

# The Algebraic Properties of Formal Group Laws

Shay Ben Moshe

20/06/2017

**Definition.** Let  $R$  be a ring with unit. A (commutative one-dimensional) *formal group law* over  $R$  is an element of  $F(x, y) \in R[[x]]$ , such that:

1.  $F(x, 0) = x = F(0, x)$
2.  $F(x, y) = F(y, x)$  (commutativity)
3.  $F(F(x, y), z) = F(x, F(y, x))$  (associativity)

*Remark.* Sometimes the notation  $x +_F y = F(x, y)$  is useful.

*Example.* The additive formal group law,  $F_a(x, y) = x + y$ .

*Example.* The multiplicative formal group law,  $F_m(x, y) = x + y + uxy$  for some unit  $u \in R$ , and specifically  $F_m(x, y) = x + y + xy$ .

**TODO Do we need this?**

**Lemma.**  $p(x) \in R[[x]]$  is (multiplicatively) invertible if and only if  $p(0) \in R$  is invertible.

*Proof.* Let  $p(x) = \sum a_n x^n$ , and assume  $q(x) = \sum b_n x^n \in R[[x]]$  is an inverse to  $p$ , i.e.  $pq = 1$ . By comparing coefficients it follows that  $a_0 b_0 = 1$  (so the first part follows), and  $\sum_{k=0}^n a_k b_{n-k} = 0$ . If  $a_0$  is invertible then we can find a suitable  $q$ , by defining  $b_0 = a_0^{-1}$ , and  $b_n = -a_0^{-1} (\sum_{k=1}^n a_k b_{n-k})$  (so the second part follows).  $\square$

**Definition.** An *homomorphism* from  $F$  to  $G$ , two formal group laws over  $R$ , is a  $f \in R[[x]]$ , such that:

1.  $f(0) = 0$
2.  $f(x +_F y) = f(x) +_G f(y)$

**Claim.**  $f : F \rightarrow G$  is (compositionally) invertible (i.e. an isomorphism) if and only if  $f'(0)$ .

*Proof.* It is easy to see the first implication. If  $f'(0) = 0$ , we can show explicitly that there exists a unique  $g$  such that  $g(f(x)) = x$ , and  $g'(0) = (f'(0))^{-1}$ . From the very same claim, it follows that there exists an  $h$  such that  $h(g(x)) = x$ , it follows that  $h(x) = h(g(f(x))) = f(x)$ .  $\square$

**Definition.**  $f : F \rightarrow G$  is a *strict isomorphism* if  $f'(0) = 1$ .

*Example.* The multiplicative formal group law is strictly isomorphic to the additive formal group law, by  $f(x) = u^{-1} \log(1 + ux) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-u)^{k-1} x^k}{k}$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(F_m(x, y)) &= u^{-1} \log(1 + uF_m(x, y)) \\
 &= u^{-1} \log(1 + ux + uy + u^2 xy) \\
 &= u^{-1} \log(1 + ux)(1 + uy) \\
 &= u^{-1} \log(1 + ux) + \log(1 + uy) \\
 &= F_a(f(x), f(y))
 \end{aligned}$$

(Note that we don't need the  $u^{-1}$  to get an isomorphism, but we do need it to get a strict isomorphism.)

**Definition.** A strict isomorphism from  $F$  to  $F_a$  is called a *logarithm*.

**Theorem.** A formal group law over a ring in which all  $0 \neq n \in \mathbb{Z}$  are invertible, has a logarithm.

*Proof.* Let  $F$  be such a formal group law, and denote  $F_2 = \frac{\partial F}{\partial y}$ . We claim that the following is a logarithm:

$$f(x) = \int_0^x \frac{dt}{F_2(t, 0)}$$

This is well-defined since each  $0 \neq n \in \mathbb{Z}$  is invertible. We need to prove that  $f(F(x, y)) = F_a(f(x), f(y))$ , i.e. that  $w(x, y) = f(F(x, y)) - f(x) - f(y)$  vanishes. Denote it's coefficients by  $w(x, y) = \sum c_{ij}x^i y^j$ . First, note that

$$\begin{aligned} w(x, 0) &= f(F(x, 0)) - f(x) - f(0) \\ &= f(x) - f(x) - 0 \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

and it follows that  $c_{i0} = 0$ . If we prove that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} \\ &= f'(F(x, y)) F_2(x, y) - f'(y) \\ &= \frac{1}{F_2(F(x, y), 0)} F_2(x, y) - \frac{1}{F_2(y, 0)} \end{aligned}$$

then it follows that  $jc_{ij} = 0$ , and since each  $0 \neq j \in \mathbb{Z}$  is invertible,  $c_{ij} = 0, j > 0$ , which finishes the proof. Indeed, by associativity,  $F(F(x, y), z) = F(x, F(y, z))$ , differentiating w.r.t  $z$  at  $z = 0$  we get,  $F_2(F(x, y), 0) = F_2(x, y) F_2(y, 0)$  and the result follows.  $\square$