Homework 1

1. (a) C1:

C: 64 B: 4 E: 1 S: 16 m: 16 b: 2 t: 10 s: 4

C2:

C:64 B:16 E:1 S:4 m:16 b:4 t:10 s:2

		BA00	BA04	AA08	BA05	AA14	AA11	AA13	AA38
(b)	C1	miss	miss	miss	hit	miss	miss	hit	miss
-	C2	miss	hit	miss	miss	miss	hit	hit	miss

	AA09	AA0B	BA04	AA2B	BA05	BA06	AA09	AA11
\mathbf{C}	l hit	hit	hit	miss	hit	hit	hit	hit
C:	2 miss	hit	miss	miss	hit	hit	miss	hit

Final content:

C1:

Set 0: BA00-BA03Set 1: BA04-BA07Set 2: AA08-AA0BSet 3:? Set 4: AA10-AA13Set 7:? Set 5: AA14-AA17Set 6:?Set 8:? Set 9:? Set 10: AA28-AA2BSet 11:? Set 12:? Set 13:? Set 14: AA38-AA3BSet 15:?

C2:

 $\mathbf{Set}\ 0: \mathbf{AA00\text{-}AA0F} \quad \mathbf{Set}\ 1: \mathbf{AA10\text{-}AA1F} \quad \mathbf{Set}\ 2: \mathbf{AA20\text{-}AA2F} \quad \mathbf{Set}\ 3: \mathbf{AA30\text{-}AA3F}$

		0x0004	0xF008	0x0005	0xF009
(c)	C1	miss	miss	hit	hit
	C2	miss	miss	miss	miss

- 2. (a) 2^{34} bytes (0x000000000-0x3FFFFFFFF)
 - (b) 4096 bytes
 - (c) Implementing our cache will require 8 bits*(4096 data bytes)+1 valid bit*(256 sets)+22 tag bits* (256 sets), for a total of 38656 bits.
 - (d) 2^{22} blocks

3. If we use the following cache parameters

register int min = MAX_INT;

```
C:8192 \hspace{1cm} B:32 \hspace{1cm} E:1 \hspace{1cm} S:256 \\ m:64 \hspace{1cm} b:5 \hspace{1cm} t:51 \hspace{1cm} s:8
```

Then this code will have a cache hit ratio of at least 87.5%

```
for (int i = 0; i < 1000000; i++) {
  if (array[i] < min) {</pre>
    min = array[i];
  }
}
// Given an x by y matrix
// Good locality of reference
int sum = 0;
for (int i = 0; i < x; i++) {
  for (int j = 0; j < y; j++) {
    sum += matrix[i][j];
  }
}
int average = sum / (x * y);
// Bad locality of reference
int sum = 0;
for (int j = 0; j < y; j++) {
  for (int i = 0; i < x; i++) {
    sum += matrix[i][j];
```

int average = sum / (x * y);

4.

} }

Because C compilers store 2-D arrays as row-major, elements in the same row of a matrix are stored contigously in memory. Hence, whenever we try to access a member of a row and have a cache miss, we bring into cache other elements from the row after the initial member we requested. So in the "Good locality of reference" example above, by reading all the elements in a row before moving to the next row, we will have mostly cache hits. However, in the example of bad locality of reference, we read all elements in a column before moving to the next column. Unless our cache lines are longer than the rows of the matrix, we will likely have a cache miss every access.

In Fortran we would see the opposite effect, since it stores 2-D arrays as column-major.