

# The Algebraic Properties of Formal Group Laws

Shay Ben Moshe

20/06/2017

## 1 Motivation

Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field. We can look at  $k^*$ , it has the structure of an algebraic group, given by a map  $k^* \times k^* \rightarrow k^*$ ,  $(a, b) \mapsto ab$ . We know that  $k^* = \text{Spec}(k[x, x^{-1}])$ , by the identification which sends  $a \in k^*$  to  $\mathfrak{m}_a = (x - a)$  (which evaluate an element at  $a$ ). Under the (contravariant) spectrum functor, the multiplication map comes from a map  $k[z, z^{-1}] \rightarrow k[x, x^{-1}] \otimes k[y, y^{-1}] = k[x, x^{-1}, y, y^{-1}]$ ,  $z \mapsto xy$ .

In much the same way that the Lie algebra corresponding to a Lie group, studies a neighborhood of the identity, up to first order, we will study functions near the identity up to any order. In our case, the identity is  $\mathfrak{m}_1$ . Thus, to study functions on  $k^*$  up to  $n$ -th order, we should look at  $k[x, x^{-1}] / \mathfrak{m}_1^n$ , and to study them up to any order, we should take the completion by this ideal.

To compute the completion, it is convenient to change variables  $s = x - 1$ , so that  $k[x, x^{-1}] = k[s, (s + 1)^{-1}]$  and  $\mathfrak{m}_1 = (s)$ , thus completion is  $k[[s]]$ . Also the multiplication after change of variables and completion becomes  $k[[t]] \rightarrow k[[s, u]]$ ,  $t + 1 \mapsto (s + 1)(u + 1)$  which is the same as  $t \mapsto su + s + u$ . This map is specified by where it maps  $t \in k[[t]]$ , that is to say, near the identity the multiplication is specified by an element of  $k[[s, u]]$  which is  $su + s + u$ , called the *multiplicative formal group law*. Note that 0 is a neutral element, and that the law is associative and commutative (since the operation satisfied these properties to begin with.)

In what follows, we axiomatize the resulting structure, similarly to the axiomatization of Lie algebras.

## 2 Introduction

**Definition.** Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with unit. A (commutative one-dimensional) *formal group law* over  $R$  is an element  $F(x, y) \in R[[x, y]]$ , such that:

1.  $F(x, 0) = x = F(0, x)$
2.  $F(F(x, y), z) = F(x, F(y, z))$  (associativity)
3.  $F(x, y) = F(y, x)$  (commutativity)

We will denote the *set of formal group laws* over a ring  $R$  by  $\text{FGL}(R)$ .

*Example.* The additive formal group law,  $F_a(x, y) = x + y$ .

*Example.* The multiplicative formal group law,  $F_m(x, y) = x + y + uxy$  for some unit  $u \in R$ , and specifically  $F_m(x, y) = x + y + xy$ .

**Lemma.**  $p(x) \in R[[x]]$  is (multiplicatively) invertible if and only if  $p(0) \in R$  is invertible.

*Proof.* Let  $p(x) = \sum a_n x^n$ , and assume  $q(x) = \sum b_n x^n \in R[[x]]$  is an inverse to  $p$ , i.e.  $pq = 1$ . By comparing coefficients it follows that  $a_0 b_0 = 1$  (so the first part follows), and  $\sum_{k=0}^n a_k b_{n-k} = 0$ . If  $a_0$  is invertible then we can find a suitable  $q$ , by defining  $b_0 = a_0^{-1}$ , and  $b_n = -a_0^{-1} (\sum_{k=1}^n a_k b_{n-k})$  (so the second part follows).  $\square$

**Lemma.** *There exists an element  $\iota(x) \in R[[x]]$  called the inverse such that  $F(x, \iota(x)) = 0 = F(\iota(x), x)$ .*

**Definition.** An *homomorphism* from  $F$  to  $G$ , two formal group laws over  $R$ , is a  $f \in R[[x]]$ , such that:

1.  $f(0) = 0$
2.  $f(F(x, y)) = G(f(x), f(y))$

**Definition.** The definition of a homomorphism between formal group laws, turns the collection of formal group laws over a ring into a category.

**Claim.**  $f : F \rightarrow G$  is (compositionally) invertible (i.e. an isomorphism) if and only if  $f'(0)$ .

*Proof.* It is easy to see the first implication. If  $f'(0) = 0$ , we can show explicitly that there exists a unique  $g$  such that  $g(f(x)) = x$ , and  $g'(0) = (f'(0))^{-1}$ . From the very same claim, it follows that there exists an  $h$  such that  $h(g(x)) = x$ , it follows that  $h(x) = h(g(f(x))) = f(x)$ .  $\square$

**Definition.**  $f : F \rightarrow G$  is a *strict isomorphism* if  $f'(0) = 1$ .

*Example.* The multiplicative formal group law is strictly isomorphic to the additive formal group law, by  $f(x) = u^{-1} \log(1 + ux) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-u)^{n-1} x^n}{n}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} f(F_m(x, y)) &= u^{-1} \log(1 + uF_m(x, y)) \\ &= u^{-1} \log(1 + ux + uy + u^2xy) \\ &= u^{-1} \log(1 + ux)(1 + uy) \\ &= u^{-1} \log(1 + ux) + \log(1 + uy) \\ &= F_a(f(x), f(y)) \end{aligned}$$

(Note that we don't need the  $u^{-1}$  to get an isomorphism, but we do need it to get a strict isomorphism.)

**Definition.** A strict isomorphism from  $F$  to  $F_a$  is called a *logarithm*.

**Claim.** Let  $f \in R[[x]]$  be such that  $f(0) = 0, f'(0) = 1$  (i.e.  $f(x) = x + \dots$ ), then there is a unique formal group law  $F_f$  over  $R$  whose logarithm is  $f$ .

*Proof.* The condition of being a logarithm means that  $f(F_f(x, y)) = f(x) + f(y)$ , or equivalently  $F_f(x, y) = f^{-1}(f(x) + f(y))$ . The uniqueness is thus trivial, and being a formal group law is also easy to check.  $\square$

### 3 The Lazard Ring

**Definition.** Given an homomorphism  $\varphi : R \rightarrow S$ , and a formal group law over  $R$ ,  $F(x, y) = \sum a_{ij} x^i y^j$ , we define the *base change* by  $\varphi^*(F)(x, y) = \sum \varphi(a_{ij}) x^i y^j$ . In fact, that is a functor, defined similarly for morphisms.

**Theorem.** *There is a ring  $L$ , called the Lazard ring, and a formal group law over it  $F_{\text{univ}}$ , called the universal formal group law, such that for every ring  $R$  the map*

$$\text{hom}_{\text{Ring}}(L, R) \rightarrow \text{FGL}(R) \quad \varphi \mapsto \varphi^*(F_{\text{univ}})$$

*is one-to-one and onto. That is, the functor  $\text{FGL} : \text{Ring} \rightarrow \text{Set}$  is corepresentable by  $L$ .*

*Proof.* Look at the ring  $\tilde{L} = \mathbb{Z}[c_{ij}]$ , and  $\tilde{F}_{\text{univ}}(x, y) = \sum c_{ij} x^i y^j \in \tilde{L}[[x, y]]$ . There are various relations obtained from the definition of a formal group law, e.g.  $c_{0j} = 0 = c_{i0}$ . Denote by  $I$  the ideal generated by these relations, and define  $L = \tilde{L}/I$ , and  $F_{\text{univ}}(x, y) = \sum (c_{ij} + I) x^i y^j \in L[[x, y]]$ , which satisfies the definition of a formal group law over  $L$  by construction. The map being one-to-one is trivial. Given a formal group law  $F(x, y) = \sum a_{ij} x^i y^j$ , we can define  $\tilde{\varphi} : \tilde{L} \rightarrow R$  by  $\tilde{\varphi}(c_{ij}) = a_{ij}$ . It is clear that it factors through  $L$ , to a map  $\varphi : L \rightarrow R$ , and that the base w.r.t  $\varphi^*(F_{\text{univ}}) = F$ , therefore it is onto.  $\square$

**TODO** Lazard's theorem and grading of  $L$

## 4 Characteristic 0

**Theorem.** *A formal group law over a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra has a logarithm.*

*Proof.* Let  $F$  be such a formal group law, and denote  $F_2 = \frac{\partial F}{\partial y}$ . Since  $F(x, y) = x + y + \dots$ , we know that  $F_2(0, 0) = 1$ , thus  $F_2(t, 0)$  is (multiplicatively) invertible. Since each  $0 \neq n \in \mathbb{Z}$  is invertible, we can define the following:

$$f(x) = \int_0^x \frac{dt}{F_2(t, 0)}$$

We claim that it is a logarithm. We already know that  $f'(0) = \frac{1}{F_2(0, 0)} = 1$ . We need to prove that  $f(F(x, y)) = F_a(f(x), f(y))$ , or equivalently, that  $w(x, y) = f(F(x, y)) - f(x) - f(y)$  vanishes. Denote the coefficients by  $w(x, y) = \sum c_{ij} x^i y^j$ . First, note that  $w(x, 0) = f(F(x, 0)) - f(x) - f(0) = f(x) - f(x) - 0 = 0$  and it follows that  $c_{i0} = 0$ . If we prove that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} \\ &= f'(F(x, y)) F_2(x, y) - f'(y) \\ &= \frac{1}{F_2(F(x, y), 0)} F_2(x, y) - \frac{1}{F_2(y, 0)} \end{aligned}$$

it follows that  $jc_{ij} = 0$ , and since each  $0 \neq j \in \mathbb{Z}$  is invertible,  $c_{ij} = 0, j > 0$ , which finishes the proof. Indeed, by associativity,  $F(F(x, y), z) = F(x, F(y, z))$ , differentiating w.r.t.  $z$  at  $z = 0$  we get,  $F_2(F(x, y), 0) = F_2(x, y) F_2(y, 0)$  and the result follows.  $\square$

## 5 Characteristic $p$

*Remark.* The above theorem is not true over arbitrary rings.

To see this, we define a notion, that will lead us to the concept of height. Let  $F$  be a formal group law over a ring  $R$ . We define  $[n]_F(x) \in R[[x]]$ , called the  $n$ -series of  $F$  recursively:

$$[0]_F(x) = 0 \quad [n+1]_F(x) = F(x, [n]_F(x))$$

Clearly, for  $f : F \rightarrow G$  we get  $f([n]_F(x)) = [n]_G(f(x))$ . If no confusion will arise, we will denote  $[n] = [n]_F(0)$ .

For  $F_a$  we have  $[n]_{F_a}(x) = nx$ , and by induction for  $F_m$  we have  $[n]_{F_a}(x) = (1+x)^n - 1$ . Consider them over a field of characteristic  $p$ , and assume that  $f : F_m \rightarrow F_a$  is an homomorphism then

$$0 = [p]_{F_a}(f(x)) = f([p]_{F_m}(x)) = f((1+x)^p - 1) = f(x^p)$$

which means that  $f$  is not invertible, thus  $F_m$  and  $F_a$  are not isomorphic.

**Claim.** *For all  $n$ ,  $[n]_F$  is an endomorphism of  $F$ .*

*Proof.* Follows easily from associativity and commutativity by induction. It is trivial by definition that  $[n](0) = 0$ . The addition by induction. For  $n = 0$  trivial. Now:

$$\begin{aligned} [n](F(x, y)) &= F(F(x, y), [n-1](F(x, y))) \\ &= F(F(y, x), F([n-1](x), [n-1](y))) \\ &= F(y, F(x, F([n-1](x), [n-1](y)))) \\ &= F(y, F([n](x), [n-1](y))) \\ &= F(y, F([n-1](y), [n](x))) \\ &= F([n](y), [n](x)) \\ &= F([n](x), [n](y)) \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

In what follows in this section,  $R$  is an  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra.

**Lemma.** *Let  $F, G$  be formal group laws over  $R$ , and  $f : F \rightarrow G$  non-trivial. Then  $f(x) = g(x^{p^n})$  for some  $n$  and  $g \in R[[x]]$  with  $g'(0) \neq 0$ , and in particular the leading term of  $f$  is  $ax^{p^n}$ .*

*Proof.* If  $f'(0) \neq 0$ , we are done. Otherwise, we will find a formal group law  $\tilde{F}$ , and  $\tilde{f} : \tilde{F} \rightarrow G$ , such that  $f(x) = \tilde{f}(x^p)$ . Since  $f$  is non-trivial, and the least non-zero degree is lowered by this process, this process must terminate after a finite amount of stages. So suppose  $f'(0) = 0$ .

First we claim that  $f'(x) = 0$ . Deriving  $f(F(x, y)) = F(f(x), f(y))$  by  $y$  and setting  $y = 0$ , we get  $f'(F(x, 0))F_2(x, 0) = F_2(f(x), f(0))f'(0)$  remembering that  $F(x, 0) = x, F_2(x, 0) = 1, f'(0) = 0$ , we conclude that  $f'(x) = 0$ . Write  $f(x) = \sum a_n x^n$ , by  $f'(x) = 0$ ,  $a_n = 0$  for all  $p \nmid n$ , therefore  $f(x) = \tilde{f}(x^p)$ .

Denote by  $\varphi : R \rightarrow R$  the Frobenius endomorphism  $\varphi_i(x) = x^p$ . Define  $\tilde{F} = \varphi^*(F)$ . It follows that

$$\tilde{f}(\tilde{F}(x^p, y^p)) = \tilde{f}(F(x, y)^p) = f(F(x, y)) = G(f(x), f(y)) = G(\tilde{f}(x^p), \tilde{f}(y^p))$$

thus  $\tilde{f}(\tilde{F}(x, y)) = G(\tilde{f}(x), \tilde{f}(y))$  (since these are just formal power series, so just rename the variables), and it follows that  $\tilde{f} : \tilde{F} \rightarrow G$  is the desired homomorphism.  $\square$

**Definition.** The *height* of a formal group law  $F$  over  $R$  is defined as follows: if  $[p]_F = 0$ , the height is  $\infty$ , otherwise it is the unique  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $[p]_F(x) = g(x^{p^n})$  with  $g'(0) \neq 0$ .

**Lemma.** *The height is an isomorphism invariant.*

*Proof.* Let  $f : F \rightarrow G$  be an isomorphism. We've seen that in that case  $f([n]_F(x)) = [n]_G(f(x))$ . Since  $f$  is an isomorphism,  $f'(0)$  is a unit, the least non-zero degree is conserved and the result follows.  $\square$

**Theorem.** *For each  $1 \leq n \leq \infty$  there exists a formal group law  $F_n$  of height  $n$ .*

**Theorem.** *Over an algebraically closed field, there is a unique formal group law of each height  $1 \leq n \leq \infty$ .*