## View Feedback and Modify data

Name:	View Feedback and Modify Data
Participating actor:	Researcher
Entry condition:	The validation has failed.
Flow of events:	<ol> <li>The system prompts whether to modify existing files or make backup of files and modify them.</li> <li>The researcher selects to modify existing files.</li> <li>The system identifies and reports the list of required metadata headers and fields in the csv that are missing.</li> <li>The researcher manually adds the missing metadata headers and fields in the csv using a spreadsheet editor. The researcher returns to the application and reinitiates validation.</li> <li>The system identifies and reports the list of duplicate filenames in metadata file.</li> <li>The system offers to fix issues within the application.</li> <li>The researcher chooses to fix the issues.</li> <li>The system prompts the researcher to make changes to "FileName" column of metadata file by suggesting possible correct filenames.</li> <li>The researcher accepts or rejects the suggestions.</li> <li>The system makes changes to the metadata file and reinitiates validation.</li> <li>The system identifies and reports the filenames in metadata file not found in the raw data file folder.</li> <li>The system offers to fix issues within the application.</li> <li>The researcher chooses to fix the issues.</li> <li>The system prompts the researcher to make changes to "FileName" field in metadata by suggesting possible correct filenames.</li> <li>The researcher accepts or rejects the suggestions.</li> <li>The system makes changes to the metadata file and reinitiates validation.</li> <li>The system offers to fix the issues.</li> <li>The system forest to fix the issues.</li> <li>The researcher chooses to fix the issues.</li> <li>The researcher chooses to fix the issues.</li> <li>The system prompts the researcher to rename raw data files by suggesting possible correct filenames.</li> <li>The researcher accepts or rejects the suggestions.</li> <li>The researcher accepts or rejects the suggestions.</li> <li>The researcher accepts or rejects the suggestions.</li></ol>
Exit condition:	The researcher went through all the validation steps.
Alternative flows:	2a. The researcher selects to make backup of files and modify

them.

- The system prompts the researcher to select a directory.
- The researcher selects a directory.
- The system copies files over to the directory to make all future changes on the newly created backup files.
- 3a. All required metadata headers and fields are filled.
  - System informs the researcher that validation check passed for headers.
- 5a. There are no duplicate filenames in metadata file.
  - System informs the researcher that validation check passed for this step.
- 7a. The researcher chooses to export the issues in csv and fix issues outside of the application.
  - System prompts the researcher to select a directory using a directory dialog.
  - The researcher selects a directory.
  - The issues are exported to the directory in csv format.
- 11a. All metadata rows contain valid filenames.
  - System informs the researcher that validation check passed for this step.
- 13a. The researcher chooses to export the issues in csv and fix issues outside of the application.
  - System prompts the researcher to select a directory using a directory dialog.
  - The researcher selects a directory.
  - o The issues are exported to the directory in csv format.
- 17a. All raw data files contain a metadata.
  - System informs the researcher that validation check passed for this step.
- 19a. The researcher chooses to export the issues in csv and fix issues outside of the application.
  - System prompts the researcher to select a directory using a directory dialog.
  - The researcher selects a directory.
  - The issues are exported to the directory in csv format.