

Web scraping U.S. Fast Food Chains Data

Shayan Golshan

Contents

1. Function Definition	2
2. Scraping the Data from the Websites	3
3. Checking the Contents of the Websites	7
4. Getting Population Data for the United States	9
5. Joining all of the scraped dataframes with eachother	11
6. Getting the Financial Data	13
Now, let's use the yfR library to get the stock prices of the companies that we can.	13
7. Regional and Population Analysis	15
Q: What are the top chains by region?	16
Q: Are some of these chains more prevalent in certain states than others? Possibly despite having less stores overall? Same questions for regions instead of states.	18
8. Financial Analysis	26
Q: Do the financial data match what you'd expect based on the number and locations (footprint) of the stores? Why or why not?	26

Libraries that we will be using

```
library(rvest)
library(tidyverse)
library(stringr)
library(tibble)
```

1. Function Definition

```
stateabb <- function(state) {
  if("District of Columbia" %in% state){
    return ("DC")
  }

  if("Virginia" %in% state){
    return ("VA")
  }

  if("West VA" %in% state){
    return("WV")
  }

  loc <- grep(state, state.name)
  stateA <- state.abb[loc]
  return(stateA)
}

readURL <- function (data){
  URL <-
    data

  Link <-
    read_html(URL)

  Html <-
    html_nodes(Link, css=".list-unstyled-links")

  html2 <-
    html_nodes(Link, css="h1")

  Data <-
    html_text2(Html)

  companyName <-
    html_text2(html2)

  split <-
    str_split(Data, "\n")

  splitDF <-
    as.data.frame(split)
```

```

states <-
  str_extract(splitDF[,1], ".*(?: or| McDonald's| Starbucks| Peet's| Dunkin'|
    ↪ Panera| Caribou| Au Bon| The Coffee| Tim)")
print(states)

urlDF <-
  splitDF %>%
  mutate(
    State = map_chr(states, ~{
      r <- stateabb(.x)
      if (length(r) == 0) NA_character_ else paste(r, collapse = ", ")
    }),
    Count = parse_number(splitDF[,1]),
    CompanyName = str_extract(companyName, ".*(?: Loc)")
  )

newDF <-
  urlDF[-1]

DF <-
  newDF %>%
  drop_na()

newDF <-
  DF %>% filter(State !=
    "character(0)")

return(newDF)
}

```

2. Scraping the Data from the Websites

```

starbs <-
  readURL(paste0("https://web.archive.org/web/20240331211512/",
    "https://www.menuism.com/restaurant-locations/",
    "starbucks-coffee-39564"))

```

```

## [1] NA "Alaska" "Alabama"
## [4] "Arkansas" "Arizona" "California"
## [7] "Colorado" "Connecticut" "District of Columbia"
## [10] "Delaware" "Florida" "Georgia"
## [13] "Hawaii" "Iowa" "Idaho"
## [16] "Illinois" "Indiana" "Kansas"
## [19] "Kentucky" "Louisiana" "Massachusetts"
## [22] "Maryland" "Maine" "Michigan"
## [25] "Minnesota" "Missouri" "Mississippi"
## [28] "Montana" "North Carolina" "North Dakota"
## [31] "Nebraska" "New Hampshire" "New Jersey"
## [34] "New Mexico" "Nevada" "New York"

```

```
## [37] "Ohio"           "Oklahoma"       "Oregon"
## [40] "Pennsylvania"   "Rhode Island"   "South Carolina"
## [43] "South Dakota"   "Tennessee"      "Texas"
## [46] "Utah"           "Virginia"        "Vermont"
## [49] "Washington"     "Wisconsin"       "West Virginia"
## [52] "Wyoming"        NA                "County Dublin"
## [55] NA               "England"         "Greater London"
## [58] "Surrey"         NA                "Madrid"
## [61] NA              "British Columbia" "Ontario"
```

```
dd <-
  readURL(paste0("https://web.archive.org/web/20211025021928/",
                  "http://www.menuism.com/restaurant-locations/",
                  "dunkin-donuts-181624"))
```

```
## [1] NA                "Alabama"         "Arkansas"
## [4] "Arizona"         "California"       "Colorado"
## [7] "Connecticut"     "District of Columbia" "Delaware"
## [10] "Florida"         "Georgia"          "Hawaii"
## [13] "Iowa"            "Illinois"         "Indiana"
## [16] "Kansas"          "Kentucky"        "Louisiana"
## [19] "Massachusetts"   "Maryland"         "Maine"
## [22] "Michigan"        "Minnesota"        "Missouri"
## [25] "Mississippi"     "North Carolina"   "Nebraska"
## [28] "New Hampshire"   "New Jersey"       "New Mexico"
## [31] "Nevada"          "New York"         "Ohio"
## [34] "Oklahoma"        "Oregon"           "Pennsylvania"
## [37] "Rhode Island"    "South Carolina"   "Tennessee"
## [40] "Texas"           "Utah"            "Virginia"
## [43] "Vermont"         "Washington"       "Wisconsin"
## [46] "West Virginia"   NA                "Prince Edward Island"
## [49] "Quebec"
```

```
peets <-
  readURL(paste0("https://web.archive.org/web/20240618034417/",
                  "https://www.menuism.com/restaurant-locations/",
                  "peets-coffee-tea-84051"))
```

```
## [1] NA                "California"       "Colorado"       "Hawaii"       "Illinois"
## [6] "Nevada"          "Oregon"           "Pennsylvania"   "Texas"        "Washington"
## [11] NA                "MA"
```

```
panera <-
  readURL(paste0("https://web.archive.org/web/20240617000440/",
                  "http://www.menuism.com/restaurant-locations/",
                  "panera-bread-4258"))
```

```
## [1] NA                "Alabama"         "Arkansas"
## [4] "Arizona"         "California"       "Colorado"
## [7] "Connecticut"     "District of Columbia" "Delaware"
## [10] "Florida"         "Georgia"          "Iowa"
## [13] "Idaho"           "Illinois"         "Indiana"
```

```
## [16] "Kansas"           "Kentucky"          "Louisiana"
## [19] "Massachusetts"    "Maryland"          "Maine"
## [22] "Michigan"         "Minnesota"         "Missouri"
## [25] "Mississippi"      "North Carolina"    "North Dakota"
## [28] "Nebraska"         "New Hampshire"     "New Jersey"
## [31] "New Mexico"       "Nevada"            "New York"
## [34] "Ohio"            "Oklahoma"          "Oregon"
## [37] "Pennsylvania"     "Rhode Island"      "South Carolina"
## [40] "South Dakota"     "Tennessee"         "Texas"
## [43] "Virginia"         "Vermont"           "Washington"
## [46] "Wisconsin"        "West Virginia"     NA
## [49] "Ontario"
```

```
caribou <-
  readURL(paste0("https://web.archive.org/web/20220814224211/",
                  "http://www.menuism.com/restaurant-locations/",
                  "caribou-coffee-164861"))
```

```
## [1] "Colorado"          "District of Columbia" "Georgia"
## [4] "Iowa"              "Illinois"            "Indiana"
## [7] "Kansas"            "Maryland"            "Michigan"
## [10] "Minnesota"         "Missouri"            "North Carolina"
## [13] "North Dakota"      "Nebraska"            "Ohio"
## [16] "Oregon"             "Pennsylvania"        "South Dakota"
## [19] "Virginia"          "Wisconsin"
```

```
pain <-
  readURL(paste0("https://web.archive.org/web/20231004193712/",
                  "http://www.menuism.com/restaurant-locations/",
                  "au-bon-pain-69342"))
```

```
## [1] "Connecticut"       "District of Columbia" "Florida"
## [4] "Georgia"           "Illinois"            "Indiana"
## [7] "Kentucky"          "Massachusetts"       "Maryland"
## [10] "Maine"             "Michigan"            "Minnesota"
## [13] "Missouri"          "New Hampshire"       "New Jersey"
## [16] "Nevada"            "New York"            "Ohio"
## [19] "Pennsylvania"      "Rhode Island"        "Texas"
## [22] "Virginia"
```

```
beanleaf <-
  readURL(paste0("https://web.archive.org/web/20220628010828/",
                  "http://www.menuism.com/restaurant-locations/",
                  "the-coffee-bean-tea-leaf-165988"))
```

```
## [1] "Arizona"           "California"          "Florida"          "Georgia"
## [5] "Hawaii"            "North Carolina"     "Nevada"           "Texas"
```

```
mcD <-
  readURL(paste0("https://web.archive.org/web/20240224131056/",
                  "http://www.menuism.com/restaurant-locations/",
                  "mcdonalds-21019"))
```

##	[1]	NA	"Alaska"
##	[3]	"Alabama"	"Arkansas"
##	[5]	"Arizona"	"California"
##	[7]	"Colorado"	"Connecticut"
##	[9]	"District of Columbia"	"Delaware"
##	[11]	"Florida"	"Georgia"
##	[13]	"Hawaii"	"Iowa"
##	[15]	"Idaho"	"Illinois"
##	[17]	"Indiana"	"Kansas"
##	[19]	"Kentucky"	"Louisiana"
##	[21]	"Massachusetts"	"Maryland"
##	[23]	"Maine"	"Michigan"
##	[25]	"Minnesota"	"Missouri"
##	[27]	"Mississippi"	"Montana"
##	[29]	"North Carolina"	"North Dakota"
##	[31]	"Nebraska"	"New Hampshire"
##	[33]	"New Jersey"	"New Mexico"
##	[35]	"Nevada"	"New York"
##	[37]	"Ohio"	"Oklahoma"
##	[39]	"Oregon"	"Pennsylvania"
##	[41]	"Rhode Island"	"South Carolina"
##	[43]	"South Dakota"	"Tennessee"
##	[45]	"Texas"	"Utah"
##	[47]	"Virginia"	"Vermont"
##	[49]	"Washington"	"Wisconsin"
##	[51]	"West Virginia"	"Wyoming"
##	[53]	NA	"Gävleborg"
##	[55]	"Östergötland"	NA
##	[57]	"Friuli-Venezia Giulia"	NA
##	[59]	NA	"D"
##	[61]	NA	"England"
##	[63]	"Greater London"	"Northern Ireland"
##	[65]	"Scotland"	NA
##	[67]	"Bretagne"	"Centre"
##	[69]	"Franche-Comte"	"Ile-de-France"
##	[71]	"Lorraine"	"Lower-Normandy"
##	[73]	"Midi-Pyrénées"	"Occitanie"
##	[75]	"Rhône-Alpes"	"Upper-Normandy"
##	[77]	NA	"CN"
##	[79]	"Madrid"	NA
##	[81]	"Hovedstaden"	NA
##	[83]	"Bavaria"	"Berlin"
##	[85]	"NDS"	"NRW"
##	[87]	"Saar"	"Saxony-Anhalt"
##	[89]	NA	"British Columbia"
##	[91]	"Manitoba"	"Newfoundland and Labrador"
##	[93]	"Nova Scotia"	"Ontario"
##	[95]	"Prince Edward Island"	"Quebec"
##	[97]	"Saskatchewan"	NA
##	[99]	"New South Wales"	"Victoria"
##	[101]	NA	"Steiermark"
##	[103]	"Tirol"	NA
##	[105]	"Cordoba"	

```
tim <-
  readURL(paste0("https://web.archive.org/web/20220809051154/",
                 "https://www.menuism.com/restaurant-locations/",
                 "tim-hortons-190025"))
```

```
## [1] NA "Connecticut" "Delaware"
## [4] "Indiana" "Kentucky" "Massachusetts"
## [7] "Maine" "Michigan" "Minnesota"
## [10] "Missouri" "New Jersey" "New York"
## [13] "Ohio" "Pennsylvania" "Rhode Island"
## [16] "Virginia" "West Virginia" NA
## [19] "British Columbia" "Manitoba" "Northwest Territories"
## [22] "Ontario" "Prince Edward Island" "Quebec"
## [25] "Saskatchewan"
```

3. Checking the Contents of the Websites

```
head(starbs, 10)
```

```
##      State Count      CompanyName
## 1      AK      24 Starbucks Coffee
## 2      AL      73 Starbucks Coffee
## 3      AR      33 Starbucks Coffee
## 4      AZ     279 Starbucks Coffee
## 5      CA    2362 Starbucks Coffee
## 6      CO     371 Starbucks Coffee
## 7      CT     107 Starbucks Coffee
## 8      DC      72 Starbucks Coffee
## 9      DE      20 Starbucks Coffee
## 10     FL     616 Starbucks Coffee
```

```
head(dd, 10)
```

```
##      State Count      CompanyName
## 1      AL        1 Dunkin' Donuts
## 2      AR       11 Dunkin' Donuts
## 3      AZ       74 Dunkin' Donuts
## 4      CA       46 Dunkin' Donuts
## 5      CO        5 Dunkin' Donuts
## 6      CT     406 Dunkin' Donuts
## 7      DC       15 Dunkin' Donuts
## 8      DE       57 Dunkin' Donuts
## 9      FL     654 Dunkin' Donuts
## 10     GA     147 Dunkin' Donuts
```

```
head(peets, 10)
```

```
##      State Count      CompanyName
```

```
## 1    CA    163 Peet's Coffee & Tea
## 2    CO      3 Peet's Coffee & Tea
## 3    HI      1 Peet's Coffee & Tea
## 4    IL      3 Peet's Coffee & Tea
## 5    NV      1 Peet's Coffee & Tea
## 6    OR      8 Peet's Coffee & Tea
## 7    PA      1 Peet's Coffee & Tea
## 8    TX      3 Peet's Coffee & Tea
## 9    WA     14 Peet's Coffee & Tea
```

```
head(panera, 10)
```

```
##      State Count  CompanyName
## 1      AL     20 Panera Bread
## 2      AR     13 Panera Bread
## 3      AZ     29 Panera Bread
## 4      CA    216 Panera Bread
## 5      CO     32 Panera Bread
## 6      CT     41 Panera Bread
## 7      DC      4 Panera Bread
## 8      DE      9 Panera Bread
## 9      FL    227 Panera Bread
## 10     GA     71 Panera Bread
```

```
head(caribou, 10)
```

```
##      State Count  CompanyName
## 1      CO      9 Caribou Coffee
## 2      DC      8 Caribou Coffee
## 3      GA     25 Caribou Coffee
## 4      IA     11 Caribou Coffee
## 5      IL     81 Caribou Coffee
## 6      IN      1 Caribou Coffee
## 7      KS      5 Caribou Coffee
## 8      MD      9 Caribou Coffee
## 9      MI     30 Caribou Coffee
## 10     MN    312 Caribou Coffee
```

```
head(pain, 10)
```

```
##      State Count  CompanyName
## 1      CT      8 Au Bon Pain
## 2      DC     21 Au Bon Pain
## 3      FL     23 Au Bon Pain
## 4      GA      3 Au Bon Pain
## 5      IL     32 Au Bon Pain
## 6      IN      8 Au Bon Pain
## 7      KY      1 Au Bon Pain
## 8      MA     67 Au Bon Pain
## 9      MD      9 Au Bon Pain
## 10     ME      1 Au Bon Pain
```



```
head(beanleaf,10)
```

```
##      State Count      CompanyName
## 1     AZ      19 The Coffee Bean & Tea Leaf
## 2     CA     175 The Coffee Bean & Tea Leaf
## 3     FL       1 The Coffee Bean & Tea Leaf
## 4     GA       2 The Coffee Bean & Tea Leaf
## 5     HI      22 The Coffee Bean & Tea Leaf
## 6     NC       1 The Coffee Bean & Tea Leaf
## 7     NV      23 The Coffee Bean & Tea Leaf
## 8     TX       5 The Coffee Bean & Tea Leaf
```

```
head(mcd, 10)
```

```
##      State Count CompanyName
## 1      AK      33 McDonald's
## 2      AL     279 McDonald's
## 3      AR     190 McDonald's
## 4      AZ     326 McDonald's
## 5      CA    1623 McDonald's
## 6      CO     237 McDonald's
## 7      CT     173 McDonald's
## 8      DC      37 McDonald's
## 9      DE      46 McDonald's
## 10     FL    1142 McDonald's
```

```
# Some non-United States locations were being aggregated since McDonald's is very
↪ popular. We will focus only on the United States Fast Food Locations
mcd <- mcd[-c(52, 53), ]
```

```
head(tim, 10)
```

```
##      State Count CompanyName
## 1      CT      10 Tim Hortons
## 2      DE       1 Tim Hortons
## 3      IN       5 Tim Hortons
## 4      KY       3 Tim Hortons
## 5      MA       5 Tim Hortons
## 6      ME      27 Tim Hortons
## 7      MI     191 Tim Hortons
## 8      MN       7 Tim Hortons
## 9      MO       1 Tim Hortons
## 10     NJ       2 Tim Hortons
```

4. Getting Population Data for the United States

```
populationURL <-
  "https://simple.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_U.S._states_by_population"
```

```

populationLink <-
  read_html(populationURL)

populationHtml <-
  html_nodes(populationLink, css="table")

populationData <-
  html_table(populationHtml[[1]])

populationDataDF <-
  populationData[-c(30, 53:56, 57:60),]

populationDataDF$State <-
  str_replace_all(
    populationDataDF$State,
    setNames(
      vapply(populationDataDF$State, function(s) {
        r <- stateabb(s)
        if (length(r) == 0) s else r[1]
      }, FUN.VALUE = character(1)),
      populationDataDF$State
    )
  )

populationDataDF

```

```

## # A tibble: 51 x 11
##   Rank in states & territ~1 Rank in states & ter~2 State Census population, A~3
##   <chr>                  <chr>                <chr> <chr>
## 1 1                      1                      CA    39,538,223
## 2 2                      2                      TX    30,145,505
## 3 3                      4                      FL    21,538,187
## 4 4                      3                      NY    20,201,249
## 5 5                      6                      PA    13,002,700
## 6 6                      5                      IL    12,812,508
## 7 7                      7                      OH    11,799,448
## 8 8                      9                      GA    10,711,908
## 9 9                     10                      NC    10,439,388
## 10 10                   8                      MI    10,077,331
## # i 41 more rows
## # i abbreviated names: 1: `Rank in states & territories, 2020`,
## #   2: `Rank in states & territories, 2010`,
## #   3: `Census population, April 1, 2020[1][2]`
## # i 7 more variables: `Census population, April 1, 2010[1][2]` <chr>,
## #   `Percent change, 2010-2020[note 1]` <chr>,
## #   `Absolute change, 2010-2020` <chr>, ...

```

```
populationDataDF$State
```

```

## [1] "CA"      "TX"      "FL"      "NY"      "PA"      "IL"      "OH"
## [8] "GA"      "NC"      "MI"      "NJ"      "VA"      "WA"      "AZ"
## [15] "MA"      "TN"      "IN"      "MD"      "MO"      "WI"      "CO"

```

```
## [22] "MN"      "SC"      "AL"      "LA"      "KY"      "OR"      "OK"
## [29] "CT"      "UT"      "IA"      "NV"      "AR"      "MS"      "KS"
## [36] "NM"      "NE"      "ID"      "West VA" "HI"      "NH"      "ME"
## [43] "RI"      "MT"      "DE"      "SD"      "ND"      "AK"      "DC"
## [50] "VT"      "WY"
```

Cleaning up the States inside the scraped data to ensure a clean join.

```
cleanPopulation <- function(x) {
  x <- trimws(x)
  out <- rep(NA_character_, length(x))

  lut <- setNames(
    c(state.abb, "DC", "WV"),
    c(state.name, "District of Columbia", "West VA")
  )

  idx <- match(x, names(lut))
  out[!is.na(idx)] <- lut[idx[!is.na(idx)]]

  valid_abbs <- c(state.abb, "DC")
  is_valid <- toupper(x) %in% valid_abbs
  out[is_valid] <- toupper(x[is_valid])

  drop_pr <- toupper(x) %in% c("Puerto Rico", "PR")
  out[drop_pr] <- NA_character_

  out
}
```

```
populationDataDF$State <- cleanPopulation(populationDataDF$State)
populationDataDF <- subset(populationDataDF, !is.na(State))
print(populationDataDF$State)
```

```
## [1] "CA" "TX" "FL" "NY" "PA" "IL" "OH" "GA" "NC" "MI" "NJ" "VA" "WA" "AZ" "MA"
## [16] "TN" "IN" "MD" "MO" "WI" "CO" "MN" "SC" "AL" "LA" "KY" "OR" "OK" "CT" "UT"
## [31] "IA" "NV" "AR" "MS" "KS" "NM" "NE" "ID" "WV" "HI" "NH" "ME" "RI" "MT" "DE"
## [46] "SD" "ND" "AK" "DC" "VT" "WY"
```

5. Joining all of the scraped dataframes with eachother

```
bigDataset <- rbind(mcD, starbs, beanleaf, pain, panera, peets, tim, caribou, dd)

bigDataset <-
  bigDataset %>%
  mutate(
    State = as.character(State)
  )

joined <-
```

```
full_join(bigDataset, populationDataDF, by = c("State" = "State"))

head(joined, 10)
```

```
##      State Count CompanyName Rank in states & territories, 2020
## 1      AK    33 McDonald's 49
## 2      AL   279 McDonald's 24
## 3      AR   190 McDonald's 34
## 4      AZ   326 McDonald's 14
## 5      CA  1623 McDonald's 1
## 6      CO   237 McDonald's 21
## 7      CT   173 McDonald's 29
## 8      DC    37 McDonald's 50
## 9      DE    46 McDonald's 46
## 10     FL  1142 McDonald's 3
##      Rank in states & territories, 2010 Census population, April 1, 2020[1][2]
## 1                                     48                                733,391
## 2                                     23                                5,024,279
## 3                                     33                                3,011,524
## 4                                     16                                7,151,502
## 5                                     1                                39,538,223
## 6                                     22                                5,773,714
## 7                                     30                                3,605,944
## 8                                     51                                689,545
## 9                                     46                                989,948
## 10                                    4                                21,538,187
##      Census population, April 1, 2010[1][2] Percent change, 2010-2020[note 1]
## 1                                     710,231                                3.3%
## 2                                     4,779,736                                5.1%
## 3                                     2,915,918                                3.3%
## 4                                     6,392,017                                11.9%
## 5                                    37,253,956                                6.1%
## 6                                     5,029,196                                14.8%
## 7                                     3,574,097                                0.9%
## 8                                     601,723                                14.6%
## 9                                     897,934                                10.2%
## 10                                    18,801,310                                14.6%
##      Absolute change, 2010-2020
## 1                                     +23,160
## 2                                     +244,543
## 3                                     +95,606
## 4                                     +759,485
## 5                                    +2,284,267
## 6                                     +744,518
## 7                                     +31,847
## 8                                     +87,822
## 9                                     +92,014
## 10                                    +2,736,877
##      Total seats in the U.S. House of Representatives, 2023-2033
## 1                                     1
## 2                                     7
## 3                                     4
## 4                                     9
## 5                                    52
```

```

## 6
## 7
## 8
## 9
## 10
## Census population per electoral vote[note 2]
## 1 244,464
## 2 558,253
## 3 501,921
## 4 650,137
## 5 732,189
## 6 577,371
## 7 515,135
## 8 229,848
## 9 329,983
## 10 717,940
## Census population per House seat
## 1 733,391
## 2 717,754
## 3 752,881
## 4 794,611
## 5 760,350
## 6 721,714
## 7 721,189
## 8 -
## 9 989,948
## 10 769,221
## Percent of the total U.S. population, 2020[note 3]
## 1 0.22%
## 2 1.50%
## 3 0.90%
## 4 2.13%
## 5 11.80%
## 6 1.72%
## 7 1.08%
## 8 0.21%
## 9 0.30%
## 10 6.43%

```

6. Getting the Financial Data

Now, let's use the `yfR` library to get the stock prices of the companies that we can.

- Unfortunately, Dunkin' Donuts, Panera Bread, Caribou Coffee, and Au Bon Pain are all owned by private brands now, so the stock data returns as null values.

```
table(joined$CompanyName)
```

```

##
## Au Bon Pain Caribou Coffee
## 22 20

```

```
##           Dunkin' Donuts           McDonald's
##           45                     51
##           Panera Bread           Peet's Coffee & Tea
##           46                     9
##           Starbucks Coffee The Coffee Bean & Tea Leaf
##           51                     8
##           Tim Hortons
##           16
```

```
library(yfR)

tickers <- c("MCD", "JDEP.AS", "SBUX", "JBFCF", "QSR")
raw <- yf_get(tickers = tickers, first_date = Sys.Date()-7, last_date = Sys.Date())
latest <- raw %>%
  slice_max(ref_date, n = 1) %>%
  transmute(Ticker = ticker, Price = price_adjusted)
latest
```

```
## # A tibble: 5 x 2
##   Ticker Price
##   <chr>   <dbl>
## 1 JBFCF    4.10
## 2 JDEP.AS  26.9
## 3 MCD     313.
## 4 QSR     63.8
## 5 SBUX    89.5
```

```
companyName_lookup <- tribble(
  ~CompanyName, ~Ticker,
  "Au Bon Pain", NA_character_, # private
  "Caribou Coffee", NA_character_, # private
  "Dunkin' Donuts", NA_character_, # private
  "McDonald's", "MCD",
  "Panera Bread", NA_character_, # private
  "Peet's Coffee & Tea", "JDEP.AS", # JDE Peet's (Euronext); US OTC alt:
  ↪ JDEPF
  "Starbucks Coffee", "SBUX",
  "The Coffee Bean & Tea Leaf", "JBFCF", # Jollibee (OTC US); primary: JFC.PS
  "Tim Hortons", "QSR" # Restaurant Brands International
)
```

```
stock_data <- full_join(companyName_lookup, latest, by = c("Ticker" = "Ticker"))
head(stock_data, 10)
```

```
## # A tibble: 9 x 3
##   CompanyName Ticker Price
##   <chr>       <chr>   <dbl>
## 1 Au Bon Pain <NA>     NA
## 2 Caribou Coffee <NA>     NA
## 3 Dunkin' Donuts <NA>     NA
## 4 McDonald's MCD      313.
## 5 Panera Bread <NA>     NA
```

```
## 6 Peet's Coffee & Tea      JDEP.AS  26.9
## 7 Starbucks Coffee         SBUX     89.5
## 8 The Coffee Bean & Tea Leaf JBFCF    4.10
## 9 Tim Hortons              QSR      63.8
```

Now, let's join the stock data to the population data.

```
populationStocks_fullDF <- full_join(stock_data, joined, by = c("CompanyName" =
  ↪ "CompanyName"))
# removing the nulls removes the privately owned corporations
populationStocks_noNullsDF <- full_join(stock_data, joined, by = c("CompanyName" =
  ↪ "CompanyName")) %>% drop_na()
```

7. Regional and Population Analysis

```
northeast <-
  c("CT", "ME", "MA", "NH", "RI", "VT", "NJ", "NY", "PA")
northeastTF <-
  populationStocks_fullDF$State %in% northeast

midwest <-
  c("IL", "IN", "MI", "OH", "WI", "IA", "KS", "MN", "MO", "NE", "ND", "SD")
midwestTF <-
  populationStocks_fullDF$State %in% midwest

south <-
  c("DE", "FL", "GA", "MD", "NC", "SC", "VA", "DC", "WV", "AL", "KY", "MS", "TN", "AR",
    ↪ "LA", "OK", "TX")
southTF <-
  populationStocks_fullDF$State %in% south

west <-
  c("AZ", "CO", "ID", "MT", "NV", "NM", "UT", "WY", "AK", "CA", "HI", "OR", "WA")
westTF <-
  populationStocks_fullDF$State %in% west

populationStocks_fullDF$Region <-
  as.factor(ifelse(northeastTF == TRUE, "Northeast",
    ifelse(midwestTF == TRUE, "Midwest",
      ifelse(southTF == TRUE, "South",
        ifelse(westTF == TRUE, "West", "NULL")
      )
    )
  )
)

head(populationStocks_fullDF, 10)
```

```
## # A tibble: 10 x 16
##   CompanyName Ticker Price State Count `Rank in states & territories, 2020`
```

```
##      <chr>      <chr> <dbl> <chr> <dbl> <chr>
## 1 Au Bon Pain <NA>    NA CT      8 29
## 2 Au Bon Pain <NA>    NA DC     21 50
## 3 Au Bon Pain <NA>    NA FL     23 3
## 4 Au Bon Pain <NA>    NA GA      3 8
## 5 Au Bon Pain <NA>    NA IL     32 6
## 6 Au Bon Pain <NA>    NA IN      8 17
## 7 Au Bon Pain <NA>    NA KY      1 26
## 8 Au Bon Pain <NA>    NA MA     67 15
## 9 Au Bon Pain <NA>    NA MD      9 18
## 10 Au Bon Pain <NA>   NA ME      1 43
## # i 10 more variables: `Rank in states & territories, 2010` <chr>,
## #   `Census population, April 1, 2020[1][2]` <chr>,
## #   `Census population, April 1, 2010[1][2]` <chr>,
## #   `Percent change, 2010-2020[note 1]` <chr>,
## #   `Absolute change, 2010-2020` <chr>,
## #   `Total seats in the U.S. House of Representatives, 2023-2033` <chr>,
## #   `Census population per electoral vote[note 2]` <chr>, ...
```

Q: What are the top chains by region?

```
#Northeast
populationStocks_fullDF %>%
  filter(Region=="Northeast") %>%
  group_by(Region, CompanyName, State) %>%
  summarize(Count) %>%
  arrange(desc(Count))
```

```
## # A tibble: 53 x 4
## # Groups:   Region, CompanyName [8]
##   Region    CompanyName      State Count
##   <fct>     <chr>         <chr> <dbl>
## 1 Northeast Dunkin' Donuts    MA     1101
## 2 Northeast Dunkin' Donuts    NY     1022
## 3 Northeast McDonald's        NY      811
## 4 Northeast McDonald's        PA      603
## 5 Northeast Starbucks Coffee NY      492
## 6 Northeast Dunkin' Donuts    NJ      477
## 7 Northeast Dunkin' Donuts    CT      406
## 8 Northeast Dunkin' Donuts    PA      402
## 9 Northeast McDonald's        NJ      335
## 10 Northeast McDonald's        MA      306
## # i 43 more rows
```

```
#Midwest
populationStocks_fullDF %>%
  filter(Region=="Midwest") %>%
  group_by(Region, CompanyName, State) %>%
  summarize(Count) %>%
  arrange(desc(Count))
```

```
## # A tibble: 70 x 4
```



```
## # Groups:   Region, CompanyName [8]
##   Region CompanyName      State Count
##   <fct>   <chr>         <chr> <dbl>
## 1 Midwest McDonald's      OH      843
## 2 Midwest McDonald's      IL      791
## 3 Midwest McDonald's      MI      662
## 4 Midwest Dunkin' Donuts   IL      579
## 5 Midwest Starbucks Coffee IL      455
## 6 Midwest McDonald's      IN      406
## 7 Midwest McDonald's      MO      396
## 8 Midwest McDonald's      WI      353
## 9 Midwest Caribou Coffee   MN      312
## 10 Midwest McDonald's     MN      305
## # i 60 more rows
```

```
#South
populationStocks_fullDF %>%
  filter(Region=="South") %>%
  group_by(Region, CompanyName, State) %>%
  summarize(Count) %>%
  arrange(desc(Count))
```

```
## # A tibble: 89 x 4
## # Groups:   Region, CompanyName [9]
##   Region CompanyName      State Count
##   <fct>   <chr>         <chr> <dbl>
## 1 South  McDonald's      TX     1303
## 2 South  McDonald's      FL     1142
## 3 South  Starbucks Coffee TX      720
## 4 South  Dunkin' Donuts   FL     654
## 5 South  Starbucks Coffee FL     616
## 6 South  McDonald's      GA     563
## 7 South  McDonald's      NC     475
## 8 South  McDonald's      VA     473
## 9 South  McDonald's      TN     409
## 10 South McDonald's      MD     402
## # i 79 more rows
```

```
#West
populationStocks_fullDF %>%
  filter(Region=="West") %>%
  group_by(Region, CompanyName, State) %>%
  summarize(Count) %>%
  arrange(desc(Count))
```

```
## # A tibble: 56 x 4
## # Groups:   Region, CompanyName [8]
##   Region CompanyName      State Count
##   <fct>   <chr>         <chr> <dbl>
## 1 West   Starbucks Coffee CA     2362
## 2 West   McDonald's      CA     1623
## 3 West   Starbucks Coffee WA      634
## 4 West   Starbucks Coffee CO      371
```

```
## 5 West McDonald's AZ 326
## 6 West McDonald's WA 326
## 7 West Starbucks Coffee AZ 279
## 8 West Starbucks Coffee OR 279
## 9 West McDonald's CO 237
## 10 West Panera Bread CA 216
## # i 46 more rows
```

Q: Are some of these chains more prevalent in certain states than others? Possibly despite having less stores overall? Same questions for regions instead of states.

```
top_states <- populationStocks_fullDF %>%
  group_by(CompanyName, State) %>%
  summarise(stores = sum(Count), .groups = "drop_last") %>%
  mutate(share_of_chain = stores / sum(stores)) %>% # within-chain share
  group_by(CompanyName) %>%
  slice_max(share_of_chain, n = 5, with_ties = FALSE) %>%
  arrange(CompanyName, desc(share_of_chain))

top_states
```

```
## # A tibble: 45 x 4
## # Groups:   CompanyName [9]
##   CompanyName State stores share_of_chain
##   <chr>      <chr> <dbl>      <dbl>
## 1 Au Bon Pain MA      67      0.202
## 2 Au Bon Pain NY      58      0.175
## 3 Au Bon Pain IL      32      0.0964
## 4 Au Bon Pain PA      28      0.0843
## 5 Au Bon Pain FL      23      0.0693
## 6 Caribou Coffee MN    312      0.501
## 7 Caribou Coffee IL     81      0.130
## 8 Caribou Coffee OH     46      0.0738
## 9 Caribou Coffee MI     30      0.0482
## 10 Caribou Coffee NC     26      0.0417
## # i 35 more rows
```

```
most_prevalent_chains_states <- top_states %>%
  group_by(CompanyName) %>% group_split()

most_prevalent_chains_states
```

```
## <list_of<
##   tbl_df<
##     CompanyName : character
##     State       : character
##     stores       : double
##     share_of_chain: double
##   >
## >[9]>
```

```

## [[1]]
## # A tibble: 5 x 4
##   CompanyName State stores share_of_chain
##   <chr>      <chr> <dbl>      <dbl>
## 1 Au Bon Pain MA      67      0.202
## 2 Au Bon Pain NY      58      0.175
## 3 Au Bon Pain IL      32      0.0964
## 4 Au Bon Pain PA      28      0.0843
## 5 Au Bon Pain FL      23      0.0693
##
## [[2]]
## # A tibble: 5 x 4
##   CompanyName State stores share_of_chain
##   <chr>      <chr> <dbl>      <dbl>
## 1 Caribou Coffee MN    312      0.501
## 2 Caribou Coffee IL     81      0.130
## 3 Caribou Coffee OH     46      0.0738
## 4 Caribou Coffee MI     30      0.0482
## 5 Caribou Coffee NC     26      0.0417
##
## [[3]]
## # A tibble: 5 x 4
##   CompanyName State stores share_of_chain
##   <chr>      <chr> <dbl>      <dbl>
## 1 Dunkin' Donuts MA    1101      0.170
## 2 Dunkin' Donuts NY   1022      0.158
## 3 Dunkin' Donuts FL     654      0.101
## 4 Dunkin' Donuts IL     579      0.0893
## 5 Dunkin' Donuts NJ     477      0.0736
##
## [[4]]
## # A tibble: 5 x 4
##   CompanyName State stores share_of_chain
##   <chr>      <chr> <dbl>      <dbl>
## 1 McDonald's CA    1623      0.0967
## 2 McDonald's TX    1303      0.0776
## 3 McDonald's FL    1142      0.0681
## 4 McDonald's OH     843      0.0502
## 5 McDonald's NY     811      0.0483
##
## [[5]]
## # A tibble: 5 x 4
##   CompanyName State stores share_of_chain
##   <chr>      <chr> <dbl>      <dbl>
## 1 Panera Bread FL     227      0.0955
## 2 Panera Bread CA     216      0.0908
## 3 Panera Bread OH     170      0.0715
## 4 Panera Bread IL     154      0.0648
## 5 Panera Bread NY     138      0.0580
##
## [[6]]
## # A tibble: 5 x 4
##   CompanyName State stores share_of_chain
##   <chr>      <chr> <dbl>      <dbl>
## 1 Peet's Coffee & Tea CA     163      0.827

```

```

## 2 Peet's Coffee & Tea WA      14      0.0711
## 3 Peet's Coffee & Tea OR       8      0.0406
## 4 Peet's Coffee & Tea CO       3      0.0152
## 5 Peet's Coffee & Tea IL       3      0.0152
##
## [[7]]
## # A tibble: 5 x 4
##   CompanyName      State stores share_of_chain
##   <chr>          <chr>   <dbl>         <dbl>
## 1 Starbucks Coffee CA      2362      0.229
## 2 Starbucks Coffee TX       720      0.0699
## 3 Starbucks Coffee WA       634      0.0616
## 4 Starbucks Coffee FL       616      0.0598
## 5 Starbucks Coffee NY       492      0.0478
##
## [[8]]
## # A tibble: 5 x 4
##   CompanyName      State stores share_of_chain
##   <chr>          <chr>   <dbl>         <dbl>
## 1 The Coffee Bean & Tea Leaf CA      175      0.706
## 2 The Coffee Bean & Tea Leaf NV       23      0.0927
## 3 The Coffee Bean & Tea Leaf HI       22      0.0887
## 4 The Coffee Bean & Tea Leaf AZ       19      0.0766
## 5 The Coffee Bean & Tea Leaf TX        5      0.0202
##
## [[9]]
## # A tibble: 5 x 4
##   CompanyName      State stores share_of_chain
##   <chr>          <chr>   <dbl>         <dbl>
## 1 Tim Hortons MI       191      0.382
## 2 Tim Hortons OH       105      0.21
## 3 Tim Hortons NY       100      0.2
## 4 Tim Hortons ME        27      0.054
## 5 Tim Hortons RI        26      0.052

```

Here is each company's top 5 states by share of each company's total U.S. stores

- Au Bon Pain shows strong Northeast concentration with Massachusetts (20.2%) and New York (17.5%)
- Caribou Coffee demonstrates extreme regional focus with Minnesota alone accounting for 50.1% of all locations
- Dunkin' Donuts maintains Northeast dominance across Massachusetts (17.0%) and New York (15.8%)
- McDonald's shows more geographic distribution with California (9.7%) and Texas (7.8%) leading
- Panera Bread has relatively even distribution with Florida (9.6%) and California (9.1%) at the top
- Peet's Coffee & Tea exhibits extreme California concentration at 82.7%
- Starbucks shows California preference (22.9%) but more geographic spread
- The Coffee Bean & Tea Leaf is heavily California-focused (70.6%)
- Tim Hortons concentrates in Michigan (38.2%) and Ohio (21.0%), reflecting its Canadian heritage in border states

```

top_regions <- populationStocks_fullDF %>%
  group_by(CompanyName, Region) %>%
  summarise(stores = sum(Count), .groups = "drop_last") %>%
  mutate(share_of_chain = stores / sum(stores)) %>%
  group_by(CompanyName) %>%
  slice_max(share_of_chain, n = 3, with_ties = FALSE) %>%
  arrange(CompanyName, desc(share_of_chain))

top_regions

```

```

## # A tibble: 26 x 4
## # Groups:   CompanyName [9]
##   CompanyName Region stores share_of_chain
##   <chr>      <fct>    <dbl>      <dbl>
## 1 Au Bon Pain Northeast  184      0.554
## 2 Au Bon Pain South      83      0.25
## 3 Au Bon Pain Midwest    64      0.193
## 4 Caribou Coffee Midwest  520      0.835
## 5 Caribou Coffee South    87      0.140
## 6 Caribou Coffee West    10      0.0161
## 7 Dunkin' Donuts Northeast 3871      0.597
## 8 Dunkin' Donuts South    1512      0.233
## 9 Dunkin' Donuts Midwest   929      0.143
## 10 McDonald's South    6643      0.396
## # i 16 more rows

```

```

most_prevalent_chains_regions <- top_regions %>%
  group_by(CompanyName) %>% group_split()

most_prevalent_chains_regions

```

```

## <list_of<
##   tbl_df<
##     CompanyName : character
##     Region       : factor<06deb>
##     stores       : double
##     share_of_chain: double
##   >
## >[9]>
## [[1]]
## # A tibble: 3 x 4
##   CompanyName Region stores share_of_chain
##   <chr>      <fct>    <dbl>      <dbl>
## 1 Au Bon Pain Northeast  184      0.554
## 2 Au Bon Pain South      83      0.25
## 3 Au Bon Pain Midwest    64      0.193
##
## [[2]]
## # A tibble: 3 x 4
##   CompanyName Region stores share_of_chain
##   <chr>      <fct>    <dbl>      <dbl>
## 1 Caribou Coffee Midwest  520      0.835
## 2 Caribou Coffee South    87      0.140

```

```

## 3 Caribou Coffee West      10      0.0161
##
## [[3]]
## # A tibble: 3 x 4
##   CompanyName Region stores share_of_chain
##   <chr>      <fct>   <dbl>     <dbl>
## 1 Dunkin' Donuts Northeast  3871      0.597
## 2 Dunkin' Donuts South    1512      0.233
## 3 Dunkin' Donuts Midwest   929      0.143
##
## [[4]]
## # A tibble: 3 x 4
##   CompanyName Region stores share_of_chain
##   <chr>      <fct>   <dbl>     <dbl>
## 1 McDonald's South    6643      0.396
## 2 McDonald's Midwest  4280      0.255
## 3 McDonald's West    3411      0.203
##
## [[5]]
## # A tibble: 3 x 4
##   CompanyName Region stores share_of_chain
##   <chr>      <fct>   <dbl>     <dbl>
## 1 Panera Bread South     809      0.340
## 2 Panera Bread Midwest   748      0.315
## 3 Panera Bread Northeast  498      0.209
##
## [[6]]
## # A tibble: 3 x 4
##   CompanyName Region stores share_of_chain
##   <chr>      <fct>   <dbl>     <dbl>
## 1 Peet's Coffee & Tea West    190      0.964
## 2 Peet's Coffee & Tea Midwest   3      0.0152
## 3 Peet's Coffee & Tea South     3      0.0152
##
## [[7]]
## # A tibble: 3 x 4
##   CompanyName Region stores share_of_chain
##   <chr>      <fct>   <dbl>     <dbl>
## 1 Starbucks Coffee West    4414      0.429
## 2 Starbucks Coffee South   2909      0.283
## 3 Starbucks Coffee Midwest  1660      0.161
##
## [[8]]
## # A tibble: 2 x 4
##   CompanyName Region stores share_of_chain
##   <chr>      <fct>   <dbl>     <dbl>
## 1 The Coffee Bean & Tea Leaf West    239      0.964
## 2 The Coffee Bean & Tea Leaf South     9      0.0363
##
## [[9]]
## # A tibble: 3 x 4
##   CompanyName Region stores share_of_chain
##   <chr>      <fct>   <dbl>     <dbl>
## 1 Tim Hortons Midwest    309      0.618
## 2 Tim Hortons Northeast  179      0.358

```

```
## 3 Tim Hortons South      12      0.024
```

Now, when we looking at the top regions, many of these chains appear to be very concentrated in their area

- Au Bon Pain is Northeast-focused (55.4%)
- Caribou Coffee heavily concentrates in the Midwest (83.5%)
- Dunkin' Donuts dominates the Northeast (59.7%)
- McDonald's shows the most even distribution with slight South preference (39.6%)
- Panera Bread favors the South (34.0%) and Midwest (31.5%)
- Peet's Coffee & Tea is almost exclusively Western (96.4%)
- Starbucks leads in the West (42.9%) but maintains significant presence across regions
- The Coffee Bean & Tea Leaf is nearly exclusively Western (96.4%)
- Tim Hortons concentrates in the Midwest (61.8%) and Northeast (35.8%)

```
state_density <- populationStocks_fullDF %>%
  mutate(
    pop_2020 = as.numeric(gsub("[^0-9.]", "", `Census population, April 1, 2020[1][2]`)),
    Count    = as.numeric(Count)
  ) %>%
  group_by(CompanyName, State) %>%
  summarise(
    stores    = sum(Count, na.rm = TRUE),
    pop_2020  = first(pop_2020),
    .groups   = "drop"
  ) %>%
  mutate(stores_per_100K = stores / pop_2020 * 1e5) %>%
  group_by(CompanyName) %>%
  slice_max(stores_per_100K, n = 5, with_ties = FALSE) %>%
  arrange(CompanyName, desc(stores_per_100K))

state_density
```

```
## # A tibble: 45 x 5
## # Groups:   CompanyName [9]
##   CompanyName State stores pop_2020 stores_per_100K
##   <chr>      <chr> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl>
## 1 Au Bon Pain DC      21  689545 3.05
## 2 Au Bon Pain MA      67  7029917 0.953
## 3 Au Bon Pain RI       6  1097379 0.547
## 4 Au Bon Pain NH       6  1377529 0.436
## 5 Au Bon Pain NY      58  20201249 0.287
## 6 Caribou Coffee MN    312  5706494 5.47
## 7 Caribou Coffee DC     8  689545 1.16
## 8 Caribou Coffee ND     7  779094 0.898
## 9 Caribou Coffee IL    81  12812508 0.632
## 10 Caribou Coffee SD     4  886667 0.451
## # i 35 more rows
```

```
pop_density_by_company <- state_density %>%
  group_by(CompanyName) %>% group_split()
```

```
pop_density_by_company
```

```
## <list_of<
##   tbl_df<
##     CompanyName : character
##     State       : character
##     stores      : double
##     pop_2020    : double
##     stores_per_100K: double
##   >
## >[9]>
## [[1]]
## # A tibble: 5 x 5
##   CompanyName State stores pop_2020 stores_per_100K
##   <chr>      <chr> <dbl>    <dbl>      <dbl>
## 1 Au Bon Pain DC      21  689545      3.05
## 2 Au Bon Pain MA      67 7029917     0.953
## 3 Au Bon Pain RI       6 1097379     0.547
## 4 Au Bon Pain NH       6 1377529     0.436
## 5 Au Bon Pain NY      58 20201249    0.287
##
## [[2]]
## # A tibble: 5 x 5
##   CompanyName State stores pop_2020 stores_per_100K
##   <chr>      <chr> <dbl>    <dbl>      <dbl>
## 1 Caribou Coffee MN      312 5706494     5.47
## 2 Caribou Coffee DC       8  689545     1.16
## 3 Caribou Coffee ND       7  779094     0.898
## 4 Caribou Coffee IL      81 12812508    0.632
## 5 Caribou Coffee SD       4  886667     0.451
##
## [[3]]
## # A tibble: 5 x 5
##   CompanyName State stores pop_2020 stores_per_100K
##   <chr>      <chr> <dbl>    <dbl>      <dbl>
## 1 Dunkin' Donuts MA     1101 7029917    15.7
## 2 Dunkin' Donuts NH     185 1377529    13.4
## 3 Dunkin' Donuts RI     142 1097379    12.9
## 4 Dunkin' Donuts CT     406 3605944    11.3
## 5 Dunkin' Donuts ME     102 1362359     7.49
##
## [[4]]
## # A tibble: 5 x 5
##   CompanyName State stores pop_2020 stores_per_100K
##   <chr>      <chr> <dbl>    <dbl>      <dbl>
## 1 McDonald's OH      843 11799448     7.14
## 2 McDonald's MI     662 10077331     6.57
## 3 McDonald's MD     402  6177224     6.51
## 4 McDonald's MO     396  6154913     6.43
## 5 McDonald's KS     188  2937880     6.40
##
```



```
## [[5]]
## # A tibble: 5 x 5
##   CompanyName State stores pop_2020 stores_per_100K
##   <chr>      <chr> <dbl>   <dbl>         <dbl>
## 1 Panera Bread MO          91  6154913         1.48
## 2 Panera Bread OH         170 11799448         1.44
## 3 Panera Bread IL         154 12812508         1.20
## 4 Panera Bread CT          41  3605944         1.14
## 5 Panera Bread VA          98  8631393         1.14
##
## [[6]]
## # A tibble: 5 x 5
##   CompanyName State stores pop_2020 stores_per_100K
##   <chr>      <chr> <dbl>   <dbl>         <dbl>
## 1 Peet's Coffee & Tea CA         163 39538223         0.412
## 2 Peet's Coffee & Tea OR          8  4237256         0.189
## 3 Peet's Coffee & Tea WA         14  7705281         0.182
## 4 Peet's Coffee & Tea HI          1  1455271         0.0687
## 5 Peet's Coffee & Tea CO          3  5773714         0.0520
##
## [[7]]
## # A tibble: 5 x 5
##   CompanyName State stores pop_2020 stores_per_100K
##   <chr>      <chr> <dbl>   <dbl>         <dbl>
## 1 Starbucks Coffee DC          72  689545         10.4
## 2 Starbucks Coffee WA         634  7705281          8.23
## 3 Starbucks Coffee OR         279  4237256          6.58
## 4 Starbucks Coffee CO         371  5773714          6.43
## 5 Starbucks Coffee NV         188  3104614          6.06
##
## [[8]]
## # A tibble: 5 x 5
##   CompanyName State stores pop_2020 stores_per_100K
##   <chr>      <chr> <dbl>   <dbl>         <dbl>
## 1 The Coffee Bean & Tea Leaf HI          22  1455271          1.51
## 2 The Coffee Bean & Tea Leaf NV          23  3104614          0.741
## 3 The Coffee Bean & Tea Leaf CA         175  39538223          0.443
## 4 The Coffee Bean & Tea Leaf AZ          19  7151502          0.266
## 5 The Coffee Bean & Tea Leaf GA          2  10711908          0.0187
##
## [[9]]
## # A tibble: 5 x 5
##   CompanyName State stores pop_2020 stores_per_100K
##   <chr>      <chr> <dbl>   <dbl>         <dbl>
## 1 Tim Hortons RI          26  1097379          2.37
## 2 Tim Hortons ME          27  1362359          1.98
## 3 Tim Hortons MI         191 10077331          1.90
## 4 Tim Hortons OH         105 11799448          0.890
## 5 Tim Hortons NY         100 20201249          0.495
```

Here, I calculated stores per 100,000 population to identify where chains achieve highest market exposure relative to local population.

- Au Bon Pain achieves remarkable density in Washington DC (3.05 stores per 100K)
- Caribou Coffee shows exceptional penetration in Minnesota (5.47 per 100K)

- Dunkin' Donuts demonstrates extraordinary Northeast density with Massachusetts leading at 15.7 per 100K
- McDonald's shows highest density in Ohio (7.14 per 100K), Panera Bread peaks in Missouri (1.48 per 100K)
- Peet's Coffee & Tea maintains modest California density (0.41 per 100K)
- Starbucks achieves highest density in Washington DC (10.4 per 100K)
- The Coffee Bean & Tea Leaf shows strongest penetration in Hawaii (1.51 per 100K)
- Tim Hortons achieves highest density in Rhode Island (2.37 per 100K)

Several brands are regional specialists (Peet's and Coffee Bean in the West, Caribou in the Upper Midwest, Au Bon Pain and Dunkin' in the Northeast), while McDonald's and Starbucks are broadly national. When ranking while adjusted for population, small states and D.C. draw different results—e.g., Dunkin' in MA, Caribou in MN, and Starbucks/Au Bon Pain in DC—proving deep local penetration can matter more than raw store counts. Overall, the data highlights home-market advantages and urban concentration (notably DC), confirming that some chains are far more prevalent in specific states and regions even if they don't have the most locations overall.

8. Financial Analysis

Q: Do the financial data match what you'd expect based on the number and locations (footprint) of the stores? Why or why not?

```
financial_check <- populationStocks_fullDF %>%
  mutate(Price = suppressWarnings(as.numeric(Price))) %>%
  group_by(CompanyName) %>%
  summarise(
    total_stores = sum(as.numeric(Count), na.rm = TRUE),
    stock_price = median(Price, na.rm = TRUE), # robust per company
    states_present = n_distinct(State[State %in% state.abb]),
    .groups = "drop"
  ) %>%
  filter(!is.na(stock_price)) %>% # public only
  mutate(
    footprint = total_stores * log1p(states_present), # use logs to respond to skewness
    # of states_present, attempt to "dampen" the spread
    store_rank = dense_rank(desc(total_stores)),
    footprint_rank = dense_rank(desc(footprint)),
    price_rank = dense_rank(desc(stock_price))
  )

# How aligned are price and footprint among the public names?
spearman_r <- suppressWarnings(cor(financial_check$stock_price,
                                   financial_check$footprint,
                                   method = "spearman",
                                   use = "complete.obs"))

spearman_r
```

```
## [1] 0.9
```

```
financial_check
```

```
## # A tibble: 5 x 8
##   CompanyName      total_stores stock_price states_present footprint store_rank
##   <chr>          <dbl>      <dbl>      <int>      <dbl>      <int>
## 1 McDonald's      16781      313.         50      65980.         1
## 2 Peet's Coffee & ~    197      26.9         9       454.         5
## 3 Starbucks Coffee  10294      89.5         50     40474.         2
## 4 The Coffee Bean ~   248       4.10         8       545.         4
## 5 Tim Hortons      500      63.8        16     1417.         3
## # i 2 more variables: footprint_rank <int>, price_rank <int>
```

It looks like the financial data does match what I expect for the most part based on the number and locations (footprint), with some caveats.

- Price broadly matches footprint for the big three — McDonald's (Ranks 1/1/1), Starbucks (2/2/2), Tim Hortons (3/3/3).
- Peet's looks slightly rich (price 4 vs. footprint 5); Coffee Bean slightly cheap (5 vs. 4)
- Some caveats are that per-share price isn't comparable across firms; tickers reflect global, multi-brand parents, while counts are U.S. store brand-level
- I think that store scale helps explain valuation, but unit economics, franchise mix, and growth drive the true differences.

Next steps (better test): Scrape data related to the USD market cap/EV to a global footprint or revenue/margin proxy and incorporate into analysis