-01 4.84700000e-01]
 [ 2.98146000e+08 2.98146000e+08 1.17285000e+08 ... 8.11000000e-02
  9.22000000e-02 1.03800000e-01]
 [ 1.74058000e+08 1.66584000e+08 3.58590000e+07 ... 5.16000000e-02
  -2.43000000e-02 2.11000000e-02]
 [ 7.23820000e+07 4.72300000e+07 1.52740000e+07 ... 2.49000000e-01
  3.11800000e-01 3.09300000e-01]
 [ 1.17030000e+10 9.70400000e+09 9.14000000e+08 ... 1.48880177e-01
  1.83542634e-01 1.67757663e-01]]
Fitting 30 folds for each of 5 candidates, totalling 150 fits
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Using backend LokyBackend with 12 concurrent workers.
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 28 tasks
                                        | elapsed:
                                                        2.0s finished
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 150 out of 150 | elapsed:
The best estimator for RUN 2 With Scoring method recallKNeighborsClassifier(algorithm='auto', leaf_size=30, metric='m
inkowski',
                    metric params=None, n jobs=-1, n neighbors=3, p=2,
                    weights='uniform')
The Confusion matrix for RUN2 With Scoring method recall is
[[[146 172]
 [122 318]]
 [[318 122]
 [172 146]]]
None
[[ 7.84025500e+09 1.22201600e+09 8.57297000e+08 ... 1.40900000e-01
  1.74400000e-01 1.74400000e-01]
 [ 3.53318120e+07 2.22974980e+07 2.57998370e+07 ... 1.80500000e-01
  3.97100000e-01 3.04500000e-01]
 [ 1.35601000e+08 1.19577000e+08 4.99800000e+06 ... 3.15800000e-01
  -3.47000000e-02 7.86900000e-01]
 [ 7.21609010e+09 5.42300885e+09 1.35406275e+09 ... 4.40000000e-02
  3.57000000e-02 2.81000000e-02]
 -2.90250000e+00 -2.83800000e-01]
 [ 4.77760000e+09 9.70500000e+08 4.25500000e+08 ... -2.36000000e-02
  -6.79000000e-02 -6.30000000e-03]]
Fitting 30 folds for each of 5 candidates, totalling 150 fits
```

```
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Using backend LokyBackend with 12 concurrent workers.
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 28 tasks
                                       | elapsed:
                                                     0.6s
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 150 out of 150 | elapsed:
                                                     2.0s finished
The best estimator for RUN 2 With Scoring method precisionKNeighborsClassifier(algorithm='auto', leaf_size=30, metric
='minkowski',
                   metric_params=None, n_jobs=-1, n_neighbors=50, p=2,
                   weights='uniform')
The Confusion matrix for RUN2 With Scoring method precision is
[[[ 76 234]
 [ 63 385]]
 [[385 63]
 [234 76]]]
None
[ 2.00959590e+10 8.22190410e+09 1.01058896e+09 ... -5.90000000e-03
  4.36000000e-02 2.66000000e-02]
 [ 2.38953520e+09 1.38767969e+09 8.10543752e+08 ... -8.01000000e-02
 -4.51600000e-01 -4.52300000e-01]
 [ 1.44090700e+09 3.02764000e+08 1.56712000e+08 ... 4.11200000e-01
  1.06980000e+00 1.45080000e+00]
 [ 5.63130000e+09 3.91100000e+08 2.10300000e+08 ... -3.53000000e-02
  -9.63000000e-02 -9.63000000e-02]
 [ 7.46800000e+07  7.46800000e+07  4.22340000e+07  ...  7.44000000e-02
  2.02800000e-01 1.97000000e-01]
 [ 5.48723000e+05 3.89787000e+05 1.02026200e+06 ... -3.31200000e-01
  -3.24470000e+00 -1.49900000e+00]]
Fitting 30 folds for each of 5 candidates, totalling 150 fits
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Using backend LokyBackend with 12 concurrent workers.
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 28 tasks
                                      elapsed:
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 150 out of 150 | elapsed:
                                                     2.0s finished
The best estimator for RUN 2 With Scoring method accuracyKNeighborsClassifier(algorithm='auto', leaf_size=30, metric
='minkowski',
                   metric_params=None, n_jobs=-1, n_neighbors=10, p=2,
                   weights='uniform')
The Confusion matrix for RUN2 With Scoring method accuracy is
[[[ 97 239]
 [ 57 365]]
 [[365 57]
 [239 97]]]
1.20020000e+00 1.33950000e+00]
 [ 5.38000000e+06 3.06200000e+06 6.51900000e+06 ... -2.19700000e-01
 -3.17600000e-01 -3.12300000e-01]
 [ 2.41171000e+08 7.14800000e+07 1.20390000e+07 ... 1.96100000e-01
  -3.65500000e-01 -3.65500000e-01]
 [ 9.89000000e+08 7.13000000e+08 4.10000000e+07 ... 8.90000000e-02
  2.23800000e-01 9.09000000e-02]
 [ 1.19000000e+05 1.19000000e+05 1.10180000e+07 ... -5.52600000e-01
 -5.17700000e-01 -5.58000000e-01]
 [ 3.51240000e+10 1.23340000e+10 1.03600000e+09 ... -4.52400000e-01
  -3.50500000e-01 -4.44200000e-01]]
Fitting 30 folds for each of 5 candidates, totalling 150 fits
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Using backend LokyBackend with 12 concurrent workers.
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 150 out of 150 | elapsed:
                                                     2.0s finished
```

```
The best estimator for RUN 2 With Scoring method f1KNeighborsClassifier(algorithm='auto', leaf_size=30, metric='minko
wski',
                    metric_params=None, n_jobs=-1, n_neighbors=3, p=2,
                    weights='uniform')
The Confusion matrix for RUN2 With Scoring method f1 is
[[[165 179]
 [139 275]]
 [[275 139]
 [179 165]]]
None
[ [ 7.000000000e+06 \ 1.80997823e+09 \ 8.31114839e+08 \dots \ 1.47693674e-01 ]
  2.19619255e-01 1.68079960e-01]
 [ 7.57970000e+08 3.45351000e+08 1.21418000e+08 ... 8.94000000e-02
  7.62000000e-02 9.34000000e-02]
 [ 3.45500376e+09 3.45500376e+09 1.64733647e+09 ... 1.47693674e-01
  2.19619255e-01 1.68079960e-01]
 [ 0.00000000e+00 0.0000000e+00 2.01030000e+07 ... 0.00000000e+00
  -5.23300000e-01 -5.45300000e-01]
 [ 9.19709900e+09 1.36590000e+09 1.06743300e+09 ... 4.94000000e-02
  1.42400000e-01 -4.20000000e-03]
 [ 4.41390000e+07 4.41390000e+07 5.73400000e+07 ... -5.00500000e-01
  -3.90000000e-02 -3.75426300e+00]]
Fitting 30 folds for each of 5 candidates, totalling 150 fits
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Using backend LokyBackend with 12 concurrent workers.
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 28 tasks
                                        | elapsed:
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 150 out of 150 | elapsed:
                                                        2.0s finished
The best estimator for RUN 3 With Scoring method recallKNeighborsClassifier(algorithm='auto', leaf_size=30, metric='m
inkowski',
                    metric_params=None, n_jobs=-1, n_neighbors=3, p=2,
                    weights='uniform')
The Confusion matrix for RUN3 With Scoring method recall is
[[[138 163]
 [153 304]]
 [[304 153]
 [163 138]]]
None
[[\ 3.373200000e+09\ \ 8.857000000e+08\ \ 1.547000000e+08\ \ \dots\ \ -4.03300000e-01
  -3.68495600e+00 -9.26900000e-01]
 [ 1.74792200e+09 4.36765000e+08 3.30426000e+08 ... 7.95000000e-02
  6.31000000e-02 6.31000000e-02]
 [ 2.34863000e+08  2.34863000e+08  1.22540000e+08  ...  1.11100000e-01
  1.52300000e-01 1.52300000e-01]
 [ 2.00771000e+08 2.00771000e+08 1.11530000e+08 ... 1.09800000e-01
  2.27500000e-01 2.24800000e-01]
 [ 2.93954000e+08 2.07434000e+08 1.33950000e+07 ... 2.96200000e-01
  2.12200000e-01 3.07300000e-01]
 [ 3.86547000e+08 1.60563000e+08 2.64510000e+07 ... 1.11232044e-01
  2.42919824e-01 1.46693150e-01]]
Fitting 30 folds for each of 5 candidates, totalling 150 fits
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Using backend LokyBackend with 12 concurrent workers.
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 28 tasks
                                         | elapsed:
[Parallel(n jobs=-1)]: Done 150 out of 150 | elapsed:
                                                        2.0s finished
The best estimator for RUN 3 With Scoring method precisionKNeighborsClassifier(algorithm='auto', leaf_size=30, metric
='minkowski',
                    metric_params=None, n_jobs=-1, n_neighbors=50, p=2,
                    weights='uniform')
The Confusion matrix for RUN3 With Scoring method precision is
[[[ 81 210]
 [ 65 402]]
 [[402 65]
 [210 81]]]
None
 \begin{bmatrix} 1.81939245e+09 & 9.06322393e+07 & 8.09519870e+07 & \dots & -3.96400000e-01 \end{bmatrix} 
  -1.56500000e-01 -8.50000000e-01]
 -1.46700000e-01 -1.46700000e-01]
 [ 5.18020000e+08 1.40052000e+08 1.11629000e+08 ... 2.76800000e-01
  1.05430000e+00 9.56400000e-01]
 [ 2.76960000e+09  9.36500000e+08  6.29900000e+08  ...  4.29000000e-02
  1.37600000e-01 1.36300000e-01]
 [ 0.00000000e+00 0.0000000e+00 1.19618650e+07 ... 0.00000000e+00
 -3.68495600e+00 -3.75426300e+00]
 [ 1.51561590e+07  9.01660600e+06  6.21038400e+06  ...  1.73500000e-01
  2.53600000e-01 1.81800000e-01]]
Fitting 30 folds for each of 5 candidates, totalling 150 fits
```

```
[Parallel(n jobs=-1)]: Using backend LokyBackend with 12 concurrent workers.
         [Parallel(n jobs=-1)]: Done 28 tasks
                                                    | elapsed:
                                                                  0.6s
         [Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 150 out of 150 | elapsed:
                                                                  2.0s finished
         The best estimator for RUN 3 With Scoring method accuracyKNeighborsClassifier(algorithm='auto', leaf_size=30, metric
         ='minkowski',
                              metric_params=None, n_jobs=-1, n_neighbors=50, p=2,
                              weights='uniform')
         The Confusion matrix for RUN3 With Scoring method accuracy is
         [[[ 84 225]
           [ 67 382]]
          [[382 67]
           [225 84]]]
         None
         [[ 9.40832616e+09    9.40832616e+09    6.62394173e+09    ...    -3.81000000e-02
            8.09800000e-01 5.64200000e-01]
          [ 6.07192000e+08 1.21591000e+08 7.98140000e+07 ... 4.87000000e-02
           -1.13200000e-01 -1.08000000e-01]
          [ 1.92337100e+09 9.39935000e+08 7.27870000e+08 ... -6.21000000e-02
            1.05800000e-01 1.15200000e-01]
          [ 1.71230000e+09 8.84600000e+08 4.95900000e+08 ... 7.58000000e-02
           -2.98170000e+00 -4.09500000e-01]
          [ 4.66680000e+07 1.20050000e+07 8.33570000e+07 ... -5.79278000e-01
            1.20500000e-01 -5.48000000e-01]
          [ 1.86626000e+08 5.23810000e+07 1.74980000e+07 ... -1.42200000e-01
            1.6000000e-03 -1.53700000e-01]]
         Fitting 30 folds for each of 5 candidates, totalling 150 fits
         [Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Using backend LokyBackend with 12 concurrent workers.
         [Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 28 tasks
                                                   | elapsed:
         [Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 150 out of 150 | elapsed:
                                                                  2.0s finished
         The best estimator for RUN 3 With Scoring method f1KNeighborsClassifier(algorithm='auto', leaf_size=30, metric='minko
         wski',
                              metric_params=None, n_jobs=-1, n_neighbors=3, p=2,
                              weights='uniform')
         The Confusion matrix for RUN3 With Scoring method f1 is
         [[[141 151]
           [158 308]]
          [[308 158]
           [151 141]]]
         None
In [44]: print('The parameters combination that would give best accuracy is : ')
         print(KNN_GS.best_params_)
         The parameters combination that would give best accuracy is :
         {'n_jobs': -1, 'n_neighbors': 3}
```

	Precision	Recall	Fscore	Train score	Test score
Classifier					
SVMLinear RUN 1 With Scoring method recall	0.499903	0.499957	0.444212	0.170040	0.122642
SVMLinear RUN 1 With Scoring method precision	0.549715	0.533711	0.513749	0.504979	0.490446
SVMLinear RUN 1 With Scoring method accuracy	0.528118	0.518560	0.494030	0.587789	0.568602
SVMLinear RUN 1 With Scoring method f1	0.572596	0.544245	0.511129	0.300727	0.330544
SVMLinear RUN 2 With Scoring method recall	0.505102	0.503409	0.480279	0.275200	0.204620
SVMLinear RUN 2 With Scoring method precision	0.532493	0.517561	0.468356	0.434608	0.512397
SVMLinear RUN 2 With Scoring method accuracy	0.531584	0.519801	0.495912	0.577228	0.585752
SVMLinear RUN 2 With Scoring method f1	0.493664	0.496176	0.460543	0.294795	0.245614
SVMLinear RUN 3 With Scoring method recall	0.525187	0.515497	0.491588	0.240887	0.195876
SVMLinear RUN 3 With Scoring method precision	0.501565	0.500768	0.444933	0.465887	0.448598
SVMLinear RUN 3 With Scoring method accuracy	0.554688	0.541046	0.527525	0.601980	0.583113
SVMLinear RUN 3 With Scoring method f1	0.543341	0.520440	0.466133	0.251959	0.241458
SVM NON Linear RUN 1 With Scoring method recall	0.584928	0.531330	0.471064	0.181301	0.136223
SVM NON Linear RUN 1 With Scoring method precision	0.586042	0.528655	0.467840	0.785185	0.567164
SVM NON Linear RUN 1 With Scoring method accuracy	0.584860	0.523928	0.452979	0.636634	0.596306
SVM NON Linear RUN 1 With Scoring method f1	0.578750	0.528944	0.476558	0.283846	0.212766
SVM NON Linear RUN 2 With Scoring method recall	0.573989	0.530916	0.483895	0.186848	0.150327
SVM NON Linear RUN 2 With Scoring method precision	0.566981	0.517917	0.449455	0.746988	0.519231
SVM NON Linear RUN 2 With Scoring method accuracy	0.578764	0.521033	0.445055	0.631353	0.589710
SVM NON Linear RUN 2 With Scoring method f1	0.615919	0.532780	0.466125	0.287031	0.190736
SVM NON Linear RUN 3 With Scoring method recall	0.654704	0.547047	0.491943	0.163869	0.135762
SVM NON Linear RUN 3 With Scoring method precision	0.617537	0.534076	0.465132	0.765432	0.637931
SVM NON Linear RUN 3 With Scoring method accuracy	0.596107	0.533411	0.482175	0.640264	0.621372
SVM NON Linear RUN 3 With Scoring method f1	0.603654	0.535529	0.472727	0.276882	0.218830
KNN RUN 1 With Scoring method recall	0.560381	0.558059	0.557885	0.706742	0.444099
KNN RUN 1 With Scoring method precision	0.620248	0.578658	0.564991	0.636054	0.594595
KNN RUN 1 With Scoring method accuracy	0.611479	0.583688	0.579709	0.680198	0.643799
KNN RUN 1 With Scoring method f1	0.578882	0.576103	0.576636	0.727349	0.479452
KNN RUN 2 With Scoring method recall	0.596878	0.590923	0.591082	0.714980	0.459119
KNN RUN 2 With Scoring method precision	0.584367	0.552268	0.530090	0.639493	0.546763
KNN RUN 2 With Scoring method accuracy	0.617087	0.576810	0.553710	0.686799	0.609499
KNN RUN 2 With Scoring method f1	0.574245	0.571951	0.571450	0.731558	0.509259
KNN RUN 3 With Scoring method recall	0.562595	0.561840	0.562112	0.704473	0.458472
KNN RUN 3 With Scoring method precision	0.605829	0.569582	0.557922	0.646179	0.554795
KNN RUN 3 With Scoring method accuracy	0.592808	0.561312	0.544351	0.642574	0.614776
KNN RUN 3 With Scoring method f1	0.571298	0.571910	0.571552	0.730384	0.477157

Naive Bayes

```
In [46]: for i in range (0,3):
             for score in scores:
                 X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(features, label, test_size=0.2)
                 print(X_train)
                  param_grid = {'var_smoothing': [1e-9, 2e-9, 3e-9, 1e-10,1]}
                 GNB_GS = GridSearchCV(GaussianNB(), scoring = score,param_grid = param_grid , cv = 30,refit=True,verbose=1, n_
         jobs=-1)
                 GNB_GS.fit(X_train,y_train)
                 y_pred = GNB_GS.predict(X_test)
                 resultsGNB = list(precision_recall_fscore_support(y_test, y_pred, average='macro'))
                  resultsGNB.insert(0, 'Gaussian Naive Bayes RUN ' + str(i+1) + " With Scoring method " + score)
                  resultsGNB.pop(4)
                  resultsGNB.insert(4, GNB_GS.score(X_train, y_train))
                  resultsGNB.insert(5, GNB_GS.score(X_test, y_test))
                 GNB_dataframe = pd.DataFrame([resultsGNB], columns = ['Classifier', 'Precision', 'Recall', 'Fscore', 'Train scor
         e', 'Test score']).set_index('Classifier')
                  resultsDF = resultsDF.append([GNB_dataframe])
                  print("The best estimator for RUN" + str(i+1) + "With Scoring method" + score + "" + str(GNB_GS.best_estimator)
         ator_))
                  print("The Confusion matrix for RUN" + str(i+1) + " With Scoring method " + score + " is \n")
                  print(print(multilabel_confusion_matrix(y_test, y_pred)))
```

```
7.290000e-02 1.181000e-01]
 [ 1.107120e+08 8.724800e+07 1.011240e+08 ... 3.928000e-01
 -1.900400e+00 -2.461000e-01]
 [ 5.512764e+06  2.944162e+06  7.638235e+06 ... -4.550000e-02
  3.150000e-02 -1.918000e-01]
 [ 3.991236e+10 1.537495e+10 8.214736e+05 ... -1.528000e-01
  -2.728000e-01 -2.872000e-01]
 [ 2.774241e+06 9.713770e+05 2.068187e+06 ... -5.792780e-01
  -3.684956e+00 -3.359900e+00]
 [ 1.016149e+09 5.613930e+08 2.071120e+08 ... 7.730000e-02
  1.506000e-01 1.229000e-01]]
Fitting 30 folds for each of 5 candidates, totalling 150 fits
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Using backend LokyBackend with 12 concurrent workers.
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 28 tasks
                                        elapsed:
                                                        0.0s
[Parallel(n jobs=-1)]: Done 150 out of 150 | elapsed:
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Using backend LokyBackend with 12 concurrent workers.
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 28 tasks
                                         elapsed:
The best estimator for RUN 1 With Scoring method recall GaussianNB(priors=None, var_smoothing=1e-09)
The Confusion matrix for RUN1 With Scoring method recall is
[[[271 24]
 [389 74]]
 [[ 74 389]
 [ 24 271]]]
None
[[ 5.969820e+08 3.610170e+08 2.677900e+07 ... 6.040000e-02
  -2.006000e-01 7.010000e-02]
 [ 6.502340e+08  6.502340e+08  1.297090e+08  ... -5.910000e-02
 -2.003000e-01 -1.987000e-01]
 [ 2.754070e+08 2.754070e+08 1.348510e+08 ... 8.150000e-02
 -4.600000e-03 -4.600000e-03]
 [ 2.337413e+09 8.443310e+08 1.971170e+08 ... 2.361000e-01
  4.413000e-01 4.434000e-01]
 [ 5.132390e+08 4.942920e+08 4.676390e+08 ... 6.990000e-02
  8.097000e-01 7.124000e-01]
 [ 3.086608e+09 1.161342e+09 7.345110e+08 ... 1.258000e-01
  1.383000e-01 1.404000e-01]]
Fitting 30 folds for each of 5 candidates, totalling 150 fits
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 150 out of 150 | elapsed:
                                                        0.2s finished
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Using backend LokyBackend with 12 concurrent workers.
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 28 tasks
                                         elapsed:
                                                        0.0s
The best estimator for RUN 1 With Scoring method precision GaussianNB(priors=None, var_smoothing=1e-09)
The Confusion matrix for RUN1 With Scoring method precision is
[[[264 41]
 [386 67]]
 [[ 67 386]
 [ 41 264]]]
None
[[ 1.61480000e+10 6.29300000e+09 4.14400000e+09 ... 1.98000000e-02
  1.05700000e-01 1.06600000e-01]
 [ 3.93726415e+09 2.31191038e+09 1.04681604e+09 ... -7.99000000e-02
 -9.72000000e-02 -7.43000000e-02]
 [ 7.30027000e+08 2.73497000e+08 1.63666000e+08 ... 3.18300000e-01
  1.32600000e-01 1.26700000e-01]
 [ 9.48708000e+08 6.56204000e+08 8.69990000e+07 ... -4.71000000e-02
 -5.72800000e-01 -6.25600000e-01]
 [ 3.13895205e+08 1.62352089e+08 1.06060908e+08 ... 4.73500000e-01
  7.92300000e-01 7.92700000e-01]
 [ 0.00000000e+00 0.00000000e+00 1.19618650e+07 ... 0.00000000e+00
  -3.68495600e+00 -3.75426300e+00]]
Fitting 30 folds for each of 5 candidates, totalling 150 fits
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 150 out of 150 | elapsed:
                                                        0.2s finished
C:\Users\shava\Anaconda3\lib\site-packages\sklearn\metrics\_classification.py:1272: UndefinedMetricWarning: Precision
and F-score are ill-defined and being set to 0.0 in labels with no predicted samples. Use `zero division` parameter t
o control this behavior.
  _warn_prf(average, modifier, msg_start, len(result))
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Using backend LokyBackend with 12 concurrent workers.
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 28 tasks
                                          | elapsed:
```

[[3.850510e+08 3.601540e+08 2.756600e+07 ... 1.360000e-01

```
The best estimator for RUN 1 With Scoring method accuracy GaussianNB(priors=None, var_smoothing=1)
The Confusion matrix for RUN1 With Scoring method accuracy is
[[[ 0 316]
 [ 0 442]]
[[442
       0]
 [316
       0]]]
None
[[ 4.759300e+07  2.644400e+07  5.106300e+07  ...  6.130000e-01
 -6.788000e-01 -6.150000e-01]
[ 5.291100e+09 1.198900e+09 8.863000e+08 ... -1.489000e-01
  2.161000e-01 1.005000e-01]
[ 3.932680e+08 7.933800e+07 6.218600e+07 ... -1.056000e-01
 -3.035000e-01 -3.063000e-01]
[ 1.972160e+08 1.404560e+08 2.611700e+07 ... 6.068000e-01
  4.226564e+00 5.277000e-01]
[ 4.409000e+08 2.338600e+08 1.564750e+08 ... 1.498000e-01
  4.047000e-01 4.047000e-01]
[ 5.150000e+06 5.150000e+06 4.089000e+06 ... 2.245708e+00
  9.400000e-03 -6.500000e-03]]
Fitting 30 folds for each of 5 candidates, totalling 150 fits
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 127 out of 150 | elapsed:
                                                    0.1s remaining:
                                                                      0.0s
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 150 out of 150 | elapsed:
                                                    0.2s finished
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Using backend LokyBackend with 12 concurrent workers.
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 28 tasks
                                       | elapsed:
The best estimator for RUN 1 With Scoring method f1 GaussianNB(priors=None, var_smoothing=1e-09)
The Confusion matrix for RUN1 With Scoring method f1 is
[[[262 40]
 [394 62]]
[[ 62 394]
 [ 40 262]]]
None
1.31760000e+00 1.31760000e+00]
-1.35304348e-04 -9.81819855e-02]
[ 7.21609010e+09 5.42300885e+09 1.35406275e+09 ... 4.40000000e-02
  3.57000000e-02 2.81000000e-02]
6.77700000e-01 5.70400000e-01]
[ 1.23571455e+08 1.33205790e+07 7.11296700e+06 ... 1.54500000e-01
  -9.53000000e-02 -1.64200000e-01]
[ 2.47134000e+08 8.10960000e+07 6.91810000e+07 ... 1.29300000e-01
  4.22656400e+00 3.56366600e+00]]
Fitting 30 folds for each of 5 candidates, totalling 150 fits
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 127 out of 150 | elapsed:
                                                    0.1s remaining:
                                                                      0.0s
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 150 out of 150 | elapsed: 0.2s finished
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Using backend LokyBackend with 12 concurrent workers.
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 28 tasks
                                       elapsed:
The best estimator for RUN 2 With Scoring method recall GaussianNB(priors=None, var_smoothing=1e-09)
The Confusion matrix for RUN2 With Scoring method recall is
[[[258 33]
 [394 73]]
[[ 73 394]
 [ 33 258]]]
None
[[ 1.022180e+08 3.181200e+07 1.719500e+07 ... -3.000000e-04
-7.130000e-02 -4.230000e-021
[ 2.837000e+07 2.837000e+07 5.496000e+06 ... 3.710000e-02
  7.620000e-02 1.435000e-01]
[ 1.435103e+09 6.063220e+08 1.879760e+08 ... 7.900000e-03
 -1.074900e+00 -1.184500e+00]
[ 2.394670e+08 2.331590e+08 9.723200e+07 ... 8.063000e-01
  1.342500e+00 1.342500e+00]
[ 3.991236e+10 1.537495e+10 5.884000e+09 ... 2.943000e-01
 -7.639000e-01 -7.611000e-01]
[ 1.591315e+09 4.942550e+08 2.793420e+08 ... 5.796000e-01
  1.962000e-01 9.107000e-01]]
Fitting 30 folds for each of 5 candidates, totalling 150 fits
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 150 out of 150 | elapsed:
                                                    0.2s finished
[Parallel(n jobs=-1)]: Using backend LokyBackend with 12 concurrent workers.
[Parallel(n jobs=-1)]: Done 28 tasks
                                      | elapsed:
                                                    0.0s
```

```
The best estimator for RUN 2 With Scoring method precision GaussianNB(priors=None, var_smoothing=1e-09)
The Confusion matrix for RUN2 With Scoring method precision is
[[[282 25]
 [370 81]]
[[ 81 370]
 [ 25 282]]]
None
[[4.65791000e+08 \ 3.03754000e+08 \ 7.82680000e+07 \ \dots \ -2.98000000e-02]
 -1.30000000e-03 -2.00000000e-04]
 [ 8.82950000e+07 8.82950000e+07 4.62490000e+07 ... 4.88000000e-02
  2.24000000e-01 4.53000000e-02]
 [ 3.67237000e+08 1.33396000e+08 6.21760000e+07 ... 1.25357367e-01
  1.27008954e-01 5.52643807e-02]
 [ 7.80959000e+08 3.92241000e+08 1.82105000e+08 ... 2.16900000e-01
  1.44900000e-01 1.42900000e-01]
 [ 4.23298000e+08 3.97280000e+08 2.53760000e+07 ... 2.24570800e+00
  4.22656400e+00 3.56366600e+00]
[ 4.22641104e+08 3.14155473e+08 2.03364223e+08 ... 7.25900000e-01
  1.16890000e+00 1.01400000e+00]]
Fitting 30 folds for each of 5 candidates, totalling 150 fits
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 150 out of 150 | elapsed:
                                                       0.2s finished
C:\Users\shava\Anaconda3\lib\site-packages\sklearn\metrics\_classification.py:1272: UndefinedMetricWarning: Precision
and F-score are ill-defined and being set to 0.0 in labels with no predicted samples. Use `zero_division` parameter t
o control this behavior.
  _warn_prf(average, modifier, msg_start, len(result))
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Using backend LokyBackend with 12 concurrent workers.
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 28 tasks
                                        elapsed:
The best estimator for RUN 2 With Scoring method accuracy GaussianNB(priors=None, var_smoothing=1)
The Confusion matrix for RUN2 With Scoring method accuracy is
[[[ 0 304]
 [ 0 454]]
 [[454
        0]
 [ 304
        0]]]
[[ 2.30520000e+09 4.67200000e+08 3.50200000e+08 ... -5.42800000e-01
  -2.85300000e-01 -7.06100000e-01]
 [ 2.84947030e+07 1.53163810e+07 1.45463350e+07 ... 2.58100000e-01
  -5.92900000e-01 -3.75426300e+00]
 [ 2.46486700e+09 1.33981000e+09 5.92305000e+08 ... 1.42300000e-01
  3.22600000e-01 3.04700000e-01]
 [ 8.00000000e+06 5.00000000e+06 7.00000000e+06 ... 1.48880177e-01
  1.83542634e-01 1.67757663e-01]
 [ 4.14110000e+07 4.14110000e+07 2.08660000e+07 ... -3.95300000e-01
  -3.68495600e+00 -3.75426300e+00]
 [ 4.32520000e+09  4.32520000e+09  2.86290000e+09  ...  6.29000000e-02
  1.06600000e-01 1.06600000e-01]]
Fitting 30 folds for each of 5 candidates, totalling 150 fits
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 150 out of 150 | elapsed:
                                                       0.1s finished
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Using backend LokyBackend with 12 concurrent workers.
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 28 tasks
                                         | elapsed:
The best estimator for RUN 2 With Scoring method f1 GaussianNB(priors=None, var_smoothing=1e-09)
The Confusion matrix for RUN2 With Scoring method f1 is
[[[270 42]
 [377 69]]
[[ 69 377]
 [ 42 270]]]
None
1.275000e+00 1.260900e+00]
 [ 1.961724e+09 4.064240e+08 2.259200e+07 ... 1.509000e-01
  2.178000e-01 1.874000e-01]
 [ 1.077567e+09  9.504400e+07  1.426890e+08  ... -2.980000e-01
 -3.684956e+00 -3.754263e+00]
 [ 2.503000e+08 1.870000e+08 2.040000e+07 ... 1.231500e+00
 -8.045000e-01 1.008500e+00]
 [ 3.092600e+08 1.514010e+08 8.893900e+07 ... -2.150000e-02
  -2.451000e-01 -2.486000e-01]
```

0.2s finished

0.0s

[4.158210e+08 2.820130e+08 1.130530e+08 ... 5.468000e-01

Fitting 30 folds for each of 5 candidates, totalling 150 fits

[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Using backend LokyBackend with 12 concurrent workers.

| elapsed:

[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 150 out of 150 | elapsed:

1.444500e+00 9.935000e-01]]

[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 28 tasks

```
The best estimator for RUN 3 With Scoring method recall GaussianNB(priors=None, var_smoothing=1e-09)
The Confusion matrix for RUN3 With Scoring method recall is
[[[290 37]
 [357 74]]
[[ 74 357]
 [ 37 290]]]
None
-1.2880000e-01 -2.3020000e-01]
[ 3.9887400e+08 2.1406000e+08 2.1947000e+07 ... 2.2457080e+00
  1.4490000e-01 9.2780000e-01]
 [ 4.1210000e+09 3.3300000e+08 9.2000000e+07 ... -1.8380000e-01
 -3.4880000e-01 -3.4880000e-01]
 \begin{bmatrix} 1.1929700e+08 & 3.4411000e+07 & 3.3842000e+07 & ... & -1.6270000e-01 \end{bmatrix}
 -3.6849560e+00 -3.7542630e+00]
 [ 2.1935310e+09 3.7296000e+08 1.9392300e+08 ... 1.9910000e-01
  3.0630000e-01 3.0830000e-01]
 [ 5.4240000e+07 5.4240000e+07 3.1894000e+07 ... 6.4900000e-02
  6.8030000e-01 6.8030000e-01]]
Fitting 30 folds for each of 5 candidates, totalling 150 fits
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 150 out of 150 | elapsed:
                                                        0.1s finished
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Using backend LokyBackend with 12 concurrent workers.
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 28 tasks
                                          | elapsed:
The best estimator for RUN 3 With Scoring method precision GaussianNB(priors=None, var_smoothing=1e-09)
The Confusion matrix for RUN3 With Scoring method precision is
[[[263 37]
 [382 76]]
 [[ 76 382]
 [ 37 263]]]
None
[[2.260200000e+07 \ 6.821000000e+06 \ 1.224700000e+07 \ \dots \ 3.946000000e-01]
  4.30000000e-02 3.90000000e-02]
 [ 2.85100000e+09 8.71700000e+08 3.86800000e+08 ... 7.74000000e-02
  -4.47000000e-01 -2.70000000e-03]
 [ 8.48600000e+09 3.41200000e+09 2.44900000e+09 ... -1.70000000e-02
 -2.46890000e+00 1.19850000e+00]
 [ 3.21440000e+10 1.53749500e+10 6.62394173e+09 ... 3.56000000e-02
  1.39800000e-01 1.39800000e-01]
 [ 1.00163000e+08 6.04490000e+07 1.84570000e+07 ... -2.47000000e-02
  -1.07000000e-01 -1.12600000e-01]
 [ 5.51276400e+06  2.94416200e+06  7.63823500e+06  ... -4.55000000e-02
  3.15000000e-02 -1.91800000e-01]]
Fitting 30 folds for each of 5 candidates, totalling 150 fits
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 127 out of 150 | elapsed:
                                                        0.1s remaining:
                                                                           0.0s
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 150 out of 150 | elapsed:
                                                        0.2s finished
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Using backend LokyBackend with 12 concurrent workers.
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 28 tasks
                                         elapsed:
                                                        0.0s
The best estimator for RUN 3 With Scoring method accuracy GaussianNB(priors=None, var_smoothing=1)
The Confusion matrix for RUN3 With Scoring method accuracy is
[[[101 206]
 [181 270]]
 [[270 181]
 [206 101]]]
 \begin{bmatrix} 3.76112302e + 08 & 2.97339211e + 08 & 8.21473600e + 05 & \dots & -5.38000000e - 02 \end{bmatrix} 
  5.7000000e-03 1.7700000e-02]
  1.33998000e+08 3.55740000e+07 8.90100000e+06 ... -2.54000000e-02
  -2.08000000e-02 -1.33000000e-02]
 [ 3.99123600e+10 1.53749500e+10 6.62394173e+09 ... 6.37000000e-02
  1.12200000e-01 9.89000000e-02]
 [ 1.67384434e+10 4.41627358e+09 1.72688679e+09 ... 3.06900000e-01
  1.86400000e-01 3.59300000e-01]
 [ 1.37830000e+10 7.24100000e+09 2.89900000e+09 ... 3.52000000e-02
  3.23000000e-02 3.27000000e-02]
 [ 9.21910000e+07 1.81690000e+07 1.52220000e+07 ... -1.65400000e-01
  -8.75200000e-01 -9.04600000e-01]]
Fitting 30 folds for each of 5 candidates, totalling 150 fits
The best estimator for RUN 3 With Scoring method f1 GaussianNB(priors=None, var_smoothing=1e-09)
The Confusion matrix for RUN3 With Scoring method f1 is
[[[267 33]
 [385 73]]
 [[ 73 385]
 [ 33 267]]]
```

None

In [47]: print('The parameters combination that would give best accuracy is : ')
 print(GNB_GS.best_params_)

The parameters combination that would give best accuracy is : {'var_smoothing': 1e-09}

In [48]: resultsDF

Out[48]:

	Precision	Recall	Fscore	Train score	Test score
Classifier					
SVMLinear RUN 1 With Scoring method recall	0.499903	0.499957	0.444212	0.170040	0.122642
SVMLinear RUN 1 With Scoring method precision	0.549715	0.533711	0.513749	0.504979	0.490446
SVMLinear RUN 1 With Scoring method accuracy	0.528118	0.518560	0.494030	0.587789	0.568602
SVMLinear RUN 1 With Scoring method f1	0.572596	0.544245	0.511129	0.300727	0.330544
SVMLinear RUN 2 With Scoring method recall	0.505102	0.503409	0.480279	0.275200	0.204620
SVMLinear RUN 2 With Scoring method precision	0.532493	0.517561	0.468356	0.434608	0.512397
SVMLinear RUN 2 With Scoring method accuracy	0.531584	0.519801	0.495912	0.577228	0.585752
SVMLinear RUN 2 With Scoring method f1	0.493664	0.496176	0.460543	0.294795	0.245614
SVMLinear RUN 3 With Scoring method recall	0.525187	0.515497	0.491588	0.240887	0.195876
SVMLinear RUN 3 With Scoring method precision	0.501565	0.500768	0.444933	0.465887	0.448598
SVMLinear RUN 3 With Scoring method accuracy	0.554688	0.541046	0.527525	0.601980	0.583113
SVMLinear RUN 3 With Scoring method f1	0.543341	0.520440	0.466133	0.251959	0.241458
SVM NON Linear RUN 1 With Scoring method recall	0.584928	0.531330	0.471064	0.181301	0.136223
SVM NON Linear RUN 1 With Scoring method precision	0.586042	0.528655	0.467840	0.785185	0.567164
SVM NON Linear RUN 1 With Scoring method accuracy	0.584860	0.523928	0.452979	0.636634	0.596306
SVM NON Linear RUN 1 With Scoring method f1	0.578750	0.528944	0.476558	0.283846	0.212766
SVM NON Linear RUN 2 With Scoring method recall	0.573989	0.530916	0.483895	0.186848	0.150327
SVM NON Linear RUN 2 With Scoring method precision	0.566981	0.517917	0.449455	0.746988	0.519231
SVM NON Linear RUN 2 With Scoring method accuracy	0.578764	0.521033	0.445055	0.631353	0.589710
SVM NON Linear RUN 2 With Scoring method f1	0.615919	0.532780	0.466125	0.287031	0.190736
SVM NON Linear RUN 3 With Scoring method recall	0.654704	0.547047	0.491943	0.163869	0.135762
SVM NON Linear RUN 3 With Scoring method precision	0.617537	0.534076	0.465132	0.765432	0.637931
SVM NON Linear RUN 3 With Scoring method accuracy	0.596107	0.533411	0.482175	0.640264	0.621372
SVM NON Linear RUN 3 With Scoring method f1	0.603654	0.535529	0.472727	0.276882	0.218830
KNN RUN 1 With Scoring method recall	0.560381	0.558059	0.557885	0.706742	0.444099
KNN RUN 1 With Scoring method precision	0.620248	0.578658	0.564991	0.636054	0.594595
KNN RUN 1 With Scoring method accuracy	0.611479	0.583688	0.579709	0.680198	0.643799
KNN RUN 1 With Scoring method f1	0.578882	0.576103	0.576636	0.727349	0.479452
KNN RUN 2 With Scoring method recall	0.596878	0.590923	0.591082	0.714980	0.459119
KNN RUN 2 With Scoring method precision	0.584367	0.552268	0.530090	0.639493	0.546763
KNN RUN 2 With Scoring method accuracy	0.617087	0.576810	0.553710	0.686799	0.609499
KNN RUN 2 With Scoring method f1	0.574245	0.571951	0.571450	0.731558	0.509259
KNN RUN 3 With Scoring method recall	0.562595	0.561840	0.562112	0.704473	0.458472
KNN RUN 3 With Scoring method precision	0.605829	0.569582	0.557922	0.646179	0.554795
KNN RUN 3 With Scoring method accuracy	0.592808	0.561312	0.544351	0.642574	0.614776
KNN RUN 3 With Scoring method f1	0.571298	0.571910	0.571552	0.730384	0.477157
Gaussian Naive Bayes RUN 1 With Scoring method recall	0.582854	0.539236	0.415677	0.888712	0.918644
Gaussian Naive Bayes RUN 1 With Scoring method precision	0.513262	0.506738	0.395869	0.423313	0.406154
Gaussian Naive Bayes RUN 1 With Scoring method accuracy	0.291557	0.500000	0.368333	0.591749	0.583113
Gaussian Naive Bayes RUN 1 With Scoring method f1	0.503617	0.501757	0.384598	0.571726	0.546973
Gaussian Naive Bayes RUN 2 With Scoring method recall	0.542192	0.521457	0.400995	0.885103	0.886598
Gaussian Naive Bayes RUN 2 With Scoring method precision	0.598333	0.549084	0.439478	0.425000	0.432515
Gaussian Naive Bayes RUN 2 With Scoring method accuracy	0.299472	0.500000	0.374587	0.587789	0.598945
Gaussian Naive Bayes RUN 2 With Scoring method f1	0.519466	0.510047	0.405421	0.571577	0.563087
Gaussian Naive Bayes RUN 3 With Scoring method recall	0.557445	0.529272	0.434273	0.884176	0.886850
Gaussian Naive Bayes RUN 3 With Scoring method precision	0.540159	0.521303	0.411407	0.422120	0.407752
Gaussian Naive Bayes RUN 3 With Scoring method accuracy	0.462691	0.463830	0.462739	0.489109	0.489446
Gaussian Naive Bayes RUN 3 With Scoring method f1	0.549094	0.524694	0.409895	0.572909	0.560924

Decision Tree Classifier

```
In [49]: for i in range (0,3):
             for score in scores:
                 X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(features, label, test_size=0.2)
                 tree_para = {'criterion':['gini','entropy'],'max_leaf_nodes':[4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,15,20,30,40,50,70], 'max_de
         pth':[5,10,15,20,30]}
                 DTC_GS = GridSearchCV(DecisionTreeClassifier(), scoring = score, param_grid = tree_para, cv=10, return_train_s
         core = True, verbose = 1, n_jobs = -1)
                 DTC_GS.fit(X_train,y_train)
                 y_pred = DTC_GS.predict(X_test)
                 results = list(precision_recall_fscore_support(y_test, y_pred, average='macro'))
                 results.insert(0, 'Decision Tree Classifier RUN ' + str(i+1)+ " With Scoring method " + score + " ")
                 results.pop(4)
                 results.insert(4, DTC_GS.score(X_train, y_train))
                 results.insert(5, DTC_GS.score(X_test, y_test))
                 ##########
                 df11 = pd.DataFrame([results], columns = ['Classifier', 'Precision', 'Recall', 'Fscore', 'Train score', 'Test sco
         re']).set_index('Classifier')
                 resultsDF = resultsDF.append([df11])
                 print("The best estimator for RUN " + str(i+1)+ " With Scoring method " + score + str(DTC_GS.best_estimator_
         ))
                 print("The Confusion matrix for RUN" + str(i+1)+ " With Scoring method " + store + " is n")
                 print(print(multilabel_confusion_matrix(y_test, y_pred)))
```

```
Fitting 10 folds for each of 150 candidates, totalling 1500 fits
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Using backend LokyBackend with 12 concurrent workers.
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 28 tasks
                                           | elapsed:
                                                         0.2s
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 584 tasks
                                           | elapsed:
                                                         5.0s
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 1477 out of 1500 | elapsed: 16.6s remaining:
                                                                              0.2s
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 1500 out of 1500 | elapsed: 17.1s finished
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Using backend LokyBackend with 12 concurrent workers.
The best estimator for RUN 1 With Scoring method recallDecisionTreeClassifier(ccp_alpha=0.0, class_weight=None, crite
rion='gini',
                       max_depth=30, max_features=None, max_leaf_nodes=70,
                       min_impurity_decrease=0.0, min_impurity_split=None,
                       min_samples_leaf=1, min_samples_split=2,
                       min_weight_fraction_leaf=0.0, presort='deprecated',
                       random_state=None, splitter='best')
The Confusion matrix for RUN1 With Scoring method recall is
[[[116 188]
 [123 331]]
 [[331 123]
 [188 116]]]
None
Fitting 10 folds for each of 150 candidates, totalling 1500 fits
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 28 tasks
                                           | elapsed:
                                                         0.2s
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 584 tasks
                                           | elapsed:
                                                         5.0s
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 1500 out of 1500 | elapsed: 17.6s finished
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Using backend LokyBackend with 12 concurrent workers.
The best estimator for RUN 1 With Scoring method precisionDecisionTreeClassifier(ccp_alpha=0.0, class_weight=None, cr
iterion='entropy',
                       max_depth=5, max_features=None, max_leaf_nodes=5,
                       min_impurity_decrease=0.0, min_impurity_split=None,
                       min_samples_leaf=1, min_samples_split=2,
                       min_weight_fraction_leaf=0.0, presort='deprecated',
                       random state=None, splitter='best')
The Confusion matrix for RUN1 With Scoring method precision is
[[[ 70 251]
 [ 56 381]]
[[381 56]
 [251 70]]]
None
Fitting 10 folds for each of 150 candidates, totalling 1500 fits
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 28 tasks
                                           elapsed:
                                                         0.2s
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 584 tasks
                                           | elapsed:
                                                         4.7s
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 1500 out of 1500 | elapsed: 17.1s finished
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Using backend LokyBackend with 12 concurrent workers.
The best estimator for RUN 1 With Scoring method accuracyDecisionTreeClassifier(ccp_alpha=0.0, class_weight=None, cri
terion='entropy',
                       max_depth=5, max_features=None, max_leaf_nodes=4,
                       min_impurity_decrease=0.0, min_impurity_split=None,
                       min_samples_leaf=1, min_samples_split=2,
                       min_weight_fraction_leaf=0.0, presort='deprecated',
                       random_state=None, splitter='best')
The Confusion matrix for RUN1 With Scoring method accuracy is
[[[ 44 268]
 [ 16 430]]
 [[430 16]
 [268 44]]]
Fitting 10 folds for each of 150 candidates, totalling 1500 fits
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 28 tasks
                                           elapsed:
                                                         0.2s
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 328 tasks
                                             elapsed:
                                                         2.6s
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 828 tasks
                                           | elapsed:
                                                         7.3s
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 1500 out of 1500 | elapsed:
                                                          18.1s finished
```

[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Using backend LokyBackend with 12 concurrent workers.

```
The best estimator for RUN 1 With Scoring method f1DecisionTreeClassifier(ccp_alpha=0.0, class_weight=None, criterion
='entropy',
                       max_depth=10, max_features=None, max_leaf_nodes=20,
                       min_impurity_decrease=0.0, min_impurity_split=None,
                       min_samples_leaf=1, min_samples_split=2,
                       min_weight_fraction_leaf=0.0, presort='deprecated',
                       random state=None, splitter='best')
The Confusion matrix for RUN1 With Scoring method f1 is
[[[139 184]
 [114 321]]
 [[321 114]
 [184 139]]]
None
Fitting 10 folds for each of 150 candidates, totalling 1500 fits
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 28 tasks
                                           | elapsed:
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 328 tasks
                                           | elapsed:
                                                         2.7s
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 828 tasks
                                           | elapsed:
                                                         7.5s
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 1500 out of 1500 | elapsed: 18.7s finished
The best estimator for RUN 2 With Scoring method recallDecisionTreeClassifier(ccp_alpha=0.0, class_weight=None, crite
rion='entropy',
                       max_depth=20, max_features=None, max_leaf_nodes=70,
                       min_impurity_decrease=0.0, min_impurity_split=None,
                       min_samples_leaf=1, min_samples_split=2,
                       min_weight_fraction_leaf=0.0, presort='deprecated',
                       random_state=None, splitter='best')
The Confusion matrix for RUN2 With Scoring method recall is
[[[134 180]
 [110 334]]
 [[334 110]
 [180 134]]]
Fitting 10 folds for each of 150 candidates, totalling 1500 fits
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Using backend LokyBackend with 12 concurrent workers.
[Parallel(n jobs=-1)]: Done 28 tasks
                                           elapsed:
                                                         0.2s
[Parallel(n jobs=-1)]: Done 328 tasks
                                           elapsed:
                                                         2.7s
                                          | elapsed:
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 828 tasks
                                                         7.6s
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 1500 out of 1500 | elapsed: 18.5s finished
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Using backend LokyBackend with 12 concurrent workers.
The best estimator for RUN 2 With Scoring method precisionDecisionTreeClassifier(ccp_alpha=0.0, class_weight=None, cr
iterion='gini',
                       max_depth=5, max_features=None, max_leaf_nodes=6,
                       min_impurity_decrease=0.0, min_impurity_split=None,
                       min_samples_leaf=1, min_samples_split=2,
                       min_weight_fraction_leaf=0.0, presort='deprecated',
                       random_state=None, splitter='best')
The Confusion matrix for RUN2 With Scoring method precision is
[[[ 53 255]
 [ 31 419]]
 [[419 31]
 [255 53]]]
None
Fitting 10 folds for each of 150 candidates, totalling 1500 fits
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 28 tasks
                                           | elapsed:
                                                         0.2s
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 584 tasks
                                           | elapsed:
                                                         4.7s
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 1500 out of 1500 | elapsed: 17.3s finished
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Using backend LokyBackend with 12 concurrent workers.
The best estimator for RUN 2 With Scoring method accuracyDecisionTreeClassifier(ccp_alpha=0.0, class_weight=None, cri
terion='gini',
                       max_depth=10, max_features=None, max_leaf_nodes=30,
                       min_impurity_decrease=0.0, min_impurity_split=None,
                       min_samples_leaf=1, min_samples_split=2,
                       min_weight_fraction_leaf=0.0, presort='deprecated',
                       random_state=None, splitter='best')
The Confusion matrix for RUN2 With Scoring method accuracy is
[[[ 90 204]
 [ 72 392]]
 [[392 72]
 [204 90]]]
None
Fitting 10 folds for each of 150 candidates, totalling 1500 fits
```

```
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 28 tasks
                                           | elapsed:
                                                         0.2s
[Parallel(n jobs=-1)]: Done 328 tasks
                                           | elapsed:
                                                         2.7s
[Parallel(n jobs=-1)]: Done 828 tasks
                                                         7.7s
                                           | elapsed:
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 1500 out of 1500 | elapsed: 18.6s finished
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Using backend LokyBackend with 12 concurrent workers.
The best estimator for RUN 2 With Scoring method f1DecisionTreeClassifier(ccp_alpha=0.0, class_weight=None, criterion
='gini',
                       max_depth=20, max_features=None, max_leaf_nodes=70,
                       min_impurity_decrease=0.0, min_impurity_split=None,
                       min samples_leaf=1, min_samples_split=2,
                       min_weight_fraction_leaf=0.0, presort='deprecated',
                       random_state=None, splitter='best')
The Confusion matrix for RUN2 With Scoring method f1 is
[[[112 212]
 [ 88 346]]
 [[346 88]
 [212 112]]]
None
Fitting 10 folds for each of 150 candidates, totalling 1500 fits
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 28 tasks
                                           | elapsed:
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 584 tasks
                                           | elapsed:
                                                         4.8s
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 1477 out of 1500 | elapsed:
                                                         16.5s remaining:
                                                                              0.2s
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 1500 out of 1500 | elapsed: 16.9s finished
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Using backend LokyBackend with 12 concurrent workers.
The best estimator for RUN 3 With Scoring method recallDecisionTreeClassifier(ccp_alpha=0.0, class_weight=None, crite
rion='gini',
                       max_depth=20, max_features=None, max_leaf_nodes=70,
                       min_impurity_decrease=0.0, min_impurity_split=None,
                       min_samples_leaf=1, min_samples_split=2,
                       min_weight_fraction_leaf=0.0, presort='deprecated',
                       random_state=None, splitter='best')
The Confusion matrix for RUN3 With Scoring method recall is
[[[115 202]
 [114 327]]
 [[327 114]
 [202 115]]]
None
Fitting 10 folds for each of 150 candidates, totalling 1500 fits
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 28 tasks
                                           elapsed:
                                                         0.2s
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 328 tasks
                                           elapsed:
                                                         2.8s
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 828 tasks
                                          elapsed:
                                                         8.0s
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 1477 out of 1500 | elapsed: 19.0s remaining:
                                                                              0.2s
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 1500 out of 1500 | elapsed: 19.5s finished
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Using backend LokyBackend with 12 concurrent workers.
The best estimator for RUN 3 With Scoring method precisionDecisionTreeClassifier(ccp_alpha=0.0, class_weight=None, cr
iterion='entropy',
                       max_depth=5, max_features=None, max_leaf_nodes=7,
                       min_impurity_decrease=0.0, min_impurity_split=None,
                       min_samples_leaf=1, min_samples_split=2,
                       min_weight_fraction_leaf=0.0, presort='deprecated',
                       random_state=None, splitter='best')
The Confusion matrix for RUN3 With Scoring method precision is
[[[ 75 249]
 [ 64 370]]
 [[370 64]
 [249 75]]]
None
Fitting 10 folds for each of 150 candidates, totalling 1500 fits
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 28 tasks
                                            elapsed:
                                                         0.2s
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 328 tasks
                                             elapsed:
                                                         2.6s
                                            elapsed:
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 828 tasks
                                                         7.3s
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 1477 out of 1500 | elapsed:
                                                          17.9s remaining:
                                                                              0.2s
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 1500 out of 1500 | elapsed:
                                                          18.3s finished
[Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Using backend LokyBackend with 12 concurrent workers.
```

```
The best estimator for RUN 3 With Scoring method accuracyDecisionTreeClassifier(ccp_alpha=0.0, class_weight=None, cri
         terion='gini',
                                max depth=30, max features=None, max leaf nodes=15,
                                min_impurity_decrease=0.0, min_impurity_split=None,
                                min_samples_leaf=1, min_samples_split=2,
                                min_weight_fraction_leaf=0.0, presort='deprecated',
                                random_state=None, splitter='best')
         The Confusion matrix for RUN3 With Scoring method accuracy is
         [[[ 65 258]
           [ 54 381]]
          [[381 54]
           [258 65]]]
         None
         Fitting 10 folds for each of 150 candidates, totalling 1500 fits
         [Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 28 tasks
                                                     | elapsed:
                                                                   0.2s
         [Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 328 tasks
                                                     | elapsed:
                                                                   2.6s
         [Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 828 tasks
                                                     | elapsed:
                                                                   7.4s
         [Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 1477 out of 1500 | elapsed: 17.9s remaining:
                                                                                        0.2s
         [Parallel(n_jobs=-1)]: Done 1500 out of 1500 | elapsed:
                                                                   18.3s finished
         The best estimator for RUN 3 With Scoring method f1DecisionTreeClassifier(ccp_alpha=0.0, class_weight=None, criterion
         ='entropy',
                                max depth=20, max_features=None, max_leaf_nodes=70,
                                min_impurity_decrease=0.0, min_impurity_split=None,
                                min_samples_leaf=1, min_samples_split=2,
                                min_weight_fraction_leaf=0.0, presort='deprecated',
                                random_state=None, splitter='best')
         The Confusion matrix for RUN3 With Scoring method f1 is
         [[[143 177]
           [128 310]]
          [[310 128]
           [177 143]]]
         None
In [50]: | dot_data = tree.export_graphviz(DTC_GS.best_estimator_, out_file=None,
                              filled=True, rounded=True,
                               special characters=True)
         graph = graphviz.Source(dot_data)
         graph
Out[50]:
```

```
In [51]: print('The parameters combination that would give best accuracy is : ')
    print(DTC_GS.best_params_)
```

The parameters combination that would give best accuracy is : {'criterion': 'entropy', 'max_depth': 20, 'max_leaf_nodes': 70}

In [52]: resultsDF = resultsDF.sort_values(by = ["Precision"], ascending = False)
print("Ranked by Precision")
resultsDF

Ranked by Precision

	Precision	Recall	Fscore	Train score	Test score
Classifier					
Decision Tree Classifier RUN 1 With Scoring method accuracy	0.674690	0.552576	0.494154	0.631683	0.625330
SVM NON Linear RUN 3 With Scoring method recall	0.654704	0.547047	0.491943	0.163869	0.135762
Decision Tree Classifier RUN 2 With Scoring method precision	0.626307	0.551595	0.507980	0.689266	0.630952
KNN RUN 1 With Scoring method precision	0.620248	0.578658	0.564991	0.636054	0.594595
SVM NON Linear RUN 3 With Scoring method precision	0.617537	0.534076	0.465132	0.765432	0.637931
KNN RUN 2 With Scoring method accuracy	0.617087	0.576810	0.553710	0.686799	0.609499
SVM NON Linear RUN 2 With Scoring method f1	0.615919	0.532780	0.466125	0.287031	0.190736
KNN RUN 1 With Scoring method accuracy	0.611479	0.583688	0.579709	0.680198	0.643799
Decision Tree Classifier RUN 2 With Scoring method accuracy	0.606637	0.575475	0.567180	0.701320	0.635884
KNN RUN 3 With Scoring method precision	0.605829	0.569582	0.557922	0.646179	0.554795
SVM NON Linear RUN 3 With Scoring method f1	0.603654	0.535529	0.472727	0.276882	0.218830
Decision Tree Classifier RUN 2 With Scoring method recall	0.599493	0.589502	0.588786	0.548023	0.426752
Gaussian Naive Bayes RUN 2 With Scoring method precision	0.598333	0.549084	0.439478	0.425000	0.432515
KNN RUN 2 With Scoring method recall	0.596878	0.590923	0.591082	0.714980	0.459119
SVM NON Linear RUN 3 With Scoring method accuracy	0.596107	0.533411	0.482175	0.640264	0.621372
KNN RUN 3 With Scoring method accuracy	0.592808	0.561312	0.544351	0.642574	0.614776
Decision Tree Classifier RUN 1 With Scoring method f1	0.592525	0.584136	0.582809	0.544304	0.482639
Decision Tree Classifier RUN 2 With Scoring method f1	0.590036 0.586042	0.571457 0.528655	0.562531	0.634683 0.785185	0.427481
SVM NON Linear RUN 1 With Scoring method precision SVM NON Linear RUN 1 With Scoring method recall	0.584928	0.528055	0.467840 0.471064	0.785185	0.567164 0.136223
SVM NON Linear RUN 1 With Scoring method accuracy	0.584860	0.523928	0.452979	0.636634	0.130223
KNN RUN 2 With Scoring method precision	0.584367	0.552268	0.432979	0.639493	0.546763
Gaussian Naive Bayes RUN 1 With Scoring method recall	0.582854	0.539236	0.415677	0.888712	0.918644
Decision Tree Classifier RUN 3 With Scoring method f1	0.582113	0.577319	0.577098	0.643045	0.483926
Decision Tree Classifier RUN 1 With Scoring method precision	0.579202	0.544961	0.513007	0.641608	0.555556
KNN RUN 1 With Scoring method f1	0.578882	0.576103	0.576636	0.727349	0.479452
SVM NON Linear RUN 2 With Scoring method accuracy	0.578764	0.521033	0.445055	0.631353	0.589710
SVM NON Linear RUN 1 With Scoring method f1	0.578750	0.528944	0.476558	0.283846	0.212766
KNN RUN 2 With Scoring method f1	0.574245	0.571951	0.571450	0.731558	0.509259
SVM NON Linear RUN 2 With Scoring method recall	0.573989	0.530916	0.483895	0.186848	0.150327
SVMLinear RUN 1 With Scoring method f1	0.572596	0.544245	0.511129	0.300727	0.330544
KNN RUN 3 With Scoring method f1	0.571298	0.571910	0.571552	0.730384	0.477157
Decision Tree Classifier RUN 3 With Scoring method accuracy	0.571231	0.538550	0.501807	0.669637	0.588391
Decision Tree Classifier RUN 3 With Scoring method precision	0.568653	0.542008	0.513364	0.618217	0.539568
SVM NON Linear RUN 2 With Scoring method precision	0.566981	0.517917	0.449455	0.746988	0.519231
KNN RUN 3 With Scoring method recall	0.562595	0.561840	0.562112	0.704473	0.458472
Decision Tree Classifier RUN 1 With Scoring method recall	0.561560	0.555327	0.553813	0.589271	0.381579
KNN RUN 1 With Scoring method recall	0.560381	0.558059	0.557885	0.706742	0.444099
Decision Tree Classifier RUN 3 With Scoring method recall	0.560165	0.552136	0.547736	0.570388	0.362776
Gaussian Naive Bayes RUN 3 With Scoring method recall	0.557445	0.529272	0.434273	0.884176	0.886850
SVMLinear RUN 3 With Scoring method accuracy	0.554688	0.541046	0.527525	0.601980	0.583113
SVMLinear RUN 1 With Scoring method precision	0.549715	0.533711	0.513749	0.504979	0.490446
Gaussian Naive Bayes RUN 3 With Scoring method f1	0.549094	0.524694	0.409895	0.572909	0.560924
SVMLinear RUN 3 With Scoring method f1	0.543341	0.520440	0.466133	0.251959	0.241458
Gaussian Naive Bayes RUN 2 With Scoring method recall	0.542192	0.521457	0.400995	0.885103	0.886598
Gaussian Naive Bayes RUN 3 With Scoring method precision	0.540159	0.521303	0.411407	0.422120	0.407752
SVMLinear RUN 2 With Scoring method precision	0.532493	0.517561	0.468356	0.434608	0.512397
SVMLinear RUN 2 With Scoring method accuracy	0.531584	0.519801	0.495912	0.577228	0.585752
SVMLinear RUN 1 With Scoring method accuracy	0.528118	0.518560	0.494030	0.587789	0.568602
SVMLinear RUN 3 With Scoring method recall	0.525187	0.515497	0.491588	0.240887	0.195876
Gaussian Naive Bayes RUN 2 With Scoring method f1	0.519466	0.510047	0.405421	0.571577	0.563087
Gaussian Naive Bayes RUN 1 With Scoring method precision	0.513262	0.506738	0.395869	0.423313	0.406154
SVMLinear RUN 2 With Scoring method recall	0.505102	0.503409	0.480279	0.275200	0.204620
Gaussian Naive Bayes RUN 1 With Scoring method f1	0.503617	0.501757	0.384598	0.571726	0.546973
SVMLinear RUN 3 With Scoring method precision	0.501565	0.500768	0.444933	0.465887	0.448598

	Precision	Recall	Fscore	Train score	Test score
Classifier					
SVMLinear RUN 1 With Scoring method recall	0.499903	0.499957	0.444212	0.170040	0.122642
SVMLinear RUN 2 With Scoring method f1	0.493664	0.496176	0.460543	0.294795	0.245614
Gaussian Naive Bayes RUN 3 With Scoring method accuracy	0.462691	0.463830	0.462739	0.489109	0.489446
Gaussian Naive Bayes RUN 2 With Scoring method accuracy	0.299472	0.500000	0.374587	0.587789	0.598945
Gaussian Naive Bayes RUN 1 With Scoring method accuracy	0.291557	0.500000	0.368333	0.591749	0.583113

```
In [53]: resultsDF = resultsDF.sort_values(by = ["Recall"], ascending = False)
print("Ranked by Recall")
resultsDF
```

	Precision	Recall	Fscore	Train score	Test score
Classifier					
KNN RUN 2 With Scoring method recall	0.596878	0.590923	0.591082	0.714980	0.459119
Decision Tree Classifier RUN 2 With Scoring method recall	0.599493	0.589502	0.588786	0.548023	0.426752
Decision Tree Classifier RUN 1 With Scoring method f1	0.592525	0.584136	0.582809	0.544304	0.482639
KNN RUN 1 With Scoring method accuracy	0.611479	0.583688	0.579709	0.680198	0.643799
KNN RUN 1 With Scoring method precision	0.620248	0.578658	0.564991	0.636054	0.594595
Decision Tree Classifier RUN 3 With Scoring method f1	0.582113	0.577319	0.577098	0.643045	0.483926
KNN RUN 2 With Scoring method accuracy	0.617087	0.576810	0.553710	0.686799	0.609499
KNN RUN 1 With Scoring method f1	0.578882	0.576103	0.576636	0.727349	0.479452
Decision Tree Classifier RUN 2 With Scoring method accuracy	0.606637	0.575475	0.567180	0.701320	0.635884
KNN RUN 2 With Scoring method f1	0.574245	0.571951	0.571450	0.731558	0.509259
KNN RUN 3 With Scoring method f1	0.571298	0.571910	0.571552	0.730384	0.477157
Decision Tree Classifier RUN 2 With Scoring method f1	0.590036	0.571457	0.562531	0.634683	0.427481
KNN RUN 3 With Scoring method precision	0.605829	0.569582	0.557922	0.646179	0.554795
KNN RUN 3 With Scoring method recall	0.562595	0.561840	0.562112	0.704473	0.458472
KNN RUN 3 With Scoring method accuracy	0.592808	0.561312	0.544351	0.642574	0.614776
KNN RUN 1 With Scoring method recall	0.560381	0.558059	0.557885	0.706742	0.444099
Decision Tree Classifier RUN 1 With Scoring method recall	0.561560	0.555327	0.553813	0.589271	0.381579
Decision Tree Classifier RUN 1 With Scoring method accuracy	0.674690	0.552576	0.494154	0.631683	0.625330
KNN RUN 2 With Scoring method precision	0.584367	0.552268	0.530090	0.639493	0.546763
Decision Tree Classifier RUN 3 With Scoring method recall	0.560165	0.552136	0.547736	0.570388	0.362776
Decision Tree Classifier RUN 2 With Scoring method precision	0.626307		0.507980	0.689266	0.630952
Gaussian Naive Bayes RUN 2 With Scoring method precision	0.598333	0.549084	0.439478	0.425000	0.432515
SVM NON Linear RUN 3 With Scoring method recall	0.654704	0.547047	0.491943	0.163869	0.135762
Decision Tree Classifier RUN 1 With Scoring method precision	0.579202	0.544961	0.513007	0.641608	0.55556
SVMLinear RUN 1 With Scoring method f1	0.572596	0.544245	0.511129	0.300727	0.330544
Decision Tree Classifier RUN 3 With Scoring method precision	0.568653	0.542008	0.513364	0.618217	0.539568
SVMLinear RUN 3 With Scoring method accuracy	0.554688	0.541046	0.527525	0.601980	0.583113
Gaussian Naive Bayes RUN 1 With Scoring method recall	0.582854	0.539236	0.415677	0.888712	0.918644
Decision Tree Classifier RUN 3 With Scoring method accuracy	0.571231	0.538550	0.501807	0.669637	0.588391
SVM NON Linear RUN 3 With Scoring method f1 SVM NON Linear RUN 3 With Scoring method precision	0.603654 0.617537	0.535529 0.534076	0.472727 0.465132	0.276882 0.765432	0.218830 0.637931
SVMLinear RUN 1 With Scoring method precision	0.549715	0.533711	0.513749	0.504979	0.490446
SVM NON Linear RUN 3 With Scoring method accuracy	0.596107	0.533411	0.482175	0.640264	0.621372
SVM NON Linear RUN 2 With Scoring method f1	0.615919	0.532780	0.466125	0.287031	0.190736
SVM NON Linear RUN 1 With Scoring method recall	0.584928	0.531330	0.471064	0.181301	0.136223
SVM NON Linear RUN 2 With Scoring method recall	0.573989	0.530916	0.483895	0.186848	0.150327
Gaussian Naive Bayes RUN 3 With Scoring method recall	0.557445	0.529272	0.434273	0.884176	0.886850
SVM NON Linear RUN 1 With Scoring method f1	0.578750	0.528944	0.476558	0.283846	0.212766
SVM NON Linear RUN 1 With Scoring method precision	0.586042	0.528655	0.467840	0.785185	0.567164
Gaussian Naive Bayes RUN 3 With Scoring method f1	0.549094	0.524694	0.409895	0.572909	0.560924
SVM NON Linear RUN 1 With Scoring method accuracy	0.584860	0.523928	0.452979	0.636634	0.596306
Gaussian Naive Bayes RUN 2 With Scoring method recall	0.542192	0.521457	0.400995	0.885103	0.886598
Gaussian Naive Bayes RUN 3 With Scoring method precision	0.540159	0.521303	0.411407	0.422120	0.407752
SVM NON Linear RUN 2 With Scoring method accuracy	0.578764		0.445055	0.631353	0.589710
SVMLinear RUN 3 With Scoring method f1	0.543341	0.520440	0.466133	0.251959	0.241458
SVMLinear RUN 2 With Scoring method accuracy	0.531584	0.519801	0.495912	0.577228	0.585752
SVMLinear RUN 1 With Scoring method accuracy	0.528118	0.518560	0.494030	0.587789	0.568602
SVM NON Linear RUN 2 With Scoring method precision	0.566981	0.517917	0.449455	0.746988	0.519231
SVMLinear RUN 2 With Scoring method precision	0.532493	0.517561	0.468356	0.434608	0.512397
SVMLinear RUN 3 With Scoring method recall	0.525187	0.515497	0.491588	0.240887	0.195876
Gaussian Naive Bayes RUN 2 With Scoring method f1	0.519466	0.510047	0.405421	0.571577	0.563087
Gaussian Naive Bayes RUN 1 With Scoring method precision	0.513262	0.506738	0.395869	0.423313	0.406154
SVMLinear RUN 2 With Scoring method recall	0.505102	0.503409	0.480279	0.275200	0.204620
Gaussian Naive Bayes RUN 1 With Scoring method f1	0.503617	0.501757	0.384598	0.571726	0.546973
SVMLinear RUN 3 With Scoring method precision	0.501565	0.500768	0.444933	0.465887	0.448598

	Precision	Recall	Fscore	Train score	Test score
Classifier					
Gaussian Naive Bayes RUN 2 With Scoring method accuracy	0.299472	0.500000	0.374587	0.587789	0.598945
Gaussian Naive Bayes RUN 1 With Scoring method accuracy	0.291557	0.500000	0.368333	0.591749	0.583113
SVMLinear RUN 1 With Scoring method recall	0.499903	0.499957	0.444212	0.170040	0.122642
SVMLinear RUN 2 With Scoring method f1	0.493664	0.496176	0.460543	0.294795	0.245614
Gaussian Naive Bayes RUN 3 With Scoring method accuracy	0.462691	0.463830	0.462739	0.489109	0.489446

In [54]: resultsDF = resultsDF.sort_values(by = ["Fscore"], ascending = False)
print("Ranked by F Measure")
resultsDF

	Precision	Recall	Fscore	Train score	Test score
Classifier					
KNN RUN 2 With Scoring method recall	0.596878	0.590923	0.591082	0.714980	0.459119
Decision Tree Classifier RUN 2 With Scoring method recall	0.599493	0.589502	0.588786	0.548023	0.426752
Decision Tree Classifier RUN 1 With Scoring method f1	0.592525	0.584136	0.582809	0.544304	0.482639
KNN RUN 1 With Scoring method accuracy	0.611479	0.583688	0.579709	0.680198	0.643799
Decision Tree Classifier RUN 3 With Scoring method f1	0.582113	0.577319	0.577098	0.643045	0.483926
KNN RUN 1 With Scoring method f1	0.578882	0.576103	0.576636	0.727349	0.479452
KNN RUN 3 With Scoring method f1	0.571298	0.571910	0.571552	0.730384	0.477157
KNN RUN 2 With Scoring method f1	0.574245	0.571951	0.571450	0.731558	0.509259
Decision Tree Classifier RUN 2 With Scoring method accuracy	0.606637	0.575475	0.567180	0.701320	0.635884
KNN RUN 1 With Scoring method precision	0.620248	0.578658	0.564991	0.636054	0.594595
Decision Tree Classifier RUN 2 With Scoring method f1	0.590036	0.571457	0.562531	0.634683	0.427481
KNN RUN 3 With Scoring method recall	0.562595	0.561840	0.562112	0.704473	0.458472
KNN RUN 3 With Scoring method precision	0.605829	0.569582	0.557922	0.646179	0.554795
KNN RUN 1 With Scoring method recall	0.560381	0.558059	0.557885	0.706742	0.444099
Decision Tree Classifier RUN 1 With Scoring method recall	0.561560	0.555327	0.553813	0.589271	0.381579
KNN RUN 2 With Scoring method accuracy	0.617087	0.576810	0.553710	0.686799	0.609499
Decision Tree Classifier RUN 3 With Scoring method recall	0.560165	0.552136	0.547736	0.570388	0.362776
KNN RUN 3 With Scoring method accuracy	0.592808	0.561312	0.544351	0.642574	0.614776
KNN RUN 2 With Scoring method precision	0.584367	0.552268	0.530090	0.639493	0.546763
SVMLinear RUN 3 With Scoring method accuracy	0.554688	0.541046	0.527525	0.601980	0.583113
SVMLinear RUN 1 With Scoring method precision	0.549715		0.513749	0.504979	0.490446
Decision Tree Classifier RUN 3 With Scoring method precision	0.568653	0.542008	0.513364	0.618217	0.539568
Decision Tree Classifier RUN 1 With Scoring method precision	0.579202	0.544961	0.513007	0.641608	0.55556
SVMLinear RUN 1 With Scoring method f1	0.572596	0.544245	0.511129	0.300727	0.330544
Decision Tree Classifier RUN 2 With Scoring method precision	0.626307	0.551595	0.507980	0.689266	0.630952
Decision Tree Classifier RUN 3 With Scoring method accuracy	0.571231	0.538550	0.501807	0.669637	0.588391
SVMLinear RUN 2 With Scoring method accuracy	0.531584	0.519801	0.495912	0.577228	0.585752
Decision Tree Classifier RUN 1 With Scoring method accuracy	0.674690	0.552576	0.494154	0.631683	0.625330
SVMLinear RUN 1 With Scoring method accuracy SVM NON Linear RUN 3 With Scoring method recall	0.528118 0.654704	0.518560 0.547047	0.494030 0.491943	0.587789 0.163869	0.568602 0.135762
SVM NON Linear RUN 3 With Scoring method recall	0.525187	0.547047	0.491588	0.240887	0.195876
SVM NON Linear RUN 2 With Scoring method recall	0.573989	0.530916	0.483895	0.186848	0.150327
SVM NON Linear RUN 3 With Scoring method accuracy	0.596107	0.533411	0.482175	0.640264	0.621372
SVMLinear RUN 2 With Scoring method recall	0.505102	0.503409	0.480279	0.275200	0.204620
SVM NON Linear RUN 1 With Scoring method f1	0.578750	0.528944	0.476558	0.283846	0.212766
SVM NON Linear RUN 3 With Scoring method f1	0.603654	0.535529	0.472727	0.276882	0.218830
SVM NON Linear RUN 1 With Scoring method recall	0.584928	0.531330	0.471064	0.181301	0.136223
SVMLinear RUN 2 With Scoring method precision	0.532493	0.517561	0.468356	0.434608	0.512397
SVM NON Linear RUN 1 With Scoring method precision	0.586042	0.528655	0.467840	0.785185	0.567164
SVMLinear RUN 3 With Scoring method f1	0.543341	0.520440	0.466133	0.251959	0.241458
SVM NON Linear RUN 2 With Scoring method f1	0.615919	0.532780	0.466125	0.287031	0.190736
SVM NON Linear RUN 3 With Scoring method precision	0.617537	0.534076	0.465132	0.765432	0.637931
Gaussian Naive Bayes RUN 3 With Scoring method accuracy	0.462691	0.463830	0.462739	0.489109	0.489446
SVMLinear RUN 2 With Scoring method f1	0.493664	0.496176	0.460543	0.294795	0.245614
SVM NON Linear RUN 1 With Scoring method accuracy	0.584860	0.523928	0.452979	0.636634	0.596306
SVM NON Linear RUN 2 With Scoring method precision	0.566981	0.517917	0.449455	0.746988	0.519231
SVM NON Linear RUN 2 With Scoring method accuracy	0.578764	0.521033	0.445055	0.631353	0.589710
SVMLinear RUN 3 With Scoring method precision	0.501565	0.500768	0.444933	0.465887	0.448598
SVMLinear RUN 1 With Scoring method recall	0.499903	0.499957	0.444212	0.170040	0.122642
Gaussian Naive Bayes RUN 2 With Scoring method precision	0.598333	0.549084	0.439478	0.425000	0.432515
Gaussian Naive Bayes RUN 3 With Scoring method recall	0.557445	0.529272	0.434273	0.884176	0.886850
Gaussian Naive Bayes RUN 1 With Scoring method recall	0.582854	0.539236	0.415677	0.888712	0.918644
Gaussian Naive Bayes RUN 3 With Scoring method precision	0.540159	0.521303	0.411407	0.422120	0.407752
Gaussian Naive Bayes RUN 3 With Scoring method f1	0.549094	0.524694	0.409895	0.572909	0.560924
Gaussian Naive Bayes RUN 2 With Scoring method f1	0.519466	0.510047	0.405421	0.571577	0.563087

	Precision	Recall	Fscore	Train score	Test score
Classifier					
Gaussian Naive Bayes RUN 2 With Scoring method recall	0.542192	0.521457	0.400995	0.885103	0.886598
Gaussian Naive Bayes RUN 1 With Scoring method precision	0.513262	0.506738	0.395869	0.423313	0.406154
Gaussian Naive Bayes RUN 1 With Scoring method f1	0.503617	0.501757	0.384598	0.571726	0.546973
Gaussian Naive Bayes RUN 2 With Scoring method accuracy	0.299472	0.500000	0.374587	0.587789	0.598945
Gaussian Naive Bayes RUN 1 With Scoring method accuracy	0.291557	0.500000	0.368333	0.591749	0.583113

```
In [55]: resultsDF = resultsDF.sort_values(by = ["Train score"], ascending = False)
    print("Ranked by Train score")
    resultsDF
```

Ranked by Train score

	Precision	Recall	Fscore	Train score	Test score
Classifier					
Gaussian Naive Bayes RUN 1 With Scoring method recall	0.582854	0.539236	0.415677	0.888712	0.918644
Gaussian Naive Bayes RUN 2 With Scoring method recall	0.542192	0.521457	0.400995	0.885103	0.886598
Gaussian Naive Bayes RUN 3 With Scoring method recall	0.557445	0.529272	0.434273	0.884176	0.886850
SVM NON Linear RUN 1 With Scoring method precision	0.586042	0.528655	0.467840	0.785185	0.567164
SVM NON Linear RUN 3 With Scoring method precision	0.617537	0.534076	0.465132	0.765432	0.637931
SVM NON Linear RUN 2 With Scoring method precision	0.566981	0.517917	0.449455	0.746988	0.519231
KNN RUN 2 With Scoring method f1	0.574245	0.571951	0.571450	0.731558	0.509259
KNN RUN 3 With Scoring method f1	0.571298	0.571910	0.571552	0.730384	0.477157
KNN RUN 1 With Scoring method f1	0.578882	0.576103	0.576636	0.727349	0.479452
KNN RUN 2 With Scoring method recall	0.596878	0.590923	0.591082	0.714980	0.459119
KNN RUN 1 With Scoring method recall	0.560381	0.558059	0.557885	0.706742	0.444099
KNN RUN 3 With Scoring method recall	0.562595	0.561840	0.562112	0.704473	0.458472
Decision Tree Classifier RUN 2 With Scoring method accuracy	0.606637	0.575475	0.567180	0.701320	0.635884
Decision Tree Classifier RUN 2 With Scoring method precision	0.626307	0.551595	0.507980	0.689266	0.630952
KNN RUN 2 With Scoring method accuracy	0.617087	0.576810	0.553710	0.686799	0.609499
KNN RUN 1 With Scoring method accuracy	0.611479	0.583688	0.579709	0.680198	0.643799
Decision Tree Classifier RUN 3 With Scoring method accuracy	0.571231	0.538550	0.501807	0.669637	0.588391
KNN RUN 3 With Scoring method precision	0.605829	0.569582	0.557922	0.646179	0.554795
Decision Tree Classifier RUN 3 With Scoring method f1	0.582113	0.577319	0.577098	0.643045	0.483926
KNN RUN 3 With Scoring method accuracy	0.592808	0.561312	0.544351	0.642574	0.614776
Decision Tree Classifier RUN 1 With Scoring method precision	0.579202	0.544961	0.513007	0.641608	0.55556
SVM NON Linear RUN 3 With Scoring method accuracy	0.596107	0.533411	0.482175	0.640264	0.621372
KNN RUN 2 With Scoring method precision	0.584367	0.552268	0.530090	0.639493	0.546763
SVM NON Linear RUN 1 With Scoring method accuracy	0.584860	0.523928	0.452979	0.636634	0.596306
KNN RUN 1 With Scoring method precision	0.620248	0.578658	0.564991	0.636054	0.594595
Decision Tree Classifier RUN 2 With Scoring method f1	0.590036	0.571457	0.562531	0.634683	0.427481
Decision Tree Classifier RUN 1 With Scoring method accuracy	0.674690	0.552576	0.494154	0.631683	0.625330
SVM NON Linear RUN 2 With Scoring method accuracy	0.578764	0.521033	0.445055	0.631353	0.589710
Decision Tree Classifier RUN 3 With Scoring method precision	0.568653	0.542008	0.513364	0.618217	0.539568
SVMLinear RUN 3 With Scoring method accuracy	0.554688	0.541046	0.527525	0.601980	0.583113
Gaussian Naive Bayes RUN 1 With Scoring method accuracy	0.291557	0.500000	0.368333	0.591749	0.583113
Decision Tree Classifier RUN 1 With Scoring method recall	0.561560	0.555327	0.553813	0.589271	0.381579
Gaussian Naive Bayes RUN 2 With Scoring method accuracy	0.299472	0.500000	0.374587	0.587789	0.598945
SVMLinear RUN 1 With Scoring method accuracy	0.528118	0.518560	0.494030	0.587789	0.568602
SVMLinear RUN 2 With Scoring method accuracy	0.531584	0.519801	0.495912	0.577228	0.585752
Gaussian Naive Bayes RUN 3 With Scoring method f1	0.549094	0.524694	0.409895	0.572909	0.560924
Gaussian Naive Bayes RUN 1 With Scoring method f1	0.503617	0.501757	0.384598	0.571726	0.546973
Gaussian Naive Bayes RUN 2 With Scoring method f1	0.519466	0.510047	0.405421	0.571577	0.563087
Decision Tree Classifier RUN 3 With Scoring method recall	0.560165	0.552136	0.547736	0.570388	0.362776
Decision Tree Classifier RUN 2 With Scoring method recall	0.599493	0.589502	0.588786	0.548023	0.426752
Decision Tree Classifier RUN 1 With Scoring method f1	0.592525	0.584136	0.582809	0.544304	0.482639
SVMLinear RUN 1 With Scoring method precision	0.549715	0.533711	0.513749	0.504979	0.490446
Gaussian Naive Bayes RUN 3 With Scoring method accuracy	0.462691	0.463830	0.462739	0.489109	0.489446
SVMLinear RUN 3 With Scoring method precision	0.501565		0.444933	0.465887	0.448598
SVMLinear RUN 2 With Scoring method precision	0.532493	0.517561	0.468356	0.434608	0.512397
Gaussian Naive Bayes RUN 2 With Scoring method precision	0.598333	0.549084	0.439478	0.425000	0.432515
Gaussian Naive Bayes RUN 1 With Scoring method precision	0.513262	0.506738	0.395869	0.423313	0.406154
Gaussian Naive Bayes RUN 3 With Scoring method precision	0.540159	0.521303	0.411407	0.422120	0.407752
SVMLinear RUN 1 With Scoring method f1	0.572596	0.544245	0.511129	0.300727	0.330544
SVMLinear RUN 2 With Scoring method f1	0.493664	0.496176	0.460543	0.294795	0.245614
SVM NON Linear RUN 2 With Scoring method f1	0.615919	0.532780	0.466125	0.287031	0.190736
SVM NON Linear RUN 1 With Scoring method f1	0.578750	0.528944	0.476558	0.283846	0.212766
SVM NON Linear RUN 3 With Scoring method f1	0.603654	0.535529	0.472727	0.276882	0.218830
SVMLinear RUN 2 With Scoring method recall	0.505102	0.503409	0.480279	0.275200	0.204620
SVMLinear RUN 3 With Scoring method f1	0.543341	0.520440	0.466133	0.251959	0.241458

	Precision	Recall	Fscore	Train score	Test score
Classifier					
SVMLinear RUN 3 With Scoring method recall	0.525187	0.515497	0.491588	0.240887	0.195876
SVM NON Linear RUN 2 With Scoring method recall	0.573989	0.530916	0.483895	0.186848	0.150327
SVM NON Linear RUN 1 With Scoring method recall	0.584928	0.531330	0.471064	0.181301	0.136223
SVMLinear RUN 1 With Scoring method recall	0.499903	0.499957	0.444212	0.170040	0.122642
SVM NON Linear RUN 3 With Scoring method recall	0.654704	0.547047	0.491943	0.163869	0.135762

```
In [56]: resultsDF = resultsDF.sort_values(by = ["Test score"], ascending = False)
print("Ranked by Test score")
resultsDF
```

Ranked by Test score

	Precision	Recall	Fscore	Train score	Test score
Classifier					
Gaussian Naive Bayes RUN 1 With Scoring method recall	0.582854	0.539236	0.415677	0.888712	0.918644
Gaussian Naive Bayes RUN 3 With Scoring method recall	0.557445	0.529272	0.434273	0.884176	0.886850
Gaussian Naive Bayes RUN 2 With Scoring method recall	0.542192	0.521457	0.400995	0.885103	0.886598
KNN RUN 1 With Scoring method accuracy	0.611479	0.583688	0.579709	0.680198	0.643799
SVM NON Linear RUN 3 With Scoring method precision	0.617537	0.534076	0.465132	0.765432	0.637931
Decision Tree Classifier RUN 2 With Scoring method accuracy	0.606637	0.575475	0.567180	0.701320	0.635884
Decision Tree Classifier RUN 2 With Scoring method precision	0.626307	0.551595	0.507980	0.689266	0.630952
Decision Tree Classifier RUN 1 With Scoring method accuracy	0.674690	0.552576	0.494154	0.631683	0.625330
SVM NON Linear RUN 3 With Scoring method accuracy	0.596107	0.533411	0.482175	0.640264	0.621372
KNN RUN 3 With Scoring method accuracy	0.592808	0.561312	0.544351	0.642574	0.614776
KNN RUN 2 With Scoring method accuracy	0.617087	0.576810	0.553710	0.686799	0.609499
Gaussian Naive Bayes RUN 2 With Scoring method accuracy	0.299472	0.500000	0.374587	0.587789	0.598945
SVM NON Linear RUN 1 With Scoring method accuracy	0.584860	0.523928	0.452979	0.636634	0.596306
KNN RUN 1 With Scoring method precision	0.620248	0.578658	0.564991	0.636054	0.594595
SVM NON Linear RUN 2 With Scoring method accuracy	0.578764	0.521033	0.445055	0.631353	0.589710
Decision Tree Classifier RUN 3 With Scoring method accuracy	0.571231	0.538550	0.501807	0.669637	0.588391
SVMLinear RUN 2 With Scoring method accuracy	0.531584	0.519801	0.495912	0.577228	0.585752
SVMLinear RUN 3 With Scoring method accuracy	0.554688	0.541046	0.527525	0.601980	0.583113
Gaussian Naive Bayes RUN 1 With Scoring method accuracy	0.291557	0.500000	0.368333	0.591749	0.583113
SVMLinear RUN 1 With Scoring method accuracy	0.528118	0.518560	0.494030	0.587789	0.568602
SVM NON Linear RUN 1 With Scoring method precision	0.586042	0.528655	0.467840	0.785185	0.567164
Gaussian Naive Bayes RUN 2 With Scoring method f1	0.519466	0.510047	0.405421	0.571577	0.563087
Gaussian Naive Bayes RUN 3 With Scoring method f1	0.549094	0.524694	0.409895	0.572909	0.560924
Decision Tree Classifier RUN 1 With Scoring method precision	0.579202	0.544961	0.513007	0.641608	0.55556
KNN RUN 3 With Scoring method precision	0.605829	0.569582	0.557922	0.646179	0.554795
Gaussian Naive Bayes RUN 1 With Scoring method f1	0.503617	0.501757	0.384598	0.571726	0.546973
KNN RUN 2 With Scoring method precision	0.584367	0.552268	0.530090	0.639493	0.546763
Decision Tree Classifier RUN 3 With Scoring method precision	0.568653	0.542008	0.513364	0.618217	0.539568
SVM NON Linear RUN 2 With Scoring method precision	0.566981	0.517917	0.449455	0.746988	0.519231
SVMLinear RUN 2 With Scoring method precision KNN RUN 2 With Scoring method f1	0.532493 0.574245	0.517561 0.571951	0.468356 0.571450	0.434608 0.731558	0.512397 0.509259
SVMLinear RUN 1 With Scoring method precision	0.549715	0.533711	0.513749	0.504979	0.490446
Gaussian Naive Bayes RUN 3 With Scoring method accuracy	0.462691	0.463830	0.462739	0.489109	0.489446
Decision Tree Classifier RUN 3 With Scoring method f1	0.582113	0.577319	0.577098	0.643045	0.483926
Decision Tree Classifier RUN 1 With Scoring method f1	0.592525	0.584136	0.582809	0.544304	0.482639
KNN RUN 1 With Scoring method f1	0.578882	0.576103	0.576636	0.727349	0.479452
KNN RUN 3 With Scoring method f1	0.571298	0.571910	0.571552	0.730384	0.477157
KNN RUN 2 With Scoring method recall	0.596878	0.590923	0.591082	0.714980	0.459119
KNN RUN 3 With Scoring method recall	0.562595	0.561840	0.562112	0.704473	0.458472
SVMLinear RUN 3 With Scoring method precision	0.501565	0.500768	0.444933	0.465887	0.448598
KNN RUN 1 With Scoring method recall	0.560381	0.558059	0.557885	0.706742	0.444099
Gaussian Naive Bayes RUN 2 With Scoring method precision	0.598333	0.549084	0.439478	0.425000	0.432515
Decision Tree Classifier RUN 2 With Scoring method f1	0.590036	0.571457	0.562531	0.634683	0.427481
Decision Tree Classifier RUN 2 With Scoring method recall	0.599493	0.589502	0.588786	0.548023	0.426752
Gaussian Naive Bayes RUN 3 With Scoring method precision	0.540159	0.521303	0.411407	0.422120	0.407752
Gaussian Naive Bayes RUN 1 With Scoring method precision	0.513262	0.506738	0.395869	0.423313	0.406154
Decision Tree Classifier RUN 1 With Scoring method recall	0.561560	0.555327	0.553813	0.589271	0.381579
Decision Tree Classifier RUN 3 With Scoring method recall	0.560165	0.552136	0.547736	0.570388	0.362776
SVMLinear RUN 1 With Scoring method f1	0.572596	0.544245	0.511129	0.300727	0.330544
SVMLinear RUN 2 With Scoring method f1	0.493664	0.496176	0.460543	0.294795	0.245614
SVMLinear RUN 3 With Scoring method f1	0.543341	0.520440	0.466133	0.251959	0.241458
SVM NON Linear RUN 3 With Scoring method f1	0.603654	0.535529	0.472727	0.276882	0.218830
SVM NON Linear RUN 1 With Scoring method f1	0.578750	0.528944	0.476558	0.283846	0.212766
SVMLinear RUN 2 With Scoring method recall	0.505102	0.503409	0.480279	0.275200	0.204620
SVMLinear RUN 3 With Scoring method recall	0.525187	0.515497	0.491588	0.240887	0.195876

	SVM NON Linear RUN 2 With Scoring method f1	0.615919	0.532780	0.466125	0.287031	0.190736
	SVM NON Linear RUN 2 With Scoring method recall	0.573989	0.530916	0.483895	0.186848	0.150327
	SVM NON Linear RUN 1 With Scoring method recall	0.584928	0.531330	0.471064	0.181301	0.136223
	SVM NON Linear RUN 3 With Scoring method recall	0.654704	0.547047	0.491943	0.163869	0.135762
	SVMLinear RUN 1 With Scoring method recall	0.499903	0.499957	0.444212	0.170040	0.122642
In []:						
TII [].						
In []:						

Classifier

Precision Recall Fscore Train score Test score