### Back

## Variational autoencoders

Quiz, 8 questions

8/8 points (100%)

# Congratulations! You passed!

Next Item

Question 1

# Correct

1/1 point

# 1. Question 1

Which of the following estimates are unbiased?

✓

 $X^-=1N\sum Ni=1Xi,Xi\sim pi.i.d.$  for EX.

## Correct

## V

 $f\left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} X_i \right), \enspace X_i \operatorname{Voverset}\{i.i.d.\}$ 

## Correct

For a linear function,  $f\left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} X_i \right) = \frac{1}{N} \times \frac{1}{N} \times$ 

 $f\left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} X_i \right), \enspace X_i \left(\frac{1}{N} \right) pf(N) \\ \sum_{i=1}^{N} X_i \right), X_i \sim_{i.i.d.} p \text{ for } Ef(X), \text{ where } f \text{ is not linear.}$ 

## **Un-selected is correct**

Question 2

# Correct

1/1 point

# 2. Question 2

In which of the following scenarios probabilistic model has a tractable density function p(x)p(x), i.e. it is computationally easy to compute the density at any point xx?

 $\square$  xx is an observable variable in an arbitrary latent variable model p(x,z)=p(x|z)p(z) Un-selected is correct

xx is defined by a smooth transformation  $f:Rd \rightarrow Rd$  of a random vector  $z \sim N(0,I): x=f(\varepsilon)$ .

#### Un-selected is correct

## $\overline{\mathbf{v}}$

Distribution is defined according to the chain rule  $p(X) = \prod Di = 1$   $p_i(x_i|x_1,...,x_{i-1})$ ,  $X = (x_1, \cdot dots, x_D)X = (x_1,...,x_D)$  with tractable conditional distributions.

### Correct

Indeed in this case we can always compute the density using the chain rule.

Question 3

# Correct

1 / 1 point

# 3. Question 3

Consider two different choices for the family of variational distributions for training a VAE:

- 1)  $q(z_i|x_i,w)=N(z_i|\mu(x_i,w),\mathrm{diag}(\sigma_2(x_i,w)))$ , where  $\mu(\cdot,w)$  and  $\beta(\cdot,w)$  are deep neural networks with parameters  $\beta(\cdot,w)$  are deep neural networks with parameters  $\beta(\cdot,w)$ .
- 2) For each  $x_ix_i$  the approximate posterior distribution over latent variable  $z_iz_i$  is defined individually as a Gaussian distribution  $q_i(z_i|x_i,\mu_i,\sigma_i)=N(z_i|\mu_i,\mathrm{diag}(\sigma_{2i}))$ .

In which case, you would expect to get higher (better) variational lower bound on the training set? What about the test set?

0

2 is better for both training and test sets.

## Correct

Yes! The more flexible the variational family is, the closer it approximates the posterior. And good posterior distribution approximation is beneficial both for the training and test performance (i.e. you should not overfit because of approximating the posterior more accurately).

However, on practice we prefer option 1 since it has much fewer parameters (only one neural network instead of a bunch of parameters per each object) and simplify working with new objects (with option 1 you can easily obtain the variational distribution of a new object, while with option 2 you should first find the parameters  $\mbox{\em mu}$ ,  $\mbox{\em sigma}\mu$ ,  $\sigma$  by solving an optimization problem).

0
1 is better on the training set, 2 is better on the test set.
0
2 is better on the training set, 1 is better on the test set.
0
1 is better for both training and test sets.
Question 4
Correct
1 / 1 point
4. Question 4
Suppose the class of the approximate posterior distributions is flexible enough to capture any distribution. The evidence lower bound is known to achieve the optimal value with respect to the variational distribution when the variational distribution coincides with the true posterior distribution $q(z x,w)=p(z x)$ . Imagine that you train VAE by optimizing the following loss: $\sum_i E_{q(z xi,w)} \log[p(xi z)p(z)]$ , which is the usual variational lower bound $\sum_i E_{q(z xi,w)} \log p(xi z) - \mathrm{KL}(q(z xi,w)  p(z))$ without the entropy term $E_{q(z xi,w)} \log q(z xi,w)$ . Which variational distribution you will obtain after training?
0
None of the above.
0
True posterior distribution $p(z x)$ .
0
A delta function concentrated in the mode of the posterior $\underset{\sim}{\operatorname{argmax}} p(z x)$ .
0
A delta function concentrated in the mode of the joint distribution $\text{text}\{argmax\}\_z\ p(z,x)argmax_z\ p(z,x)$ .

## Correct

Indeed, without the entropy term, nothing will stop the variational distribution from collapsing to a delta function that maximizes the objective. If there are multiple modes, the variational distribution can become a mixture of them.

# Question 5

# Correct

1/1 point

# 5. Question 5

Suppose that a random variable $z_jz_j$ does not contribute to the value of decoder. That is, no matter what the value $z_jz_j$ takes, the output of decoder is the same. What will be the distribution $q(z_j x,w)$ after training?
0
Distribution $q(z_j x,w)$ will not change during the training.
0
The component will be distributed according to the prior distribution $N(z_j 0,1)$
Correct Indeed, since in this case the reconstruction term of the loss doesn't depend on the distribution $q(z_j x,w)$ , the KL term will push the distribution closer and closer to the prior.
0
None of the above.
Question 6
Correct
1/1
6. Question 6
Recall the log derivative trick (or as it is sometimes called, REINFORCE algorithm). It estimates the gradient $\nabla_w E_{q(z w)} f(z)$ by using the following derivation $\nabla_w E_{q(z w)} f(z) = \int \nabla_w q(z w) f(z) dz = \int_{q(z w)} q(z w) \nabla_w q(z w) f(z) dz = E_{q(z w)} \nabla_w \log q(z w) f(z)$ and then builds an unbiased estimate $E_{q(z w)} \nabla_w \log q(z w) f(z) \approx \nabla_w \log q(z^* w) f(z^*)$ , where $z^* \sim q(z w)$ . Which conditions are necessary for the log derivative trick to work?
One has to represent the variational approximation $q(z w)$ with a function $gg$ and a random variable $\varepsilon$ such that $z=g(\varepsilon,w)$ .
Un-selected is correct
П

Density function q(z|w) must be differentiable with respect to the random variable value zz.

## **Un-selected** is correct



Density function q(z|w) must be differentiable with respect to the distribution parameters ww.

## Correct

Since  $\nabla_w \log q(z|w)$  appears in the final expression, the density should be differentiable w.r.t. ww. But this is a very mild condition, and log derivative trick can be applied almost to any model (the price to pay is the high variance of this method).

Function f(z)f(z) should be differentiable w.r.t. zz.

## **Un-selected** is correct

Question 7

# Correct

1/1 point

# 7. Question 7

Recall the derivation of the reparametrization trick. It estimates the gradient  $\nabla_w E_{q(z|w)} f(z)$  by introducing a function gg such that  $g(\varepsilon,w)$  is distributed according to q(z|w) where the distribution of  $\varepsilon$  doesn't depend on parameters ww.

Then,  $\nabla_w \mathbf{E}_{q(z|w)} f(z) = \nabla_w \mathbf{E}_{p(\varepsilon)} f(g(\varepsilon,w)) = \mathbf{E}_{p(\varepsilon)} \nabla_w f(g(\varepsilon,w))$  which can be easily estimated in an unbiased way as  $\nabla_w f(g(\varepsilon,w))$  where  $\varepsilon \sim p(\varepsilon)$ .

Which conditions are necessary for the reparametrization trick to work?

 $\overline{\mathbf{v}}$ 

One has to represent the variational approximation q(z|w) with a function gg and a random variable  $\varepsilon$  such that  $z=g(\varepsilon,w)$ .

## Correct

This is the first step of the reparameterization trick.

Density function q(z|w) must be differentiable with respect to the random variable value zz.

## **Un-selected is correct**



 $g(\varepsilon, w)$  must be differentiable with respect to ww.

## Correct

Yes, because this derivative is used in the final expression  $\nabla_w f(g(\varepsilon, w))$ 

✓

Function f(z)f(z) should be differentiable w.r.t. zz.

## Correct

Yes, because the final expression  $\nabla_w f(g(\varepsilon^{\hat{}},w))$  includes the derivate of ff. This means that the reparameterization trick is not applicable to discrete latent variables.

Question 8

# Correct

1/1 point

# 8. Question 8

Which of the following distribution families can be used in the reparametrization trick?

✓

Multivariate normal distribution with full convariance matrix.

#### Correct

 $z=g(\varepsilon,\mu,\Sigma)=\Sigma_{0.5}\varepsilon+\mu$ , where  $\varepsilon$  follows standard normal distribution and  $\Sigma_{0.5}$  is the square root of the matrix  $\Sigma$ , i.e. a matrix BB such that  $BB=\Sigma$ . Latent variable zz expressed this way follows  $z\sim N(\mu,\Sigma)$ .

Note that  $g(\varepsilon,\mu,\Sigma)$  is differentiable w.r.t. both the parameter-vector  $\backslash \mu$  and the parameter-matrix  $\Sigma$ .

П

Bernoulli distribution.

## **Un-selected** is correct

✓

Multivariate normal distribution with diagonal covariance matrix.

### Correct

 $z=g(\varepsilon,\mu,\sigma)=\varepsilon\odot\sigma+\mu$ , where  $\varepsilon$  follows standard normal distribution and  $\odot$  is element-wise multiplication. Latent variable zz expressed this way follows  $z\sim N(\mu,\mathrm{diag}(\sigma_2))$ . Note that  $g(\varepsilon,\mu,\sigma)$  is differentiable w.r.t. both parameter-vectors  $\mathrm{mu}\mu$  and  $\mathrm{sigma}\sigma$ .