## score.txt

Heuristic analysis

As we know, the point of isolation is to illuminate the opponent's moves. A good evaluation function help us seek the most options while trying to get in the way of the opponent's moves. All these related to my moves and opponent moves. In this case, all my Heuristic based on the own\_moves and opp\_moves, here is how to compute:

Based on the information, we can try the following heuristic:

The output is:

Match #	Opponent	AB_Improved Won   Lost		AB_Custom Won   Lost		AB_Custom_2 Won   Lost		AB_Custom_3 Won   Lost	
1	Random	8	2	8	2	9	1 1	7	3
2	MM_Open	7	3	8	2	3	7	7	3
3	MM_Center	8	2	8	2	8	2	6	4
4	MM_Improved	6	4	6	4	4	6	6	4
5	AB_Open	5	5	4	6	7	3	5	5
6	AB_Center	4	6	8	2	7	3	8	2
7	AB_Improved	5	5	4	6	5	5	5	5
	Win Rate:	61.4%		65.7%		61.4%		62.9%	

Heuristic1 use my moves/opponent moves, it would help us seek most options over the opponent's behavior. It works well in Radom, MM\_Open, MM\_Center and AB\_Center, work badly in the others.

## score.txt

Heuristic2 consider only opponent moves, it would chase after the opponent's behavior. It works well in Random and MM\_Center, work badly in the others.

Heuristic3 conside both my moves and opponent moves, it would balance the tradeoff between seek most options and opponent's behavior. It works well in AB\_Center.

All these heuristics are easy to implement and avoid timeout in other algorithm. Especially, we can find Heuristic1 had higher score than Heuristic2 and Heuristic3. It also help agent win the most opponent among all the algorithm. Thus, I would recommend Heuristic1 as the evaluation function.